PAROLE SUITABILITY HEARING

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

BOARD OF PAROLE HEARINGS

In the matter of the Parole CDC Number: **P80613** Consideration Hearing of:

RODNEY PATRICK MCNEAL

CALIFORNIA CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION

TEHACHAPI, CALIFORNIA

09/03/2020

8:58 AM

PANEL PRESENT:

DAVID LONG, Presiding Commissioner NGA LAM, Deputy Commissioner

OTHERS PRESENT:

RODNEY MCNEAL, Inmate
LAURA SHEPPARD, Attorney for Inmate
CONNIE LASKY, District Attorney
SHANTEL HAYNES, Victim's Daughter
DEANNA BLACKCROW, Victim's Daughter
TERRYLYNN WALKER-YOUPELE, Victim's Friend
ANGEL WILCOX, Victim's Niece
CANDICE RICHARDS, Victim's Niece
MICHAEL RAMOS, Victim's Representative
JASON KECK, Victim Advocate
CURTIS RICHARDS, Victim's Brother-in-Law
SUSAN SPOTTEDBEAR, Victim's Sister
VANESSA RICHARDS, Victim's Niece
DESHAWNA WEST, Victim's Niece
UNIDENTIFIED, Correctional Officers

Transcribed by: Evangelyn Cambe

INDEX

	<u>Page</u>
Proceedings	 3
Case Factors	
Pre- Commitment Factors	 14
Post-Commitment Factors	 81
Parole Plans	 99
Closing Statements	 124
Recess	 193
Decision	 194
Adjournment	 214
Transcript Certification	 215

PROCEEDINGS

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: All right. We're on record.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Okay. The date is
September 3rd, 2020. The time is approximately 0858 hours.
We're conducting this hearing by video conference. Mr.

INMATE MCNEAL: Yes, I can.

McNeal, can you hear and see me?

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: If at any time that changes, please let us know and we'll make the necessary adjustments — Mr. McNeal.

INMATE MCNEAL: It's changing now. You're breaking up.
I didn't, I can't understand what you just said.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Okay. I'd ask, uh, uh, Terrylynn Walker to please mute — okay. Very good. Let's try that again. Um, for the record, I can see and hear you, Mr. McNeal. Commissioner Lam, can you also see and hear Mr. McNeal?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Yes. Thank you.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Very good. This is an initial parole suitability hearing for Rodney McNeal, CDCR number P80613, who is present in the BPH Hearing Room at the California Correctional Institution at Tehachapi, California. This hearing is being audio recorded. So, for the purpose of voice identification, I will identify each participant. And when I do, I'll ask each person to state

their full name and spell their last name. I'll go first. 1 My name is David Long, L-O-N-G, Commissioner, Board of 2 3 Parole Hearings, participating by video conference. 4 Commissioner Lam. **DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM:** Nga Lam, last name L-A-M, 5 6 Deputy Commissioner. 7 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Okay... state your full name and spell your - give us your CDCR number. 8 9 INMATE MCNEAL: Uh, yeah. My name is Rodney Patrick McNeal. Last name is M-C-N-E-A-L. CDC number is P80613. 10 11 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Thank you. And Ms. 12 Sheppard, please identify yourself. 13 ATTORNEY SHEPPARD: Laura Sheppard, S-H-E-P-P-A-R-D, Attorney for Mr. McNeal. 14 15 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Thank you. And Deputy 16 DA Lasky. 17 DISTRICT ATTORNEY LASKY: Connie Lasky, L-A-S-K-Y, 18 Deputy District Attorney for San Bernardino County. PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Okay. Very good. And, 19 20 um, Shantel Haynes, please identify yourself and your 21 relationship to the victim, and spell your last name for 22 us. 23 VNOK HAYNES: Uh, my name is Shantel Haynes. Last 24 name is H-A-Y-N-E-S. I am the daughter of Debra McNeal.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Thank you. Deanna

25

```
BlackCrow.
1
          VNOK BLACKCROW: Hi. Yes, I'm Deanna BlackCrow, D-
2
     E-A-N-N-A, BlackCrow, B-L-A-C-K-C-R-O-W. Um, I am the
3
4
     daughter of Debra BlackCrow.
          PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Thank you. And, uh,
5
6
     Terrylynn, please identify yourself.
7
          VNOK WALKER-YOUPELE: Yes, my name is Terrylynn
8
     Walker-Youpele. Last name is Y-O-U-P-E-L-E.
          PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Thank you. And, uh,
     Angel Wilcox.
10
          VNOK WILCOX: Hi, I'm Angel Wilcox, A-N-G-E-L W-I-L-
11
12
    C-O-X, and she's my aunt.
13
          PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Thank you. Candice
14
    Richards, please.
15
          VNOK CANDICE RICHARDS: Hi, I'm Candice Richards.
     I'm the niece of Debra. My last name is R-I-C-H-A-R-D-S.
16
17
          PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Thank you. Michael
18
     Ramos.
          VICTIM'S REPRESENTATIVE RAMOS: Yes. Michael
19
20
     Ramos, last name R-A-M-O-S.
21
          PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: And Mr. Ramos, your
22
     representative for who today?
23
          VICTIM'S REPRESENTATIVE RAMOS:
                                             I'm representing
24
     the family. I was the trial lawyer in this matter and was
     the elected DA during his appeals.
25
```

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Thank you.

ATTORNEY SHEPPARD: Commissioner, I'm sorry, but I have to object. There's a strict board rule about one DA per hearing. Um, and they're, they're trying to bring in a second DA by representing him as part of the VNOK's?

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: As a support person or representative, yeah. Representative for the VNOK's. Mr. Ramos...

VNOK WILCOX: Mr. Ramos is actually no longer a DA.

VICTIM'S REPRESENTATIVE RAMOS: No, I'm retired.

ATTORNEY SHEPPARD: Okay. Well, I guess that in my opinion still violates the spirit of the rule, but perhaps not the letter of the rule.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: So, uh, Mr. Ramos, you'll be speaking about the impact of the crime, not retrying the case in your, in your, uh, statement, correct?

VICTIM'S REPRESENTATIVE RAMOS: The impact only.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Very good. Vanessa Richards, please identify yourself. Is Vanessa Richards there? It appears as though we've lost Vanessa Richards. Oh, she's been muted, she says. Okay. Deputy DA Lasky, I don't have, it doesn't look like I have the ability to unmute her.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: I think she has to unmute

herself because, uh, we didn't control the mute.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY LASKY: I'm, I'm texting with her right now to see. I can't find her on. She appears to be paused. I don't know what that means. There's a little pause icon over her. Um, I actually did that accidentally once.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Maybe a bad connection? **PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG:** She may have to disconnect and reconnect.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Would you like to go, should we go offline, Commissioner?

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: No, I think we'll, we'll interview, uh, we'll, uh, introduce everybody else and then, um, text, uh, Vanessa Richards, ask her if she can disconnect and reconnect.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY LASKY: I just did.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Okay. Perfect. So,
Jason Keck, please identify yourself.

VICTIM ADVOCATE KECK: Jason Keck, K-E-C-K, victim advocate with the DA's office, San Bernardino County, support for Ms. Shantel Hayes. Thank you.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Thank you. And, uh, Curtis Richards, please identify yourself.

VNOK CURTIS RICHARDS: I'm Curtis Richards. I am the brother-in-law of the victim and I'm the husband of

1 Angela Richards. PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Thank you. And please 2 3 spell your last name for the record. 4 VNOK CURTIS RICHARDS: R-I-C-H-A-R-D-S. PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Thank you, sir. And, 5 6 uh, Susan, I still have, I'm not sure quite how to 7 pronounce your last name. Could you please state your full name and again, spell your name for the record? 8 9 VNOK SPOTTEDBEAR: My name is Susan Spottedbear, S-P-O-T-T-E-D-B-E-A-R. I am Debra's sister. 10 11 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Thank you. I was able 12 to hear you much better this time around. I appreciate that. 13 VNOK SPOTTEDBEAR: You're welcome. 14 15 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Now, outside of Vanessa Richards, are there any other parties present in any of 16 17 the rooms that have not identified themselves? Okay. No 18 other parties present. Is Vanessa Richards returned? DISTRICT ATTORNEY LASKY: I think I just heard her log 19 20 off, so. 21 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Okay. We'll give her a 22 minute here, I guess. Deputy DA Lasky, can you text her 23 and see if she's back in or ...? 24 DISTRICT ATTORNEY LASKY: Yes, I will.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Thank you. It looks

25

like somebody just...

VNOK VANESSA RICHARDS: Hi. This is Vanessa Richards. Um, I was able to get back on the call.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Excellent. So,

Commissioner Lam, we're still on the record, correct?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: We are.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Very good. Ms.

Richards, please state your full name and spell your last name and tell us your relationship to the victim for the record.

VNOK VANESSA RICHARDS: Vanessa Richards, R-I-C-H-A-R-D-S. Um, I am the victim's niece.

presiding commissioner Long: Thank you. Once again, is there anybody else in any of the rooms that did not identify themselves? Okay, very good. Mr. McNeal, were you able to hear everybody who identified themselves?

INMATE MCNEAL: Yes, I was.

present in the room at CCI are correctional officers who may be relieved from time to time throughout the hearing. As I mentioned, this proceeding is being recorded as mandated by Penal Code Section 3042(b) and will be transcribed as the official record of this hearing. No other recordings are authorized, including the recording available by this video conference software. A violation

1	of this provision may result in exclusion from this or
2	future hearings. Uh, Commissioner Lam, let's take a brief
3	break to check the quality of the audio recording.
4	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Thank you.
5	
6	[RECESS]
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: We're back on record.

presiding COMMISSIONER LONG: The time is 0910. All parties present prior to the short recess remain on video conference. Mr. McNeal, as this hearing is being conducted by video conference, I'm going to notify you of certain rights that you have and ensure that you want to continue with the hearing today. First, you have a right to be present at the hearing and meet with the Board of Parole Hearing Panel. Do you accept that this video conference satisfies that right?

INMATE MCNEAL: Yes.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Very good. And I'd ask maybe if you could speak just a little louder, maybe scoot a little bit closer I think to the screen there, I think we might be able to hear you better. I've got my volume all the way up here. So, um, you also have the right to be represented by an Attorney at your parole consideration hearing. Do you accept that your Attorney's appearance by video conference and your ability to have privileged communications with her by telephone satisfy that right?

INMATE MCNEAL: Yes.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Mr. McNeal, it's important for you to understand we're not here to reconsider the findings of the trial or appellate courts, nor are we here to retry your case here today. The Panel

accepts the findings of the previous courts as true.

Instead, the purpose of today's hearing is to consider whether you're suitable for parole. And in doing so, we'll consider many factors, including your prior criminal history and the life crime itself, your behavior and programming since you've been in prison, your plans if released as well as your responses thus here today. I strongly encourage you to be completely honest with us. Please raise your right hand. Do you solemnly swear or affirm that the testimony you give at this hearing will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?

INMATE MCNEAL: I do.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Very good. So, Mr. McNeal, you were 27 years old at the time of the crime. You're now 50 years old and you've been down about 23 years. Is that right?

INMATE MCNEAL: That's correct.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: And according to the DECS database and the 1073 dated July 31st, 2020, you have a 12.9 grade point level. Is that accurate?

INMATE MCNEAL: That's correct.

presiding commissioner Long: Highest score you can get on that test. And according to the 1073, you require eyeglasses. Do you have your eyeglasses with you today?

INMATE MCNEAL: Uh, no, I do not.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Do you need them to
read?

INMATE MCNEAL: No, I do not.

presiding commissioner Long: Okay. We do have a magnifier there. If there's something you need to read and you have difficulty with, just let us know and we'll give you a magnifier. How's your hearing? Can you hear everybody okay?

INMATE MCNEAL: Yeah. You guys break up every now and then, but in general, I can hear you.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: So if we break up and you don't hear something, it's very, very important that you ask us to repeat it because clear communication is paramount for the proceeding. Do you understand?

INMATE MCNEAL: Yes.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Okay. Very good.

There's, uh, somebody is not muted and we're hearing lots of banging going on in the background. I'm not sure who that is. So, I ask everybody to please mute if they're not. Okay. And do you have any difficulty sitting for long periods of time, Mr. McNeal?

INMATE MCNEAL: No.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: It looks like you have a high school diploma and a BS degree in criminal justice, correct?

INMATE MCNEAL: Uh, BA, yes.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: BA. Okay. Very good.

And according to the record, you're not a participant in the mental health services delivery system. Are you taking any medication today that might impact your ability to participate in the hearing?

INMATE MCNEAL: No.

presiding commissioner Long: Very good. Counsel,
based on your client's responses, I don't see any reason
why we can't proceed today. Do you concur?

ATTORNEY SHEPPARD: Yes, I do.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Very good. Mr. McNeal, we did review your central file and the comprehensive risk assessment conducted by Dr. Carman on June 17th of 2020. We also reviewed your confidential file and we'll advise you in accordance with Title 15 if we rely on that confidential information for our decision today. So, as I look at your, your prior history, you were born and raised in, in Kansas. Uh, you describe yourself as a military brat so, I suspect that means you moved around a lot. Is that correct?

INMATE MCNEAL: That's correct.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: So, not a lot of stability in the home as far as, uh, as far as moving around, but you denied that there was, uh, any abuse in

the house towards you or, uh, your, between your parents.
Is that right?

INMATE MCNEAL: That's correct.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: And you have no history of being involved in gangs or substance abuse. Is that also correct?

INMATE MCNEAL: That's correct.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: And, um, what else is, uh, not, is unusual for these proceedings? You have no prior arrest history, um, prior to the life crime, correct?

INMATE MCNEAL: That's correct.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Um, however, you did
admit as, as a youngster, you did steal?

INMATE MCNEAL: Uh, yes. I had several incidents where I stole as a youth, which you're free to talk about.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Will you tell us about that?

INMATE MCNEAL: Um, I, you know, as a, as a juvenile, my family always had, uh, money problems. And, uh, I noticed that my mom was doing illegal acts, stealing from her employers, uh, buying stolen property from people.

And, um, I, you know, I was always told that any reason for not being able to have anything was a lack of money.

Uh, there was one time in my childhood, uh, I went into a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

department store and I stole a video game system and I brought it home. And my mother asked me where I got it from. And I see her do so many things. I told her the truth that I, hey, I went in the store and I walked out with it. Well, she didn't take me to the store and make me return it. She then started taking me to stores to steal with her. And, and that started like, uh, the beginning of, I don't know if you want to call it a, I call it a twisted bonding session because I didn't really get that much attention from my mother, but she would take me to steal and that was when I would get the most attention from her. And that continued, I don't know how deep you want me to go, but that continued into my adult life, not necessarily stealing from stores, but taking things from employers sometimes when I was there, uh, committing insurance fraud, uh, things like that. So, uh, I can go further in depth if you have any more specific questions, but there were several incidents of theft throughout my childhood and adult life.

presiding commissioner Long: Okay. And so, um,
you've also admitted to committing domestic violence with,
uh, both Amber and Debra, correct?

INMATE MCNEAL: Yes. That's correct.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Can you tell us about
that?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

INMATE MCNEAL: Um, well, I can, I'll start with, um, Amber, who's the mother of my children. Um, there were two incidents of domestic violence with her. Uh, one occurred while we were still together. Uh, I don't remember what the issue was, but we got in argument about something. Uh, I went out to our car to try to leave. She followed me out to the car. I got in the car and I was trying to start the car. She stuck her head into the window and began grabbing for the keys. Uh, well, I got frustrated and I pushed her head out into the window and, um, she pulled her head out and then I, I drove away. Uh, later on when I came back home, I told her that it was an accident that I accidentally pushed her head into the window, but the truth was I was frustrated and angry and I did it on purpose. Uh, that was the first incident with Amber. The second incident was after she and I broke up. Uh, I was living in another, uh, apartment alone and she, uh, she came there thinking that I had another woman in the apartment and, uh, I answered the front door and she stuck her foot in the front door so I couldn't close it. And, uh, for 10, 15 minutes, I, I told her that she needed to leave, that there was no one in there and, uh, she, she wouldn't leave. I couldn't go to the phone and call 911. Um, but again, out of frustration, I pushed her and she fell into a planter in front of my apartment complex. I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

didn't close the door and I called the police to the, to the residence. Uh, they showed up and they made her leave. Uh, again, you know, again, I, I felt I was frustrated and there was no excuse for doing it, but at the time, I didn't think that was abuse. Uh, in my relationship with Debra, uh, there were probably five or six incidents, uh, of domestic violence. Probably, I would say basically all six of them revolved around, uh, my kids. Uh, Debra would get angry, uh, and didn't want my kids to come over. And when she became angry and I didn't, uh, agree with her, she began to break property. Uh, but I was cold and I was insensitive to her statements and I believe I was so materialistic back then and greedy that, that, that was the only way she could get my attention. I, that's, she probably believed that that was the only way she could get my attention was destroying property because that was probably what she believed and was partially true. What I cared about the most was property and possession. Uh, so, uh, when she began to destroy property, I didn't know how to handle it and I began to restrain her, to hold her down until she calmed down. Uh, I, this isn't appropriate, but, uh, when at work, I was trained to restrain out of control, I worked at juvenile hall in custody, uh, and you know, we were trained like, hey, when a juvenile is out of control, you restrain them. So, I excused my abusive

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

behavior towards Debra by saying that I was restraining her and protecting her from herself or from hurting our unborn child. But there were probably, as I said, five or six incidents where, and it was all behind my children or child support or problems with my ex. And, um, you know, I excused my behavior back then and I didn't think I was abusive. As a matter of fact, I thought I was handling the problems like, uh, very well. I thought that I'm handling an out of control person. I'm doing a good job of it. I was totally blind to the abuse that I was committing against her, uh, and I justified it. I made excuses for my actions like I did on everything back then. Uh, and I was in denial and it took a lot of years for me and self-help groups to understand that even if I didn't hit her, I was still abusive. But now, I understand that I was abusive. And regardless of her behavior, I was responsible for my actions. So, but there were five or six incidents that happened with Debra and they were all around the same issue.

presiding commissioner Long: And, um, at some point, you lost, uh, oh, no, that was much later. Never mind. Uh, your daughter passed away in 2015 due to a seizure, correct?

INMATE MCNEAL: Correct.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Sorry to hear that very

tragic. Um, so it's kind of inexplicable because you were probation officer, correct?

INMATE MCNEAL: That's correct.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: So you're working in law enforcement and while you were working in law enforcement, you were still stealing. Is that correct?

INMATE MCNEAL: Uh, well, uh, while I was working, when I was younger, was majority of, of the stealing. However, there were things that I did even while I was working as a probation officer, such as I didn't steal from employer as a probation officer. However, I was still, uh, you know, morally corrupt and a hypocrite and I still did things such as the insurance fraud. Uh, I mean, I purchased a computer I knew was stolen. Uh, but as far as stealing from the probation department, no. But I was still committing acts of insurance fraud, as I said, and, and, um, purchasing stolen property. And, uh, my behavior and my opinion back then, my, my belief, I justified everything I did. I was in denial. I thought I was, I thought I was just morally corrupt, excuse me, morally upright person. I had a bachelor's degree. I was working on my master's degree. I was 24 years old. I watched my home built from the ground up. So, I had this opinion of myself, this self-inflated opinion of myself, and I made excuses for the things that I did. And even though I was

at work judging other people on one hand, on the other hand, I was betraying the community. I was, I was a hypocrite and I'm ashamed of the person I was.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: And you told, uh, Dr.

Carman that, uh, at the time, you thought you were better than criminals, uh, but over time, you believe you were actually worse. Is that right?

INMATE MCNEAL: Exactly for those reasons. I believed, uh, foolishly and incorrectly, that, again, I had a college degree. I had a great job. I was working on my master's degree. I believed I was, I believed I was better than these other people I judge. And after a lot of years of self-help, it came for me to realize that I, I came to realize that I'm worse. For all those reasons that I thought I was better, I realized that I was worse because I should not have been engaging in all those things. I can't claim that I was in a gang. I can't. I was not a drug addict. Every single action I did, I did when I was sober. I was morally corrupt and I was just a criminal and in denial about my own behavior.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: And, um, just for the record, you've never been on probation or parole, correct?

INMATE MCNEAL: Correct.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Um, and so, tell me a little more about your relationship with Debra outside of

the five to six domestic violence incidents. Um, what was your relationship like?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

INMATE MCNEAL: Um, outside of those incidents, I, I do believe we had a good relationship. I mean, I really loved her. We plan, we plan for our child. Uh, it was not a surprise. Uh, you know, I moved her from Las Vegas to California because I loved her and I planned on spending the rest of my life with her. And, um, you know, I wanted to stay in the relationship despite the problems that we were having with the property and things like that because I believed I love her and I, I believe that her acting out and the destroying the property, that was just due to the hormones of pregnancy. And I believed that afterwards, uh, everything would be fine. And as far as her personality, I loved that she was kind, caring, had a great sense of humor. Uh, I mean, she was smart and, um, you know, we, uh, we had a good time together when it, everything outside of, everything outside of the issues with my ex and child support and my children, uh, everything was fine.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: And, um, that you, you,
as you sit here today, are you maintaining, uh, that
you're innocent of this life crime?

INMATE MCNEAL: Yes, I am.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: So, tell me on March

10th, 1997, Debra was very upset, so much so she called a friend and asked her to come over. Do you know what she was upset about?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

INMATE MCNEAL: Yeah, absolutely, and I can start the story from, from that morning, waking up. That morning, I woke up. I worked on a paper for, uh, college. Um, I went to work and subsequently, Debra called me at work. And, um, she began talking about, uh, what we had planned to do with our tax return, which was, I think it was we're going to go to take a trip to Las Vegas to visit the kids and things like that. Uh, I, I told her, hey, we're not going to be able to do that because we're going have to replace some of the items now that you've been destroying. Uh, that angered her. That angered her and she said, well, if that's the case, then I'm going to get my money's worth. Uh, I took that to mean that she was going to destroy more property in the house. Um, uh, she hung up. Uh, I heard from her, I don't know how much later that day, but subsequently she called back and she said that, yes, she had destroyed property in the, in the house and, uh, that she was sorry, that she needed help. And, uh, there were a couple of calls back and forth that morning, but the last call from her, she said that she had made an appointment with, uh, Kaiser and that she was going to seek some mental health and ask me would I come home and pick her

up, you know, and take her to her doctor's appointment.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: And that was, uh,
around 12:30?

INMATE MCNEAL: Uh, yeah. I was to pick her...

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: And you were supposed to pick her up.

INMATE MCNEAL: 12:30.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: And so, what happened
next?

INMATE MCNEAL: Um, I mean, I was at work. I worked on, I worked on some reports. Um, uh, I mean to get specific, uh, I last saved up the report I was working on at 11:54, uh, and <inaudible> and missed phone calls from my office up until 12:19. Uh, that day, I left the office. I left the office with two other probation officers, uh, Paul, Mike Paganini and Paul Callahan. Um, whose Mike Paganini's phone records also go until 12:16. Uh, we, we left. I went my separate ways to my car and they went on their way. Uh, I drove home. Uh, I arrived home. When I entered the front door, I saw what I believed to be ketchup streaked, streaked across the front entryway, because she had told me that she had destroyed more property so I believed that it was ketchup which was streaked across the floor because the day previously, she had dumped, uh, uh, food out of the refrigerator, so I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

believed that she had done that again and this was ketchup. Um, uh, you know, I called her name. I looked to see if she was in the living room. I went back into the master bedroom, uh, into the master bathroom and, um, that's, that's where I found her in the bathtub. Uh, there was a penny jar and, uh, and a clothes hamper on top of her. I called her name. I took the items, um, from top of her. I began to pull her out. Um, she was facing towards the drain, laying face forward. I began to pull her out. As I pulled her out, uh, almost to a sitting up position, her, her head came back and I freaked out. I couldn't go anymore. It wasn't because of her weight or anything like that. It was, once I saw her face come back, I couldn't go on anymore. And, uh, I, I went out of the house. I went, well, I went through the master bedroom, but the phone, the house was in disarray, uh, trashed. The phone, the phone was not on the nightstand. And then I went through the, through the kitchen and the phone was missing from its base. The cordless phone was missing from its base. Uh, I went to a neighbor's house right next door, knocked on their door. They didn't answer. Um, I believe then I ran across the street to another neighbors. They didn't answer. Uh, I ran back to the house and back again to that neighbor and banged harder and finally they came out and they called 911. And, um, the police were there in several minutes.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: What did you see when you saw her face?

INMATE MCNEAL: Um, I mean, that's indescribable. I saw her face, her mouth was, um, partially open and, um, um, uh, of course, her eyes were closed, but when I, when I just saw her face come back, I, it was, I can't describe the feeling. <Inaudible> word in the English language to describe. Shock and fear don't, um, describe it. Um, I just think after seeing that, seeing her face, I couldn't go, I couldn't go on anymore. I couldn't even pull her out. She was only 110 pounds. Um, and, uh, I, I couldn't pull her out and I, I had to go for help. And, uh, I just saw her deceased and I, I couldn't take it.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: You were a hundred
percent sure she was not alive at that moment?

INMATE MCNEAL: Um, I, I was pretty sure, uh, that it came to mind that, that maybe the ketchup, the ketchup where I thought was ketchup was not ketchup. Uh, when I saw her in there and I saw a penny jug and a clothes hamper were on top of her and, uh, she was in the tub. And to this day, I can't remember if there was water in the tub or not. I reached down to grab her and her arms and I can't remember if there was water in the tub or not. But I pulled her out and she was clearly, she was clearly

deceased.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: And were there some, some words written on the bathroom mirror?

INMATE MCNEAL: Um, well, I came to know that later.

Uh, I didn't know, I, I didn't see that at the time of the crime, but I came to find out that there was a racial slur written on the mirror, the mirror afterwards, but while I was there, I didn't see that.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: So clearly, the jury
did not find your, um, your versions of event compelling.

INMATE MCNEAL: Correct.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Why do you think that
is?

INMATE MCNEAL: Well, I believe that the history of domestic violence, uh, the fact that, you know, she, we had argued the day previously, the fact that we had an argument, uh, that day or she, she destroyed property, we had an argument that day, the domestic violence, the criminal activity that I engaged in before. I was supposed to be a probation officer. And during the investigation, I committed insurance fraud. I, I claimed things were missing from my home that were not missing. Uh, I mean, there was physical evidence in the house was evidence from living there. However, it could be interpreted as, uh, signs of guilt. Uh, there was a neighbor across the street

who said that she saw my car there at 12:15, which would have been earlier than, uh, than what I said, I, at the time I got there. So, I mean, I had no witnesses. I understand that the husband in the case where the wife gets murdered is the very first suspect. And I gave, through my actions both before and after the murders, as a matter of fact, after the murders, I began dating a woman a few months after. I committed insurance fraud after. It was my actions both before and after the murders that made the detective suspect me and the jury to wrongly convict me. And I cannot blame everyone, including the victim's family, for believing that I am the one responsible for this. And it was my actions that led them to believe that. So, uh, I cannot blame the jury.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: What possessed you to commit insurance fraud? I mean, here, you're a law enforcement professional.

INMATE MCNEAL: I was a law enforcement professional, however, and I'm not blaming my mother because even as, even as an adult, I made the conscious decision to continue my criminal behavior that I was raised with. But that was something that was, that criminality was normalized for me. Those types of things, especially the insurance fraud. I believe someone once asked me, uh, oh, well, okay, the insurance fraud would, would you have done

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that? And I'll be honest. It wouldn't have mattered what it was. If Debra was still alive and someone would have broken into my house and just robbed the place, at that time, the way I thought, I would have claimed a bunch of items then too if it was just a burglary at the house. That was something that was not, that was something that was not foreign to me to, to claim something on an insurance case, an insurance case. It wasn't right. It took me a long time. I was raised, I was raised that way and I'm not blaming them. But I made the conscious decision as an adult to continue that because it was normalized for me. And I made the conscious decision and, uh, through a lot of self-help groups, I've had, through step four, actually, of the 12-step program when I did a self-review analysis of myself and it's the first time that I took a mirror up to saw myself in a mirror and saw all the negative traits and all the negative character defects I had. Back then, even though I did that, I still believed I was a great guy. I believed I was an upstanding citizen, even while I did that. Even when I knew that during the investigation that they knew that I had claimed some items that I shouldn't have on my insurance claim, I still, the only thing I was concerned about was keeping my job. I wasn't concerned, I wasn't concerned back then that I should, I should go turn myself in for claiming these

items or I should tell the police. All I wanted to do was keep my job because I was delusional and I was denial of who I was and the way I was acting. And I didn't have any remorse for, for the things I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

presiding commissioner long: And so, there's really only two options here that I can see. And one is you're innocent as you say. The other one is that you're guilty and a sociopath. How would we know which, which one's true?

INMATE MCNEAL: Um, well, saying the behavior that I've done in prison does not prove anything. Um, I have always been open and honest and willing to have any investigation done on this case that needs to be done. Uh, we can also say that there are several witnesses outside of me who have came forward and have, uh, came forward to law enforcement or to Attorneys and said that my brother confessed to committing the murder. Uh, these witnesses said things that, these witnesses said things that only my brother could have known because I never shared any of my family problems with anyone else besides him and all of them - when he confessed to them, they all said that he mentioned that the reason why he killed her was the problems that I was having with her in the home. I'd never told anyone but else, never told anyone but my brother about that. So, these witnesses would not even know about

the problems that I was having at home, uh, with Debra.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: So let me ask you this.

This is the same brother that helped put you through college by selling drugs, right?

INMATE MCNEAL: He, my brother never helped put me through college. I received Pell Grants to go through college. My brother did not pay a single penny to help put me through college. I received Pell Grants and I worked part time to pay my own way through college and the grants.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: So, I'm trying to connect the dots. If your brother is going to commit a murder to try to help you out, why would he turn around and then let you take the fall for it?

INMATE MCNEAL: Well, I mean, my belief is that even if he was willing to commit the murder, that doesn't mean he's willing to throw himself to the wolves and, uh, turn himself in and receive life for prison, uh, life in prison. There were things that, that he clearly, he tried to stage the scene, so he was trying to not direct the police toward me. So, he thought he could do what he do and that both of us, neither one of us would be in prison. So, uh, thinking that this, my brother, who was involved in so much criminal activity, is going to turn himself in, uh, he didn't turn himself for the other murders he was

arrested for. He didn't turn himself in for what he's in prison for now. Uh, you could say he loved me and if he loved me, I don't think his love goes deep enough to turn himself in for a murder and get life in prison. And yes, I do believe he'd let me go before he turned himself in for, uh, turned himself in and got life in prison.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: So your, your brother,
you said he committed other murders?

INMATE MCNEAL: Yes, that's correct.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: And he was raised in the same house as you, correct?

INMATE MCNEAL: That's correct.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: So what was different about his path? How did he get on that path living and growing in the same environment that you did?

INMATE MCNEAL: Um, I, to be honest, I don't know how he got on that, I don't know how he got on that path. Uh, I know after my mother died, he really went off the rails and that, I mean, he's been violent even before my mother died, but after my mother died, it seemed like he went completely off the rails. Uh, he's tried to kill my stepfather. He's, he pulled a gun on the mother of my children. All of these things were not requested by anyone in the family. He, so he's had a violent streak. Uh, he killed the two people that he went to prison for. And at

the same time that he was the same person that went to law enforcement and told that Jeff confessed to them about those, the two murders that he was convicted for, this was <inaudible> this guy also told him that he committed the murder that I was in here for. So, he was convicted of the other two murders, uh, the murder that I was in here for, and I'm in California. The other two murders were in Las Vegas. So, uh, he was convicted for two other murders. He was raised in the same house, but, uh, he has an incredible violent streak. I'm not a psychologist so I can't diagnose him, but my sister, she also is not violent. She's not been in prison for violent crimes. So, I can't diagnose him nor explain why he became extremely the violent person that he is.

presiding commissioner Long: Commissioner Lam, do
you have any follow-up questions at this point?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Sorry. Hang on.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: You're on mute.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Sorry about that. I have a few questions. Thank you.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Yeah.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: So I'm just sitting here wondering how is it possible that your wife has been brutally murdered and what you worried about is filling out some insurance fraud for two little items? What's that

all about?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

INMATE MCNEAL: Well, I, I can't say that, what it's all about is that that was normal for me. That was not, uh, a conscious, well, number one, I have a lack of empathy clearly and evidently, I had a lack of empathy. But when I filled out the insurance claim form, I put down anything I could think of, to be honest. It was not about, uh, I did not connect the dots to even, I had a detachment to say, hey, well, I'm just putting in this insurance claim. It, it wasn't something conscious to say, which it should have been. I should not even be concerned about something about that. But I can't go back and change the person I was. I can admit who I was and admit that I had the lack of empathy, that I didn't care, I committed the insurance fraud clearly and I take responsibility for it. And the truth is, that was, that was normal for me. It didn't matter if it was, uh, my wife had been murdered or my house had just been robbed. I would have had an insurance claim no matter what because that's the person I was. That was just part of normal, hey, insurance claim, throw a few extra items on there. And I know as callous as it is, I had a lack of empathy. I was callous, cold. And like I said, even after the prosecution or detectives figured out that I had thrown on some extra items, the only thing I was concerned about was, was keeping my job.

That's what I was concerned about. Uh, I was cold.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Hang on.

INMATE MCNEAL: Yes.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: What is this thing that you keep on saying that you were more concerned about keeping your job? What, what does that have to do with anything?

INMATE MCNEAL: Well, what I mean is that I knew I didn't, I didn't commit the murder, but I knew I committed insurance fraud. So, during the investigation, you know, the police found out that about the insurance fraud. They found out that there were items in the pawn shop in Las Vegas that, that Debra had pawned before, before her death. So, I knew that the police had gone to Las Vegas and found these items in a Las Vegas pawn shop that Debra had pawned. Well, that's what I'm saying. I was cold and I was cold and callous and just, once they found out about that, I knew I had done that.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay.

INMATE MCNEAL: That I had committed insurance fraud.

I knew that I committed insurance fraud so I was concerned about losing my job for insurance fraud, not for murder.

So, that's what I mean by when I keep saying I was only concerned about losing my job because I knew, I knew I committed insurance fraud.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Well, my only point, sir, is

I'm thinking a reasonable person whose spouse has just been brutally murdered, the last thing they want to worry about is some little claim and insurance, uh, some little insurance claim.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

INMATE MCNEAL: No. I, I, I totally agree. That's the person I was at that time. I, I totally agree that I should not have been, but now, I can open up and I can talk honestly about the, the immorality, the coldness and callousness that I did have back in those days. Yeah, I shouldn't have been concerned with that. I, that, that shouldn't even have been a thought. I shouldn't even be thinking about an insurance claim period. I, you know, I, I did it. I regret it. Uh, I have remorse from my actions. I've identified the reasons why I was so cold and callous and why I was materialistic and greedy, and I don't have any excuses for that behavior. I can say, I can only tell you that I was, but that's the way I was and I've corrected my, my criminal thinking and my ability to, uh, not, have no empathy for people and not recognize the suffering.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: All right. Um, you've just told the Commissioner that criminality was normalized. Uh, why is it normalized? It sounded like you made it out. You're, you're, you got an upstanding as a probation officer. Why, why is it still normalized? It sounds like

you have one foot in both worlds.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

INMATE MCNEAL: And I agree with it. I, I don't want to blame it on my upbringing, although those were influenced. But as an adult, I made the conscious decision to continue to engage in these types of behavior like insurance fraud. Um, and I did have a foot in both worlds because, and I'm not minimizing the criminal things I did, but I would pick and choose. I was not running around everyday, uh, robbing from stores, or, and I'm not saying that's any better. That's part, that was part of my thinking that I was better than other people because of an opportunistic, uh, opportunity came up that was criminal, whether it was insurance fraud, uh, or purchasing stolen property, I would take advantage of, and then I'd go back to work as if I'm this law-abiding citizen. So, I, I was, I did have one foot in criminal world and one foot in law enforcement, and I was judging other people for the things they did when I was going to do the same thing and, and that's why I mentioned that I was worse because I had this, uh, yes, I had this belief that, that, that these things didn't apply to me. And that was my work thinking at the time. And it took a lot of self-help, a lot of years of self-help for me to look at myself as an insecure, immoral criminal that I was rather than looking at myself in denial as an upstanding member of law

enforcement with a great job and a great future. It took a lot, a lot of years for me to transfer, transfer my thinking from this image that I had of myself to what I really was.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: All right. Can you talk to me about the wedding ring that was pawned? What was that all about?

INMATE MCNEAL: Uh, absolutely. Uh, while Debra was in Las Vegas, um, she called me and, uh, the reason why she was in Las Vegas is because her daughter was having, uh, a legal problem, uh, you know, at school and, well, not at school, it was in the courts, but it was behind things that had happened at school.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: I'm sorry. When was this? How many days before the murder?

INMATE MCNEAL: Oh, this was, uh, well, I mean, as far as her pawning it, it was probably, the pawn was, probably four or five days before the murder or something like that.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay.

INMATE MCNEAL: Um, so she was in Las Vegas to, uh, help her daughter with the legal issue. Well, uh, I helped her because her daughter was going to see a probation officer who does the same job I do. So, I, you know, I helped her out how she should address those problems. The,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the meeting with the probation officer went well in Las Vegas and she called me up asking me, hey, you know what? What do you think about us having a pizza party, me and my kids out here in Las Vegas? And I told her, I said, hey, you know what? Um, that's great. You know, we've helped you with your daughter with the legal problems, but, and I'm not trying to disrespect, um, the victim's family, but the charge was that she had assaulted a teacher at school, her daughter, and I told her, hey, you know what? We got rid of the legal trouble. We kept her with a clean record, but I don't think that she deserves a pizza party for assaulting a teacher. So, I told her, uh, I said, no, we shouldn't spend money on a pizza party for her for assaulting a teacher. Uh, she said, oh, well, okay, well, you know, I'm going to pawn my wedding ring and that's what she did.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: All right. Did that make you angry?

INMATE MCNEAL: Um, no, not, not particularly. Uh,
that didn't make me angry.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY LASKY: Commissioner, I'm sorry to interrupt. Can we break for, can we pause for one second. Deanna BlackCrow just texted me that she's lost audio, um, so she's trying to get it back.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Okay. Let's go off the

1	record.
2	
3	[RECESS]
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: We're back on record.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: 0952. All parties present prior to are back on the call, and so, we'll resume. Go ahead, Commissioner Lam.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay. And just for the record, Ms. Lasky said that the, one of the VNOK's was not able to hear the last portion regarding the, the pawned ring. Uh, so just to recap really quick, um, he testified that, I guess he went to Las Vegas to help out the victim's daughter who had some legal problems and, uh, he fixed that. Uh, and then the victim wanted to have a pizza party and, uh, he objected because he felt she didn't deserve a pizza party. Uh, she, the victim got upset and went and pawned the ring. Is that a good summary, sir?

INMATE MCNEAL: Yeah. That's in a nutshell, yes,
that's a good summary.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay. And your testimony is, uh, having defied you and, uh, went against your wishes.

It didn't upset you at all that she pawned the ring.

INMATE MCNEAL: Um, no. I'll be, I mean, I didn't like that but when, when she, when the next time I talked to her, she told me, she said, she called and said, hey, she told me, hey, I pawned the wedding ring. Yeah. Did I like it? No, but that, that was not that big of a deal. And, um, the wedding, pawning the wedding ring, it's not gone

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

forever. I didn't like that but that's not big of a, that's not that big of a deal. I would say that I was, you know, yes, I was a materialistic person. I was a greedy person back then, you know? I grew up, you know, believing that material possessions could be something that could cause a lot of problems in your life. I grew up, we were evicted from houses, uh, so I began to see material possessions as something that could cause a lot of strife in your life. However, that was her wedding ring and it was pawned and I didn't like that for the symbology of it. However, it was not thrown away. Um, the, the destruction of property in the home was more disturbing to me than, than, uh, pawning a wedding ring because of the emotional attachments I had to material possessions, which had happened from me being a child of all the years of going without and my parents telling me that every problem you had was behind not having enough money or not having these possessions or being denied, being able to do things that other kids, uh, had done. So, the wedding ring, to be honest, yes, I did not like that, but, uh, destruction of property in the home was, was, uh, more irritating than the wedding ring would ever be, uh, because of my attachment to material items and believing that not having these material items were cause for great concern in your life.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Well, that's exactly why I'm asking, sir, because you mentioned that you're very materialistic. This is a gold wedding ring. Is that right?

INMATE MCNEAL: Absolutely.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: And, and it didn't upset you that she pawned your gold wedding ring that you gave her?

INMATE MCNEAL: Yeah, yeah, no, I didn't like that, but that was, it was for symbology. She didn't throw it away is what I'm saying. She didn't destroy it. She pawned it, which we, you know, which we were going to get back. That that was not an issue. And that's why I said, I understand what you're saying was I so upset about this wedding ring. I was not so upset about the wedding ring. She didn't destroy it. She pawned it. I didn't like it. However, destroying property in the home was much more disturbing. That was property that was destroyed and gone. The wedding ring, that was temporary. So, the symbology of pawning your wedding ring, that's upsetting. But the, but the way that I thought at the time, the emotional attachment I had with material possessions, the destruction of property in the home was much more disturbing than that. And I was becoming...

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: I understand. You said that three times. I got it. No worries. Let's turn, let's turn to the domestic violence between you and the victim

because at least in December, three months, three, four months prior to the murder, there were multiple phone calls to the police. Is that correct?

INMATE MCNEAL: That's correct.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay. So, let's go through them, sir. I have written down here that on 12/10/1996, there was a domestic call. And during that time, apparently, uh, she vandalized some property and you guys were fighting and she bit you. That was the incident. Does that ring a bell?

INMATE MCNEAL: Um, yes. Everything you said. I don't remember being bitten, but, uh, that sounds correct as far as vandalizing the property and all that. And I don't remember being, uh, bitten.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay.

INMATE MCNEAL: I don't remember that. That may have
happened. I don't remember it though.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Let me approach it this way.

Do you recall every single phone call during that period to the police regarding domestic violence at home?

INMATE MCNEAL: Um, well, all those, all those
incidents, since they were all similar, they kind of blend
in, but I, I remember the incidents, but, like, I couldn't
tell you which one came first or what's the reasoning
behind one.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: I don't need to know which one came first. I just want to see if you can just go laundry list down each one, but if not, let me go through each one and hopefully I'll refresh your memory. So, you don't recall the 12/10/1996 incident that involved vandalism and a lot of, I guess, back and forth where she bit you? You don't recall that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

INMATE MCNEAL: Okay. I remember vandalism, of course. I'm just saying, I don't remember being bit. I remember there was one time when she, when the police were called, uh, she cut up a bunch of my clothes and, um, yeah, and we ended up calling the police. So, those, that was one incident. Uh, there, there was another incident where, um, she had, uh, as a matter of fact, there's one incident where Shantel was present at the house and she became angry and she grabbed the bat and tried to break out all the windows to the house. Uh, the police were called on that incident. I went outside and tried to catch a cab and, and, uh, leave the scene. There was another incident in which she called the police and said that I was holding her, I was holding her down and I was holding her down. And she also said that I had, uh, taken her purse and I wouldn't give it back to her. So, uh, those were three incidents.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay.

INMATE MCNEAL: There was another incident — go ahead.
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: No, no. Go ahead. There was
another incident?

INMATE MCNEAL: Yeah, there was another, there was another incident where I had purchased a new car, a used car, a new used car, and, uh, she went after that with a bat and I tried to hold her down until she calmed down. And, uh, I don't, I'm not sure if the police were called during that incident, but that, that, uh, that was, that was another incident.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay. Well, let's, let's focus on the January 1997 incident with the purse. When the police came, she said that you took her purse and you wouldn't let her leave. What happened that day?

INMATE MCNEAL: That's correct. I did take her purse and I would not let her leave, but there's more to the story. Uh, she had began to, she was breaking property around that time as we've all seen. Well, she started saying she was going to take the car and drive the car into a wall and crash the car. So, I did take her purse so she could not have her car key so she couldn't leave. And there was a second time in Las Vegas when she threatened the same thing, the incident in Las Vegas, where she threatened the same thing that she would, she was going to take the car and drive it into a wall. And that's when I

went to place where she was staying and I grabbed the car and I moved it. So, so yes, I did take her keys and I wouldn't let her leave, but that's because she said she was going to take the car and drive it and crash it into a wall.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay. Um, it seems to me that so far, everything you've described, the common theme seems to be that the victim is out of control and you're just trying to control the situation. Is that an accurate, an accurate description of what this, what you're, you're explaining?

INMATE MCNEAL: Not at all. Uh, not at all. She did
the thing she did. However, back then, maybe that's what I
thought. That's what I, that is what I thought back in
those days that she was out of control and I was doing the
best and I was a great guy and I was doing everything I
did, I could to control an out of control person and
protect her from harming herself or others. That is what I
believe back then. After years of self-help and going
through these groups, uh, as I said earlier, I've learned
that my, what I did was domestic violence. What I did was
abusive and even saying I just held her down or restrained
her or whatever you want to call it, that, that is, that
is still, that is still abusive. And the bottom line is I
am responsible for my behavior toward her no matter what

she was doing. I shouldn't have put my hands on her.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay. I'm trying to understand were you the perpetrator, sir? Because the way you've been talking about all these incidents, it sounds like she is out of control. Did you start the fight? Did you hit her? Did you, what is your role in all this?

INMATE MCNEAL: Oh, absolutely. You know what? We, we had a codependent, a codependent abusive relationship where I was both the abuser and partially the abused. We both did things. We were both codependent and caught up in the cycle of violence. And I can go into that.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay.

INMATE MCNEAL: I'm sorry. Did you say something?
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Did you ever hit her, punch

her, slap her, backhand her, anything like that, sir?

INMATE MCNEAL: Never. I never hit, punched, kicked, anything to her. I never punched her. I never hit her.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: So how did you, how were you the perpetrator then?

INMATE MCNEAL: Well, because as I said before, um, and that's where my, my thinking changed. Back then, I didn't think restraining her was abuse. And I learned to understand that even restraining her was abusive. I'm responsible for my actions toward her. You want to know how else I was responsible? It's because I was so cold and

materialistic, but I believe that she, she thought that the only way she could get my attention was destroying property. Uh, I would belittle her. I would tell her she was crazy. That's, that's mental abuse. I would tell her she needed help. These things, you know, I would say things. And she may have, the original reason she got mad may have been about my kids. However, I thought I had the moral high ground and I would be cold, have no empathy for her, not communicate with her. Instead, I would belittle her and tell her that she was crazy. So, all of these things were mental abuse and these were things that I did. And I excused myself back then by saying that I wasn't abusive because I didn't put my hands on her.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: All right. So, your, your testimony is you're verbally and mentally abusive?

INMATE MCNEAL: Yes. And well, and physically when I did restrain her, because even that I should not, I shouldn't have put my hands on her and even restrained her.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: All right. Well, if your version is the truth, sir, then if someone's coming at you, I think you do have a right to defend yourself, but all right.

INMATE MCNEAL: Well, uh, yes.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Um, I want to switch gears

now and talk about your allegation that you did not commit this crime.

INMATE MCNEAL: Yes.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: So, I read the 308 pages that you've submitted. Let me turn to it. So, if I understand you clearly, you are facing this argument on a declaration and some court testimony from a Mr. McGill, who says that Jeffrey, your brother, confessed to him that he killed your wife. Is that correct?

INMATE MCNEAL: Uh, that's correct. Among him and the other witnesses, correct.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Let me back up before I want to talk about Mr. McGill. You, you wrote that you felt that your brother was the protector. He always likes to play the protector of you. Is that correct?

INMATE MCNEAL: Uh, that's correct. Family members in
general, yes.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: And so, your, your argument is that's what made it possible for him to kill the victim to help you out. More or less this is what your argument is, right?

INMATE MCNEAL: And that's what the testimony from the, the people that he has confessed to. And they've also said that that was the reason that he gave.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay. Well, I only have a

confession, uh, an alleged confession that was made to Mr. McGill. Uh, back in 2005, I believe the, uh, Innocence Project picked up your case and they tried to make that case...

INMATE MCNEAL: Yeah.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: And they tried to make that case to the court and the court did not buy that. Is that correct?

INMATE MCNEAL: That's correct.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay. And - go ahead.

INMATE MCNEAL: Yeah, that's correct. Um, Mr. McGill, at the same time that he went to authorities and, and, and told them that my brother committed two other murders, he also told them that my brother had confessed to the murder that I'm in here for.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: All right.

INMATE MCNEAL: So, he was, yes.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: So, yeah, I believe they interviewed your brother...

INMATE MCNEAL: Yes.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: And your brother denied that he ever killed your wife. Is that right?

INMATE MCNEAL: Um, uh, I'm sure that's correct during that interview. Um, and when he was asked the second time on the stand, he pled the fifth, uh, to his right against

self-incriminating himself when he was placed on the stand under oath and asked if he killed my wife, he played the fifth.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay. Well, I want to read to you this paragraph and, and, and let me know if it, any truth resonates. So, say Jeffrey went on to say, and this is page 235 of the, uh, page 308 of the 10-day packet. It says, Jeffrey went on to say that if he had killed Debra, there's no way he would've let Rodney take the hit for the murder. Rodney is the only intelligent one in the family and the only one that showed any promise. He's a college graduate and was working on his master's degree at the time of the event. Uh, Jeffrey also stated that during the time Rodney was going to college, he sold drugs on the street to help support his brother. Upon their mother's death, he made a promise to the mother he would take care of, I think it meant you not Jeffrey, because you looked to be ambitious and have a future ahead of you. He promised his brother he would take care of him and he, and that's why he sold drugs to support Rodney. And you said that that never occurred, the selling?

INMATE MCNEAL: He never, he never, oh, did he sell drugs? Yes. He, he sold drugs. But did he pay, help pay for my college by selling drugs? No. There was a period after my mom died that I stayed with him. I stayed with

him for a few months after I graduated, but I paid for my own college and I worked part time.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay.

INMATE MCNEAL: Um, and I don't know the point of this question is asking about the college, but is there any truth to some of the stuff he said? Yeah, he was a protector. Yeah. I stayed with him for a while. Uh, is he someone who, who's going to look out for me? Uh, if he can, yes. But is he someone who's going to turn himself in for murder and get life in prison or the death penalty? I don't believe that's the case.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Um, can somebody mute their end because it's coming across. Okay. Thank you. Go ahead. I'm sorry.

INMATE MCNEAL: Yeah, no. So, I was just saying in some of those things that he said true, he never helped pay for any of my college. If he says because I stayed with him for a few months after my mom died, if he says that's how he was supporting me because he was paying the rent by selling drugs, that's possible, but he never paid a penny for my college. Uh, I was working part time myself and I had Pell Grants as far as my college. I did stay with him.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay. Okay. Well, I don't care if you stay with him at this moment.

INMATE MCNEAL: But as far as him saying that ...

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay. You kind of cut off, but I'm going to tell you something. When I read this paragraph, in conjunction with your own statement that your brother is a protector, this paragraph made a lot of sense to me because you on the one hand claims that he, uh, loves you and he protects you and he would do anything for you and he would even murder your wife. It's kind of consistent with what he's saying here. He's saying that he would sell drugs to help you out. And if he had committed the crime, he would not have given you, I mean, let you take the fall. I mean, it's seems logical to me. So, is it your testimony your brother drove all the way from Las Vegas over here to kill your wife to help you out? Why?

INMATE MCNEAL: Well, well, that, that was the testimony of the witnesses, uh, who he confessed to. Uh, now, if we're requesting their credibility, that's one thing. That was, that was what the witnesses said that, that that's what he did. And there was reasons why I believe, there's reasons why I believe the things that he said happened as far as he went through the door but, were you going to say something?

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM:} & \textbf{Well, well, let, let me ask} \\ \\ \textbf{it this way.} \\ \end{tabular}$

INMATE MCNEAL: Yes.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: You wrote in your statement that you felt that you were responsible for the crime because you bad mouth your wife to Jeffrey, which caused Jeffrey to come and do this. That's basically your theory of the case, correct?

INMATE MCNEAL: Uh, that's my theory, yes.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay.

INMATE MCNEAL: That is my theory. Jeff had been, Jeff had, I'm sorry. Jeff had been extremely violent. He had pulled a gun on the mother and grandmother of my children without any requests from me and I didn't do it. He did it on his own when my kid's mother and I were separated. Uh, he tried to kill my stepfather because, uh, he was upset about him not visiting my sister on a regular basis.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay. Tell me the things you told Jeffrey that you think caused him to come and murder her.

INMATE MCNEAL: Well, uh, to say that I remember the exact conversation, but I remember the things I told him. I mean, we talked about her together saying that she was crazy. I told him things like, yeah, possibly she was using me for a big payday. Uh, I just told him that, I told him about all the violent incidents and I, I completely blamed her for everything. I didn't take responsibility for anything I did. I told him that she was

violent. She was, she was ruining my, she's ruined my life. She'd called the police. I was probably going to get messed up in my career. Uh, and, and of course, we would do back and forth saying, oh, she's crazy, and, you know, she's crazy and he told me that he felt guilty for introducing us. And, uh, he just said it was messed up.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Go ahead. When was the last time, oh, I'm sorry, go ahead. I thought you were done.

INMATE MCNEAL: Yeah. Yeah. So, so you're, you're asking about how do I feel guilty? Okay.

peruty commissioner Lam: No, no. I just, no, no. I just want to know what you said that would make Jeffrey go and commit this brutal murder. So, you said you badmouth her to him, calling her crazy, using you as basically a paycheck or payday, uh, and then you told him about her violent outbursts and you blame her for everything. So, when, when was the last time you spoke to Jeffrey prior to the murder?

INMATE MCNEAL: Um, uh, it was probably a week or so,
probably a week or so.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay. And during that week, during that conversation, do you remember what you talked to him about?

INMATE MCNEAL: Um, no, absolutely not. Debra, Debra was out in Las Vegas and she, uh, that's when she was out

in Las Vegas and, uh, she had went by his house. And I mean, I imagine it was just a normal conversation. I don't, the conversation where I demonized her was the one in December.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay.

INMATE MCNEAL: And as I said before and as I said in the things that I wrote, I had never told anyone about the things that were going on in my home. And the only reason I told Jeff at that time is because my insecurities came out. I felt she humiliated me. I felt she embarrassed me in front of everyone. Uh, and the shame of what was going on in our house was out for people to see. And I felt I had to, my insecurity, I had to maintain my image of my brother was always aggressive, tough and violent. And I felt that she, she, she humiliated me in front of him. And so, that whole conversation where I was demonizing her, I'm trying to talk tough and I'm trying to talk on my image to make it look like, like I'm not this, you know, weak guy that's got all this stuff going at home or on at home. And, you know, that I'm not a weak individual.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: I just want to establish that the badmouthing happened in December, correct? Sometime three months prior?

INMATE MCNEAL: That's correct.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: And there's no more

badmouthing between then and the life crime, correct?

INMATE MCNEAL: That's correct.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay.

INMATE MCNEAL: I never, after December, I didn't talk to him about it again, about the, about the badmouthing and things like that.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: So, your position is because you badmouth her in December, out of nowhere, three months later, he decides to brutally murder her. That's your position?

INMATE MCNEAL: Well, uh, that, that's sort of my position, but it's probably my position that number one, Debra was out there and then if he had planned it to come all the way from Las Vegas to California, that that would not be a sudden thing that he would do. So, he had planned it, if he'd started, if he had planned it at that time, then it wouldn't necessarily be something he would have done the next day. So, yes, that was the last time I talked to Jeff about it. It was in December 1996. That was the only time I ever talked to him about it. I demonized her. I did not talk to him about it afterwards. And yes. Did he come out there a couple months later? That's what I believe. That's my, that's my theory that it angered him enough to either he planned it or if he had, I know that

don't know if something brought it back up. I don't know about that and I'm not going to speculate, but the last time I spoke to her was and to Jeff about the stuff that was going on and demonized her was in December of '96.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay. Sir, how many minutes lapsed between you coming home, finding your wife brutally murdered and the police showing up?

INMATE MCNEAL: Like 10 minutes based on all the timeline, the last phone call from my office being at 12:19 and the, and the 911 call coming in at 12:32.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay. The neighbor said that she saw your car parked in a crooked, uh, parked in a crooked manner around 12:15. Is that right?

INMATE MCNEAL: Absolutely. That's what she said.
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay.

INMATE MCNEAL: This was an elderly woman that was in her upper 70's. And according to my, and I'm not dismissing her because I believe she's just made a mistake, but, uh, she was elderly. Uh, according to my Attorney, she could not testify in my trial because she had gone senile. Now, I would say that I believe she made a mistake. She judged the time that she saw my car in the driveway at 12:15. She judged that based on the commercial she saw on TV. I will say that I left my office with two other probation officers whose one of their phones went

from 12, uh, from 12:13, I believe, to 12:16. So, his phone call went to 12, his phone records show that his phone was in use at 12:16. Mine showed that mine was in use at 12:19, and then we walked out with another probation officer. And I do believe that Ms. Reeds was mistaken because I believe that the testimony of probation officers and of a phone record, which I could not change or question, is a lot more reliable than a witness saying she judged seeing my car in the driveway based on a television commercial. And if it was, it was probation officers who had said that I was there at 12:15, that would have been credible evidence that I was there and they would have believed the probation officers over a witness saying that, um, that they saw that I wasn't there until 12:30.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Commissioner, can I,
can I chime in just for a sec?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Yes.

presiding commissioner Long: Mr. McNeal, you said, uh, the record reflects that there were six calls from your office to the house between 12:14 and 12:19. Is that accurate?

INMATE MCNEAL: That's correct.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Why did you call the

house six times in a five-minute stretch?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

INMATE MCNEAL: As, as you recall from my earlier testimony here or from my writing, I was supposed to pick Debra up and take her to the doctor. This was the first time that she had a mental health appointment. I started calling at 12:30 because I was finishing report, or excuse me. I started calling at 12:13 because I wanted to make sure. This is the first time. I didn't know if the mental health appointment was going to be at our regular Kaiser or if it was going to be another Kaiser location. So, I was calling to tell her that I was getting ready to be on the way and which, where is the mental health appointment since this was the first time ever that we were going to be going to the mental health appointment. I didn't know if it was a local Kaiser down the street or if we were going to have to drive to Fontana. So, uh, and I would like to mention also that if you examine my statement from the police on that day, I told them that I made these calls trying to instruct her. And there's no way I could have known that these phone calls were present on my phone record unless either I made them or I had a co-conspirator in the probation department to make these calls to my home.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: And that's what the court believed, right? The courts believed that somebody else,

the jury believed that somebody else made those calls.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

INMATE MCNEAL: Absolutely. The jurors believed it. The prosecutor presented that case and the jurors believed that. However, that my coworker, who they asked, uh, did he make the calls to my house who said he didn't even show up after the murders had already happened, based on his timeline, uh, and there was no reason why my coworker who shares an office with me would use my phone rather than his own phone that, that's two feet away on his desk to call my house six times. So, uh, I could say that, yes, you're, you're a hundred percent correct that the jury did not believe it or believe anything that, anything that I'm saying and I understand that because as I said before, I put myself in this mess, in my opinion, no matter what, by my behavior and my, my actions. And I understand that the jury didn't believe it. And, uh, I can only speak my truth and say that, uh, I'm innocent of this crime. And I, I, I understand your questions and I appreciate them.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Sorry, Commissioner. Did you have another question before I, uh...?

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: I do, but I'll wait
until you're, until you're finished.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Thanks. I only have a couple more. Thanks. Um, so going back to Mr. McGill, what I found interesting was Mr. McGill really has a motive to

lie when you're looking at the record, right? Because he's, isn't your, wasn't your brother sleeping with his wife.

INMATE MCNEAL: Absolutely.

1

2

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay.

INMATE MCNEAL: And that's why his credibility was called into question that he would have a motive, that he would have a motive to lie on my brother because he had slept with his wife. Uh, I would, I would only say that the police officers in Las Vegas found him credible enough to arrest Jeff for two other murders and convict him for those two murders, which he said to my brother, he told the police at the same time about all the murders. So, he was credible enough to those other murders, which he would have had the same motive to lie about those. Uh, not to mention, like, as I said, some of the things that he said that, yes, maybe Jeff could have just talked to him. If he were lying, he, Jeff could have just told him about the things that I said were going on in the home. Uh, however, the things that Cary said were, uh, uh, specific and I had never told anyone else about the problems I had at home.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Right.

INMATE MCNEAL: So did, could, could, yes. Did Cary have had a motive to lie? Um, sure. He certainly could have had a motive to lie. I don't believe...

peputy commissioner LAM: Well, isn't that, isn't that your brother's, I mean, uh, testimony? I mean, he basically told the cops that he shared the information and Mr. McGill used it against him because you told us that you told your brother about what happened to the victim. So, logically, your brother shared it with him. How else would, would he know? But the fact stands that your brother denies that he killed your wife on your behalf, correct?

INMATE MCNEAL: That, that's correct.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay.

INMATE MCNEAL: And, and that he pled the fifth when he was asked under oath about did he kill my wife, he pled the fifth and to not incriminate himself. So, both are true.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Let me ask you this. Were you even distraught that she was murdered?

INMATE MCNEAL: Absolutely. And I've, I've said to myself, from, from my upbringing and I'm getting to was I distraught, from my upbringing, uh, being, not having close relationships and family ties and moving a million times, I, you know, I learned, I cut people out of my life because I moved. Whenever I'd start making friends or establishing friendships and relationships, we would move again. So, as a protection device for myself, I began to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

close down emotionally to other people. And if they left my life, I had to cut them out because we were going to be moving, we were going to be moving another time. As soon as we went to a school, we'd move again. Even when my own mother passed away, my own mother passed away, that was the first time that I myself thought that I had a problem with my empathy because my brother and my sisters, they were crying, they were distraught. I was upset, but even I questioned, why am I not feeling these pain and emotions from my own mother who died of a stroke that, that I even believe I should be feeling? I was upset. I was sad, but I wasn't distraught. I had been losing people all my life and had to, and had to, to, to buck up because there was no one who cared about my feelings and I'm not blaming them because I, I, you know, I should've manned up as a man, as an adult and recognize my own character flaws, but it took me coming to prison to recognize this, that I cut people out of my life. And I've always had, I didn't have a lot of friends in high school. Um, I was just always, I didn't have, I didn't develop close relationships I always wanted because I kept people at a distance.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay. Sir, sir, I'm going to stop you because cutting people out of your life and mourning for a wife that was brutally murdered are two very different things, sir. I don't know how cutting

someone out of your life and not feeling pain for a wife that was brutally murdered, how you're not capable of that just because you cut someone out of your life?

INMATE MCNEAL: Well, I was, I'm on way there. I'm
sorry if I took too long.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay. I apologize. Go ahead.

INMATE MCNEAL: Yeah. So, so when my, so when my wife and child were murdered, yes, I felt it. When my mother died, I felt it. But I always tried to suppress my feelings and tried to act tough on the outside. I felt it on the inside. I felt it on the inside. The number one time, right after my wife was murdered, I remember bending down on the front lawn of my house where the police were out there and I remember feeling it at the same time because it was so ingrained in me, I came to myself and I tried, I said, I got, I got to be strong. I got to be strong. Even when in my interview with the police that very same day, I was doing my best wrong or right as the person I was, I tried to suppress my feelings and not show my feelings outwardly. There was only one, so I was mourning my wife and child inside. As much as I should have? No, because even with my own mother, I didn't live it out. At, at the funeral, I broke down and I cried uncontrolled. And that's, that, that's really, maybe I did that once or twice. And I tried not to. And, and whether

that makes sense to anyone else, that's the way I was. I tried to maintain that image that I was the tough probation officer, uh, uh, you know? Um, yes, when it's my wife, yes, I should, it should have been all let go. I should have let it all go when it was my mother. And my mother passed away, I, I couldn't let it out. It wasn't a psychopath. It was a protection mechanism or coping mechanism to protect myself from being hurt. And should I have been more emotional? Absolutely. And I, I've been recognized that now. And I was mourning inside. I did feel it inside. Did I try to not to let anyone else see it? Absolutely. I've stuffed it down.

INMATE MCNEAL: I feel it. I have felt it so much since, uh, since that time. But, you know, when I felt it most is when I finally stopped, even though I'm saying my brother did it and I do believe that's true, but when I finally started taking responsibility and say that, and acknowledge that, although I did not kill her, it was my actions that set the wheels in motion. And then that's when I was able to feel some responsive, that's when I felt responsibility and remorse. I've always felt for her. I always felt for her and my unborn child. I think of them. I think of what they would have been. I think about Deanna, Marcus, Shantel, Shantee, Herman, Angela, Susan,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

her brother Alvin. I think about these people. And I remember one statement that her sister made and it's always stuck with me. She said, I remember what she said, when Debra died, I lost my best friend. They think that I'm cold and I don't, remember that. I remember that. When I've seen these pictures here of Shantel on the video screen, I see the pictures of her mother in the background, that hurts me because I know that that's, that's, I know that that's her pain for her mother that she's feeling. And regardless of whether she thinks I did, I know she believes I did. I feel, I feel the pain for Debra and Samara and my daughter would have been graduating from college. You know, she'd be, I've been in here 23 years. She would be graduating from college within the last year or two. So, I think about them and to think that, do I have emotion for them? You know, yes, I have, I have emotion for them and regret that they lost their lives. I couldn't let the same emotion out back in, and I wish I could have.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: All right. For the record,
Mr. McNeal is showing a lot of emotions. Um, may I ask
what's the deal with dating four months after the murder?

INMATE MCNEAL: That's another thing. I grew, I grew up with a lot of insecurities and it's going back to the, the moving here and there, moving everywhere again, not,

not getting any close relationships because I was unable to develop close relationships with friends, family. When I was on just start dating, I started grabbing on to any woman who would pay attention to me. Women that were easy, easy to date, and maybe they were as broken as I was. So, how do I start dating immediately after? Because I was insecure and I thought I needed a woman to make me feel loved and feel like I had someone who was there for me. So, have I started dating afterwards? Absolutely. Did I, did I love this woman? No. It was, it was a security blanket to, to, to make myself feel like, like I had someone and, uh, yeah.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay. My last two questions. And at this time will be my final answer, Commissioner. Um, if your brother committed this crime, can you help us understand why he would write the word N lover? Do you, I mean, I figure, you know, your brother. Is there something behind that?

INMATE MCNEAL: Okay. Well, the only, what I can say is what we have said before, uh, that if he was doing it and he was doing it so-called to assist me or he didn't want me be arrested either and the house was ransacked, in order to mislead the authorities, that's what someone might do to write something on there to mislead them. I mean, it it's juvenile and that's, that's my reason. He

wanted to mislead them as, just as there were chemicals poured on Debra. Uh, there were cleanup attempts made in the home. There were attempts made to, for the perpetrator not to be caught. And I believe that was one of them.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: All right.

INMATE MCNEAL: And is there anything...? Yeah. So, clearly someone who knew, how would they have known even that I was African American if I wasn't home? So it was clearly someone who knew Debra and I knew that she's Native American, of course, and I'm African American.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: All right. So, you think the N lover comment is to what create a, a ruse, I mean, to create a look that it was a white person who may have committed the crime? Is that what it is?

INMATE MCNEAL: Or racially motivated or someone, someone other than, uh, than an African American that did it. Uh, I mean, uh, in order to draw them away from me or to draw them away from him. Because if it was someone who would write the N word lover on the mirror, then, uh, logically speaking from someone, uh, who was trying to divert the <inaudible>, they would think that the police would think that it was someone who was not African American because they wouldn't have written the N lover on the mirror. So, I, I believe that it was a form to distract law enforcement.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: And my last question is the appointment was at 12:30, but you claim you got there at 12:30. Wouldn't it make sense for you to come there a little sooner to take her to an appointment that's at 12:30?

INMATE MCNEAL: Uh, on my desk calendar and I, and, uh, I wrote to pick her up at 12:30 and I,, and one of the reasons why I called earlier, one of the reasons why I called earlier was to get all the particulars of the appointment. If you remember earlier conversations were heated. Then when she finally said that she was going to go seek help, I believe I was supposed to pick her up at 12:30 and that's what time, it was to pick her up at 12:30 for me. It wasn't be at the appointment at 12:30.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: And your testimony, it took five phone calls to get all that straightened out.

INMATE MCNEAL: Well, the phone call answered.

Remember, she, she was in an emotional state. So, every time I call and there was no answer, I knew she was home because I'm supposed to take her to the doctor's appointment. So, I'm going to call her repeatedly. There were no cell phones back then, or we didn't have a cell phone. Uh, there were no cell phones back then, so yeah, yes. I'm going to call her repeatedly. I, I know she's at home and I know I'm supposed to take her to the doctor so

I know she's not going to drive. And so, yes, I'm going to call her repeatedly to try to get through her. I know she's in the house and, um, you know, yeah. And there's no way I could know that those phone calls existed when I'm interviewed by the police a few hours later. There's, there's no, how could I know that? And there was never any investigation to say that there was a co-conspirator in the probation department.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay. I don't have any more, Commissioner, but I may have one or two later.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Okay. So, um, you talked about the, there, there were Clorox empty, half empty Clorox and Lysol bottles found at the scene. Um, and so, did you try to clean up anything yourself?

INMATE MCNEAL: Absolutely, absolutely not. I did not try to clean up anything while I was in there. There would be no reason for me to try to clean up anything.

presiding commissioner Long: And there were, uh, some, some chemical burns on, on Debra and some, some hair was cut off of her part of her scalp or what was...?

INMATE MCNEAL: That was one of the things that happened among many of the things that happened there. Uh, yes, they took that there were some hair clippers that were found underneath her and that her hair was partially shaved, um, uh, among all the cleanup attempts and, uh,

the epithet written on the mirror and the clothes hamper 1 and the penny jug being placed upon her and numerous 2 3 towels found in the kitchen area, um, in the cleanup 4 attempt. And the staging of the home in general, the staging of the home, uh, pulling out drawers and such. 5 6 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: And there were 15 stab 7 wounds in her back as well. 8 INMATE MCNEAL: And 15 stab wounds and, and she was strangled. PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: So did Debra file a 10 11 temporary restraining order on you? INMATE MCNEAL: Uh, yes, she did. 12 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: When? 13 INMATE MCNEAL: Um, uh, well, I don't know. It 14 15 would've been, I think, believe it was months before the murder, I believe. 16 17 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: December, sometime? 18 INMATE MCNEAL: Uh, I would take your word for it. I don't remember the exact month. 19 20 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: And, um, did a 21 Sheriff's Deputy take away two of your guns because he was 22 concerned that you guys were, might hurt each other? 23 INMATE MCNEAL: Yes, they did. And I picked them up

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: So your testimony is

from the Sheriff's Department a few days later.

24

25

that you only restrained, never punched, never, um, struck Debra. Why would she have enough fear that she filed a restraining order on you?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

INMATE MCNEAL: Um, well I'm not one to demonize the victim, but if, if you would look at, and I'm not demonizing her, I'm just stating the fact. If you look at the restraining order, she requested the restraining order and their relief. She requested was that a certain amount of money will be turned over to her. And I am not saying that, that she did not fear in that moment, but all I can say is that on the restraining order, I remember this restraining order, the relief requested was not that I was violent. It was requested that I turn over a certain amount of money to her. And, um, I don't want to question, uh, her motives, but I will state that that was the request. I, I never struck, I never punched, I never hit her. And she called the police when I took her purse and I definitely believe she would call the police and reported that I had punched, kicked, slapped her, uh, or, or done something more physically violent, uh, rather than just say I restrained her and took her purse. So, I, I'm, I'm trying to prove something that I can't prove. I can't prove her state of mind. I can't prove where she was at, but I do believe that, that if I had punched, hit, kicked her and I don't believe any, any witnesses, even Debra's

children, there's never anyone that was friend, Terrylynn Walker. There's no one that I believe says that she ever told them that I hit her or punched her or slapped her.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

presiding commissioner Long: Okay. So, another question for you. You went into the house. When you found Debra, you ran out, went to both neighbors' houses, ran back into the house and ran out again. Why was there no blood on your shoes?

INMATE MCNEAL: Uh, yeah, that's what I'm saying, because they're saying, they're saying that, they're saying that I, that I had, that I should have had, they said that my shoe print was found in a puddle of blood. So, that's one of the pieces of evidence that they're saying that my shoe print are one that they, the expert cannot identify as my shoe print was found in a puddle of blood, but there was no blood on my shoes and we can go both ways, we can go both ways on that as we talked about before about the injuries to Debra. She was stabbed, beaten. Her nose was broken. She was strangled. She was dragged from the living room where this assault took place all the way back to the master bedroom. So, there should be some there. I agree with you. There should be some bloody shoes somewhere from the person who did this. There should be some bloody clothes. There should be some bloody shirts. There should be some bloody gloves.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Well, I mean, if you use Clorox to clean it off the shoes, there wouldn't be, right? But I'm just wondering if, if you ran in and out in such a hurry to try to get help, uh, and there was blood on the living room floor, uh, it's surprising to me that you, you were able to avoid that going back and forth as many times as you did.

INMATE MCNEAL: Well, um, I will say that, I mean, my testimony is what it is that I did run back and forth, but I will say that as far as the Clorox, now, we're talking about a 10-minute or even under the prosecution's theory, a 15-minute or a 17-minute window, so, uh, we're, we're assuming all this stuff happened, uh, she was beaten, stabbed, had her head shaved, racial epithets written on the mirror, cleanup attempts, you scrub shoes free of every drop of blood, change clothing and hid them well enough so these forensic experts could not find them in the house that, I mean, that's not possible. I understand what you're saying about coming in the house and the shoes, but how's it possible where, where is, where are all the bloody clothing? We're talking about she was stabbed and there was blood droplets on the walls and things from the, what they said, the shaking of the hand. There was a bloody palm print on the garage. There was no blood on me. So, we could say where, where it, why was

there no blood on me?

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Well, that's the,
that's the other question I have because if you reached in
and tried to pull her out of the tub and she was all
bloody, why isn't there blood on you?

INMATE MCNEAL: Well, when I pulled her out of the tub, she, uh, she was not all bloody when I pulled her out. Um, she was in the tub and I believe there either had been or there was water in the tub because as I said earlier, I can't remember whether there was water in the tub or not. When I pulled her out, um, she was not, uh, covered in blood and later on, and I hate to say it, I saw the autopsy pictures and her nose started bleeding, I guess, after I pulled her back against the tub. But she was not soaked in blood when I tried to pull her out of the tub.

presiding commissioner Long: Well, it looks like from the record, at one point, the tub was, did have water in it. It was drained at least according to the record.

INMATE MCNEAL: So as I said, she was not all bloody,
which maybe the water had washed it off of her.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: So let's talk about the, the character defects you had at the time. And you already said you were callous, you were cold, uh, you, you were immoral, uh, you had normalized criminality. Any

other character defects or causative factors at the time of the crime?

INMATE MCNEAL: Yeah. I was insecure, insecure, in denial, a liar, a thief, made excuses for my behavior, didn't take responsibility for my actions, blamed others for my problems. Um, yeah, I mean, I was a horrible father and definitely someone who should not have been working in law enforcement.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: What do you think the impact of this crime was on, on, well, first of all, first off, who were the victims and, um, what was the impact on them?

INMATE MCNEAL: Well, the direct victims in this case are Debra and Samara. That's what we planned to name the baby. Those were the direct victims. And, um, the impact on them is losing their life and, I mean, losing everything. When you lose your life, you lose everything, your future, um, your time with your kids. Then there's, um, Debra's children. There's Marcus, Deanna, Shantel and Shantee, and they lost a mother and a sister and all the love, affection and guidance that goes along with those relationships. Again, people think that I haven't talked about them enough or I don't appreciate them. I understand that from everything, from going to school, to proms, to getting married, to getting advice from their mother, to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

having a little sister to play with, from interacting with them, everything that those relationships encompass that they lost out on that. And I do feel, and not just did they, they lose out on it, they continue to lose out on not having a mother. As I said, when I saw Shantel with the pictures of her mother behind her, that hurt me. And I understand, I understand that pain because she, uh, she still misses the presence of her mother. And I'm sure that goes the same for Marcus, Deanna and Shantee. I'm sure they still miss that. I'm sure some of them have kids now. Their kids will never meet their grandmother. You know, their kids will never meet their grandmother or their aunt, which is their little sister. Then we can go on to Debra's siblings, you know, um, Angela, Susan, Carmen, uh, her brother Allen. Um, but as I said before, I'm repeating myself on this, but I remember when Debra's sister made the statement and I believe this was before I was arrested, I believe, and she said, when Debra died, she lost her best friend. I understand that. And I know that she continues to live without her best friend. And I know that even though I didn't commit the murders, I know that, that my deep demonization of her is the, is the reason that she lost her best friend. So, and then there's the rest of Debra's family. And this is just the beginning. So, if I go on too long, please stop me. Then there's the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

community that have to pay for the cost of investigation and incarceration. There's law enforcement. There's my friends at the probation department who were suffering from shame and humiliation because another officer got, got arrested for something like this. And then there's possibilities in cases I worked, the cases I worked at, people went, quilty people went free and, and, and maybe they were out there and victimize other people. I mean, there, there, there's the community. There's the first responders. There's, I mean, there's the neighborhood children. There's, there's my neighbor. I remember one of my neighbors, her name was Debra as well, and I remember she asked, she called me over a few times to help move something. And I thought about that. I thought about, well, now she thinks, oh, I'm lucky he didn't murder me. You know, she's got fear and she's got anxiety because I was not arrested for seven months. So, the community, I mean, the magnitude and impact of this can go on forever. I mean, you can name victims and there's victims that I will never know. And, you know, I can only apologize to the victim known and the unknown victims for their pain and suffering.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Commissioner, any, uh, any follow up or are you ready to go to post conviction?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: I'm ready to go to post

conviction, Commissioner.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Sounds good. Thanks.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: All right. I'm going to go over with what you've been doing since you've, uh, been received by CDCR. Okay? It looks like you're a level II inmate with mandatory, um, placement score of 19. So, let's talk about the bad before we talk about a lot of good that you've done. I was very surprised to see that you come in here and you continued with your criminality. I believe you sold drugs?

INMATE MCNEAL: Yes. Uh, yes, I did. And that was...
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Again, I don't get it.

You're a probation officer or an ex-probation officer who's supposed to be law abiding. You, you, you tell us you're innocent of the crime, but the behavior just doesn't jive. That doesn't sound like someone who would come in here and continue with criminal activity. So, please explain.

INMATE MCNEAL: Exactly. Excusing, excusing my behavior, that was my pattern and my, one of my character defects back then. I was in denial. Before I came to prison, I had never even seen heroin. And I thought drug dealers were low lives and, you know, preying on society. Consistent with the things I had done before excusing my behavior, I came in and I did the same thing. My, my

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

cellie offered, told me I can make money and sell drugs and support myself. Well, I had morals until my morals didn't fit my needs and then I threw the morals out the window. And, and I agreed, and I agreed to sell drugs. That was, that was my, that was one of my defects. And I'd, I'd come forward and I've come willing acknowledge the person I was. And that's why I'm able to admit to something like selling drugs, which I, yeah, I never got in trouble for, because now, I'm a different person. Now that I can see who I was, no moral person who was in denial who had all these character defects. Before, I never would have said I was a low life drug dealer. I would excuse my behavior and said, as I did then, oh, I'm just doing it to support myself. I'm not a bad guy. So, when I first came into prison, I, yes, I, you know, I was in prison, but I still had those same character defects to excuse what I wanted to do and throw it out the window, throw my morals out the window when they didn't fit, fit my needs.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: All right. You were, you were received in the year 2000. How many years were you involved in drug sales, sir?

INMATE MCNEAL: Uh, I believe it was probably from 2001, 2001 to say maybe 2002 or three, somewhere around there.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay.

INMATE MCNEAL: It was a few years while I was with that same cellie.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: A few years. So, 2001 or 2002 would only be two years. So, is it more like 2003? So three years of selling?

INMATE MCNEAL: Well, I, I'm, I'm giving an estimate and, you know, back then I didn't, I mean, I could say one to three. I would give that range.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: How much were you making a month?

INMATE MCNEAL: Um, not much because, well, not much well to be speaking to other drugs, I was making enough to support myself and buy food. So, I was probably making \$150 a month or something like that.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay. So, you, why did you need to sell? You didn't have families that could help you financially?

INMATE MCNEAL: Well, at that time, I did not have any, I mean, that's an excuse to say that I didn't have family supporting me. That's the truth, but still that's an excuse. I did it because I was greedy, I threw my morals out the window and I made excuses for my behavior. That's why I did it because I was greedy, materialistic and I made excuses for anything I wanted to do. And, um,

and, and I didn't care. I did not have been family support at that time, but that's an excuse.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: So we didn't see anything for about 10 years, uh, and then boom, you get two cell phones, one in 2013, one in 2014. What's the excuse this time?

INMATE MCNEAL: Um, um, I, an excuse for that behavior, I would say, I went back, I went back into my same thinking where I said, hey, I'm not hurting anyone. I can have a cell phone. I'm just calling it, I'm just using it to talk to my daughter and communicate. The truth was that I was using it for convenience. I threw the rules out the window and made excuses for my behavior that, hey, I'm not doing the same thing as these other guys. I'm not selling drugs with it. I just threw the rules out the window and decided to do what I wanted to do and not take responsibility for the real reason why I had it was just because I wanted it.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay. Why? Why, sir? Why? You know, better of all these inmates in there, you know, better. Why did you decide to be a criminal, continue to be a criminal?

INMATE MCNEAL: Well, I made the choices to continue to be a criminal because I had not completely changed. I was in the transformation process. As I said, I grew up

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

from a young age and again, not blaming my mother, but I grew up with a lot of years of indoctrination of this criminal behavior. As an adult, I continued to make the choices. And, and it was up to me to be able to catch myself and, and, and recognize my triggers and my warning signs for when I'm starting to get into this behavior, when I'm starting to get into denial and when I'm starting to make excuses for me to engage in this criminal behavior. Yes, I was a probation officer. Yes, I was a person with a bachelor's degree in criminal justice. And I should have recognized, I should have recognized it. I should've recognized it, excuse me. But the truth is, the upbringing and the, and the choice as an adult, the conscious choice to continue as an adult, uh, it was ingrained in me and it took a lot of years of self-help. And that's why you see that I've been engaged in self-help for over 15 years. I've been a work in progress and I believe I had to conquer all my demons. And when I had the cell phone, I slipped back into my old thinking that these rules didn't apply to me, that I can make excuses for my behavior. I'm not doing what these other guys are doing. I'm not selling drugs with it. I'm just trying to use it to talk to my family. I made excuses for what I wanted to do. And luckily, since that time, I've taken self-help groups and I've learned to recognize these, these defects

of character that allow me to slip in and out of that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay. But by your own statement, you've already taken at least, what? Seven, eight, nine years of self-help, but you slipped back. How do we know, how do we know you're not going to slip back again, sir?

INMATE MCNEAL: Uh, well, I've remained, number one, I've remained violence-free. Uh, my entire 23 years that I've maintained these groups since 2000, since 2014. That was the last time I had a glitch. But I've constantly remained engaged in these self-help groups. And I agree that maintenance is necessary in any self-help groups for life. There was a saying in one of my self-help groups in LTOP and they would say that even when you take groups for whatever it is, alcohol, drugs, criminal behavior, there's a saying that they say, and they say the beast is asleep that's not dead, and you need constant maintenance and constant reaffirmation to change your criminal behavior. You did not start engagement with criminal behavior overnight and you are not going to change your thoughts and your belief system overnight. So, it takes time. And sometimes, uh, you can slip. And I believe that overall, my record has demonstrated that I am capable of adjusting and continuing my groups and living a crime and violencefree life. And I've recognized my warning signs, my

triggers, and have adopted coping mechanisms to deal with anything like that again and to not be tempted.

presiding commissioner Long: Commissioner, can I, I just want to pipe in while we're still talking about, uh, inmate disciplinary. So, um, it looks like on April 29, 2002, they found 0.4 grams of marijuana and 0.15 grams of heroin in your cell, correct?

INMATE MCNEAL: Uh, that's correct.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: And, uh, why were you, why were you charged with that?

INMATE MCNEAL: Uh, well, I wasn't charged because that, and I'm not excusing any behavior, but that was my <inaudible>, that was my cellie's. As I said, when I entered that cell, he was already selling drugs. Um, yes, I was involved so, uh, I, I can't say I knew it was in the cell or whatever. I don't remember as far as at that time, but they found it in the cell. I was involved in it. I, I was not charged because either he accepted responsibility for it, I believe he accepted responsibility for it and mine was dismissed because it was his. But at that time, if it was 2001, 2002, I was involved in drug sales, uh, with him.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Okay. Yeah, it was April 2002. Okay. Go ahead, Commissioner.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: No problem. So, you've done

a lot of self-help. I mean, the LTOP modules, GOGI, AVP, Domestic Violence, Victim Impact, you name it, and I believe you facilitate some of them?

INMATE MCNEAL: Uh, yes. I facilitated several groups of, I create, I helped create some groups at length, actually Special Inmate Committee and the Behavioral Awareness group. Uh, I've been a facilitator for AVP and I'm a certified GOGI coach. That's my number one group and I teach GOGI to this day. Currently, I'm a Sergeant of Arms of the GOGI group here on this facility. Uh, I've got a lot of self-help, so I don't know how much you want to know.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Well, I, I, I've looked through them, trust me. Uh, what groups are you in right now?

INMATE MCNEAL: Uh, currently, I'm in a Domestic

Violence class. Uh, I'm in CGA. I'm in the veterans group,

uh, uh, veterans group and, uh, yeah, CGA, veterans group,

GOGI and Domestic Violence.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: And what have you learned about domestic violence, sir? What, what skill sets have you learned so you don't, there won't be a repeat?

INMATE MCNEAL: Well, number one, in domestic violence is the number one thing I had to learn. And I understand what you said earlier about if, if, if she was attacking

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

me that it was okay to restrain her. But one thing I've learned in Domestic Violence is that, that I was abusive and I am responsible for my own behavior. I didn't think I was abusive back then because I excused even restraining her and said that that wasn't violent. So, I had learned that, that I was abusive. And so, that was a big step to be able to say that I was abusive. I've also learned why I was involved in mutually abusive relationships and didn't leave. And I, and, uh, you know, I learned that from my childhood, being insecure and having the eye problem that I had and, and moving around, I latched on to, to women, I latched onto women and some of these women, they were unhealthy as I was. They didn't know how to, they didn't know what a healthy relationship was either. So, I learned that and I learned that I don't need a woman to make me feel loved, and that it's much better to be and not be in a relationship at all if it would be in an unhealthy relationship.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay.

INMATE MCNEAL: Finally, I looked, finally, I learned all about the cycle of violence and how that manifested in my relationship with Debra. Uh, for example, the cycle of violence, the first stage, you know, is the tension building phase. And I say that in my relationship with Debra, that would start out with the, hey, talking about

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the, my children coming to visit or child support issues or issues with my ex, that would go into calling names and disrespect. And that's the tension building phase often referred to as walking on eggshells. So, I believe in our, my relationship, I believe both was walking on eggshells. I was waiting for her to start destroying property and she was waiting for me to put my hands on her and abuse her. So, we were, we were both involved in a mutual cycle of violence. Uh, then the next step in the cycle of violence is abusive incident, which of course would be the restraining her. Uh, in my case, it was the restraining her. And then finally, there's the honeymoon phase and both Debra and I, we'd have these incidents, uh, and you've seen some of the incidents where she took all the food out of the refrigerator and threw it all over the floor. Well, then after she did that, she calmed down and we'd go clean it up together and ended up making love. And that's the final stage of, that's the final stage of the cycle of violence where you, you make up and, oh, everything's supposed to be better. And then the, and then the tension building phase just starts all over again.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay.

INMATE MCNEAL: So those are the things I learned from my Domestic Violence classes and continue to learn.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: And what would you do

different if you could turn back time with the volatile relationship you had with Debra? What, what decisions would you have made differently?

INMATE MCNEAL: Um, well, um, if you're talking specifically with Debra, but I mean, I should have recognized my character defects before I was ever involved in relationships, so, but I can talk specifically about Debra. I wish I would have recognized my character defects and not, and not allowed my upbringing or my work thinking to, in any of my relationships. Uh, but with Debra, I mean, I wish that I would have recognized, um, the signs immediately when we first start to date. I wish I would not have moved so fast. Debra and I, uh, met, I believe in July and then married in November of the same year. So, I wish I would not have moved so fast. I wish that I...

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: What were the signs when you said signs?

INMATE MCNEAL: Um, well, when we first started out, when we started having problems, uh, any kind of violence, I don't ever want to be in a position and I wish I wouldn't have allowed myself to get in the position where I started to see, okay, we're starting to have these incidents. When I first started seeing incidents of violence from she or I, I wish I would have stopped and either asked for help at that time or left the

relationship at that time.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay. You're speaking in generalities. I like more specifics. What were the signs when you started dating Debra that told you there was a problem?

INMATE MCNEAL: Okay. It was, it was, uh, one of the first signs I saw is, uh, shortly after she moved from California, um, she, there was a problem with my kids, as there always was. There was a problem with my kids and with my ex and child support. And she became extremely angry and I saw something I had never seen in her. She got a glazed look on her face and she told me, you told me that you loved your kids' mother and you want to leave me for her. That conversation had never happened. And that even at the beginning of the relationship, I was like, wow, this, this is strange. Why is she repeating things, saying things I never actually said and talking about events that never actually happened. And so, that was, that was the first one that maybe I wish I would have recognized that in God's help. Then of course it would be the first incidence of any kind of violence where she started cutting up clothes with knives and things like that and, and became extremely agitated. And I wish I would have, when I started, when I started seeing these signs of violence and also not to mention that she started saying things like, I don't like your kids and I don't want them to come over here, those should have been red flags to me.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay. So, you're dating a woman who does that today. What would you do differently, sir?

INMATE MCNEAL: Um, number one, I, I would say I wouldn't, number one thing I know that I can do is I can ask for help. I can, I can, I can ask for help. I have a support system. I'm going to be attending groups out there. I have a lot of people who care and I have other organizations or groups that, that I can care. I don't want to be, uh, I'll get more specific. I have, uh, California Innocence Project. They've committed to helping me out. The Anti-Recidivism Coalition. I have PREP. I have my parole officer. I have a transitional home staff. I have, um, uh, numerous friends. I know how to seek help. In my parole plans, I have a long list of agencies that provide, uh, Domestic Violence, Victim Awareness, Criminal Thinking classes. I'm going to leave any kind of relationship, especially if I'm so lucky to be granted the date.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay.

INMATE MCNEAL: Uh, I must say, I am leaving the
relationship at the first sign of any kind of violence on

either side. Uh, however, I know how to seek help, and I can take advantage of my support system and attend groups, uh, and use my 12 tools of GOGI as well.

phone, it always worries us when we see an inmate with a history of drug sales possessing cell phones. And I believe you mentioned you did not use it to continue with drug sales and drug trafficking, correct?

INMATE MCNEAL: Absolutely. Uh, if you will notice on the time of the drug sales, it's between 2001 and 2003, and that was why I was in the cell with that particular cellie. No excuses, I was involved. That was why I was in the cell with that particular person. After that time, I never sold drugs again.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay.

INMATE MCNEAL: The cell phones came about 2013 and 2014, and they were not used to sell any drugs. I mean, they're still illegal and they were wrong and I made excuses for my actions, but they were not used to sell drugs. And I've taken NA and AA classes even though I don't have drug and alcohol problems. And I, I've recognized and I understand the damage that, that, uh, drugs and alcohol can do to people. And I did not use cell phones to, uh, contribute to that criminal behavior.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: All right. So, when do you

think you actually may turn the corner? I mean, we know it's not 2014. So, when did, when did that all sink in to become a pro-social and law-abiding human?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

INMATE MCNEAL: Well, uh, I believe I was on the way prior to those convictions, I, uh, to those convictions for the cell phones, I was on the way. But I do believe after that time, because it was 2010, 2011 when I finally did the personal inventory and I said that I am not the person that I think I was. So, that's when I began, but I was not a perfect person after admitting that. Uh, so I, I had a relapse there in 2014, but after 2014, I believe that second cell phone, cell phone, um, quilty finding was, that set it in, that set it in action because, you know what I said, as you mentioned, you said, hey, for 10 years, actually from 2000 when I came in to 2013, 13 years, I had been disciplinary-free. And when I got that second cell phone, I said, I am messing, I am messing up. And I am still not, um, thinking, and I'm still having this work belief system and I need to buckle down and I need to increase the amount of groups I'm taking and I need to find out why I'm still engaged in this type of behavior. And if you'll see after 2014, that's when I began to take GOGI. And I never had a drug and alcohol problem. So, NA and AA, they were good, especially step four about showing me who I really was. However, GOGI was

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the best group for me because it's all about positive decision making. So, my thing was not about drugs and alcohol or gangs. I made messed up decisions when I was perfectly sober. So, I needed to work on my decision making. So, after, after the cell phones in 2014, that's when I started taking GOGI, and GOGI has been the best group for me. I have been taking GOGI. I've become a facilitator. I've been teaching. And that's the group that works for me because it's not about alcohol, drugs or gangs. It's about making decisions when you're perfectly sober and, uh, in GOGI, my favorite group, my favorite tool, excuse me, is positive thoughts because I believe you're not going to do anything positive, negative, positive or negative if your thoughts are right. If you have positive thoughts, you're going to have positive actions and positive work. And so, that's what turned the corner for me. After 2014 when I got caught with that cell phone and I started taking GOGI and that fit, that group fits for me because I was sober, as I said, and it was all about my decision making when I was perfectly sober.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay. I'm glad to hear that. So, so you, you, you submit it over 60 laudatory chronos. There was one that, that stood out for me and, uh, well, they all say wonderful things about you, but there was a June 30th, 2014 chrono where you helped out a staff who

went to the doctor because you noticed something?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

INMATE MCNEAL: Uh, absolutely. And, um, I'm going to be perfectly honest with you because you asked me about how, uh, how was I, I cold and things like that. And there was a staff in my, um, that worked in medical with me and, uh, psychologist actually, and he came to work and his, um, his eyes were yellow, like a highlighter. I mean, I've never seen a human being with the pupils of their eyes yellow like that. And, um, I, I approached him. I said, hey, Mr. Saavedra, feel okay? Your, your eyes are yellow. And he said, he said, yeah, I'm not, I'm not feeling good. He said, I might go to the doctor after work. And I told him, look, I know I'm an inmate, I said, but you need to go look in the mirror and look at your eyes. I said, uh, forget the meeting you have to go to. Uh, by the way you look, I don't know if you're going to make it to the meeting. And, um, he said a few things like, oh, no, I'll be okay. And I said, really, look. I said, uh, you need to look at that. You need to look at that. And so, finally, he went, he looked in the mirror and he said, wow. And, um, he ended up leaving work immediately and he went to the hospital and he was rushed into emergency surgery. Uh, his gallbladder was about to explode. But I would say that's one of the ways that I've shown that I've, I've developed my empathy. I don't think, I can't say I never

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

had it, I just never let it come out. And now, I had empathy for this person. And I'll be honest. When I first came in, even though I was a probation officer, I probably, prior, I probably would have said, oh, hey, that's a staff member. I don't care. That's none of my business. You know, and, and not that I didn't have any of empathy for him, but I would say I'm going to mind my business because I'm an inmate. But over time, I've developed my empathy and I've had these groups and helping people has been like, I mean, we're talking about developing empathy. There's been nothing better for me than helping all these people, tutoring inmates, uh, working with disabled inmates, writing letters for inmates who don't, who are illiterate, uh, being of service to other and helping these other guys out has been the number one thing to develop my empathy because I was cold before and I believe if someone had a problem, you need to handle it yourself because that's the way I thought that I was raised and I was, hey, if I had a problem, no one was listening to me and I had to deal with it on my own. Through these groups and through helping people, that has been so freeing and, you know, everybody has problems and we all need help some time. And my empathy and compassion has been just like off the charts as you can see by my self-help groups.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay. So, as far as parole plans, you have a lot of, uh, acceptance letters here. What is your first choice? I believe, was it PREP?

INMATE MCNEAL: Um, yeah. My first, my first choice is with PREP. It's called Clear Skies Ranch in Los Angeles County. I have several other acceptance letters should they not have, uh, uh, a bed open, but my first choice is with them on Stagg Street.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay. And you, you have some money set aside, you have, you have some money set aside by Jennifer. I guess It's your...

INMATE MCNEAL: Uh, yeah.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: She died of cancer. Is that right?

INMATE MCNEAL: Yes. Um, yeah. She, um, yeah, she and I worked together since 2013. We were friends and then developed a relationship and, uh, yeah, she died of cancer on October 21st, uh, 2018. And she left me the money in that Edward Jones account, uh, to help with my transition along with, uh, some furniture and, and the car, uh, a Honda Civic.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay. And as far as, uh, financial support, uh, I believe you mentioned you want to work as a case manager or a home inspector and you also want to work <inaudible>?

INMATE MCNEAL: Yeah, well, um, you know, I, I'm being realistic about coming out. Um, based on my background, although I was a corrupt individual, based on my background of being a probation officer and, um, my education, and then combine that with the incarceration, I think that I'm well suited to get a job as some kind of, um, uh, person as a case manager or counselor that's working, uh, with the new model that CDCR is doing with recidivism, with a rehabilitation model in the street. I know those jobs are plentiful. I know that they're felonfriendly and I won't have to worry about being convicted or being on parole. And that I would be a good candidate based on having the education and experience of running groups in here plus my prior experience.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay.

INMATE MCNEAL: If that were not to happen, I have the HVAC, the home inspection I could fall back on. And I'm currently in training, uh, to be a peer tutor/mentor, which this certification transfers to the outside and you could become a tutor on the street and well, I've been told by the instructor is they're paying \$50 an hour right now for, uh, tutors out there.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Right. Did you complete any other vocations?

INMATE MCNEAL: Um, yeah. The ones I just mentioned.

I, I completed, uh, HVAC. I completed officer. I just completed office services here a couple of weeks ago. I got the certificate. I completed office services. I completed the home inspection and, uh, I'm currently that, which I believe counts as a both currently in the peer mentor/tutor program, which, uh, I may finish that training in a couple of months and it's transferable to the outside as well.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay. All right.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: I'm sorry. Voc computer and related technology, not office services.

INMATE MCNEAL: Oh, yeah. That, yeah, they changed the name. Yeah, computer related technologies is the name of it. Yes.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Yeah. I think it's the same right now. Okay.

INMATE MCNEAL: Yeah, it's the same.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: All right. And who is going to be your immediate circle of support?

INMATE MCNEAL: Um, I have, uh, uh, Faye Kennedy, which is Jennifer Kennedy's mom, although she's out, she's out of state. Uh, I have, um, <inaudible>, which is, uh, Jennifer Kennedy's daughter. I have, uh, excuse me?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Go ahead.

INMATE MCNEAL: Someone say something?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: No, no. Somebody moved something.

INMATE MCNEAL: Okay. Yeah, I have, uh, <inaudible>
who's my, uh, uh, Jennifer Kennedy's adult daughter. Uh, I
have Vicky Coddington who's a friend I actually grew up
with and she lives here in Corona. Um, I have Tracy Buck.
She's a friend that I met and she, uh, her and her
husband, well, her husband just passed away, but she lives
in Orange County, uh, and she's got the support letter in
there and she says, not only is she my friend, but she
will hold me responsible for any bad thoughts or behavior.
And those are the personal people I have. I have my sister
who is in Michigan and so, she'll support me from, uh,
from long distance. Uh, and then I have a whole list of
other agencies that I listed earlier. I don't know if you
want to hear them again, but...

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: No. I, I, I've seen all the letters from all the support. I'm just trying to get an idea practically who's going to be your immediate, uh, circle of support. All right. Anything else about your parole plans or your programming? Anything I missed? Anything you wish to highlight further, sir?

INMATE MCNEAL: Um, yeah. Uh, recently, uh, there's a book that I wrote, uh, for GOGI and it's called Daily GOGI. And I wrote that to help other people and not create

1 other victims. And I donated the, I wrote the book and I donated it to, uh, to GOGI for consideration to try to 2 3 help people, uh, not engage in further criminal behavior. 4 And I believe Laura is holding it up there a copy of, a copy of the book. It's a daily devotional kind of like a 5 6 daily bread, but instead of religious, it's about positive 7 affirmations and getting your positive motivations for 8 every day. So, that, that book there she's holding, I 9 wrote that for them. And just recently, we would have 10 submitted it in the 10-day packet. Uh, I received another 11 book for GOGI where some of my comments on, uh, victim 12 awareness were published in their new Victim Awareness 13 book. So, I have actually, so actually I had some comments 14 published, some of my writings on victim awareness are 15 published in this book, which just came a couple of days ago and we were not able to submit to you. 16 17 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Very nice. All right. 18 Anything else? INMATE MCNEAL: Um, I believe we covered it all. 19 20 **DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM:** Okay. 21 INMATE MCNEAL: There'll be something I remember 22 afterwards I wish I would've said, but... 23 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Yeah. Chime in if, if, uh, 24 you remember. All right. I'll return to the chair.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: So, um, as far as the

25

risk assessment conducted by Dr. Carman on June 17, 2020, did you learn anything from it?

INMATE MCNEAL: Um, well, uh, it was interesting. I was prepared. I mean, I was prepared, uh, because I've taken all the groups that I've taken. So, um, I don't feel I was surprised. I mean, I'm thankful for the low risk assessment definitely. However, uh, I feel that it was natural. It was a conversation. I, I feel that it was just a natural flow and exchange of ideas and speaking my truth.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: So I'm curious to know, um, Governor Newsom commuted your sentence to, uh, make you eligible for parole, uh, quite a few years earlier than you would have. Did you apply for that commutation?

INMATE MCNEAL: Yes, I did.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Why?

INMATE MCNEAL: Along with, along with the California Innocence Project, uh, who were representing me. So, I'm sorry. Did you say why?

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Yeah

INMATE MCNEAL: Uh, well, although I take responsibility for badmouthing my wife to my brother and I do, do feel that the sentence was fair in that, I feel as if I'm, uh, I feel as if what I did, I put myself in this situation. Whether you, whether someone believes whether

I'm innocent or not, I acknowledge that it was my statements, uh, to this violent person who I knew had pulled a gun on the mother and grandmother of my kids and, uh, tried to kill my stepfather and my callousness, selfishness, thinking about my own self, demonizing her. I ask myself if not for my actions, would my wife and child would be alive today? And I have to say, yes, they would be were if not for my statements to him. So, I filed for the, so why did I file for clemency? Yes. Although I am responsible for badmouthing her, I still would like the truth to come out. I still would like the truth to be out, come out. But I'm okay if nothing happens because I know that I put myself in this position. So, I knew I made everyone believe that I was guilty through my own actions.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: I'm going to read a little bit from page 10. It says, Mr. McNeal denied committing the life crime. He discussed his innocence and his Attorney sent documents supportive of his innocence. Even if one finds his claim of innocence implausible, his discussion of other contributing risk factors presented as very insightful and suggestive of an improved ability to recognize and internally manage his own risks, particularly as they relate to domestic violence and healthy relationships. Thus, even in denying the life crime, he reflected a great deal of insight into other

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

risk factors and all he presented is quite genuine in discussing the harm he has historically caused directly and indirectly in a very deep and meaningful way. Both records and his discussion reflected he was relatively high functioning in the community prior to the life crime and in prison despite saying he's been wrongly convicted. He has approached this sentence with a positive attitude. Truly, he had a couple of glitches with cell phone use and selling heroin many years ago, but he readily accepted responsibility for this. He admitted to undetected crimes such as theft when he could've just as easily lied. It was evident in the discussion that he's embraced change and has addressed negative aspects of his past. Uh, that he made important changes earlier in his sentence prior to an earlier opportunity to parole leaves the impression of more sincerity and the changes he has made since they have been more longstanding and not instigated by his upcoming hearing. He exhibited good insight into the historical risk factors in a manner that was highly impressive. His insight combined with remorse and empathy appear to have decreased his risk for violence. And the doctor goes on to opine on page 11 that if granted parole, Mr. McNeal presents a low risk for violence. So, why don't we take a, let's take a, we've been on for quite some time here. Let's take a five-minute recess so everybody can get a

restroom break. Uh, that sound good? DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: That's good. DISTRICT ATTORNEY LASKY: That sounds good. Thank you, Commissioner. PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: All right. Thank you. It's 11:18.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY LASKY: That sounds good. Thank you, Commissioner. PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: All right. Thank you.
Commissioner. PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: All right. Thank you.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: All right. Thank you.
It's 11:18.
[RECESS]

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: We're back on record.

presiding commissioner Long: Okay. The time is 11:36 and everybody that was here previously, uh, remains on the call. Um, and so, before we, um, go to clarifying questions, Commissioner Lam, do you have any, uh, any further questions that you'd like to ask?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: None at this point. Thanks.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Okay. Very good. Uh,
Deputy DA Lasky, do you have any clarifying questions
you'd like us to ask on your behalf?

DISTRICT ATTORNEY LASKY: Yes. Thank you,

Commissioner. I am wondering if the inmate received the

cell phone violation in October of 2014, how long prior to

that violation had he possessed that cell phone?

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: We also have one in 2013, but how, how long, uh, did you have that particular cell phone before you got caught?

INMATE MCNEAL: Uh, I believe I had it about six to seven months. Uh, and, uh, the one in 2013, I had that one for about six or seven months, and I believe there was a six-month gap where I didn't have one and then I got the second one. So, that clarifies anything for you.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: So was it about, um, your lack of impulse control that you went ahead and did this despite knowing it was against the rules?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

INMATE MCNEAL: Um, well, as I said, making, making excuses for my behavior, lying to myself, that, that had been, that's one of my character defects and that's, that's one that I was working on. So, since I had the habit of saying that either I was better than other people or I'm not like these, "these other criminals," I, I excused my behavior because in my eyes, I'm just doing it to talk to my daughters. At the time, as you know, my younger daughter died of a seizure, so that was the excuse that I used. She, she hadn't passed away yet, but my, uh, my kids' mother, Amber Long, had put her into a facility because she had mental disability. So, I told myself, um, that that's why I had them to try to keep in touch with my daughter and, you know, my, my kids' mother was putting my daughter in a home and I didn't want my daughter in a home. Part of that may be partially true, but that's not the reason because I mainly used it for entertainment, talking, looking up things I wanted to look up. So, I excused my behavior. I made excuses for my behavior. I was in denial and I fell, I fell into my old character defects of, uh, having morals until those morals didn't fit my needs. And so, that's... PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: So, so let me ask, let

INMATE MCNEAL: Yes.

me ask you this.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: You, um, hadn't had a commutation of sentence yet so at that point in time, um, you still thought you had a couple of decades left to do in prison. Am I right?

INMATE MCNEAL: Um, at that point in time, I don't believe, uh, uh, lifers were getting out. I knew I had my, my time, uh, uh, yeah, I still have time to Board.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: And so, was that a factor in you saying that I can, I can break rules now because I'm not going to Board for many, many years?

INMATE MCNEAL: Uh, no. Actually, it wasn't because, uh, as I said, when I got the second write-up, I was disgusted at myself that I had messed up. I had a perfectly clean record, although I had engaged in behavior I had not been caught for such as the drug sales, but I was upset at myself that I had messed up a record of 11 years without having any write up. So, I was upset at myself in general. I always believed I had a chance to get out. I always believed I was fighting to get out the whole time I've been in here. So, I didn't do...

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Okay. That's good. Next question. Next question, please.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY LASKY: Thank you, Commissioner. Um, on page 209 of the master packet, there's an investigative report, um, a general chrono regarding an investigation

that took place on September 22nd and 23rd of 2014 where the inmate was questioned regarding contacts that he was having through Facebook with Mike Ramos, um, who's here today and who was the, as he mentioned, was the trial Attorney and at that time in 2014 was the elected DA. The inmate told the investigator at that time that he was not the one making the contact, that someone else had a Facebook page in his name and that he did not have a cell phone. Um, we now know that the inmate did in fact have a cell phone at that time as he just testified to. Was it also he who was, had the Facebook page with that cell phone and was attempting to make contact with Mr. Ramos?

phone to maintain a Facebook page and try to contact Mr. Ramos? Oh, you've got muted on that, on that side. Um, hold on. We can't hear you.

INMATE MCNEAL: Can you hear me now?
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Now I can hear you.
Thank you.

INMATE MCNEAL: Okay. Uh, no, absolutely not. At that time, uh, I was, uh, I was involved in a relationship with Jennifer Kennedy. She was running the Facebook page for me and whether she reached out, I have no idea how Facebook works. I don't know what the reaching out entails. I just know you get friends. So, yes, I was using a cell phone at

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the time and I was using it to look up stuff. But to this day, I don't know how, I don't know how Facebook works. I don't know how to sign up. I don't know how you establish a friend. I don't know anything about it. And I would, and if I had, I would definitely admit to it as I've admitted to undetected behavior that I've done in here. Uh, but Jennifer Kennedy, and I believe if you read the rest of that chrono, it says in there that I said, Jennifer Kennedy is running a Facebook page for me and she must've done it. And I called her immediately and told her if she was doing that, she needed to not do that. Uh, but she was trying to get support for me with the, uh, with, uh, Innocence and rallying people around me. She was trying to get a support system for me. And to this day, I don't know why she would have contacted Michael Ramos and, and had I known how to do it, and, uh, I'm not making light or little, I mean, light of it, I definitely would not have tried to contact the District Attorney that prosecuted my case.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Okay. Very good. Next
question.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY LASKY: Thank you, Commissioner. Those were my only clarifying questions at this time.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Very good. Counsel, any clarifying questions for your client?

ATTORNEY SHEPPARD: Yes. Just, um, I am unmuted. Uh, yes. Just one thing I wanted to clarify about programming. Um, the Panel had asked what Mr. McNeal was doing right now and, uh, he listed some programs. Those were what you were doing up until the pandemic until many programs were frozen. Is that correct?

INMATE MCNEAL: Right. Correct.

ATTORNEY SHEPPARD: Right.

INMATE MCNEAL: Right now, yeah, I'm sorry.

ATTORNEY SHEPPARD: No, that's good. I was going to ask is what are you doing right now during the pandemic? Modified program?

INMATE MCNEAL: Currently, all self-help programs are frozen. Uh, as you know, we are still in SOMS that we are in these programs, but we're not able to attend because of the, uh, you know, social distancing. Uh, so what I've been doing is I have, as you see, I recently completed the, uh, computer related technologies. So, we've been going there. I've been studying for that. Uh, uh, I've been assigned as a peer mentor/tutor, which is only four positions. It's a brand new position CDC is creating and we're basically getting the certification that teachers get that we can, that we'll be able to take that certification to the street and be able to tutor other people. Uh, I help other inmates. I tutor inmates in here.

I help them with their Board preparation.

ATTORNEY SHEPPARD: Like that's something you're doing during the pandemic is helping other inmates?

INMATE MCNEAL: Right.

ATTORNEY SHEPPARD: Like in the day room or what?

INMATE MCNEAL: Yeah, uh, in the day room. We do it in the day room. Currently, my day room was closed, but it can be on the day room or it could be on the bunks. Uh, I've also been writing, uh, as you see, I was recently published in the GOGI Victim Impact book so I've been doing writing for them and I keep in contact with GOGI through writing and, uh, sending my writings in to them and sometimes getting published sometimes not, uh, but, uh, I've made, I always help, I've always helped people. I mentor other inmates. I try to help them with whatever I can, whether it's tutoring them in math, which that happens a lot. Uh, but I've done what I can to help, uh, people, uh, not create more victims and improve themselves. So, I kept busy during the pandemic and as best I can.

ATTORNEY SHEPPARD: And you're in a dorm setting right now, correct?

INMATE MCNEAL: Yeah, Correct.

ATTORNEY SHEPPARD: So you have a large quantity of inmates that you are able to interact with and tutor, um,

even without having a day room or a yard available, right?

INMATE MCNEAL: Oh, absolutely. I mean, absolutely. I mean, there's over, over a hundred inmates in the dorm and, you know, there's always someone, uh, who, uh, need some help with something or just, just has a question and not tooting my own horn but, you know, a lot of people in here, the furthest grade they went to was say seventh grade or eighth grade. And a lot of times they have a lot of questions and they need a lot of help. And, you know, if, you know, I'm always going to help someone if I can and, uh, tell them about GOGI and/or just help them with whatever they're working on it.

ATTORNEY SHEPPARD: All right.

INMATE MCNEAL: That's how I'd spend my time.

ATTORNEY SHEPPARD: Thank you. That's all my questions.

presiding commissioner Long: So, I just wanted to ask one clarifying question of my own. It looks like from the record, did you get five associate's degrees since you've been in prison?

INMATE MCNEAL: Yes. Uh, yes, I did. I had a
bachelor's and associate's when I came in and I earned
five more since I've been in here.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Why?

INMATE MCNEAL: Um, you know what, uh, as I mentioned

earlier, or maybe I didn't mention it, but prior to my arrest, the day I got arrested, I had graduate school that night. I was working on my master's degree at Cal State
San Bernardino in national security studies. Uh, you know, despite my criminal, uh, behavior, uh, I've always been high on education and I came in here and they allowed me to continue. And it's not so much for the degrees, whether I had, whether I get all the degrees they offer, I will still keep taking classes because I believe in keeping my mind busy and, um, keeping myself engaged in positive activities. So, I've gained all these, uh, associate's degrees. It'd probably be hard for me to name each one of the five because I really take them just to take the college classes and, and keep my mind busy. So, I just have to have the degrees, but I, I love learning.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Okay. So, we'll move on to closing statements. I would ask both Attorneys to please keep your statements to 10 minutes or less. And we'll start with Deputy DA Lasky.

ATTORNEY SHEPPARD: Commissioner, I'm sorry to interrupt, but being that this is a case where I need to argue plausibility of my client's claim of innocence, I may need a bit more than 10 minutes.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Well, we're quite a
bit, uh, we're already a couple of hours over, so, um, I'd

ask you to keep it as brief as possible. And if that's the case, then we'll let Deputy DA Lasky go over as well in the interest of fairness. So, uh, but just keep in mind that we're, we're already two hours late for the next hearing. So, go ahead, Deputy DA Lasky.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DISTRICT ATTORNEY LASKY: Thank you, Commissioner. I doubt I'll go much over 10 minutes. Um, the, it is the position of the San Bernardino County District Attorney's office that the inmate continues to pose an unreasonable danger to public safety and that his parole should be denied. In analyzing the structured decision making factors as they relate to the inmate, the people feel that the aggravating factors outweigh the mitigating factors. The inmate's criminal and parole history, while the inmate didn't have any documented criminal history, the people still feel that as an aggravating factor for the fact that the inmate was a probation officer at the time of his arrest for the murder. He was tasked with helping people who had been convicted of committing crimes, um, integrate themselves into the community and not commit crimes in the future. And the fact that he would commit a murder while doing that, um, causes great concern for the people regarding his criminal and parole history and his criminal thinking at the time of the murder. Um, so for that reason, we would consider that an aggravating factor based

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

on criminal thinking. The people also feel that the offender's self-control at the time of the murder was clearly an aggravating factor. Um, the inmate and his wife had a volatile relationship with many mutual domestic violence calls. The victim was pregnant at the time of the murder. The weekend before the murder, the victim pawned her wedding ring and ransacked her house in a fit of anger. The inmate described that the pawning of the wedding ring was the victim's retaliation for him refusing to allow her to throw a birthday party, a pizza party for her daughter who had trouble with the law. But the daughter will tell you that that is not the case. She was not in trouble with the law. The inmate did not help with her trouble with the school. And the reason that the victim pawned her wedding ring was because the inmate had emptied the bank account and the victim was unable to purchase groceries. So, the inmate's, uh, statement of the circumstances behind that is inaccurate. The morning of the murder, the victim made an appointment with a psychiatrist and asked the inmate to drive her to the appointment because as Ms. Terrylynn Walker, who was there that day, will tell you the victim did not have any gas in her vehicle. Interestingly, today, the inmate said that he checked his desk calendar, uh, for the information about her appointment. Victim had only made the appointment

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

about 45 minutes before so it varies as to why or how that ended up on his desk calendar in that brief period of time. After returning home, and as the defense Attorney will state, there's, inmate's statement of the timeline does not fit with the facts of the case. Um, the inmate, according to the witnesses, the inmate left, the other probation officers left sometime between 12:03 and 12:10 from his office. Um, the next door neighbor, uh, testified that she saw the victim's, inmate's vehicle parked crooked in the <inaudible> 12:15. The phone call to the police was made at 12:23. <Inaudible> home, uh, the inmate strangled the victim, dragged her to the bathroom, wrote nigger lover in toothpaste on the bathroom mirror. Detective Lanahan testified at the trial that the handwriting matched that of the inmate. The inmate repeatedly stabbed the victim after she was already dead according to the coroner's report. Inmate then further ransacked the house to make it look like a burglary, toppling over a bookcase over his own footprint in the blood. The inmate then used bleach to try to clean up the blood <inaudible> himself. There was a bloody footprint under an overturned bookcase that matched the inmate's tennis shoes that officers photographed while they were at the scene but that were missing when officers returned later <inaudible>. The inmate then filed an insurance claim claiming that things

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

were stolen that he knew she had pawned the weekend before. It's not only the wedding ring, but also a herringbone necklace, showing that he was more interested in the financial benefit to himself than he was concerned about the death and murder of his wife that he claims he did not do. The inmate's relevant programming, I gave him only a neutral. It would have been a mitigating factor because he has taken extensive self-help for many years, but he was in self-help when he committed his rules violations. So, it's questionable what, if anything, he is learning from the classes other than buzzwords and earning chronos. So, I <inaudible> his extensive self-help only warranted a neutral factor rather than a mitigating factor. Inmate's institutional behavior is not extensive, but the problem is it's recent. The inmate says that he's changed but he possessed cell phones in 2013 and 2014, and he lied about them. He lied to the, uh, hearing officer. He claimed that Inmate Williams had the phone and asked him to put it, put it in the bag and asked the inmate to carry the bag and inside the box of macaroni and cheese. And he didn't even know it was there, which was implausible because why would, why wouldn't the inmate just, other inmate just carry his own bag than hand your bag on someone else. That's an implausible denial, just like he has denial of the murder. And it was interesting

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in the PREP acceptance letter, the inmate told PREP, "At first, I tried to find some way to get out of them and now accept responsibility and realize that criminality was normalized and I had cell phones because I ignored the rules and only cared about what I wanted." This is exactly the same thing that happened with his murder. He ignored the rules about murdering your wife and only cared about what he wanted. He wanted his life to be more normal. He wanted to not have a wife that had some psychiatric issues and was destroying their property and making him look bad. He wants his wife that he could control financially and physically. He only cared about what he wanted. And today, he told us that he made the conscious decision to lie for his own benefit when he committed insurance fraud just like he made the conscious decision to lie about the possessions of cell phones. And in fact, in 2014, as we saw, he lied to the investigators when they asked him if he had a phone in September during the time when his girlfriend, apparently according to him, was attempting to make contact with Mr. Ramos when in fact, he did have a cell phone that he could have, if he was really a changed person at that time, hand it over to the investigators to prove to them, to his benefit, that he didn't actually have any social media on that phone and that he was not the one making contact. But instead, he chose to lie and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

contact. The time is 11:55.

continue his criminal thinking in 2014. In that regard, the people feel that the offender change is also a neutral factor. The inmate has numerous of what we call attaboys, uh, letters from staff asking about his good behavior. But is that really offender change? Because the inmate was a probation officer and apparently a hard worker and helpful prior to committing the murder. Being able to follow the rules in a prison setting does not equate to being able to live in a domestic <inaudible> not commit domestic violence, especially not commit <inaudible> domestic violence. They are not one and the same, but that does not really show any offender change because he would have received positive marks from his colleagues and supervisors. In fact, I'm, one of my best friends is a retired probation officer who actually knew Mr. McNeal and reached out to me when she saw the news report that he's got, he had been commuted and she actually commented, yeah, we were really surprised because he was such a nice guy at work. Even at work at the time of this murder, he would have received the same attaboys. Uh, Ms. Sheppard just dropped off. I don't know if she just closed her video. I just want to make sure she's, didn't lost her. PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Are you there? Okay. Let's, let's go off the record until we re-established

1	
2	[RECESS]
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: We're back on record.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Okay.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY LASKY: Thank you, Commissioner. Ms. Sheppard and I were able to discuss, and she, she heard, uh, the end of my, uh, what I was speaking of before, uh, she lost connection where I was discussing...

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Very good. Its 1216 hours. So, I'll turn it back over to you, Deputy DA Lasky, for your, uh, the conclusion of your, uh, closing statement. Go ahead.

Ms. Sheppard and I were able to confirm that before she lost signal, she heard my discussion of why I felt offender change was only a neutral factor. So, I'll go on with my closing from that point. I believe that the inmate's release plan is a, is a mitigating factor. He does have, uh, plans for his release and that is commendable. And he had support in the community that he has for what he can do to support himself. The case specific factors on this case are aggravating. Um, the inmate, as we discussed, uh, did have a cell phone. Um, he says that he's not the one who created a Facebook page because he did not turn that phone over to investigators. As I said, we'll never know if that's true or not. Unfortunately, the only person that we can ask

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

<inaudible>. In looking at the writings that the inmate submitted in the 10-day packet, um, one of the things the inmate said really struck me as perfect for not only this hearing but at the time of the life crime. The inmate said, "Use a sports analogy, I looked great on paper. I was no longer trying to steal, embezzle things from work, but inside, I was still the same morally corrupt individual that had never been held accountable for his actions." And that same sports analogy <inaudible> the inmate today. He looks great on paper. He received a low risk assessment. When you really delve deep into the inmate, he is not as great of a candidate for parole as he looks on paper and as he looked to the Governor for the commutation. Um, he, uh, throughout his writings, he makes his brother out to be the bad guy, the same brother who supported him while he was going to school. Um, the same brother who says that he wouldn't have let his brother <inaudible> committed this murder and then allowed his brother to take the fall for it. That would have negated the purpose of committing the murder in the first place. Um, it's, it's anachronistic to think that he would, that his brother would kill his wife to make the inmate look better and then allow the inmate to take the fall for murder. Um, and the inmate says that he told his brother about the, uh, victim's behavior three months before the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

murder and then the victim visited Vegas the weekend before the murder, including visiting inmate's brother. The inmate's brother <inaudible> inmate didn't commit the murder while the victim was in Vegas. He waited until she left Vegas and then came to San Bernardino to commit the murder in the inmate's own home, which would clearly implicate the inmate. Um, it does not make any logical sense. It is completely implausible. The comprehensive risk assessment, as I seen it before, is a low risk assessment. But one thing we have to understand is inmate would have received a low risk assessment the morning of the murder. 11:45 AM that morning, he would have received a low risk assessment. He was not an individual who would have been expected under any of the guidelines that we usually look at for who would commit an act of such extreme violence. The inmate is not one of those people. The fact that he did that day makes us, make the low risk assessment less relevant to determining the inmate's actual threat to society. As the clinician said, inmate presented with a history of <inaudible> grandiose sense of self-worth, callousness and lack of empathy, poor behavioral controls, early behavioral problems, impulsivity, irresponsibility and failure to accept his responsibility for his own actions. The inmate today even talked about his inflated and grandiose sense of self-

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

worth, his callousness and lack of empathy. I find it interesting that the inmate described many factors that would have given him a diagnosis of antisocial personality disorder in his writings, his theft starting at an early age, um, but apparently did not tell the clinician enough about those, um, to receive that diagnosis. Inmate does not qualify for consideration under either youthful or elderly parole. There are numerous victims here today. Uh, family members of the victim. There are many letters, um, in support of the victim's family and support of the victim in the 10-day packet, um, including from, uh, tribal presidents and tribal chairmen because as we know, the victim was an indigenous woman. Um, there's also a change.org petition, uh, discussing, uh, the community's opinions on the inmate's rehabilitation, um, and that was provided in counterpart to the inmate's own, um, involvement with the Innocence Project. There is a lot of discordant information in this case which the people feel is aggravating. Uh, one thing that was discussed today was the restraining order and the inmate tried to implicate that the restraining order was only obtained so that she could get money from him. Um, anyone in the criminal justice system knows that's not what restraining orders do. Restraining orders are not granted over finances. They require a credible fact of physical violence for a court

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to issue a restraining order. They have nothing to do with money. Um, the only thing that money may have been discussed in the restraining order, because according to the family, the inmate, as we see in many domestic violence relationships, the inmate controlled the finances in the family and did not allow Debra to have access to funds without his permission. There may have been money discussed in the restraining order. The restraining order does require a credible <inaudible>. There was a letter provided on page 57 of the 10-day packet from the California Innocence Project and they said that the inmate's version of events has remained consistent over time and is supported by the evidence. In fact, the verdict was supported by the evidence. That's what the jury decided. That's what the appellate court decided. That's what the Superior Court decided when they denied the inmate's, um, uh, writ from the Innocence Project. And the inmate has changed his story because he wasn't at the time blaming his brother, which he's now decided is a convenient thing to do. And as even the Innocence Project said in their letter, they're retrying to re-litigate their case here because they have "litigated every viable, legal claim to no avail." Clearly, the court, as the Deputy Commissioner, I believe it was, said, did not find McGill's declaration credible. Um, McGill had reasons to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

lie about, uh, Mr. West, Mr. McGill was in custody at the time. Uh, Mr. McGill's, uh, had, uh, bad blood between him and Mr. West. As was said in the, uh, statements, uh, Mr. West had, uh, was having an affair or a relationship with Mr. Gill's wife. Mr. McGill had himself pulled a gun on Mr. West at a previous time, um, and had shot at him. Uh, the facts recounted by Mr. McGill do not completely fit the facts of the case. Mr. McGill says that he was told there was a broken window. In fact, the police found no signs of forced entry so the story provided to Mr. McGill he claims from Mr. West does not fit the facts of the case. And as the Deputy Commissioner said, the inmate's absolutely no sense and it's completely implausible that Mr. West, the inmate's brother, would kill the victim to protect the inmate from embarrassment from his wife for making his life difficult and would let the inmate go to prison for a crime that he did not commit. It's implausible. The inmate talked a lot about the phone calls. That information was provided to the jury at the time of the trial. It was part of the evidence. The jury did not find it compelling for his defense and convicted him. According to the family, the inmate's brother, Mr. West, cried at the funeral just as the inmate did. So, the fact that he cried at his wife's funeral does not make him any more or less quilty than his brother, who also cried

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

at the funeral. The inmate discussed, we talked about the pawning of the ring, uh, and as I said previously, the, according to Deanna BlackCrow, the victim's daughter, the inmate pawned the ring because the, the victim pawned the ring because the inmate had drained the bank account and she was just trying to buy groceries. It was not an act of defiance over a pizza party. Just like with everything else when he stole her car, when he stole the purse, the inmate does everything he needs to do to make himself look like the hero. Um, he was only trying to protect her from her herself. Um, nothing he, he didn't do any of the domestic violence. He was just trying to protect her. He's trying, he has a hero complex. He has a grandiose sense of self-worth that still exists today. In the analysis, the people feel that the aggravating factors far outweigh the mitigating factor. The inmate remains an unreasonable danger to public safety and thus, parole should be denied today. Thank you, Commissioners. But I apologize if I went over.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Thank you. Counsel.

ATTORNEY SHEPPARD: Thank you. Uh, I want to start with, uh, one, a couple of responses to the District Attorney's concerns. Um, she points out that the, uh, Mr. McNeal might've gotten a low risk evaluation on the morning of the murder based on his record at that time,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

but that's very, very different than having received a low risk evaluation at this time, um, from an evaluator who had full awareness of his conviction as well as his subsequent rule violations. So, those are not at all comparable. Um, and I wanted to point out that, um, I personally, um, emailed to Dr. Kruse and confirmed that Dr. Carman received a copy of a packet almost identical to the one that's in the 10-day file and that included, uh, Mr. McNeal's lengthy autobiographical essay. So, Dr. Carman was given, um, Mr. McNeal's firsthand confessions to all of those, um, prior theft crimes. So, um, the District Attorney, uh, alleges that she didn't know about that or that McNeal didn't tell her about that, but that's incorrect. Uh, okay. I want to go through the suitability factors as guided by the, um, factors that the Board is using currently. Let's look first at escalating criminal history, which would be an aggravating factor, but in this case, it doesn't exist. We have, uh, the suitability factors of the lack of a juvenile assaultive, uh, lack of juvenile assaultive behavior, lack of any history of violent crime and a somewhat stable social history. All of those are prior factors, um, where the opposite would be, uh, an unsuitability or an aggravating factor and yet, uh, Mr. McNeal's past points to suitability and to mitigation. Um, let's move to acceptance of responsibility, his

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

current mindset. Um, this, of course, is the crux of the case. Um, I'm going to come back around to this but Commissioner Long pointed out there's really only two ways to look at this, either his claim of innocence is plausible, i.e., he might be innocent or he's lying and he's a sociopath. So, let's look at this. First of all, the District, the, the, uh, risk evaluator concludes that he has great insight, um, and that speaks to not a sociopath. Second of all, on page eight, she, uh, evaluated him using the psychopathy checklist. If he were a sociopath, he would have scored very high on the psychopathy checklist. Also, if he were the type of person who was able to callously murder his wife and then lie about it for 20 something years, if you were, as the Commissioner said, a sociopath, um, what we know about the psychopathic personality or the sociopathic personality is they lack any self-awareness, they lack any empathy and they lack the ability or willingness to ever, uh, accept responsibility. We can look at a narcissistic personality disorder, which is very similar diagnosis to psychopathy or sociopathy. These individuals do not embrace any responsibility. They continually point the finger at others. And it's true that 23 years ago, McNeal demonstrated some of those types of traits, but contrast that with how he presents himself today. Uh, he does not

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

present as somebody who continually blames other people. Instead, he has accepted that he put himself here by mistakes he made that, that, um, um, put him squarely under suspicion. He accepts and has confessed to, um, criminal behavior that we would not even know about if not for his willingness to point the finger at himself. He has, uh, accepted and really gone above and beyond in many ways to address his responsibility in the, uh, dysfunctional, unhealthy relationships that he had, both with his ex-wife Amber and with the victim Debra. Um, these are not the behavior of a sociopath. These are the behavior of somebody who has analyzed himself and is now able to recognize his own flaws. Um, someone who's capable of doing all that would not simultaneously, um, be able to maintain a lie of this magnitude, um, without massive cognitive dissonance that would be demonstrated in, um, psychologically strange behavior and/or other types of behavior. And yet what we see from our expert, which is evidence in and of itself, Dr. Carman, is that she does not find those traits. Um, she found almost no traits of psychopathy and rated him to be much lower than the mean and the cuff. Um, next, I just want to speak to plausibility. I'm going to try to do it very quickly. Um, this Panel knows that the standard here is that the law permits, uh, an inmate not to admit their crime if that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

admission is plausible, if it does not strain credulity to the point of being completely irrational. Um, and that's why I submitted that lengthy plausibility brief, which is backed up by over a hundred pages of exhibits directly from, uh, the trial record, um, police reports, investigator, uh, witness statements taken by investigators, as well as forensic evidence, um, such as, um, the fingerprint analysis, the phone records themselves. And all of that, um, I think can be broken down into three elements that all support the plausibility of this claim. Um, the first one is the timing. There are phone records, which cannot be and were not faked that show that McNeal was on the phone in his office until 12:19. Uh, and if it were otherwise, you would think there would have been an investigation into what co-conspirator was helping create an alibi by making phone calls to McNeal's house, um, from the probation department office. That's just, that's the implausible thought there is that there was this co-conspirator and that law enforcement failed to try to root out that co-conspirator. It's much more logical to think that yes, McNeal called his wife's house or his house to try to reach his wife several times to tell her I'm on my way home. Can you tell me where we're going? Combine that with the fact that Mr. McNeal's colleague, another probation officer, his records show

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that he was on the phone until 12:16. And this officer and another one said that they rode down the elevator with Mr. McNeal. So, that sets his departure time from the office at no earlier than 12:16 or 12:19. Um, from that point until when the police were called is a grand total of about 12 minutes. Uh, when we look at the crime that, well, first of all, the driving time that it would take to get from his home, um, or from his office to his home and then everything that was done in this crime, starting with the vicious and brutal murder, um, and then moving the body and then defiling the body using chemicals and, and putting things on top of it and then the cleanup efforts around the house, staging the house, writing words on the mirror, and then he would have had to clean himself up, remove bloody clothes, remove bloody shoes and put on something clean. All of this in a time span that just makes it absolutely implausible to think that that could have happened. More over to me, the, the most important linchpin when it comes to timing is that there were no bloody clothes or shoes found in the house. If McNeal had done all of this and cleaned himself up, there's no question that forensic investigators would have found that, that evidence. Now, um, Commissioner Long asked, well, how do the shoes you were wearing have no blood on them if indeed you ran in and out of the house?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I would just point out that it's perfectly logical for a man walking into his home at first thinking there's, you know, a ketchup mess and then even as it dawns on him that there's blood, it's perfectly logical that a man in his shoes would try not to walk on that blood. Um, the next element, as far as plausibility is, um, okay, the forensic evidence, and I think I covered that. So, moving to my third element and that's the alternative theory. That's being able to say, well, if McNeal didn't do it, then who did? And, you know, the District Attorney made some, some arguments that I just don't see as, as viable, um, because when we look at the, um, rational behavior of a man like Jeff West, who has a lengthy violent criminal history, including a lengthy violent history of trying to violently be there for his family. This included beating up Henry Grant, who was Jeff's sister's father. Um, he beat up Henry because he said Henry wasn't spending enough time with his daughter. Um, and he was convicted of that offense of assaulting Henry Grant. Um, he also pulled a gun on McNeal's own, um, ex-wife Amber and her mother, and there's, there's documentation of that, including in his autobiography. So, he's got this pattern of violently "helping" his family, but he has no record of then confessing and accepting responsibility for these crimes. He's a criminal. Of course, he's going to act impulsively,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

act violently, and then not want to, want to take responsibility. I think Mr. McNeal's point is well taken that, um, he wanted to help out his brother but not to the point of confessing, um, to murder and accepting a life sentence for it. I want to point out the things he did to try to not let his brother take the fall, uh, including when Jeff committed this crime, he tried to make it look like a home invasion, like a burglary, which if McNeal had killed his wife is not what it would have looked like. Putting that, uh, racial slur on the mirror, he knows his brother is black. He knows if his brother did it, that he wouldn't have put that. So, by putting that, he's trying to point investigators away from his brother. The hair. This didn't come out in the hearing, but there was some hair found on Debra's body that did not belong to either Debra or Patrick McNeal. It belonged to some unknown third party. And in McGill's testimony, he explained that Jeff confessed that he went and paid a homeless man for his hair. It's this very odd little detail that doesn't make any sense except that it matches up perfectly with the forensic findings at the scene and with the idea of Jeff West trying to pin this murder on some unknown third party, some home invasion burglary. Um, next, Jeff West, every time he was interviewed, he, he, um, you know, denied that he was quilty, but he also always denied that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

McNeal was quilty. So, he was doing his best to, uh, not let his brother take the hit, but also not take responsibility himself. And then, uh, this is in my plausibility brief. It didn't come out today, but there's, there's documentation of this, uh, in multiple interviews, including from Jeff West's girlfriend, that, um, Jeff was told to pay for McNeal's appellate Attorney. So, <inaudible> have gone something like this. He does the crime, he tries to point police away from his brother and then, oh my gosh, it's out of my control. They caught my brother. They locked him up for my crime. What am I going to do? Well, I'm at least going to try to help my brother get out of it. And that's what he did when he paid for an Attorney. Uh, a couple final notes on that. Um, Cary McGill's testimony, you know, is there's, there's this bad blood that makes his testimony, um, his credibility questionable, but this same testimony was credible enough that it landed Jeff West in prison for two other murders, to which he pled to manslaughter. I just looked him up in the Nevada State Prison System and those manslaughter charges are listed there. He's been discharged for those crimes and he's already back in prison for another set of crimes, this time for, uh, drug possession and a firearm possession. So, this is a man with a long history of violence. Clearly, no impulse control to make good solid

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

decisions. He may have not wanted his brother to go down for this, but he didn't know how to make the right choices. Also, in addition to McGill's testimony, there's the testimony of Ebony Grant, who is the sister of both Patrick McNeal and Jeff West. And Ebony nonetheless points the finger at Jeff and says, yeah, he's been violent his whole life. He'd beat up my stepdad. He killed a dog. He's very capable of doing this. And all of that is in my plausibility brief. So, um, I'll leave it at that as far as the, the evidence. There's substantially more in that record, which is in your 10-day file. Um, but I want to point out also that, um, the Governor's commutation in a way is, is evidence of that plausibility standard being met. Um, it's, it's highly irrational to think that the Governor would review this evidence and then commute Mr. McNeal's sentence if he didn't find this claim plausible. So, that's an entire office full of Attorneys and investigators who, um, found him, um, who found his claim reasonable. And it would point to the Innocence Project, who've been staunch defenders of Mr. McNeal for a long time. Even though, uh, you know, the court standard for reversing a conviction, which is very different than the standard today, um, even though that standard wasn't met, the Innocence Project has a very high standard of their own. Um, I've worked with them many times. They turned

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

down more cases than they take. They only take cases where they strongly believe that their client was, um, was wrongly convicted. And that is where they stand today and they've submitted several support letters on his behalf as well. Um, so that brings me back to this question of there, there's two possibilities, either he's innocent or he's a sociopath. And all of the evidence points to the fact that his innocence is highly plausible and based on expert testimony of Dr. Carman, not testimony expert evaluation of Dr. Carman and Mr. McNeal's presentation here today shows you that he doesn't have the traits of a sociopath. Okay. Um, moving onto offender self-control very briefly, uh, signs of remorse. It's true that he was, uh, had a lack of empathy and remorse back then for the crimes he did commit and a lack of empathy or feelings demonstrated for his wife. Um, but he presented very differently here today. Over time, he has regained that access to his own emotions. And it was, uh, on, on full view here today when he talked about the impact that he knew that, um, Debra's family experienced. Um, and then as far as offender self-control, I would also point to his disciplinary record over the past six years. Clearly, there were some hiccups along the way. The path of growth is, is not, um, entirely without, uh, hiccups, but, uh, I want to point out that in 2014, which is when he became

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

fully disciplinary-free, this substantially predated his commutation. So, he got his act together, um, without having, uh, this, this immediate reason of, um, coming to the Board in the near future. And then as far as offender change, we have his institutional efforts. We have all of the self-help that he's done that shows great insight. Um, Commissioner Long, you read it so I won't re-read it, but on page 10, that very meaningful quote from Dr. Carman where she describes even if he were quilty of the crime, nonetheless, he's demonstrated a higher level of insight than, than most inmates ever do. Higher level of understanding of himself. Uh, his plans for the future on parole, she described as detailed, impressive and comprehensive, and that he seems to be highly prepared. Uh, the risk assessment itself is, um, supportive evidence of parole and evidence of offender change because, again, uh, in contrast to what, in contradiction to what the District Attorney said, um, this is not the same as a low risk evaluation in 1997. This is a low risk evaluation that has taken into account the truth of everything that's occurred, including the conviction, including McNeal's own confessions, to, um, all the crimes of theft that he was never caught for, um, and including looking at his full record in prison. Now, um, at the end of my arguments, I tend to point to the amount of time that my client has

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

spent, spent in prison and to say that no amount of time can make up for what they've done and to point out that that's not really the calculus here. Um, but here, it's, it's not even a question of that because if he did not kill, um, Debra and Samara, then, then he spent 23 years too long in prison. Now, should he have spent some for insurance fraud? Did he have a lot to learn? Certainly. Um, but this is not a man who was ever a murderer, who's ever been a violent person. Um, he's presented a highly plausible claim that he did not commit this crime. And perhaps most importantly, he's shown a tremendous level of insight into, um, what moral responsibility he feels for triggering this crime and into his other, um, criminal behavior that, that put him squarely in the, under suspicion. So, with all that said, um, there's really no evidence that Mr. McNeal remains a danger to the public, um, of any kind and certainly an unreasonable danger at this point. Thank you.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Thank you, Counsel. So, Mr. McNeal, it's your opportunity to make a closing statement, if you wish.

INMATE MCNEAL: Uh, yes. Thank you very much. Um, I want to say that there's, there's no excuse, uh, for the sorry excuse for a husband that I was. Debra and Samara deserved someone who would give his life for theirs.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Instead, they had someone who recklessly gambled with their lives and lost simply because of my insecurities and need to appear tough in the eyes of someone and worthy of emulation. I assassinated Debra's character to someone I knew had previously been extremely violent with the mother and grandmother of my children in order to protect me. Not only did my character assassination lead my brother to murder Debra and Samara, I added insult to injury by fraudulently claiming items were missing from my home that I knew were either non-existent or accounted for. I was abusive to Debra prior to her murder and repeatedly restrained her then blamed her for my actions. I constantly told her that she was crazy and needed help, which I now know was mental abuse, but I arrogantly denied that I needed any help. I had little empathy and showed minimal emotion after Debra and Samara were murdered. I also engaged in insurance fraud by allowing a fraudulent auto theft claim to be paid. I'm responsible for these actions as well as starting a relationship with another woman months after Debra's death. I created the suspicion that led detectives to suspect me and the jury to wrongly convict me for the murders of Debra and Samara. My actions both before and after the murders were not those of a loving husband. My actions were those of an insecure, cold-hearted, abusive, greedy criminal, who was in denial

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

about my own morality and corrupt nature. I was a complete hypocrite. I sit in front of you today despite the fact that I did not murder nor asked my brother to murder Debra because of my own actions and behavior. The impact of the murders of Debra and Samara cannot be overstated. A pregnant woman was murdered in her own home in broad daylight. The terror Debra experienced while fighting for her life and simultaneously fearing for the life, for the life of her child is unimaginable. The total impact of the loss of their lives will never be known because the list of victims and the echoes of this crime will reach people in places that I can never imagine. The hardest hit are Debra's children, Deanna, Marcus, Shantel and Shantee. They lost a mother, sister and all of the love, affection and support and life experiences that come with these relationships. I can't begin to understand all the devastating effects her children and siblings continue to suffer. As insufficient as an apology is when compared to the loss of two lives, I want to tell Deanna, Marcus, Shantel, Shantee, Angela, Carmen, Susan, Allen, Debra's friends, our neighbors, the community, the courts, law enforcement, my family and friends, and all those unknown victims who were damaged that I'm sorry for the pain and suffering that I brought into your lives. I can't repair the damage I caused, but I can make a difference moving

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

forward. I won't tell you all my accomplishments in prison. I won't tell you all my accomplishments in prison that established my suitability for parole. My record, my record speaks for itself. Good deeds don't make up for the lives that were lost, nor did they provide comfort to the victims. But I will say is that I made a commitment to address my character defects long before I had a chance to be released. I have sincerely demonstrated a long lasting commitment to self-improvement and helping others, and I will continue this commitment regardless of your decision today. I do hope, however, that my 15 years plus of participation in self-help, consistent violence-free record, low risk assessment, and discussion in this interview convince you that I'm capable of living a crime and violence-free life and I am therefore suitable for parole. Thank you for your time and consideration today. Thank you.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Thank you. And so, now, we'll move onto the victim statements. Uh, because there's so many, we have, uh, Deputy DA Lasky is to determine the order. I'll ask you to direct, uh, um, who speaks and, uh, when. Um, but again, please, as, as you start to begin your statements, please again, state your full name for the record and your relationship to the victim, uh, right before you give your statements.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY LASKY: Thank you. Yes, I have the order. Uh, the first people will be Terrylynn, if she's still here. She had some work issues. She may have had to leave. Oh, she's still here.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

VNOK WALKER-YOUPELE: Hi. My name is Terrylynn Walker-Youpele. I was Debra's best friend, her confidante at the time. Um, I met Debra couple of years before that and we became very close. Um, I was the only friend that was allowed in her home at the time. Um, she was a happy person. She was very happy when she told me she was pregnant. Um, she was doing the best she could carrying the baby. Um, she was having a difficult pregnancy due to that she was up in age, but she was waiting for the day to deliver her baby. Um, the house where she was murdered in, I moved her and Patrick into that house from apartment on the north end. So, we became very good friends. Um, at that time, um, before this all happened, they started having domestic problems. So, the police was called out several times, um, in this matter, and a couple of times I was there. Debra started acting differently. Her behavior was sheltered and I wanted to ask her what was going on and she just kept it to herself. So, then around, um, it was '97, around, uh, February of '97, she came to the house and I said, Debra, what's wrong? She said, Patrick knows you have my ring on my kid's birth certificate and,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

um, all of my Native American documents and he wants me to get them right now. So, this was like the last week in February. And I said, okay, Debra, I'll hand you all your documents. I gave her back her beautiful ring. Um, and I didn't think nothing of it. Um, time went on. About another few days, she came over to my house. I lived in Highland, California on Fleming Street. Um, she would come to the house and check on me and my family and everything was fine, but I noticed her attitude was changing more and more. Um, she was six months pregnant the time, um, she lost her life and she was very petite. And when I would go over to the house, there would never be any food there. And I'm like, Debra, what's going on? So she was like, Patrick is not giving me any money so I can't buy any food. He controls all the money. So, I said, okay, let me go see what's going on. So, the day before her murder, the day of the murder, I came by and I was the last person to see Debra alive. She told me she was going to the doctor <inaudible>. And she was talking, making phone calls. She made several phone calls to Vegas, to her children, trying to talk to all of her children. Then she tells me at that time, Patrick does not want me at the house anymore. I said, why? He doesn't want me at the house anymore. So, at that time, I'm walking through the house. They had a fight the night before. So, furniture was outside. She had a cut

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in her right hand from a knife. Um, things was just shackled all through the house. And I said, what happened? They got into it the night before she was murdered. So, I said, Debra, are you going to be okay? She said, I just need to speak to all my children. I stayed with her like 40 minutes. I left around 11:55. It was 11:55 or 10:55. My lunch was over. I left five minutes before that time. When I got to work, I got a phone call saying, I need to return back. Something has happened to my friend. So, from that time I went to my job, which is five minutes away, Debra was murdered. So, that's what led me to, he was on his way home, who could have did this? So I'm still in shock, like who could have did this? And I know who did it, but he's still to this day saying he didn't do it, but it wasn't me. So, I was under investigation for Debra's murder for a while. And he didn't feel like that was nothing wrong with that while they investigate me while he riding around on my corner and I'm dialing 911 because he's on my corner of Fleming trying to intimidate me. After the murder had occurred, he's still riding around <inaudible> finding me, finding where I'm at, parking places where I'm at trying to intimidate me. So, everything led to him. So, I was like letting everybody know there's Rodney on my corner right here. I'm dialing 911 and letting the operator know he is not to be over here. He, he has no reason to be over

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

here. He doesn't live over here. And he was trying to intimidate me. So, I had several calls to 911 after he had, did this crime and the police said there's nothing they can do until Thanksqiving weekend when I got the call from the District Attorney in, um, San Bernardino County Sheriff that they had picked him up for her murder. And I'm like, really? It took you guys this long from March the 10th until Thanksgiving to pick him up? We had to get all the evidence, Ms. Walker. So, him getting out, I'm not for it at all. I'm not for it at all because like I said, I was the last person to see Debra alive. When I walked out that door, he was waiting on me to leave and my story will never change. So, I'm here for the family. I'm here for Debra. Um, I do not want to see him free. So, that is my statement. I have to get back to work, but I am the last one to see Debra alive. And this is such a hurtful thing that he did and to try it blame me. If you look at the newspaper articles, he was trying to blame me. And it didn't work. So, I'm here for all of them, her friends, the rest of them. But I am not for him getting released after the crime he did. That's all I have to say. I have to get back to work, you guys.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Thank you, Ms. Walker.

VNOK WALKER-YOUPELE: You're welcome.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY LASKY: Thank you. The speaker will

be Vanessa Richards.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

VNOK VANESSA RICHARDS: All right. Thank you. Uh, my name is Vanessa Richards. Um, I am the niece of Debbie. Um, let's see. Um, as a child growing up, I remember birthday cards for my Aunt Debbie because they were the best time and it felt good being remembered by someone in the family. We live so far away from everyone. I remember Aunt Debbie's phone calls to my mother. They were always laughing and truly enjoyed each other's company. I would always ask my mom who she was talking to. Um, she always sounded so excited. Um, you know, she would tell me it was her sister, Debbie. Um, I remember the morning Debbie's life was taken, it was a typical Monday. We were getting ready for school. I was in middle school, um, so the day started later for me. My mom and siblings were already off to school and work. And, um, me and my dad were home. Um, the phone rang and we nearly missed the call. The answering machine picked up and started to record. My aunt called and she was asking for my mom. Uh, my dad told my Aunt Debbie that my mom had left for work and it was something in the tone of her voice that was a cause for concern. My dad asked if she was all right and if everything was okay. It was unusual for her to call that early in the morning. Um, my aunt said she would call back, um, and try to reach my mom later in the evening.

Uh, my dad was the last person to speak to Debbie because her life and her unborn daughter's life were taken. Um, after that, I remember seeing my mother crying and completely devastated. Uh, my parents didn't tell us how she passed. I was always mystified about the details until I was older. Even now, it's hard to think of or speak of how awful her last moments were. I believe with my whole heart the police and prosecutors have arrested and convicted the correct person, Rodney Patrick McNeal. I also believe Patrick needs to finish his sentence and I wish it was longer. My Aunt Debbie and my unborn cousin deserve justice. Please continue to uphold justice for my family and keep Patrick McNeal in jail for his full sentence. Thank you.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Thank you.

pistrict attorney Lasky: Sorry, I was muted. Thank you. The next speaker will be Angel Wilcox. It appears that Ms. Wilcox is not here. Sorry, I had her on the list. Uh, the next, uh, speaker will be Susan Spottedbear. I've lost Commissioner Long's video. Is everyone else still able to see him?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: No. Commissioner, are you there?

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: I'm still here.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY LASKY: Okay. I just want to make

sure we weren't having another issue. Um, Ms. Spottedbear, are you here? I know that some people had, oh, there you go. You're next. Apparently, we've lost, uh, Angel and, um, uh, Ms. Spottedbear. I'll have them go if they come back on. Um, the next speaker will be Curtis Richards.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

VNOK CURTIS RICHARDS: Hello. I'm Curtis Richards. Um, I am the brother-in-law. Angela Richards is, uh, my wife. Um, she was very, she was very close to her sister from early in life. They've always been very close and they did a lot of things together growing up and even as adults, uh, they stayed in touch constantly and they're very, very close. Um, so when Debbie died, it was very devastating for my wife and the pain still lingers to this day. Um, from year to year, from occasion to occasion, uh, she's always remembered on her birthday and occasions like that, holidays. Um, she, she misses her sister all the time and she's hurt. The, the pain of her loss has never diminished. Then I remember she called me, um, a little time before this happened to her. She called me and, um, made amends with me because, um, we had had a difference. I had been mad at her for some time, but she, she apologized to me and she told me she believed in the Lord. She accepted Christ and, and she took the time to make, makeup, you know, and correct, uh, you know, our differences. And I appreciated her for that. And I cried

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

when, uh, when she was, was killed. And, uh, not only, um, <inaudible> by my wife but also my children and her other sisters. Um, it was very painful what they've gone through with her loss. And, um, the way she was murdered was not, uh, she didn't deserve that. She was a nice person. She was loved and, uh, she helped her family and she did not deserve to be treated that way and she did not deserve that to happen to her. The way it happened was very painful. And like I say, the pain goes on to this day. And so, um, I agree with everyone who said that this gentleman needs to finish his sentence as what was done was such a heinous crime. I mean, and I'm not convinced that he didn't do it. I'm not buying it either. So, it'll be very painful, it'd be all the more painful if you let this man out of jail. Um, so that's how I feel about it. Um, my wife, she <inaudible> that she, she can't speak on this because this is too painful. So, um, I'm confident and I pray to God and God is the reason why he's there and I think he's going to stay there. And my advice to him is to seek God, <inaudible>. That's all I have to say.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Thank you, Mr.
Richards.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY LASKY: Thank you, Commissioner. The next speaker will be Michael Ramos.

VICTIM'S REPRESENTATIVE RAMOS: Yes. Thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I want to, first of all, thank the Commissioners and both Counsel for a very professional meeting, uh, during this virus outbreak. I know it's been a headache for everybody, but, um, you know, I'm here, uh, for the family and representing the community. Um, yes, I was the DA, but I won't go into the facts and retry the case. You all have the information. Um, but my duty is always to speak for the victims and never forget them. And to begin with that would be Debra McNeal, uh, a wonderful woman and mother that I, I got to know from her, her daughter Shantel. And of course the six-month baby girl that we must never forget who as indicated now would be, I don't know, between 21, 22 years old. Um, and then you've heard the victims and you'll hear some more from them, but I saw firsthand the impact on the victims. I think Shantel, we've, we've had a good relationship and we continue to have a relationship. She was only 13 years old at the time. I think her sister was 16 and they had a brother and of course Debra's sisters and siblings, uh, who lived in another state, South Dakota, and it was difficult for them, the distance and the traveling during, during all of this, this time period. So, we mustn't forget the impact that it continues to have on the family members. And then the community. Um, even back then, the legal and the justice community, the impact it had as a probation

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

officer at the time, uh, was proven quilty by the jury that he committed these crimes. Um, before I was a Deputy DA, I was a probation officer myself in San Bernardino County. I have friends that have retired from there and continue to work there. And they're still concerned, especially with the Governor's commutation because of the sophistication and his personality, an individual like this being released back into the community. I hear that, um, especially after the Governor did the commutation. Finally, there's, there's the impact on myself and my family, and I have to say it. Um, tried over 30 murder trials. There's a handful that I had concerns about when those individuals will possibly be released, especially those that attempted to contact me personally through social media. Now, I'm not going to say whether Mr. McNeal did it or not. I'm, I don't have that evidence, but we do know for a fact that Jennifer Kennedy was in control, apparently, that somebody that was close to McNeal was able to attempt to contact myself. Um, I'm concerned. I'm concerned for the public safety, the community safety, and actually for the safety of myself and my family. Um, and I think he said it best and whoever said it and the counseling and therapy is doing, he's doing, it never goes away. The beast is asleep. So, with all those, I know that we've gone a long time here. I would just respectfully

request from the Parole Board the parole be denied for justice for the victims' families and for the safety of our community. Thank you very much.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Thank you.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY LASKY: Thank you, Commissioner. Uh, speaking of family, next, I'm going to read some statements from family members who were not able to attend and a couple who are here but do not feel they're able to speak. Um, and then we have a few people who will finish up, um, and speak last.

ATTORNEY SHEPPARD: Objection, but rule reading of the statements of people that are not here, those statements can be submitted in writing and they should have been.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY LASKY: They can also, the statements can also be read at the hearing. The victims have that absolute right as long as they're family members.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: I'll allow it.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY LASKY: Thank you, Commissioner. Um, the first letter is from Candice Richards Alexander, who is the niece of the victim. Says, hello. I hope this letter finds you in time. I almost didn't write this letter because it hurt too much. I'm Debra's 32-year-old niece. I want you to know that recounting the day my

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

beloved aunt was murdered is nearly recounting what it was like to be a child robbed of innocence. I was just a young girl when my father sat my siblings and I down to explain that my Aunt Debbie had passed away and that my mom was in the other room sad. I remember how her cries stopped my little world and scared me. As a young child, I would always follow my mom around. Therefore, I caught the majority of my mom's phone conversations. The ones with my Aunt Debbie were evident because my mom would laugh so hard her face would turn red and she'd have tears. That was nearly everyday from my recollection. You can only imagine what it was like as a child to see the one person who brightens your world lose their light. To watch my mom's heartbreak would last over and over again. My cousins lost their mom and we lost a huge piece of ours because my mom loved my aunt so dearly. Today, we all sit here without so many memories of what could have been. My uncle Rodney, you were supposed to protect your family, give them a place to call home. It is my hope that Rodney serves his entire sentence for the murder of my Aunt Debbie and unborn cousin. He'll eventually get a second chance at life and create a new story. My Aunt Debbie and unborn cousin never got to finish theirs. Sincerely, Candice Richards Alexander. The next statement is from Angela BlackCrow Richards, the victim's sister. Um, and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that's spelled R-I-C-H-A-R-D-S. And just for the record, BlackCrow is spelled B-L-A-C-K-C-R-O-W. My name, Angela BlackCrow Richards from Fayetteville, North Carolina. I am writing this email to let the Parole Board know that Rodney Patrick McNeal needs to stay where he belongs in prison for murdering two innocent human beings. He's stolen from me my sister and niece, Samara, who I will never meet on this earth. My sister was my best friend and support system when I was sad or needed advice from her. Even now, I still wish I could call her and seek her love and support. My heart is still broken. Her husband Rodney still never apologized to us even when he was beating her while she was pregnant with his daughter Samara. They are the victims of his demise. He needs to stay locked up. Rodney McNeal and his brother Jeff West are both come from a murdering family. They both need to stay locked up so society can stay safe. I beg you and the Parole Board to deny his release. He will kill again. I don't feel safe knowing he is back in society. I can only hope he doesn't have another opportunity to hurt another family. God bless you. Angela Richards. Next is Danielle Stauty, spelled S-T-A-U-T-Y, the niece of the victim. I'm writing this letter in support of keeping my aunt's killer behind bars where he belongs. I hope that after hearing from her family, you're able to see how her tragic death hurt our

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

family, leaving lasting dysfunction and pain for generations to come. I will never forget the day my mother picked me up early from school. She was inconsolable, sobbing and unable to speak. Once she finally told me of my aunt's murder and that the baby didn't survive, I was immediately overcome with shock and grief <inaudible> mother, her baby sister, my baby cousin. Nothing would ever be the same again. Please understand my family has suffered horrific murders and experienced more death than the average family. I often wondered, does anyone care about our justice and our lives? I was there the day my aunt was buried. At the time, Patrick hadn't been convicted, was already behaving like a quilty man. He let it be known that he had a gun and would shoot anyone that threatened him. My family hadn't threatened him though, which caused immediate suspicion. I watched my father weep like a baby as this was his favorite sister-in-law. I watched her daughters weep and one run to the bath, restroom to lock herself away. I also watched Patrick not shed one tear and even joked around with his brother. He also refused to take part in the burial service. I don't believe he even spoke. My father, though. See my aunt wasn't just a victim. She was a role model and someone who held our family together. She inspired us to seek further education <inaudible> just stay around our hometown and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

see the world. She had such a big heart and was so smart. I watch her children today and I see a huge piece of them missing. They flocked my mom and aunts just to gain a piece of her, but it's not the same. Please keep this man behind bars. Please keep justice going for my aunt. We have enough missing and murdered Native American women. Respectfully, Danielle Stauty, niece. The next statement is from Carmen BlackCrow Gray, spelled B-L-A-C-K-C-R-O-W, last name is G-R-A-Y, the victim's sister. Dear Parole Board, Rodney Patrick McNeal had taken out an insurance policy on my sister, Debra Marie BlackCrow. As soon as it was charged, she was killed. If he's so innocent, who would do this in the first place? There was another incident where Debra's car was stolen and he took the money from that insurance policy when it was paid, not giving Debra any of the money and it was her car. One of the intentional things he did to her was to make her sick on their honeymoon to Mexico to let her drink the water there, causing her to get extremely sick. He was a very controlling man and seemed to try to alienate her from her family. I guess he thought no one cared about her so he could take her life because no one cared about her. I had called her once on the phone and he answered saying they were in the middle of eating their meal. I told him, this is her sister calling long distance and I wanted to talk

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to my sister. I got loud it was almost angry by his response and he finally put her on the phone. Each time he would change their phone number, she would call and give us her new number. His ex-girlfriend, who had two of his children, would call her to her house or on the phone and when they changed their number, she would magically get it no doubt by Rodney Patrick. His ex-girlfriend would sit outside their house that Patrick had kicked her out of so they could move in and yell nasty things to my sister and Patrick did nothing to make her stop. My sister made more money than Patrick, even drawing unemployment, and she was not allowed to buy her children gifts, but he could buy gifts for his two children from his former ex-girlfriend. He would do spiteful things to her like hide her glasses so she couldn't see to drive her car and take all the money out from their joint checking to keep for himself. He was very rude to her children and threatening them with bodily harm. We rarely got him to agree to let us bring her body home to be buried along with the baby because he wanted to have her cremated. When he came for the funeral, he told me he would pay half of everything for her funeral but barely paid \$29. When we were driving around attending the funeral business, he was speeding past the speed limit and said after he was told to slow down, all he had to do was show his badge. He'd also brought a gun to the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

funeral. Who does that, but a quilty man. At the funeral parlor, the director asked if we wanted to view her body and he stated Debra had a dark mark on the side of her face. He wondered why until he had read she had been strangled and that causes the blood to clot in the face and it turns dark. The director asked if we wanted to see her now or wait until she had makeup on. Patrick was sitting there shaking and said he would wait until she had makeup on. He acted so quilty. Another thing I noticed he would slow the car down a lot when ask questions like he had to really think of what to say, and he said he wanted us all together so he could tell us all at once what happened. It seems his story changed each time or he couldn't remember, like did she have clothes on when he found her? My son seen her last when he visited California on a school trip. He said, Patrick asked Debra if she had bought his daily lottery tickets and he wanted to take my son snorkeling and to do other water activities. Patrick said he wanted to be an FBI agent, but was not chosen for it. He told us how he seen really grizzly crime scenes as a probation officer but we found out that was a lie. They did not go to crime scenes as probation officers. He claimed he couldn't eat much because of his emotional condition and claimed he lost weight. When he stated it to his sister, she said he looked the same. He kept stating

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

how he wished he could get the insurance money right away so he could fly everyone in for the funeral and talked about the insurance money a lot. He didn't seem remorseful at all and cried crocodile tears. Word got out he was a suspect in Debra's death so people stayed away from him and would not sit by him at the wake or funeral. One relative even told him so. At the burial, he, his brother, brother's wife and his sister talked about site seeing while they were there in South Dakota. I was able to talk to my nieces about my sister and Patrick's relationship. Shantel stated Debra had two Dobermans that protected her and they would have had to be put up by someone they knew before she was attacked and harmed. Patrick most likely had been that person and Patrick was the only one with the key to the safe that was found open, but only had papers in it, no money. Their relationship was very rocky and violent. Debra told how she and Patrick had an argument when and she embarrassed him and later that night, he held her down and cut her clothes off with a knife, saying she would know how it felt to be humiliated. What kind of man does this? Much less a man of the law. All he had to do was let her leave as she was planning to divorce him, but he has seen her as a get rich scheme. He doesn't deserve freedom so he can plot to kill and murder again, much less his own child. He shows no remorse for his deceased wife

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and child ever. His brother could have taken a lie detector test to prove his quilt but didn't. When the insurance adjuster called me to ask if I thought Patrick was a suspect of my sister's death and if they should put a hold on the insurance money, I said, yes, I believe he's a suspect and believe he is the murderer. No family should have to go through this tragedy ever and then have to face his early release from prison. He deserves to stay in prison so he can think long and hard of what he did. Thank you for listening to my letter. And the last letter I have to read is from Michael Rodney Gray, who is a nephew, and it's spelled G-R-A-Y. My name is Michael Rodney Gray, a nephew of Debra BlackCrow. I would like the Parole Board to refrain from granting Rodney Patrick McNeal parole and request that parole eligibility be deferred for the maximum time allowed in the State of California. Debra had many goals that she wanted to accomplish in her life, such as finishing college. She wanted all the happiness the world had to offer, and she was not given this opportunity because of Rodney Patrick McNeal. She had no one there to protect her when she died. There was no one there to save her and her unborn baby from being beaten, stabbed, strangled and drowned.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: I'm sorry for interrupting, Commissioner. I just want to make sure that the inmate is

still on because I don't see him on my end.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DISTRICT ATTORNEY LASKY: I still see him.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: You still do? Okay. I don't know why my screen is doing that. All right. I apologize.

ATTORNEY SHEPPARD: I don't see video...

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Just confirm that you,
can you still see us and hear us, McNeal?

INMATE MCNEAL: Yes, I can.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Okay. Thank you.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY LASKY: She wanted all the happiness the world had to offer and she was not given this opportunity because of Rodney Patrick McNeal. She had no one there to protect her when she died. There was no one there to save her and her unborn baby from being beaten, stabbed, strangled and drowned. Debra BlackCrow was one of my mother's six sisters and the one who was most like me. When I last spoke with Debra, it was the summer of 1996. I was on an out of state trip with a program called Upward Bound and became separated from my group while at Disneyland. I called Debra and she told me she was unable to come pick me up immediately because Rodney McNeal was not at home. They both later came to pick me up the following day and we had dinner. During dinner, I asked them if they had a choice of winning the lottery without happiness or not winning a lottery but being happy, which

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

would they choose? My aunt chose happiness and Rodney McNeal shocked by her answer said he'd choose money. As I write this message, I am crying because I was not able to be there to protect her. She was such a small and delicate human being, delicately beautiful human being, and she had no one there to protect her when she died. You have the power to help her now. You have the power to do something. I ask that you do not allow Rodney Patrick McNeal to be released early. Doing so would give him more power, which he already used to violently hurt my aunt during their relationship and what he used to ultimately end her and her unborn child's life. Sincerely, Michael Rodney Gray. The last victim impact statement that I have to provide is from DeShawna West. She is the victim's niece and also the inmate's niece and the daughter of Jeffrey West. And it is actually a video statement that I'm going to play.

VNOK WEST: Hi. My name is DeShawna West. I'm the daughter of Jeffrey <inaudible> West. That makes me the niece of Rodney Patrick McNeal. I made this video today to let you guys know that I am standing with my cousins in the fight of not granting clemency for my uncle Rodney McNeal. I'm not saying that this means I agree that he is innocent or guilty. I do not know what happened that day. Nobody knows what happened that day besides the person that murdered Debra and herself. But I do know now that I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

am an adult and I was just 10 at the time of her murder, that there is no way that an innocent person will continue to pass the blame to other people. I am not here to defend my father's innocence, although I know that my uncle was having him take the blame for what happened that day. I do not believe my father was quilty. And I just want to say that for the record but I also do not think that if someone was innocent, they would place blame on countless other people because it would fit the mold of the person that that person is. I am not here to say that my father is an angel or a saint, but I'm saying that as an adult and learning from my actions and learning from things that I have gone through, I've maintain my integrity. I've maintained stating facts whenever my integrity is questioned. I never place blame on others whether someone else has done something to cause my reaction, I don't place that blame on them. I'm a mother now. I teach my daughter that good actions have good reactions and bad actions have bad reactions. And no matter what, if my uncle was innocent, I felt that he would have just stayed to the facts of the case. My uncle is an amazing uncle when he was here with us. So, I'm not making this video out of malice or hate toward him. I love him. I do. I just believe that as an adult now, I've learned that in relationships, people bring out the best and the worst of

us and seeing the facts from the case, they both have their demons. Debra did not deserve to die. She did not deserve <inaudible> her child. After all these years, I still stand with my cousins. They are still my family. I remember Debra as his sweet woman. He always was around and whenever her and my uncle met and they got married, they became my family. And <inaudible> that's the most amazing thing to have your best friend become your family. <Inaudible> our family and divided us. As we grew older, our love and our devotion for Debra has not fade. <Inaudible>. I do not know if my uncle is innocent or guilty. All I know is that <inaudible> remorseful and maintains his innocence based off of the facts of the case. And with that, <inaudible>.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY LASKY: Thank you. And I didn't give the spelling. Um, her name is DeShawna, that's D-E-S-H-A-W-N-A, last name West. That was the last statement that I had to provide. Uh, before, I would just ask if Angel or Susan are back online? Um, <inaudible>. Oh, are you back?

VNOK WILCOX: Yes.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY LASKY: Okay. Angel, are you back? Would you like to speak next?

VNOK WILCOX: Um, I'm, I'm not available, too, but
my mom definitely wanted to.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY LASKY: Okay. Then Susan Spottedbear, you're next.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

VNOK SPOTTEDBEAR: Okay. Um, I would just like to say that it's just like my sister is still here, but, and then he came in and took her from me. I'll never have that back. I'll never ever love, I'll never get to see her, talk to her. I don't understand how you can take a life and think you can be free. My sister can't be set free. My sister can't come back. My sister cannot come back and see her children and her grandchildren. My sister cannot come back and celebrate a birthday. <Inaudible> just sit there and have no remorse in your voice. And to sit there shamefully and <inaudible> about what you did to your exgirlfriend, you should be ashamed of yourself. Thinking what you did, the time you did isn't enough to pay for what you did. It was not. My sister cannot come back after that. Can she? No. You need to stay in there and do your time because you don't have no remorse or showing for what you did. I don't get no, I don't get to have my best friend call me. And <inaudible>, I don't get my best friend to call when I have trouble to cry to. You took her from me and I won't get to see her anymore. You stole that from me. You took my best friend in the earth like everyday. I cannot talk about it because it is still have my heart broken. You have broken my heart and you want to

sit there and be set free when you've had broken so many people's hearts. You need to sit in there and think about this. You haven't. You did this to your baby. How can you do it to your own child? And you're supposed to love my sister. How much of all the vows did you honor when you married her? And then to kill your own child. You don't speak of your children. I wouldn't speak of them either. It is shameful what you did. And I'm sorry to say, my sister's not coming back. I don't think you have a right too either. I'm sorry <inaudible>.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Did we, did we lose Ms.
Spottedbear?

VNOK SPOTTEDBEAR: Yes?

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Oh, I'm sorry. Were you
finished or did you have more that you'd like to say?

VNOK SPOTTEDBEAR: I'm done.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Okay. Thank you very
much.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY LASKY: And for the record, the last name is spelled S-P-O-T-T-E-D-B-E-A-R.

VNOK SPOTTEDBEAR: Yes.

that we've gotten everyone who want to speak with the exception of Deanna and Shantel. Has everyone else who wanted to speak done so? Okay. Um, for the next speaker,

uh, second to last speaker will be Shantel Haynes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Okay. Go ahead, please.

VNOK HAYNES: Um, did you want to do Deanna first?

DISTRICT ATTORNEY LASKY: That's, I had put you first, but if Deanna wants to go first, that's fine. Deanna, do you want to go? I actually just received a text from Deanna that she's frozen again. So, Shantel, you should go so Deanna can log back in.

VNOK HAYNES: Okay. Um, uh, do I sound okay? My Internet's kind of acting a little crazy right now. Um, first I want to say thank you to Terrylynn and Michael for, uh, showing up, showing their support today. I do consider both of them as friends at this point. Um, right now, I want to first read you the letter that I sent to the Governor, Jerry Brown, when Patrick was initially trying to shoot for clemency. So, dear Governor Jerry Brown, this may be one of the hardest letters I've ever had to write. My name is Shantel Denay Hanes. I'm Debra Marie BlackCrow's second eldest child of four, Deanna Marie BlackCrow, Shantee Lamont Haynes and Marcus Jay Frison. Our mother was a full blood Native American of Oglala and Arapahoe heritage. She was a little woman standing at a height of 5'2" with a thin stature and weighed about 117 pounds. As a single parent with two failed marriages behind her, her priority was to make sure

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that her children were loved, fed, clothed and had a roof over their head. She always wanted to demonstrate that no matter the odds, you can become a contributing member of society and strive for higher education. That's the reason why until her death, she was always in school. She also found God in her later years so she was very heavily involved in the church. Sometime in 1995, our mother met Rodney Patrick McNeal. He resided in California but would come to Las Vegas, Nevada to specifically visit her. In the beginning, we were all excited of the prospect of our childhood friends becoming family. I mean really, who doesn't want the family that we choose to actually become family? Sadly, that expectation would soon fade when my siblings and I first witnessed the signs of domestic violence. It was a hard pill to swallow to see how a 6'2", over 200 man could easily subdue such a tiny woman as our mother. I'm not sure what was said but he wrenched her arm behind her back. Our mother yelped in pain and my brothers began to cry. I yelled at Rodney then pleaded him to let her go. Afterwards, my mother tried to reason with us and say that they were just playing rough. We were never exposed to this kind of abuse so we were all really confused and frightened on this matter. From that point, my sister was over being around Rodney. She openly expressed to our mother of her distaste or dislike towards

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Rodney. Still, with all the red flags, my mother marries Rodney. Shortly after the marriage, our mother decides to move to California with Marcus and her husband. Our father, James Ellis Haynes, who recently retired from the military, moved back to Las Vegas so he kept Deanna, Shantee and me. There were visits to our mother's new dwelling during track breaks, sometimes accompanied by my little brother Shantee, sometimes alone. I can recall not feeling comfortable with the aura that Rodney emitted. Whenever he was around, he was always quiet and distant. It was sort of like he was detached from his surroundings. Our mother tried to make this place a home by cooking stews and soups, watching horror movies with me, taking her kids on bike rides around the neighborhood or teaching us some Lakota words. It was nice at first to see our mom in a new home with new furniture and a new car. The outside appearance seemed happy. As the visits progressed, strange situation began to become apparent. By this time, I was about to start the eighth grade so my mother decided to take me school shopping. I knew something was wrong because once we returned, she instructed me to hide my clothes in a garbage bag and to set them by the trash can. On the day of my departure, she also had me hide mail and to let me know that she will be sending over all of her photos to my father's address. This is also the container

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that my mother sent the photos in that I kept. Okay. I didn't tell my father of this abnormal experience but it took place in my mother's house but I did tell my sister. During my next visit, I can recall at this point our mother was pregnant. She was always sick and exhausted. Sometimes, Rodney's two daughters from his previous relationship would visit when I was there. I remember one of them wet the bed and threw up all over the sheets in which Rodney forced our sick mother to clean it up. I started to become very rude in the way I spoke to Rodney in an attempt to defend our mother. I did not understand why he would not want to rub the tired feet of his sick pregnant wife, help around the house and care for his children. Eventually, the verbal altercations, physical and mental abuse became regular. There was an instance where our mother found \$500 dollars in Rodney's jacket. I saw the shocked look on her face when she pulled out the money. She literally turned white. I witnessed her take one of her favorite teddy bears and hide the money inside the back seam. She told me to say nothing. Rodney decided to go work out with a full duffel bag but quickly returned. He started yelling at our mother. Where is my money? I know your kids took it. So, my mother replied, my kids don't steal. What money? He quickly responded, my \$5. Our mother told him, why are you stressed over \$5? I heard

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a commotion and my brother Marcus ran and told me that Rodney pushed our mother over his duffel bag. Marcus told me Rodney's daughter saw it happen. Cops were called again and I tried to convince the little girl to tell them what she saw. Rodney only ended up having to leave for the night. Another incident I can recall was walking into the master bedroom and seeing our mother crying. She was crying quite frequently by this point. I put my arm around her and tried to console her as much as a 13-year-old could. Rodney came back in the house and I started to yell at him. His way to retaliate was to take our Native American grandfather's bone work, throw it on the concrete and stomp all over it, pulverizing any trace of history, the family heirloom. Shocked by his actions, my yelling spewed to every sort of profanity I could think of. Our mother, in her sadness, grabs his graduation cap and gown and shreds it. Rodney goes into the bedroom, grabs a few items and leaves the house. A few hours later, our mother realizes that Rodney took her glasses, contacts and information. Our mother was a -7 in both of her eyes, which means she was legally blind without her glasses. We called the cops yet again and literally spent an hour searching the whole house and yard to no avail. The next day, our mother gave me an impromptu driving lesson to get her around town and I remember seeing her on the phone

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

pleading for Pat to give her back her items. Eventually, he returned home and her items magically reappeared. At this point, I was overstaying at their home so our mother would take trips to visit us in Las Vegas. We were always thrilled of these visits because we would have our mother to ourselves. Well, when she didn't bring Rodney. On one of her visits, all of us kids were sleeping in the living room. My mother was on the couch at her friend's apartment. She gave me a sonogram picture. I hope you all see this. This sonogram picture is the only photo I have of my baby sister, Samara. We watched movies and she made dinner. Although we were happy, we always dreaded the day when our mother had to go back to California. Somehow, Rodney would find a way to seep his poison into the picture. This is also when her car got stolen. Rodney did not seem too concerned of how his pregnant wife would get around, let alone back home. It was one of the many moments of complete hopelessness that I have seen on our mother's face. Even in Las Vegas, she could not escape the drama from her husband. My sister would express how she felt about Rodney and urged our mother to leave him. Rodney had already threatened to beat my sister with a bat and Deanna was there to witness the threatening calls from his daughter's mother. Debra was also witness to Rodney taking all, or Deanna was also witness to Rodney taking

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

out all the money of their joint bank accounts, which forced our mother to pawn their wedding rings and jewelry to survive during her visit in Las Vegas. I believe Rodney filed those items as stolen. And my sister will be able to provide her firsthand account in regards to Rodney's character. Finally, our mother decided to leave Rodney. I remember the last day that I saw her like it was yesterday. It was about two weeks before my 14th birthday. She told us she needed to go take care of some things in California. When we hugged, for some reason, I didn't want to let her go. Hugging her was like wrapping your arms around a little frail lady hiding a basketball in her shirt. She was so small. I can still remember how her hair smelled. I remember her saying that she loved us and she would be back. If I knew that would be the last embrace from our mother, the last time I would hear her voice, the last time I would hear her say I love you, I probably would have held her tighter. I probably would have told her we need her and that she should stay in Las Vegas and leave everything behind in California. I would have begged her to never look back. On March 10, 1997, our mother was on the phone talking to my sister about getting her back into school. It was the afternoon time so Marcus was in elementary. She told Deanna she was getting ready to go to a doctor's appointment and that she would be back in Vegas

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

soon. Nothing was out of the ordinary. So, it seemed everyone was excited that our mother and brother was finally coming back to Las Vegas for good. That night, we all go to sleep. Around 3 AM, my cousins come into my bedroom and say we all need to go to the living room. I got a little nervous because I thought something had happened to my auntie. Once seated, I could hear the hurt, I could see the hurt in my father's eyes. He could barely utter the words. Your mother is dead. Somebody killed her. Immediately, I was scared for my brother Marcus. My father tells us that the authorities sent him back to Georgia. Within an instant, our entire world got pulled from under us. Whoever killed our mother also killed her children's future for anything normal. They took away our matriarch. They took away the bond that all siblings have that grow up together share. Once it was confirmed that Marcus was safe, we all knew it was Rodney. Their courtship and marriage was very volatile. Our mother was going to leave him and Rodney knew this. I believed he decided that it was now or never in regards to cashing in on the insurance policy. Rodney ripped Debra's life from her children, family and friends because of his own selfish greed. He convinced our mother to make him the sole beneficiary on her life insurance policy and within months, she was brutally murdered. Every possible means of killing a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

person, our mother had to endure. No person should ever have to go through that much trauma. It was overkill. She was barely laid to rest and here's this monster, sitting in the back row of his wife and child's funeral, showing no signs of grief. There were no tears coming down from his face or any attempt to console her family or her children. All he could speak upon was the insurance company and the payout. It ended up being the insurance company that was able to get California to further their investigation into the murder and by no means was it was an overnight rushed affair. It took years of diligent investigations to finally put Rodney where he belongs, behind prison walls. During the trial, our lawyer at the time and now District Attorney of San Bernardino, Mike Ramos, asked if we would like to seek the death penalty or life. I chose life. I told him that I want Rodney to sit in prison everyday of his sentence and really understand why he was there. I wanted Rodney to feel every ounce of anguish and pain that he has caused by not only taking the life of a mother but also the life of his own daughter. For what? Money. It's been 18 years since the first day everyone's life changed. I recently discovered that Rodney is being backed by the California Innocence Project on the grounds that he was wrongfully convicted for the murder of our mother. While reading this California Innocence

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Project's findings, I was absolutely appalled with the fact that they so conveniently overlooked and dismissed the fact that Rodney was a parole officer. Debra was a 5'2" Native American woman who only weighed 117 pounds and she was the mother of four minor children, one which was living at the residence at the time. She was pregnant with a seven-month, with her seven-month-old unborn daughter, Samara. I only bring this to light because their timeline states that he was unable to pull her out of the bathtub when he found her and also that it was a hate crime. There were only two people on God's green earth that had any ill will towards our mother and that was Rodney and Rodney's daughter's mother, Amber. They failed to admit and mention in detail the countless domestic violence calls against him and the insurance policy motive. When I contacted the CIP, they could not give me a good enough reason why they did not contact Debra's children or any other party involved in the legal proceedings for convicting Rodney. Their only concern was to use the media to display this monster as an innocent man. They painted our mother as some crazy lady. They never even mentioned her name or showed any pictures of her. The newest update is that they're attempting to file clemency for Rodney. That's the reason why I decided to write this letter. I am now a 32year-old woman and not a day goes by that I don't cry for

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

our mother. I wish one day to not have to look over our shoulder in fear that Rodney will be released. I dream of the day when I can scroll through the internet and not see that Rodney trying to be set free. I pray that the system prevails and justice remains intact for our mother. I pray that this monster stays where he belongs and no other family has to go through this nightmare that we went through and are still going through. I really do hope that this letter is added to Rodney's clemency file and is immediately denied. Our mother didn't receive clemency for her life or the life of our sister. She was just seen as a piece of paper that someone could profit on. And as long as I breathe, I will be the voice of our mother. People will know the truth and I will not be fed lies on how Rodney is, and will not be fed lies on how Rodney is innocent. This was the letter that I sent to Governor Jerry Brown back in 2015 once I had found the, when we found out that the California Innocence Project was representing him since 2007. I wrote this letter for you, Board, and please forgive me for taking so long. This is a lot to unpack. Good morning. Good afternoon. Um, this is my impact letter to the Board of Commissioners in regards to denying parole for Rodney Patrick McNeal. How the murder has affected my life? In a short answer, I may never be able to heal. Before the murder, I think about my

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

mother and it's actually eight days before her 61st birthday. She would be high risk during this COVID time and I would like to be able to try to keep her safe from this. She was a Native American woman, Oglala Lakota Sioux, seven months into her pregnancy. And I remember how she always smelled like her favorite perfume and how she always wore kitten heels even with sweat pants. And I remember her laugh and her corny sense of humor and how she would cook us stews and teach us our Lakota language and how she would let me run my hands through her hair to examine all her grays. I remember when we would pray together and she would let me hold her hand until I fell asleep. I remember how we would go to Walmart on Fridays, pick out some Snickers and some Sprites and then rent movies from Blockbusters or watch like a premiere on HBO. I remember her smile. I miss her. These are some pictures of my mom that I hope you can see. I hope you can remember how beautiful she was. The woman that you took from us, my mother. Not a day goes by that I don't think about her. Sometimes. I even see her in passing and then realize that I'll never be able to get to see her smile, feel the warmth from her hug or hear her laugh again. I remember witnessing the domestic violence that Rodney afflicted upon my mother. I remember always seeing her crying and sad. I remember the helplessness and hopelessness in her

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

eyes. I remember her vibrant personality becoming dim. But I also remember when my mom had enough strength in her to say that is it, she was done with her marriage and she was about to return to Las Vegas for her children. This is when the world got ripped from under me. My mother was brutally butchered by the person she was supposed to trust the most, her husband. Board, I ask you, what is good behavior to you? Patrick was my judge, jury and executioner. Patrick passed down a life sentence on all the people who cherish my mother the most. To brutally murder his own wife and his unborn daughter, his own flesh and blood, and for what? Insurance policy. They say that Patrick's sentence being commuted by 37 years is because of good behavior. I really hope you think about that, Parole Board. Patrick was a parole officer himself. So, as a parole officer, they're supposed to know that they are held at a higher standard for what is right and wrong. They are also separated from general population and cannot get into trouble while in prison because they are separated from general population. Yet here we are. Patrick gets his sentence. Two consecutive terms of 15 to 30 years passed down by his peers, commuted by 37 years, ultimately devaluing the life of my mother and my unborn sister. What I ask you, what is good behavior? Rodney never once, in his 23 years, ever stated or shown any type

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of remorse or pain or wanting to see or feel the caring touch of his wife or daughter, never expressed a longing to meet his unborn daughter. From the news articles, Patrick has only been worried about himself. Yes, countless news articles. For the past 13 years, they have been impacting my life on a daily. Somehow or another, Rodney convinced the California Innocence Project to take his case by blaming his brother Jeff West. Yet again, Patrick is willing to sacrifice his own sibling to find a way to get out of his sentence. The same family that supports my mother and her children because they know Patrick is quilty. The same family that is saying the following on my change.org petition that almost has 5,000 supporters to keep justice to my mother's name. And I read you this, this comment. This is from Juanita Lamar, which is your other niece. Justice for Debra. I will always hold Debra and her family near and dear to my heart. I stand behind your cause 100% and will contribute. Rodney Patrick and anyone associated with supporting him or assisting him has never and will never have my support. May the truth continue to be revealed. Justice for Debra. Board, I ask you again, what is good behavior? Is it good behavior when as soon as my mother's murdered, Rodney didn't sit with us at the funeral but in the back and not shed a tear and all he could think about was the insurance policy money? Even

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

after he was convicted in 2000, he held the insurance policy up from being distributed to my mother's and, my mother's children by asking, what about his children? What about his children? The question should have been, what about Debra's children? The ones who no longer have a matriarch? The ones who no longer get to grow up together and form a solid family bond, share memories and milestones together? Patrick never thought about that. In this past 23 years, I have never heard Patrick had any ounce of remorse. Is it good behavior to somehow, even in prison, Patrick is able to manipulate a woman to be his girlfriend and create a Facebook page for you? A Facebook page that shared photos of the crime scene? Photo of my mother's blood that I had to see? This is proof time and time again that it does not take a gun or a knife to kill someone or continue to torment them. It just takes someone's words. And Patrick's mouth is a deadly weapon, even in prison. It could be because he had a degree in criminal law that the California Innocence Project got involved. For the past 13 years, I have been, they have been, excuse me, they have been spinning a narrative to the case and have provided misinformation to make it seem as though Patrick is innocent with inconclusive DNA and uncredible witnesses, they attempted to be granted habeas corpus appeals and clemency, all which have been denied.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, I feel as though they threw a Hail Mary pass to get a commuted sentence, which is granted by the current governor, Gavin Newsom. It would have been nice if Governor Gavin Newsom would have taken the time out of his life after the current District Attorney of San Bernardino, Jason Anderson, already denied to commute his sentence. It would have been nice if Governor Gavin Newsom read what I mailed to the previous governor, Jerry Brown, because he would have fully understood Patrick's character outside of prison. But he did not. Instead, he saw Patrick as a parole officer that can get his life sentence passed down by 12 of his peers commuted by 37 years. He did not see how beautiful our mother was. He did not see the domestic violence victim attempting her last escape from being victimized. He did not see the parole officer taking advantage of his power for monetary value. He just sees a parole officer in prison and commuted his sentence to make it look good for his campaign and his agenda. Well, I'm here to say that does not work. It does not work like that. Patrick may have brutally and heinously murdered my mother, beat her, stabbed her, strangled her, drowned her, burned her skin with cleaning products trying to make it look like a hate crime, but Patrick did not kill her spirit because she lives within me. I'm a grown woman now. I was only 13 then, but I'm 37 now and I'm much stronger.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And everyday that I breathe, I'm going to make sure that Patrick does exactly what I said 23 years ago, to serve his full 60 years sentence in prison so he could fully grasp the trauma that he has caused, not only upon my mother and my unborn sister, whom I will never get a chance to meet, but also on the collateral victims, my mother's children. If I could ask him anything, it would be, where's the mercy granted to my mother and sister? Can they get their <inaudible> commuted? Well, Patrick, I granted clemency to you. I granted you mercy. When I was 16 and asked if we wanted to shoot for the death penalty, I chose life. This move that Governor Gavin Newsom did is unacceptable. This move that the California Innocence Project continues to do is unacceptable. Patrick has only completed a third of his sentence and is about to potentially be able to live a full second act. That is not what the community nor the jury of his peers said he should serve. Patrick is quilty and he can still terrify people who remember his actions. I would be lying if I said I would not fear for my well-being knowing how hard I have fought to keep him in prison. If he was released, I definitely would not feel protected from him, possibly retaliating against me, which has ultimately propelled my cause much greater than any shady nonprofit can attain. I've found my purpose. My purpose is to make sure that

Patrick stays where he belongs. My purpose is to make sure 1 that police reform is real. My purpose is to make sure 2 3 that justice is served and remains intact for domestic 4 violence victims and for the missing and murdered indigenous women. My purpose is to make sure that justice 5 6 remains in my mother's name. Board, I ask you, please deny 7 the parole for Rodney Patrick McNeal by at the bare 8 minimum seven years, which will be the remainder of his 30-year sentence. This will allow my Native American 10 community to fully investigate all facts pertaining to 11 this case, the <inaudible> and Rodney, that Rodney was 12 classified under even though he was 27 years, five months and nine days old when he committed the crime and the 13 blatant misinformation given to the governor, Gavin 14 15 Newsom, by the California Innocence Project. Thank you. PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: 16 Thank you, Ms. Haynes. 17 DISTRICT ATTORNEY LASKY: Thank you. And the final 18 speaker will be Deanna BlackCrow. 19 VNOK BLACKCROW: Can you hear me? 20 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Yes. 21 VNOK BLACKCROW: Can you hear me? 22 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Yes, we can hear you. 23 VNOK BLACKCROW: Hello. My name is Deanna BlackCrow. Um, I'm the oldest daughter of Debra BlackCrow. 24 25 I knew this would be really hard for me to do because it

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is still very hard for me to talk about. Rodney knows exactly how I've always felt about how Juanita Lamar was my best friend and the idea of us being cousins was very exciting at first. But when I got to see the things that I seen, the domestic situations that my mother had, um, was inflicted on her by Rodney, um, I feel that, um, things, of course, changed quickly for me. I no longer felt the way that I felt with the idea of just being cousins with my best friend. He knows exactly how I've always felt about him. He's made sure to always keep himself distant from me. Nothing about that has changed today. I feel that our lives have always and forever been changed since March 10th, 1997 because of Rodney Patrick McNeal. The husband of our late mother killed her and their unborn child, our sister. There has never been a sign of remorse, explanation or apology from Rodney. The person not only killed our mother but took his child's life. Reform is a process of change. Showing that a person has improved and changed their ways in over 20 years, never have I witnessed that from Rodney. This person has pointed blame and never took accountability for what he did. His brother had no motive, but Rodney did. His greed for money. He lied about the jewelry. She pawned for her to have money for food because he depleted their bank account while she was seven months pregnant. I witnessed that. I was at that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

store. I went to the Smith's. I was 16 years old and it wasn't a pizza party. I was 16 years old and I wasn't in trouble with the law. I was in trouble at school. That's why my mother was visiting, coming back and forth during that time in Las Vegas. I was there. I watched my mother cry because she had no money and she was pregnant with his child. And I watched her go across the street to that pawn shop and pawn not only her ring, but more jewelry to get money. I was there for that. I witnessed my mother going through that. My frail 120-pound mother. I watched my mother cried and I begged her so many times to leave him. I've never once visited. I've never once, um, I tried one time to encounter, you know, his girlfriend and I had to be a witness of her threatening my mother through a phone call. And I promised myself I would never visit their apartment or my mother again because I could no longer take seeing my mother going through a domestic and abusive relationship with Patrick, with Rodney. He threatened me at 15 years old to beat me with a bat. I am a young girl. Why are you threatening a young girl to beat her? There was so much pain and hurt that he inflicted on my mother and our family. This is not a good person. I'm, during my mother's funeral, it was really hard for me and seeing the person that she married never cry once, never show remorse, never came near us, never shared care, never has

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

he ever reached out to us, never has he expressed any kind of anything, accountability for anything that he's ever inflicted on our mother. And he did, he did nothing to show me that he cared for her. He never cried once at the funeral. Why? Because he, he felt no remorse for killing my mother and his child, our sister. My mother had missed so many things in our life. My high school graduation, something I worked very hard to come, that was something I worked very hard at after losing my mother. I worked really hard. Her trial and everything, you know, with her death, her funeral and everything that I had to fight to get back in when it came to school and I fought very hard to get it. And I did it for her and she wasn't there. She missed my high school graduation. She's missed college, my college graduation, my wedding, the birth of four of my children. She is never going to know what it's like to be a grandmother. Those are life moments that I wish we could have had together. The greatest impact that I've seen the 23 years was not having my mother to share all this life moments with. I miss her every single day. And he took her away for greed. He can point blame because he's too cowardly to own up to what he did. He can fool everyone else but I've never liked him. He has always kept his distance their entire relationship. I've never visited their home once because of his spirit. They were married

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for a little over two years and I've never once seen their home because I could not take <inaudible> and the way that he treated my mother and the fact that she wouldn't, it took her so long to want to get out. He does not have me fooled here. He has not changed. And his release could not bring, could definitely bring harm to us and society. I worry he could prey on another woman and her family. He has never once contacted us with concern or regard. That is not a person that has changed. If he were let back into society, he could very well do this to another woman and her family. Please keep this person where he belongs. There was no amount of time that could bring our mother back. Her time was up 23 years ago. So, no matter what happens in this parole hearing, she'll be gone forever. And Rodney Patrick McNeal will always be responsible. I ask you to please continue holding him accountable for murdering our mother and their child, our sister. Do your time. For once, be accountable. My mother's birthday is a few days away, but this is a time that we should be celebrating her, her life. But she is gone and she is not here anymore. What he has expressed today has shown me that he's very superficial, greedy and his thought process today is just like it was then. It is no different. It is definitely the same way it was when it came to killing our mother. I'm asking you to please get my mother her

rightful justice and keep him in prison. She is still very
much loved and missed. And I'm asking you to continue the
justice for my mother. And I thank you.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Thank you very much.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY LASKY: Commissioner, that concludes
the victim impact statements.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Okay. I want to thank everybody. And this has been a real challenge. Uh, uh, we will now recess for deliberations. You'll see Commissioner Lam and I leave the Skype meeting and ask everybody to remain on. We will return upon conclusion of our deliberations.

[RECESS]

CALIFORNIA BOARD OF PAROLE HEARINGS

2 DECISION

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: We're on record. We're back on record.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Thank you. The date is September 3rd, 2020. The time is approximately 1452 hours. All parties present prior to Panel's deliberations have returned. Mr. McNeal, our legal standard today is to determine if you continue to pose an unreasonable risk to public safety and accordingly, a denial of parole must be based on evidence in the record of your current dangerousness. The evidence we considered today were your central and master files, the comprehensive risk assessment conducted by Dr. Carman on June 17th of 2020, the testimony provided by you today, the statements from all the victims' family members and support of representatives, uh, the input from Deputy District Attorney Lasky and inmate Counsel, Ms. Sheppard. We did review the confidential file, including the most recent memorandum dated April 25th of 2002. We did not rely on that information due to the passage of time. Mr. McNeal, we have a split decision today. As the Presiding Commissioner, I will render my decision first and then Deputy Commissioner Lam will then render her decision. Uh, RODNEY MCNEAL 9-3-2020 P80613 DECISION PAGE 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

our case, this case will then be referred to the other 16 Commissioners en banc and they will evaluate the evidence and render a decision. So, Mr. McNeal, based on the legal standards and evidence considered, I find that you do not pose an unreasonable risk to public safety and are suitable for parole today. Uh, there's a factor that aggravates your risk. We find, I find that your selfcontrol throughout your criminal history, uh, was an aggravating factor. You responded to an insecurity and a criminal mindset in antisocial ways. You were manipulative in ways to avoid following the law. You were impulsive and failed to think of or recognize consequences when committing crimes, and an example of that would be filing the false insurance claim after your, uh, the death of your wife. And you demonstrated exceptionally poor decision making. You were callous towards others as you testified to in this hearing today, and you did have a criminal attitude. However, I find that the factors aggravating your current risk are significantly outweighed by factors today that mitigate your current risk. I note the comprehensive risk assessment determined you pose a low risk of future violence, which means you pose nonelevated risk relative to other long-term offenders. Your criminal and parole history was a mitigating factor. RODNEY MCNEAL P80613 9-3-2020 DECISION PAGE 2

You've had no prior criminal history before the life crime. No history of being under the terms of supervised release. I find your programming to be strongly mitigating today. The comprehensive risk assessment does not identify risk factors remain currently relevant for you and the character defects — programming, uh, both as a participant and as a facilitator, including GOGI, Criminal Gangs Anonymous, Domestic Violence programming, and much, much more. Uh, you did attain five Associate of Arts degrees while in prison and a certificate in HVAC and a voc computer and related technology.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Commissioner, I'm so sorry, but you cut out. So, could you go back to the sentence that you said that he took GOGI and all that because all that was gone?

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Oh, very good. Um, so I'll just start at the beginning of that paragraph once again. The comprehensive risk assessment does not identify risk factors that remain currently relevant for you and the character defects present at the time of life crime have been addressed through active participation and completion of significant self-help programming, including GOGI, CGA, Domestic Violence programming, and much, much more. And that was both as a participant and as a RODNEY MCNEAL P80613 9-3-2020 DECISION PAGE 3

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

facilitator. You attained five Associate of Arts degrees and a certification in HVAC and voc computer and related technology. Significant amount of programming. I find that your institutional behavior is also a mitigating factor. You've had no misconduct whatsoever in almost six years, no violent rules violations during your entire incarceration and only two serious rules violation, uh, violations in the 23 years. Plus, you had demonstrated behavior that goes above and beyond rule compliance, uh, giving to charities. You have 60 laudatory chronos. I've never seen that. And why that carries weight, uh, I spent a lot of years working in a correctional environment and I can tell you that the people that see you everyday, they know you best. They see you at night. They see you at work. They see you at school. They see you everyday for a period of years. And for them to be willing to put pen to paper that many of them, uh, to, um, uh, present laudatory chronos to you for your exemplary behavior says a lot because the prison environment is not an environment that lends staff, uh, that encourages staff to put their hand up for an inmate. Um, and I also note that you've been tutoring other inmates, which is, uh, also going above and beyond rule compliance. Uh, I find the offender change category to be mitigating today. You've clearly RODNEY MCNEAL P80613 9-3-2020 DECISION PAGE 4

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

demonstrated change, excellent impulse and behavioral control for almost six years, respect for rules, authority and laws. And this change was initiated well before the commutation, which reflects that your change was motivated for the right reasons. You distanced yourself from negative influences in prison, uh, where you were involved in some negative stuff early on, uh, and since have engaged in pro-social pursuits. I did find you to be candid and credible in your testimony today when you, um, testified to and admitted to misconduct in the community and in prison that we would not have known about without you, uh, telling us. And you demonstrated emotion of what appeared to be sincere remorse, uh, in your testimony today. Um, although you denied committing the murders, you do accept the responsibility for your actions that you believe precipitated the murder, and you've clearly internalized the programming that you've engaged in. Uh, and so, I just will, uh, put on the record once again the comments from Dr. Carman in the comprehensive risk assessment page 10, Mr. McNeal denied committing the life crime. He discussed his innocence and his Attorney sent documents supportive of his innocence. Even if one finds his claim of innocence implausible, his discussion of the other contributing risk factors presented is very 9-3-2020 RODNEY MCNEAL P80613 DECISION PAGE 5

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

insightful and suggestive of improved ability to recognize and internally manage his own risks, particularly as they relate to domestic violence and healthy relationships. Thus, even in denying the life crime, he reflected a great deal of insight into other risk factors and all he presented is quite genuine in discussing the harm he has historically caused directly and indirectly in a very deep and meaningful way. Both records and his discussion reflected he was relatively high functioning in the community prior to the life crime and in prison. Despite saying he was, he has been wrongfully convicted, he's approached his sentence with a positive attitude. He had a couple of glitches with cell phone use and selling heroin many years ago, but he readily accepted responsibility for this. He admitted to undetected crimes such as theft when he could have just as easily have lied. It was evident in the discussion that he's embraced change and has addressed negative aspects of his past, that he made important changes earlier in a sense prior to an earlier opportunity to parole, to parole leaves the impression of more sincerity in the changes he has made since they have been more longstanding and not instigated by his upcoming hearing. He exhibited good insight into his historical risk factors in a manner that was highly impressive. His P80613 9-3-2020 RODNEY MCNEAL DECISION PAGE 6

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

insight combined with his remorse and empathy appears to have decreased his risk for violence. And so, I wanted to read that I, I strongly agree with Dr. Carman's assessment. Um, and so, in addition, Dr. Carman did conduct an analysis of, uh, Mr. McNeal's psychopathy on page eight and stated the following, Mr. McNeal's total PCL-R score is well below the mean of North American male inmates and also well below the cutoff or threshold commonly used to identify this social or psychopathic personality. So, I do not find that Mr. McNeal's claim of innocence is implausible today. While the evidence reflects Mr. McNeal most likely committed this crime, his version of the events and alternate theories are not implausible or inconceivable. Said another way, it is possible that his version of events is true. There are a number of inconsistencies in the evidence that lends some credence to his claim of innocence. Based upon the phone records, approximately 15 minutes passed between the time Mr. McNeal left the office and police arrived at the murder scene, which they arrived at about 12:35. And, uh, depending on where you look in the record, it's approximately an eight to 10-minute drive from the office to the home. Uh, and so, according to the record, during the time frame, Mr. McNeal drove from his work site to the P80613 9-3-2020 RODNEY MCNEAL DECISION PAGE 7

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

house, strangled Debra McNeal, stabbed her 15 times in the back, stage the crime scene by creating a mess and opening drawers, uh, wrote a racial epithet on the mirror, attempted to clean up the crime scene with Clorox and Lysol, uh, ran water in the bathtub, filled up the bathtub and drained it, uh, cleaned himself up and then somehow disposed of his bloody clothes in a way to avoid detection by the forensic team. The unidentified hair, uh, not matching the victim or Mr. McNeal was, I found to be troublesome, was found on the victim and it leads some credence, uh, to Mr. McNeal's claim that his brother may have committed this crime and the possibility noted in the record that his brother took the hair from a homeless person to plant at the scene. A third possibility also exists that this really was a hate crime given the racial epithet written on the mirror and the fact that Mr. and Mrs. McNeal were an interracial couple. For these reasons, I did not find Mr. McNeal's claim of innocence to be implausible today. However, under the law, even if I were to find Mr. McNeal's claim of innocence implausible, I must draw a nexus between a lack of insight and current dangerousness. Again, Mr. McNeal demonstrated very good insight into the causative factors of his crimes, both in his interview with Dr. Carman on June 17th, 2020 and in P80613 RODNEY MCNEAL 9-3-2020 **DECISION PAGE 8**

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

RODNEY MCNEAL

his testimony today. In addition, there are no recent behaviors or other factors that demonstrate a nexus to current dangerousness. I also evaluated the release plans. Uh, Mr. McNeal has concrete, realistic plans addressing the community stability factors, one of the most comprehensive if not the most comprehensive parole plan I've ever seen. Took the initiative to gain acceptance to transitional housing, uh, prospective employment there that we discussed on the record. He's got a sizable bank account and a car already. He has significant pro-social support. Uh, and therefore I found the release plans to be a mitigating factor today. So, again, based on these findings, I conclude, Mr. McNeal, that you do not pose an unreasonable risk to public safety and accordingly find you suitable for parole today. Um, and, uh, if you are released from prison, you'll be <inaudible> all the general conditions of parole as required by law as well as any special conditions of the parole as imposed by the Division of Adult Parole Operations. So, in addition, I order the following special conditions of parole. I order that you participate in a transitional housing program. So, now, I will turn it over to Commissioner Lam for the reading of her decision. DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Thank you so much. Um, so I,

9-3-2020

DECISION PAGE 9

P80613

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm going to confess that I am a little exhausted, so I'm sorry if I'm going to be a little slow in my decision. My brain is not, uh, as sharp right now. I want to start by saying to you, sir, that I don't take the split lightly. I know you've done a lot of programming. I know, um, you've helped a lot of people. I know that you have been disciplinary-free for six years. Okay? So I do not take this split lightly at all. But I am so troubled by your testimony, the record and your lack of credibility that I cannot, in good conscience, put my name on your grant. Okay? So let's start with the elephant in the room, your claim of innocence, um, and the theory that your brother, uh, committed the crime that, that you're throwing at us. I find it implausible. I find your claim of innocence not credible. Why? Because nothing adds up. What you presenting to me does not add up. I do understand that your case is very, it's a circumstantial evidence and there is no smoking gun and there's no eyewitness who can say that you stabbed your wife 15 times and strangled her. But the circumstantial evidence we have, it's pretty overwhelming. And the jury obviously bought it and that's why you're convicted. I want to start and I'm going to try to summarize as fast as I could and, and keep this as short as I can, but this case is so fact intensive that, RODNEY MCNEAL P80613 9-3-2020 DECISION PAGE 10

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that you're going to have to bear with me here. But you claim that you get there at 12:30. You found the victim dead. Uh, I I'm reading, um, the appellate decision. The police arrived. This is page nine, and this is, uh, page 29 of the master packet of 382 appellate decision page nine, second paragraph. It says you didn't arrive home until 12:30 but the police arrived at 12:32. It says here 12:32, two minutes later. So, your story is that by the time you got there, you found her dead, you claim that you got there at 12:30. Um, the, your timeline doesn't make sense. You claim that you got there at 12:30, you found the body, you stayed there for about a minute, ran to your first neighbor and tried to get help, nobody was there, ran to your second neighbor, nobody was there, then you ran back into the house and then you try to lift her from the tub and then you decide to not do that and then you run back to the second, uh, um, neighbor and called, and this time somebody answered. I mean, the, the court found that you couldn't have possibly done all that in two minutes, called the cops and the cops is there by, in two minutes, um, which tells me, sir, that it's obvious that you were there way before 12:30 and had plenty of opportunity and time to commit this murder. Your, you told, according to appellate decision, you told your RODNEY MCNEAL P80613 9-3-2020 DECISION PAGE 11

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sister-in-law that you arrive home at noon, which actually corroborates the neighbor's testimony that she saw your car there at 12:15. Um, you know, the experts testify at trial. It only takes three minutes to strangle someone to death. And the detective, I believe, also tested himself and showed that it only took 22 seconds to write the word N lover on the mirror. That leaves you other minutes to me, I believe, for you to clean up, uh, and, and, and, and, um, make up the story that you found, you, you came home and you found her dead. Um, and this is where I was very convinced throughout the hearing. There was one moment in the hearing that clinched it for me and I believe wholeheartedly that you are not telling the truth. When the Commissioner, you know, you came to this hearing, you had an answer for everything. I'm going to give you that, sir. You're very smart. You're very high functioning obviously. You were a probation officer. You had a master's. You were working in your master's degree. You are very, very bright. Um, so, you know, I found that you came in here, you had an answer for everything except one. And I think that was the most telling for me. When the Commissioner asked you, because you claim you ran back into the house, you grab her body and then you decide to put her back and then run for help and he asked you, why, P80613 9-3-2020 RODNEY MCNEAL DECISION PAGE 12

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

why is there nothing, no blood in your shoes? There's no, you know, I should have followed up. But the record also shows there's no blood on your shirt. There's no blood on you. There's no water because there was, there was, um, evidence that there was water in the tub. And when he asked you, how come? You were stumped. You were totally stumped. And you're like, uh, I don't know. Well, sir, she was stabbed 15 times. If you move the body, we should at least see that. And the reason we don't see that, sir is because I believe that did not occur. I believe you, and the jury already convicted you so I'm not retrying it, but I, I believe that you committed the crime, had time to try to wipe down things, change your clothing and that's why we don't see blood or water, anything on you because if you grab a body that's been stabbed 15 times, we should see blood on you. You obviously changed your clothing. And I do not believe you got there two minutes before the police got there. So, I do not find your claim of innocence credible whatsoever. Um, there was the footprint that matched your footprint. Apparently, it was, I believe, wiped down. Uh, there was, um, I guess they also had a writing expert who couldn't, uh, um, rule you out as the person who wrote it because the writing expert says that there were similarities between your writing and what RODNEY MCNEAL P80613 9-3-2020 DECISION PAGE 13

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

was written on the mirror. Um, and let's talk about your now theory that your brother must have committed the crime. You want us to believe, you want me to believe that somehow your brother was a very violent guy and because you shared some, you badmouth your wife three months prior, that all of a sudden three months later, he decided to just kill her. That just makes absolutely no sense to me. I mean, it doesn't, with all due respect, it doesn't even pass the laugh test. I mean, you, you, you want us to rely on, um, the statement from McGill who, in my opinion, has a clear motive to lie, um, because your brother was sleeping with his wife, apparently had a relationship with his wife. Uh, but, but more important, sir, more importantly, what's, what convinces me the most is your own statement. You tell us that your brother loves you, protects you and always wants to play the protector, and that's what made him capable of committing this crime to protect you. That doesn't make any sense. If your brother loves you that much and he's willing to kill, you're telling me that's the same brother who's willing to let his own little brother who he loves go to prison for life? That does not make any sense. He was, uh, he was, um, interviewed and he denied that. He denied killing your wife. He spoke about how he made a promise to your mom, RODNEY MCNEAL P80613 9-3-2020 DECISION PAGE 14

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

both of your moms to take care of you. And he said, and I know you denied that you got any benefits from him, but he said he sold drugs to help you out. And he said if he committed the crime, he would never let you take the fall. That just makes more sense to me than your version, sir. And then there's the issue of domestic violence. I believe that you are minimizing and you are, uh, engaging in impression management, um, because I believe there was a lot more domestic violence that went on and then you being the perpetrator than you are, uh, portraying the whole incident. Uh, I'm looking at, you know, the appellate decision talks about in December, there were at least three, I guess, three to five calls, right? On December 13th, there was a domestic violence call. Apparently, the officers felt so unsafe that he kept your two firearms for safekeeping because he said, or she said that both of you seem unstable. The same month, apparently you got the guns back. The same month, she came back and brought, the victim came and took the gun and gave it to the officer, brought it back to the police station because she was afraid that you would use it on her. Um, the appellate decision talks about the children seeing physical violence at home. You described it as, um, she's out of control and you were restraining her. And, you know, now, you're 9-3-2020 RODNEY MCNEAL P80613 DECISION PAGE 15

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

saying, well, I recognize restraining as also domestic violence. With all due respect, sir, I don't think so. I think if I had a spouse that is hitting me, I have a right to hold him back. That's not the domestic, the classic domestic violence case. You're portraying it like that, but it seems to me that, that there's a whole lot more going on than just you restraining her because she's out of control. You describing it as just verbal abuse and mental abuse when, sir, I think there's a whole lot more going on than that. She is afraid enough to file a restraining order on you. And on the restraining order, according to the appellate decision, is allegations of physical confrontations. So, it's not just mental and verbal abuse, sir, and just restraining her because she's out of control. Um, so why does this matter? Why does it matter that you're not telling the truth in my mind? It matters a whole lot, sir, because that's a huge trust issue. If I don't trust you in this hearing room to tell me the truth, I am not going to trust you outside to do the right thing, sir. How can I trust you, um, that when you say that you are rehabilitated and you're not going to hurt another person, how can I trust you when I don't feel you're, you're being honest with what's going on here? How can you truly be rehabilitated when you haven't, um, P80613 RODNEY MCNEAL 9-3-2020 DECISION PAGE 16

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

addressed your true violence? Uh, you know, to me, I always tell every inmate, rehabilitation built on lies are no rehabilitation at all, sir. It also brings into question, I mean, this is criminal thinking. This is criminal mindset when you try to deceive and not take responsibility for your own actions. These are the same behavior that made you dangerous before that I believe continues to make you dangerous now today, unless you can honestly deal with what happened and your role in all of this and do it honestly. And I believe that when you are not honest, it, it also calls into question true remorse, sir. How can you have true remorse when you're not even honest about what happened? So, uh, I just find your presentation, uh, troubling, um, and I believe it does make you a very dangerous person still until you're ready to be honest with what happened. And, and I just want to note, and these are smaller points, but I just want to note that your claim of innocence didn't make sense to me today because the behavior didn't make sense to me. It wasn't consistent with someone whose wife just, was just brutally murdered. I mean, there's just no, there's no emotions. And I know you tried to explain it all the away. You're, you're more, uh, you know, you're filing false insurance claims and I'm thinking if my spouse was P80613 RODNEY MCNEAL 9-3-2020 DECISION PAGE 17

murdered, the last thing I care about is an insurance claim. And then, uh, you know, you, you start dating a few months later. I, I don't know. I don't want to pass judgment on that. I guess everybody heals or deals with, uh, their issues in their own way. I, it just does not add up. And I just think the circumstantial evidence is so overwhelming that, you know, it doesn't make any sense who else would commit this crime, sir. Uh, so I do not find your claim of innocence, uh, credible, and I do not find your theory that your brother must have committed the crime plausible, and I wish you good luck. I think I would advise that you start over and at this, this time, start with the truth, sir. I wish you good luck.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Commissioner, would you like to, uh, pass on your recommendations to go along with your decision?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Well, my recommendation is start with the truth. Uh, go back and perhaps read my statements, um, and figure out which part of it, I mean, truth resonates and which doesn't. Uh, but I will submit to you, sir, that I do not believe you can be truly rehabilitated until you have an honest talk with yourself about what happened. But continue your program.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Do you have any RODNEY MCNEAL P80613 9-3-2020 DECISION PAGE 18

specific self-help programming you'd like to see him 1 2 engage in? DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Well, he's done a whole lot, 3 4 so if he can, I would tell him to go back and, and to the criminal thinking modules and the denial management 5 6 modules. PRESIDING COMMISSIONER LONG: Okay. Very good. Well, 8 I know this was a marathon hearing today. I want to thank 9 everybody for their patience and their participation, in particular to all the victims' next of kin. Uh, it was a 10 11 very difficult process. Um, and we're, we're trying to 12 come up with the best decision under the law. And so, this was an unusual case today. We'll go ahead and, uh, um, 13 14 adjourn the hearing. The time is 1519 hours. 15 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Good luck, sir. 16 17 [RECESS] 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 RODNEY MCNEAL P80613 9-3-2020 DECISION PAGE 19

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: We're back on record.

presiding commissioner Long: Okay. The time is 1548 hours. Everybody that was here previously has now returned and, uh, uh, we will return, I'll turn it back over to Commissioner Lam to finish her portion of the decision.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Thank you so much. And just to confirm that this will be track two of Mr. McNeal's, um, suitability hearing, P80613, and I apologize, Commissioner, but I neglected to place on the record the denial length. And, uh, Mr. McNeal, it is my belief that the minimal time is all you need. I think you can get it done. Uh, so it is a three-year denial. Um, and I wish you good luck. I think you can do it. Uh, you may be advanced, uh, sooner, um, through the administrative review process and you also can petition to advance if you feel you've addressed the concerns, uh, <inaudible> and we agree, we'll, we can bring you back sooner, too. I don't know. Anything else I need to place on the record, Commissioner?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Nope, that'll, that'll do. I appreciate everybody for, uh, waiting around and getting back on the, on the call so that we could, uh, we could finish that portion. Thank you again, everybody.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAM: Thank you. Thank you, Ms. Sheppard.

RODNEY MCNEAL P80613 9-3-2020 DECISION PAGE 20

ADJOURNMENT

THIS TRANSCRIPT CONTAINS THE PROPOSED DECISION OF THE
BOARD OF PAROLE HEARINGS (BOARD) ANNOUNCED AT YOUR RECENT
BOARD HEARING AND IS PROVIDED TO YOU IN COMPLIANCE WITH
PENAL CODE SECTION 3041.5, SUBDIVISION (A)(4), AND
CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS, TITLE 15, SECTION 2254.
THIS PROPOSED DECISION WILL BECOME FINAL WITHIN 120 DAYS
OF THE DATE OF THE HEARING AS REQUIRED BY PENAL CODE
SECTION 3041, SUBDIVISION (B), UNLESS THE BOARD NOTIFIES
YOU IN WRITING BEFORE THEN THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION HAS
BEEN MODIFIED, VACATED OR REFERRED TO THE FULL BOARD,
SITTING EN BANC, DUE TO AN ERROR OF LAW, ERROR OF FACT OR
NEW INFORMATION PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA CODE OF
REGULATIONS, TITLE 15, SECTION 2042. THEREAFTER, THE
GOVERNOR HAS AUTHORITY TO REVIEW THE BOARD'S DECISION AND
AFFIRM, MODIFY, OR REVERSE IT PURSUANT TO PENAL CODE
SECTIONS 3041.1 AND 3041.2.

RODNEY MCNEAL P80613 9-3-2020 DECISION PAGE 21

CERTIFICATE AND DECLARATION OF TRANSCRIBER

I, Evangelyn Cambe, am a disinterested party, and have no interest in the outcome of the hearing. Further, I certify this transcript is a true, complete, and accurate record, to the best of my ability, of the recorded material provided for transcription of proceeding for:

In the matter of the Parole CDC Number: **P80613** Consideration Hearing of:

RODNEY PATRICK MCNEAL

CALIFORNIA CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION

TEHACHAPI, CALIFORNIA

09/03/2020

8:58 AM

Signed: Evangelyn Cambe

Transcribed by: Evangelyn Cambe

Conduit Transcriptions

Copyright 2020 / All Rights Reserved by BPH