1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
2	CRIMINAL DIVISION
3	x
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
5	Plaintiff
6	vs. Criminal Action Nos.
7 8 9	MICHELLE MACCHIO, 2017 CF2 1183  JENNIFER ARMENTO, 2017 CF2 1193  CHRISTINA SIMMONS, 2017 CF2 1210  ALEXEI WOOD, 2017 CF2 1221  OLIVER HARRIS and 2017 CF2 1254  BRITTNE LAWSON, 2017 CF2 1256
11	Defendants.
12	Washington, D.C. December 12, 2017
13	
14	The above-entitled matter came on for jury trial before the HONORABLE LYNN LEIBOVITZ, Associate Judge, in Courtroom 203, commencing at approximately 9:50 a.m.
15	
16	THIS TRANSCRIPT REPRESENTS THE PRODUCT OF AN OFFICIAL REPORTER, ENGAGED BY THE
17	COURT, WHO HAS PERSONALLY CERTIFIED THAT IT REPRESENTS TESTIMONY AND PROCEEDINGS
18	OF THE CASE AS RECORDED.
19	APPEARANCES:
20	On behalf of the Government: Jennifer Kerkhoff, Esquire
21	Rizwan Qureshi, Esquire Assistant United States Attorneys
22	
23	On behalf of Defendant Lawson:
24	Sara Kropf, Esquire Daniel Portnov, Esquire
25	Washington, D.C.

	2		4
1	APPEARANCES, CONT'D:	1	TABLE OF CONTENTS CONTINUED
2	On behalf of Defendant Armento:	_	EVHIDITO
3	Thomas Healy, Esquire	2	<u>EXHIBITS</u>
4	Carrie Weletz, Esquire Washington, DC	3	
	On behalf of Defendant Macchio:		
5		4	On behalf of the Government:
6	Jamie Heine, Esquire Christina Copsey, Esquire	5	
7	Andrew Lazerow, Esquire Alaina Whitt, Esquire		Government's Exhibit Nos. 106 to 114;
8	Washington, DC	6	118 to 120; 126 to 127; 129 to 130;
9	On behalf of Defendant Harris:	7	133 to 134; 136 to 138; 143 to 146; 148.12 to 148.44; 175 to 182;
10	Steven McCool, Esquire		185 to 188; and 190 to 219 140
	Washington, DC	8	
11	On behalf of Defendant Wood:	9	Government's Exhibit Nos. 282 and 283 150
12	Brett Cohen, Esquire		
13	Washington, DC	10 11	
14	On behalf of Defendant Simmons:	12	
15	Tammy Jacques, Esquire	13	
	Washington, DC	14	
16	Reported by:	15 16	
17	Lisa Edwards, RDR, CRR Official Court Reporter	17	
18	Telephone (202) 879-1079	18	
19		19 20	
20		21	
21		22	
22 23		23	
24 25		24 25	
1	TABLE OF CONTENTS		5
	TRIAL	1	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Now calling Co-Defendant matters
2	<u>WITNESS</u>	2	United States versus Brittne Lawson, 2017 CF2 1256; United
3	On behalf of the Government:	3	States versus Jennifer Armento, 2017 CF2 1193; United States
5	Greggory Pemberton	4	versus Michelle Macchio, 2017 CF2 1183; United States versus
6	Cross-Examination Cont'd by Mr. Lazerow 42 Redirect Examination by Ms. Kerkhoff 77	5	Oliver Harris, 2017 CF2 1254; United States versus Alexei
7	, and the second	6	Wood, 2017 CF1 221; and United States versus Christina
8	Robert Ranck Direct Examination by Mr. Qureshi 112	7	Simmons, 2017 CF2 1210.
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Lazerow 140		·
9	Cross-Examination by Ms. Weletz	8	THE COURT: Good morning, everybody.
10	Cross-Examination by Mr. Cohen 147	9	MS. KERKHOFF: Good morning, your Honor.
11	On behalf of Defendant Lawson:	10	MS. HEINE: Good morning.
12	Valerie Hess	11	THE COURT: Here's this thing, if anybody needs it.
13	Direct Examination by Ms. Kropf	12	Thank you.
14	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	13	Ms. Macchio is here with four lawyers today, I
15	On behalf of Defendant Simmons:	14	believe.
16	Judith Kaplan Direct Examination by Ms. Jacques 167	15	I think we're missing Mr. Healy at the moment. But
17	Cross-Examination by Ms. Kerkhoff	16	everybody else is here for Ms. Armento.
18	On behalf of Defendant Wood:	17	Ms. Jacques and Ms. Simmons are here.
19		18	Mr. Wood and Mr. Cohen are here.
20	Michael Nye Direct Examination by Mr. Cohen 176	19	Ms. Lawson and Ms. Kropf are here and Mr. Portnov.
21		20	And Mr. Harris is here with Mr. McCool.
22	On behalf of Defendant Armento:	21	So we've got the full crowd except Mr. Healy.
23	Robert Sataloff, M.D. Direct Examination by Ms. Weletz	22	The stuff that Mr. Lazerow filed was filed on the
24	Cross-Examination by Mr. Qureshi	23	late side last night. I'm seeing it for the first time now.
25		24	So I've got a packet of materials that you'll have
		25	to just walk me through, Mr. Lazerow, in terms of what is

25

24

25

MR. LAZEROW: And so what we have here in total is

the MPD releasing four days after this event the names and

The only conspiracy evidence we've seen of

pre-January 20th conduct that this Government put into this

10 12 1 1 trial and they shielded that from us until your Honor forced He goes on to say that -- he implies he is speaking 2 2 the Government to tell us who it was. We thought we knew. for 900,000 police officers in this particular piece. 3 And they told us. 3 THE COURT: Does he say those words: I'm speaking 4 4 for 900,000 people? And so it is -- absolutely, we believe, it goes to 5 5 the bias in that they were willing to provide this MR. LAZEROW: No. 6 6 information to the -- to a right-wing news outlet in a -- I THE COURT: So I'm asking you for his words. 7 agree with your Honor, obviously, that that information is MR. LAZEROW: Okay. And then he in a later quote 8 says, "We," as in the police, "are looking for someone to public that my client was arrested. 8 9 9 When it's compiled into a spreadsheet and given in a come in and destroy the false narrative that police officers 10 nice, tight package to an organization like this that then 10 are inherently criminal racists that are committing crimes 11 11 publishes things about killing antifa and "We're going to against citizens." 12 show up at black" --12 THE COURT: So can you tell me the -- is the date of 13 THE COURT: So I'll allow you to file your 13 this August 24th, 2016? 14 additional material in writing. Can we get to the next 14 MR. LAZEROW: That's our understanding. 15 subject matter? 15 THE COURT: And so what you would propose to 16 16 I'm not going to allow you to use this. It's too cross-examine him about is the discussion he had -- and I 17 attenuated from Detective Pemberton, and I do conclude it's 17 assume this is a virtual discussion, not an actual 18 18 not probative of bias on his part. interview --19 19 MR. LAZEROW: No. It's an actual interview. MR. LAZEROW: Okay. What's the next one that you 20 20 THE COURT: -- an interview with him in which he's have, your Honor? 21 21 THE COURT: You tell me. There were some Twitter saying Donald Trump appeals to police because he's a law and 22 22 order guy and -- what was this? We're looking for someone to quotes, Twitter --23 MR. LAZEROW: We can just go through what you have 23 come in and destroy the false narrative? 24 24 there. MR. LAZEROW: Correct. 25 25 THE COURT: About police? THE COURT: I have Macchio 18, Emily Miller. 11 13 1 MR. LAZEROW: Oh, okay. Great. 1 MR. LAZEROW: About the police being -- his quote --2 "inherently criminal racists." So this is -- you'll see on Macchio 18 -- I believe 3 that's a screen shot of -- it says "Emily Miller." And she 3 THE COURT: Okay. Next? 4 tweets, "Will Fraternal Order of Police endorse Trump or 4 MR. LAZEROW: Well, before you move on, let me just 5 Clinton for President?" say one other thing in case -- just out of -- to paint the 6 6 And you'll see just below that line, your Honor, complete picture. 7 there's a Macchio 18 at GPem gives insight. And it says, "My 7 The National Fraternal Order of Police went on to 8 report at OANN." 8 endorse Donald Trump. 9 9 OANN in One American News Network. It has been THE COURT: Can I just ask: This was not the local 10 10 reported in the Washington Post and elsewhere to be very FOP he was speaking for? He was speaking for --11 11 pro-Trump and to be basically -- will exclude anything that's MR. LAZEROW: I'm going there. 12 negative about Trump and is favoring Trump. 12 THE COURT: -- the National FOP at the time? 13 13 MR. LAZEROW: It's not clear, but I believe he was In this particular thing, which we're happy to show 14 you the clips that Detective Pemberton gave, he talks about 14 speaking for DC. 15 the reason that the police --15 THE COURT: Ms. Kerkhoff, can I just ask you to hang 16 THE COURT: So do you have the text of what it is 16 in there with me? Just settle down. I will talk to you 17 17 next. Okay? that Detective Pemberton says that I can look at? 18 18 MR. LAZEROW: I don't. We can just play it. MS. KERKHOFF: Yes, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: And so can you tell me what it is? 19 THE COURT: So --20 MR. LAZEROW: Yeah. There are two separate quotes. 20 MR. LAZEROW: I believe -- I'm sorry. 21 21 One is he's asked whether the police union is THE COURT: Yes. Can we move to the next one, 22 considering endorsing Donald Trump. 22 please. 23 23 And he says one of the reasons they appealed to --MR. LAZEROW: Okay. 24 that Donald Trump appeals to the police is that he has a 24 THE COURT: Macchio 22-A. 25 25 message of law and order. And, really, what I'm asking is: What are the words

25

Twitter content by Detective Pemberton.

MR. LAZEROW: That's our understanding, your Honor.

is his tweet replying to FOP 3.

police are too violent, are violent people, to then sit back

1 THE COURT: Okay.2 MR. LAZEROW: You

MR. LAZEROW: Your Honor, for the record, Jamie's correcting me very heavily that FOP 3 apparently is Baltimore.

THE COURT: So this is Pemberton's words? He's replying or what?

MR. LAZEROW: These are his words, absolutely his words. I just wanted to be clear. I had said it was the DC police union, FOP 3. Our understanding is it's the Baltimore police union.

THE COURT: Okay. Macchio 41, "Incredibly inspiring about of restraints being employed by officers today. Ironic it's the same officers that are accused of being violent."

I get the point of that.

"Receiving reports of injured officers. I'm humbled at the level of professionalism I've seen today and am proud of our members."

18 I understand that.

43: "You know what I haven't heard in a while?Police shootings of unarmed black youth. Did they run out of funding for their false narrative?"

And 44 is a picture that is probably not -- what are we doing with 44?

MR. LAZEROW: Is that a picture of a -- a screen

25 shot?

THE COURT: It's a screen shot of somebody who has not been identified as Ms. Lawson.

MR. LAZEROW: Right. It's a screen shot. And if
you look on the bottom right, I think you'll see it says
"Black Lives Matter."

6 THE COURT: Okay.

MR. LAZEROW: And we -- I'm sorry.

8 THE COURT: I understand.

MR. LAZEROW: Your Honor, may we play -- we submitted to the Court last night through a link the video of -- from Logan Circle. It's about 15 seconds, no more. I understand from your clerk --

13 THE COURT: Your proffer is that at Logan Circle
14 they were saying what?

MR. LAZEROW: Chant -- that these protesters werechanting, "Black Lives Matter, Black Lives Matter, BlackLives Matter."

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

So, Ms. Kerkhoff, before I get to sort of the othertopics, he's inspired and humbled by how the police handledthemselves that day.

I assume no objection to that.

MS. KERKHOFF: No objection.

THE COURT: He feels that body-worn cameras are thebane of the existence of people who want to say bad things

about the police.

2 I assume you have no objection to that.

MS. KERKHOFF: No objection to that.

THE COURT: And so where we really need to get down to is, over time, he's expressing, retweeting, endorsing, a series of critical statements about Black Lives Matter in the context of what it suggests about the police, in other words, that police are accused of shooting unarmed persons, of other misconduct, and that Black Lives Matter is sort of a focus of that concern.

The proffer, if one could boil it down to a few words instead of many, is that, because Detective Pemberton has expressed these views far and wide and because he is a vocal member of the FOP board and, apparently, adopts sort of police-oriented issues nationwide in his conversations, that he is expressing a strong bias in favor of protecting the police from any group that is critical of them, to include Black Lives Matter, which he's obviously been focused on in these tweets.

He's not really saying much about anti-capitalists in any of these tweets. While I recognize, as I said, that Black Lives Matter and this group have some dissimilarities, nevertheless, why isn't this probative of a bias that is so strongly pro-police whenever accused of wrongdoing that it exposes a bias that should come out here?

MS. KERKHOFF: I would disagree that it is -- one, I
 disagree with some of the characterizations, in part, because
 of the context.

So all of the Black Lives Matter tweets that counsel's referring to -- or the vast majority are happening in 2016 and the Black Lives Matter movement itself was actually morphing and changing during that time period.

As the Court may recall from Officer Adelmeyer's testimony or at least from the radio run, Black Lives Matter did have functions happening in DC on the morning of the inauguration. They had a blockade here at the courthouse. It's where Officer Adelmeyer was.

So there was a specific Black Lives Matter-sponsored event. It was not the anti-capitalist bloc. And so I would note just a couple of facts.

The first is Detective Pemberton is actually an elected official with the union. So I just want to be clear about that. He manages the account on behalf of the union.

So it's not that he's just invested in the FraternalOrder of Police. It's actually a union position.

The second is the Government submits that, under a 403 analysis, the attempt to inject racial issues, which I think is precisely what they're doing, they're going so far as to look at a button on a single person's backpack to say, "See, this was also a Black Lives Matter."

7

8

14

15

24

25

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

27

That's not what the evidence is about the riot itself or the investigation of the riot. Nobody is chanting, "Black Lives Matter" when the Starbucks is being broken.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

It is so attenuated to inject and prey on what I think are the emotions of a jury that haven't made a connection here to -- you can say that he's pro-police. You can say that he disagrees about a false lie narrative.

But to put up chants about Black Lives Matter, "You don't like Black Lives Matter," it's about the narrative that was coming out, that there was a strong disagreement when the narrative that was being pushed is all police officers are inherently racist, biased criminals.

13 His position was, "No, they're not." I think that's 14 a false narrative.

We're going down a rabbit hole under a 403 analysis that I think is attempting to prey on emotions when the best Mr. Lazerow can do is say, "Well, before this all started, there was a chant."

It's not the Black Lives Matter event. That's a civil blockade. We hear about it on the radio. We know about that. It's something different.

22 During the riot itself, during the riot itself, 23 they're not chanting when they break things, "Black Lives 24 Matter." It's, "Fuck it up and fuck capitalism."

To sit here and say that now we get to put that in

because this is a -- the Defendants are organized with a Left-leaning group and he's not Left-leaning.

The Government also would note that, to say that somebody retweets something is a specific nonendorsement of something -- they're trying to put in other people's words. How is that impeachment in any way of Detective Pemberton? Retweeting itself, it says on Twitter, this is not viewed as an endorsement.

So I think it's so attenuated at this point as to what we're actually talking about and what his biases are and the presentation of it is designed solely to try to prey on emotions and not discuss any actual facts of this case.

THE COURT: So I appreciate your argument that this is not a Black Lives Matter protest and that there's some attenuation between the group in this case and the group represented by that movement.

However, the point of these tweets is Detective Pemberton's strong feelings about the police and strong feelings against groups that criticize the police and, in addition, a political bent that is probative of a bias against, generally speaking, Left-leaning protestors.

22 And so I do believe that, in general, the 23 cross-examination that's proposed is probative of bias and 24 admissible cross.

25 However, this is not a deposition, Mr. Lazerow. And

1 I have to say we are not going to make a mini-trial out of 2 who is David Clarke and all of the ways in which we could 3 talk about David Clarke in some other state west of the 4 Mississippi. I believe it's west of the Mississippi. I 5 forget where you said.

these exhibits. I'm going to allow cross-examination regarding Detective Pemberton's feelings about Black Lives Matter as

So what I'm saying is that I'll just go through

9 10 that relates to accusations against the police.

11 But I am not going to allow far and wide who is 12 Clarke, what does he mean, you know, nationwide issues about 13 Clarke's beliefs.

I'm going to require you to focus it in, as in ask a direct question --

16 MR. LAZEROW: I have two questions.

17 THE COURT: -- as in: Didn't you issue a tweet that 18 said X? In such and such a time and such and such a date, 19 didn't you say X?

20 This is probative of his bias in favor of police and 21 against Left-leaning groups.

22 We are not going to have a mini-history here of who 23 is David Clarke.

And so, for instance, in Exhibit 18, "Donald Trump appeals to the police. We're looking for someone to come in

1 and destroy false narratives" I will allow. That's August of 2 2016.

3 It's about the false narratives that relate to 4 police. I will allow it because it's probative of the bias 5 that he has expressed strongly.

I will say, you know, it seems that he got off Twitter pretty quickly, unless there's more that I'm not aware of, after he took on the role in this case.

But to the extent that he is a ranking member of the FOP, is focused on police issues and has chosen to make statements publicly about them, that's a choice he's made to 12 declare himself.

It's not like he's talking, you know, in the back booth of a bar about these things. This is who he's declared himself to be. And so it's all public. And so it's all the more fair, I think, to question him about these things.

But, like I said, I want one question: Didn't you say in an interview at such and such a time and such and such a place the following two things?

In 22-A, the rest of this discussion, to me, including the text of the October 2016 article, are, under 22 403, not probative.

23 What is probative is that he is retweeting an 24 article that was sympathetic, I guess, to Black Lives Matter 25 and uttering, "Do facts matter to Black Lives Matter?",

	30		32
1	that's the point of this. Okay?	1	opinions?
2	"Body-worn cameras have destroyed the false	2	MS. KERKHOFF: Yes.
3	narrative." Straightforward. He's concerned about a false	3	THE COURT: I just want to know now.
4	narrative about police.	4	MS. KERKHOFF: Yes.
5	The next one: The retweeting. Okay. I'm not going	5	THE COURT: What will you be doing?
6	to allow this retweeting of Sheriff Clarke.	6	MS. KERKHOFF: Well, your Honor, I believe that
7	MR. LAZEROW: That was fine.	7	Detective Pemberton will be should be permitted to provide
8	THE COURT: And so it's the "I am honored, yet	8	context for his statements regarding what the discussion was
9	humbled, of Sheriff Clarke" and the Jessie Jane Duff, Donald	9	at a policy level as well as the discussion as an official
10	Trump supporter, Police Benevolent Association's Man of the	10	they were having with respect to the union. They were having
11	Year. That, under 403, is not what this case is about.	11	discussions about this issue because it was impacting police.
12	For Macchio 26, the fact that he liked a tweet by	12	The Government also believes that other tweets that
13	David Clarke, one question about isn't David Clarke, whoever	13	Detective Pemberton has put out, since counsel has selected
14	he is, condemning this vile, vitriolic, hateful movement I	14	only a few
15	will allow.	15	THE COURT: Give me an idea.
16	And so I'm not allowing lengthy questions	16	MS. KERKHOFF: Yes. Well, Detective Pemberton has
17	questions at all about Sheriff Clarke's opinions about	17	issued a number of tweets where he has alerted or retweeted,
18	anything. It's that Pemberton is retweeting this, which is,	18	for example, the bomb attack yesterday, the DC nurses who are
19	as Ms. Kerkhoff knows, an adoptive admission.	19	fighting for fair pay and union.
20	And so	20	He's retweeted and sent tweets about commemorative
21	MR. LAZEROW: Can I tell you the question I would	21	dates when individuals are shot or killed; the NFL.
22	ask, your Honor?	22	He's tweeted about traffic hot spots in the nation.
23	THE COURT: Yes.	23	He has retweeted with respect to how the lower
24	MR. LAZEROW: The one question I would ask is:	24	standard when police standards are lowered. That raises
25	You're aware that Sheriff David Clarke holds strong views	25	concerns among that the standards for police officers
	31		33
1	about issues important to police?	1	should be higher.
2	THE COURT: That's fine with me.	2	He's retweeted about the importance of body cameras
3	MR. LAZEROW: Well, I'm trying to stay within the	3	and his support of that.
4	bounds.	4	He's challenged the WTOP when they've got false
5	THE COURT: Holds strong views about	5	statements that they've corrected.
6	MR. LAZEROW: Holds strong views about policing.	6	He is standing with DC teachers on their union
7	THE COURT: Right.	7	talks.
8	But not holds strong views about left-wing people.	8	He is critical of the chief of police.
9	You know, whatever other vitriolic, horrible things the guy	9	THE COURT: Are you planning on doing all of these?
10	has to say you're not going to be quoting. It's that	10	MS. KERKHOFF: It depends on how far we go, your
11	Pemberton has retweeted this is the point.	11	Honor.
12	Exhibit 27, same thing: Allowed.	12	THE COURT: Well, I mean, you've just heard how far
13	Exhibit 28: Allowed.	13	we're going to go.
14	Exhibit 29: Allowed.	14	MS. KERKHOFF: Then, I do plan on providing context
15	30: Allowed.	15	for what he's tweeting about.
16	40: I'm going to allow it, assuming Pemberton said	16	Santa Claus. He tweeted about that.
17	it.	17	The Chicago Cubs.
18	41: I'll allow it if that's what you want to do.	18	He has retweeted
19	42: I'll allow.	19	THE COURT: So I just want to say, you know, to the
20	43: I'll allow.	20	extent that your point is he tweets about a lot of things, I
21	MR. LAZEROW: Those are his words.	21	don't think 100 is useful. Under 403, I would suggest you
22	THE COURT: And 44 I'll allow. That's the picture	22	pare it down.
23	of the button.	23	I would also say that I would be careful to, you
24	And so, Ms. Kerkhoff, have you thought at all about	24	know, trivialize the concerns that are raised by all this.
25	the redirect that you will be doing about Pemberton's	25	And it's not about Santa Claus. It's really about

34 36 1 1 his thought process about police in particular and his THE COURT: And can you just make clear, when you're 2 2 feelings about people whose views threaten the image of talking about the Fraternal Order of Police, when you're 3 3 police. And that's really -- that's why I'm allowing the talking about national versus local? 4 4 cross. MR. LAZEROW: Yes. 5 5 If you have stuff that rebuts that, go ahead and do THE COURT: Because you're saying this is national. 6 6 it. I get your point about pay and body-worn cameras and the Right? 7 7 things that sort of protect images of police. MR. LAZEROW: No. It's not. I don't think he's 8 8 But I'm not going to tell you you can't use other identified exactly. He just identifies a police 9 9 tweets that trivialize the point that's being made here, but representative. 10 I think you ought to think about it. 10 THE COURT: Do you know, Ms. Kerkhoff, in Exhibit 18 11 11 MS. KERKHOFF: It's not about trivializing, your with whoever this -- OAN with an eagle pole and it says, 12 Honor. Part of this is they're taking out of context that 12 "Will Fraternal Order of Police endorse Donald Trump," do 13 what he's retweeting are issues of importance to the union 13 they mean local or national? 14 14 itself. MS. KROPF: The news organizations spoke about the 15 15 National Fraternal Order of Police. Detective Pemberton was When you're talking about the teachers union, the 16 nurses union, critical of DC, they have made an accusation 16 not speaking about the National Fraternal Order of Police. 17 very publicly, in fact, recently, that he's a racist Nazi. 17 He was asked to write comments about what kinds of 18 Those words have come out of many individuals' mouths about 18 discussions law enforcement was having within the union. He 19 him. 19 specifically advised them that there was no endorsement and, 20 20 in fact, his union did not endorse Donald Trump. And the Court's allowing some context. He's allowed 21 21 to respond to it. And I understand the Court's statements --THE COURT: Can we just keep this focused on 22 22 THE COURT: I'm going to allow you to do that. Pemberton, not everybody else --23 Is there any objection to anything you've heard 23 MR. LAZEROW: Yes. 24 24 Ms. Kerkhoff say she wants to use? THE COURT: -- else's views? 25 25 MR. LAZEROW: No. And I intend to bring out the MR. LAZEROW: That is exactly the point, your Honor. 35 37 1 1 point he tweets about a lot of things. He knew at the time he gave this interview --2 2 THE COURT: So all I will say is, Ms. Kerkhoff, I THE COURT: I'm allowing you to use it. Yes. 3 3 MR. LAZEROW: Great. Thank you. want you to not make it go on forever. 4 MS. KERKHOFF: I understand. 4 5 5 THE COURT: I will stop you if it becomes, you know, THE COURT: Can we bring the jury in and let you do 6 long. 6 your cross or is there something we haven't had a chance to 7 MS. KERKHOFF: I don't think I've ever done a long 7 talk about? 8 8 redirect. MR. LAZEROW: There are two things we have not 9 THE COURT: I know that. That's why I'm going to 9 talked about. 10 10 let you decide what to do. Long is not your usual approach, One is he retweeted a tweet from James O'Keefe, the 11 11 which I appreciate. founder of Project Veritas, talking about -- this particular 12 MR. LAZEROW: I have three things. 12 tweet was, "Why the hell aren't you covering our videos?" 13 13 THE COURT: Yes. This was in October 2016. 14 MR. LAZEROW: They all relate to this. 14 We -- I just want to ask him a question: You 15 First of all, you said No. 18, which is the screen 15 have -- I think this one actually --16 shot of the network interview that he did with OAN. I assume 16 THE COURT: He retweeted --17 17 MR. LAZEROW: He liked James O'Keefe in 2016. that also includes No. 19, which is the actual video showing 18 18 THE COURT: And so he retweeted a tweet that the his words -- showing him saying those words. 19 THE COURT: Well, as I ruled, I will permit you to 19 content of which we don't care that much about. 20 20 use his words. He just retweeted a tweet of the guy who founded 21 21 MR. LAZEROW: Put those out. Right. Project Veritas and that's why you want to do that? THE COURT: This is a screen shot from that 22 MR. LAZEROW: He liked it. I have to be careful. 22 23 23 interview? He liked it, not retweeted it. Correct. 24 24 THE COURT: So it's not the content of it that MR. LAZEROW: It's a screen shot that we then go to

25

matters. It's just that he liked the tweet?

the interview itself.

	38		40
1	MR. LAZEROW: Right.	1	politician.
2	We've had obviously	2	THE COURT: I know.
3	THE COURT: I will allow that.	3	So follows Trump, follows Pence. I just
4	MR. LAZEROW: Okay. The last thing is I would like	4	MS. KERKHOFF: I believe he follows Muriel Bowser.
5	to elicit, given this witness, that on Twitter he follows	5	I believe he follows the DC police department. I mean
6	Donald Trump, Mike Pence, Donald Trump, Jr., Breitbart News,	6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	Poll News Network, which is a very Alt-right organization	7	MR. LAZEROW: He doesn't follow Obama.
8	that talks about gassing Jews, and I just want to ask him:	8	THE COURT: So pick your top seven. Ask him about
9	Isn't it true that you follow those organizations on and	9	those.
10	individuals on Twitter?	10	MR. LAZEROW: Okay.
11	THE COURT: Gosh. This seems to be encroaching on	11	THE COURT: And Ms. Kerkhoff can pick her top seven
12	some First Amendment issues here.	12	and ask him about those, too.
13	So you just want to ask him what he follows? That's	13	Anything else we need to do before we get started
14	like saying I subscribe to The New York Times; therefore,	14	with the detective?
15	you'd ask me that about	15	MS. JACQUES: If we could take a two-minute break?
16	MR. LAZEROW: These organizations and individuals we	16	THE COURT: Really? I asked the jury to be here at
17	believe are	17	10:00. Then I gave them until 10:30. I would like to be
18	THE COURT: Well, I mean, The New York Times is	18	able to start this with them. We'll take a break soon enough
19	liberal as hell. So they could ask him about that if you	19	because the court reporter is going to need it.
20	could ask him about that if, you know, that was his leaning.	20	Thank you.
21	MR. LAZEROW: He follows 868 people and	21	Let's bring the jury in, please.
22	organizations.	22	So can I raise one thing? I just want to tell you
23	I intend to ask him: You follow a lot of people,	23	this. I haven't had a chance to process it well yet. But
24	including those people.	24	remember I asked the jury to mention if they had any
25	THE COURT: And so give me the list again.	25	scheduling concerns?
	39		41
1	MR. LAZEROW: Sure.	1	They're obviously wonderful people because none of
2	President Donald Trump, Mike Pence, Kellyanne	2	them said anything except for three jurors. Those are 1, 6
3	Conway, Donald Trump, Jr., Fox News.	3	and 8. 1, 6 and 8. 1 and 8 only want to be off on the 26th
4	THE COURT: So just, as an officer of the Court,	4	and 27th, which seems reasonable to me.
5	does he follow, you know, equally liberal people?	5	No. 6, however, wants to be off from December 26th
6	MR. LAZEROW: No. He follows non he follows	6	to January 2nd. And that I don't know how quickly these
7	mainstream media, I would say.	7	deliberations are going to run.
8	THE COURT: Such as?	8	If that's the only juror expressing a need to be
9	MR. LAZEROW: I believe he likes a lot of the local	9	gone for that full week, we might have a discussion if that
10	TV stations and, additionally, Fox.	10	person I don't remember if that person is an alternate or
11	THE COURT: Ms. Kerkhoff, who else does he follow?	11	not replacing that person. I'm not saying right now. I'm
12	MS. KERKHOFF: I believe Washington Post. At one	12	just flagging it for you.
13	time he was following the city paper. He follows a number of	13	6 is the one with the need to be gone from the 26th
14	news organizations, including, as Mr. Lazerow said, it's	14	to the 2nd. It's a trip to California. I'm just letting you
15	mainstream media. Some people would say, for example, DC is	15	all know that.
16	Washington City Paper.	16	(Thereupon, the witness entered
17	He has a number of followers and retweets for those	17	the courtroom and the following
18	same entities, these news organizations and a number of	18	proceedings were had:)
19	individuals.	19	(Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom at
20	So he's got about 900 or so almost 900 things	20	10:38 a.m. and the following proceedings were had:)
		20 21	10:38 a.m. and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.
20	So he's got about 900 or so almost 900 things		
20 21	So he's got about 900 or so almost 900 things that he follows following.	21	THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.
20 21 22	So he's got about 900 or so almost 900 things that he follows following.  THE COURT: How about politicians? Does he follow	21 22	THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. THE JURY: Good morning.

	Pemberton - CROSS - By Mr. Lazerow		Pemberton - CROSS - By Mr. Lazerow
	42		44
1	I hope Juror No. 16's chair is properly affixed to	1	which is what I call tweet. Right?
2	the ground.	2	A. Yes.
3	And we're going to pick back up with Detective	3	Q. You also retweet what other people have put on
4	Pemberton's cross-examination.	4	Twitter?
5	Sir, you are under oath.	5	A. Sometimes.
6	Mr. Lazerow, you may go ahead.	6	Q. And sometimes you like and I put that in air
7	MR. LAZEROW: Good morning.	7	quotes like things that other people have put on Twitter?
8	THE JURY: Good morning.	8	A. Yes.
9	CONTINUED CROSS-EXAMINATION	9	Q. Correct.
10	BY MR. LAZEROW:	10	Now
11	Q. Good morning, Detective Pemberton.	11	MR. LAZEROW: How are we doing?
12	A. Good morning, Mr. Lazerow.	12	MS. HEINE: It's not recognizing it. We'll use the
13	Q. Now, when we left off yesterday, we were talking	13	ELMO.
14	about two tweets that you put on Twitter on January 20th	14	BY MR. LAZEROW:
15	about this protest. I want to show those to you. This is	15	Q. Now, I believe you joined Twitter in November of
16	Macchio Exhibit 41 for identification purposes.	16	2010. Correct?
17	MR. LAZEROW: I'd like to publish it to the jury,	17	A. That sounds right.
18	your Honor.	18	Q. And am I right that, up until last week, your
19	THE COURT: For the record, Mr. Healy is now here.	19	Twitter account was open to the public?
20 21	Are we ready to publish?	20	A. Yes, sir.
21	MR. LAZEROW: We're having technical difficulties.	21	Q. And what that means is that, if I as someone who
23	THE COURT: How about moving on to ones you may not need the screen for.	22 23	does not follow you on Twitter, I could go on and see
24	MR. LAZEROW: We can do some thing from things	24	everything that you've done on Twitter. Is that right?  A. Prior to last week?
25	before we get there.	25	Q. Prior to Friday.
	-		•
			Pemberton - CROSS - RV Mr 1 27erow
	Pemberton - CROSS - By Mr. Lazerow 43		Pemberton - CROSS - By Mr. Lazerow 45
1	Pemberton - CROSS - By Mr. Lazerow  43  BY MR. LAZEROW:	1	Pemberton - CROSS - By Mr. Lazerow  45  A. Yes.
1 2	43	1 2	45
	BY MR. LAZEROW:		A. Yes.
2	BY MR. LAZEROW: Q. Let's talk briefly about Twitter. We'll put it up	2	A. Yes. Q. So on Friday you made the account private?
2	BY MR. LAZEROW: Q. Let's talk briefly about Twitter. We'll put it up at some point.	3	A. Yes. Q. So on Friday you made the account private? A. I did. Yes.
2 3 4	BY MR. LAZEROW:  Q. Let's talk briefly about Twitter. We'll put it up at some point.  Your handle is @g_pem. Correct?	2 3 4	A. Yes. Q. So on Friday you made the account private? A. I did. Yes. Q. And so I think we're now, hopefully, ready to roll.
2 3 4 5	BY MR. LAZEROW: Q. Let's talk briefly about Twitter. We'll put it up at some point. Your handle is @g_pem. Correct? A. Yes, sir.	2 3 4 5	A. Yes. Q. So on Friday you made the account private? A. I did. Yes. Q. And so I think we're now, hopefully, ready to roll. MS. KERKHOFF: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6	BY MR. LAZEROW: Q. Let's talk briefly about Twitter. We'll put it up at some point. Your handle is @g_pem. Correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. And on Twitter are you on your your activity	2 3 4 5 6	A. Yes. Q. So on Friday you made the account private? A. I did. Yes. Q. And so I think we're now, hopefully, ready to roll. MS. KERKHOFF: Yes.  BY MR. LAZEROW:
2 3 4 5 6 7	BY MR. LAZEROW:  Q. Let's talk briefly about Twitter. We'll put it up at some point.  Your handle is @g_pem. Correct?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And on Twitter are you on your your activity on Twitter, is that you yourself or as a member a	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Yes. Q. So on Friday you made the account private? A. I did. Yes. Q. And so I think we're now, hopefully, ready to roll. MS. KERKHOFF: Yes.  BY MR. LAZEROW: Q. Hopefully, you can see on the screen. I know this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. LAZEROW:  Q. Let's talk briefly about Twitter. We'll put it up at some point.  Your handle is @g_pem. Correct?  A. Yes, sir. Q. And on Twitter are you on your your activity on Twitter, is that you yourself or as a member a representative of the DC police union?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes. Q. So on Friday you made the account private? A. I did. Yes. Q. And so I think we're now, hopefully, ready to roll. MS. KERKHOFF: Yes. BY MR. LAZEROW: Q. Hopefully, you can see on the screen. I know this is Macchio 41. Sorry about that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. LAZEROW:  Q. Let's talk briefly about Twitter. We'll put it up at some point.  Your handle is @g_pem. Correct?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And on Twitter are you on your your activity on Twitter, is that you yourself or as a member a representative of the DC police union?  A. It's solely as a member of the executive board of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes. Q. So on Friday you made the account private? A. I did. Yes. Q. And so I think we're now, hopefully, ready to roll. MS. KERKHOFF: Yes. BY MR. LAZEROW: Q. Hopefully, you can see on the screen. I know this is Macchio 41. Sorry about that. So have you had a chance to look at Macchio 41?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	BY MR. LAZEROW:  Q. Let's talk briefly about Twitter. We'll put it up at some point.  Your handle is @g_pem. Correct?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And on Twitter are you on your your activity on Twitter, is that you yourself or as a member a representative of the DC police union?  A. It's solely as a member of the executive board of the DC police union.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Yes. Q. So on Friday you made the account private? A. I did. Yes. Q. And so I think we're now, hopefully, ready to roll. MS. KERKHOFF: Yes.  BY MR. LAZEROW: Q. Hopefully, you can see on the screen. I know this is Macchio 41. Sorry about that. So have you had a chance to look at Macchio 41? A. Yes, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY MR. LAZEROW:  Q. Let's talk briefly about Twitter. We'll put it up at some point.  Your handle is @g_pem. Correct?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And on Twitter are you on your your activity on Twitter, is that you yourself or as a member a representative of the DC police union?  A. It's solely as a member of the executive board of the DC police union.  Q. So you are the treasurer of the board. Is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Yes. Q. So on Friday you made the account private? A. I did. Yes. Q. And so I think we're now, hopefully, ready to roll. MS. KERKHOFF: Yes. BY MR. LAZEROW: Q. Hopefully, you can see on the screen. I know this is Macchio 41. Sorry about that. So have you had a chance to look at Macchio 41? A. Yes, sir. Q. And is that a true and accurate copy of a tweet that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. LAZEROW:  Q. Let's talk briefly about Twitter. We'll put it up at some point.  Your handle is @g_pem. Correct?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And on Twitter are you on your your activity on Twitter, is that you yourself or as a member a representative of the DC police union?  A. It's solely as a member of the executive board of the DC police union.  Q. So you are the treasurer of the board. Is that correct?  A. Yes, sir. I'm the at-large representative of all members.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes. Q. So on Friday you made the account private? A. I did. Yes. Q. And so I think we're now, hopefully, ready to roll. MS. KERKHOFF: Yes.  BY MR. LAZEROW: Q. Hopefully, you can see on the screen. I know this is Macchio 41. Sorry about that. So have you had a chance to look at Macchio 41? A. Yes, sir. Q. And is that a true and accurate copy of a tweet that you put on Twitter on January 20th, 2017? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall what time you put this on?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY MR. LAZEROW:  Q. Let's talk briefly about Twitter. We'll put it up at some point.  Your handle is @g_pem. Correct?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And on Twitter are you on your your activity on Twitter, is that you yourself or as a member a representative of the DC police union?  A. It's solely as a member of the executive board of the DC police union.  Q. So you are the treasurer of the board. Is that correct?  A. Yes, sir. I'm the at-large representative of all members.  Q. And how many members do you have?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes.  Q. So on Friday you made the account private?  A. I did. Yes.  Q. And so I think we're now, hopefully, ready to roll.  MS. KERKHOFF: Yes.  BY MR. LAZEROW:  Q. Hopefully, you can see on the screen. I know this is Macchio 41. Sorry about that.  So have you had a chance to look at Macchio 41?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And is that a true and accurate copy of a tweet that you put on Twitter on January 20th, 2017?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you recall what time you put this on?  A. I do not. But I know that it was well into the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MR. LAZEROW:  Q. Let's talk briefly about Twitter. We'll put it up at some point.  Your handle is @g_pem. Correct?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And on Twitter are you on your your activity on Twitter, is that you yourself or as a member a representative of the DC police union?  A. It's solely as a member of the executive board of the DC police union.  Q. So you are the treasurer of the board. Is that correct?  A. Yes, sir. I'm the at-large representative of all members.  Q. And how many members do you have?  A. 3574.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes. Q. So on Friday you made the account private? A. I did. Yes. Q. And so I think we're now, hopefully, ready to roll. MS. KERKHOFF: Yes.  BY MR. LAZEROW: Q. Hopefully, you can see on the screen. I know this is Macchio 41. Sorry about that. So have you had a chance to look at Macchio 41? A. Yes, sir. Q. And is that a true and accurate copy of a tweet that you put on Twitter on January 20th, 2017? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall what time you put this on? A. I do not. But I know that it was well into the afternoon.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. LAZEROW:  Q. Let's talk briefly about Twitter. We'll put it up at some point.  Your handle is @g_pem. Correct?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And on Twitter are you on your your activity on Twitter, is that you yourself or as a member a representative of the DC police union?  A. It's solely as a member of the executive board of the DC police union.  Q. So you are the treasurer of the board. Is that correct?  A. Yes, sir. I'm the at-large representative of all members.  Q. And how many members do you have?  A. 3574.  Q. And how many years have you been on the board?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes. Q. So on Friday you made the account private? A. I did. Yes. Q. And so I think we're now, hopefully, ready to roll. MS. KERKHOFF: Yes.  BY MR. LAZEROW: Q. Hopefully, you can see on the screen. I know this is Macchio 41. Sorry about that. So have you had a chance to look at Macchio 41? A. Yes, sir. Q. And is that a true and accurate copy of a tweet that you put on Twitter on January 20th, 2017? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall what time you put this on? A. I do not. But I know that it was well into the afternoon. Q. And just tell me if I read this correctly:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. LAZEROW:  Q. Let's talk briefly about Twitter. We'll put it up at some point.  Your handle is @g_pem. Correct?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And on Twitter are you on your your activity on Twitter, is that you yourself or as a member a representative of the DC police union?  A. It's solely as a member of the executive board of the DC police union.  Q. So you are the treasurer of the board. Is that correct?  A. Yes, sir. I'm the at-large representative of all members.  Q. And how many members do you have?  A. 3574.  Q. And how many years have you been on the board?  A. Since I've been in the union since, I think,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes.  Q. So on Friday you made the account private?  A. I did. Yes.  Q. And so I think we're now, hopefully, ready to roll.  MS. KERKHOFF: Yes.  BY MR. LAZEROW:  Q. Hopefully, you can see on the screen. I know this is Macchio 41. Sorry about that.  So have you had a chance to look at Macchio 41?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And is that a true and accurate copy of a tweet that you put on Twitter on January 20th, 2017?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you recall what time you put this on?  A. I do not. But I know that it was well into the afternoon.  Q. And just tell me if I read this correctly:  "Incredibly inspiring about of restraint being employed" I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	BY MR. LAZEROW:  Q. Let's talk briefly about Twitter. We'll put it up at some point.  Your handle is @g_pem. Correct?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And on Twitter are you on your your activity on Twitter, is that you yourself or as a member a representative of the DC police union?  A. It's solely as a member of the executive board of the DC police union.  Q. So you are the treasurer of the board. Is that correct?  A. Yes, sir. I'm the at-large representative of all members.  Q. And how many members do you have?  A. 3574.  Q. And how many years have you been on the board?  A. Since I've been in the union since, I think,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. Q. So on Friday you made the account private? A. I did. Yes. Q. And so I think we're now, hopefully, ready to roll. MS. KERKHOFF: Yes.  BY MR. LAZEROW: Q. Hopefully, you can see on the screen. I know this is Macchio 41. Sorry about that. So have you had a chance to look at Macchio 41? A. Yes, sir. Q. And is that a true and accurate copy of a tweet that you put on Twitter on January 20th, 2017? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall what time you put this on? A. I do not. But I know that it was well into the afternoon. Q. And just tell me if I read this correctly: "Incredibly inspiring about of restraint being employed" I assume that means "amount"?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MR. LAZEROW:  Q. Let's talk briefly about Twitter. We'll put it up at some point.  Your handle is @g_pem. Correct?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And on Twitter are you on your your activity on Twitter, is that you yourself or as a member a representative of the DC police union?  A. It's solely as a member of the executive board of the DC police union.  Q. So you are the treasurer of the board. Is that correct?  A. Yes, sir. I'm the at-large representative of all members.  Q. And how many members do you have?  A. 3574.  Q. And how many years have you been on the board?  A. Since I've been in the union since, I think, 2012. But I've been an at-large member of the board since 2014.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes. Q. So on Friday you made the account private? A. I did. Yes. Q. And so I think we're now, hopefully, ready to roll. MS. KERKHOFF: Yes. BY MR. LAZEROW: Q. Hopefully, you can see on the screen. I know this is Macchio 41. Sorry about that. So have you had a chance to look at Macchio 41? A. Yes, sir. Q. And is that a true and accurate copy of a tweet that you put on Twitter on January 20th, 2017? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall what time you put this on? A. I do not. But I know that it was well into the afternoon. Q. And just tell me if I read this correctly: "Incredibly inspiring about of restraint being employed" I assume that means "amount"? A. Probably a typo. Yes, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. LAZEROW:  Q. Let's talk briefly about Twitter. We'll put it up at some point.  Your handle is @g_pem. Correct?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And on Twitter are you on your your activity on Twitter, is that you yourself or as a member a representative of the DC police union?  A. It's solely as a member of the executive board of the DC police union.  Q. So you are the treasurer of the board. Is that correct?  A. Yes, sir. I'm the at-large representative of all members.  Q. And how many members do you have?  A. 3574.  Q. And how many years have you been on the board?  A. Since I've been in the union since, I think, 2012. But I've been an at-large member of the board since 2014.  Q. And am I right that, in your capacity as a member of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes. Q. So on Friday you made the account private? A. I did. Yes. Q. And so I think we're now, hopefully, ready to roll. MS. KERKHOFF: Yes. BY MR. LAZEROW: Q. Hopefully, you can see on the screen. I know this is Macchio 41. Sorry about that. So have you had a chance to look at Macchio 41? A. Yes, sir. Q. And is that a true and accurate copy of a tweet that you put on Twitter on January 20th, 2017? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall what time you put this on? A. I do not. But I know that it was well into the afternoon. Q. And just tell me if I read this correctly: "Incredibly inspiring about of restraint being employed" I assume that means "amount"? A. Probably a typo. Yes, sir. Q "Incredibly inspiring amount of restraint being
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. LAZEROW:  Q. Let's talk briefly about Twitter. We'll put it up at some point.  Your handle is @g_pem. Correct?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And on Twitter are you on your your activity on Twitter, is that you yourself or as a member a representative of the DC police union?  A. It's solely as a member of the executive board of the DC police union.  Q. So you are the treasurer of the board. Is that correct?  A. Yes, sir. I'm the at-large representative of all members.  Q. And how many members do you have?  A. 3574.  Q. And how many years have you been on the board?  A. Since I've been in the union since, I think, 2012. But I've been an at-large member of the board since 2014.  Q. And am I right that, in your capacity as a member of the board of the DC police union, you frequently tweet about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes. Q. So on Friday you made the account private? A. I did. Yes. Q. And so I think we're now, hopefully, ready to roll. MS. KERKHOFF: Yes.  BY MR. LAZEROW: Q. Hopefully, you can see on the screen. I know this is Macchio 41. Sorry about that. So have you had a chance to look at Macchio 41? A. Yes, sir. Q. And is that a true and accurate copy of a tweet that you put on Twitter on January 20th, 2017? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall what time you put this on? A. I do not. But I know that it was well into the afternoon. Q. And just tell me if I read this correctly: "Incredibly inspiring about of restraint being employed" I assume that means "amount"? A. Probably a typo. Yes, sir. Q "Incredibly inspiring amount of restraint being employed by officers today. Ironic it's the same officers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MR. LAZEROW:  Q. Let's talk briefly about Twitter. We'll put it up at some point.  Your handle is @g_pem. Correct?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And on Twitter are you on your your activity on Twitter, is that you yourself or as a member a representative of the DC police union?  A. It's solely as a member of the executive board of the DC police union.  Q. So you are the treasurer of the board. Is that correct?  A. Yes, sir. I'm the at-large representative of all members.  Q. And how many members do you have?  A. 3574.  Q. And how many years have you been on the board?  A. Since I've been in the union since, I think,  2012. But I've been an at-large member of the board since  2014.  Q. And am I right that, in your capacity as a member of the board of the DC police union, you frequently tweet about issues important to police officers?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes. Q. So on Friday you made the account private? A. I did. Yes. Q. And so I think we're now, hopefully, ready to roll. MS. KERKHOFF: Yes. BY MR. LAZEROW: Q. Hopefully, you can see on the screen. I know this is Macchio 41. Sorry about that. So have you had a chance to look at Macchio 41? A. Yes, sir. Q. And is that a true and accurate copy of a tweet that you put on Twitter on January 20th, 2017? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall what time you put this on? A. I do not. But I know that it was well into the afternoon. Q. And just tell me if I read this correctly: "Incredibly inspiring about of restraint being employed" I assume that means "amount"? A. Probably a typo. Yes, sir. Q "Incredibly inspiring amount of restraint being employed by officers today. Ironic it's the same officers that get accused of being violent."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. LAZEROW:  Q. Let's talk briefly about Twitter. We'll put it up at some point.  Your handle is @g_pem. Correct?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And on Twitter are you on your your activity on Twitter, is that you yourself or as a member a representative of the DC police union?  A. It's solely as a member of the executive board of the DC police union.  Q. So you are the treasurer of the board. Is that correct?  A. Yes, sir. I'm the at-large representative of all members.  Q. And how many members do you have?  A. 3574.  Q. And how many years have you been on the board?  A. Since I've been in the union since, I think, 2012. But I've been an at-large member of the board since 2014.  Q. And am I right that, in your capacity as a member of the board of the DC police union, you frequently tweet about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes. Q. So on Friday you made the account private? A. I did. Yes. Q. And so I think we're now, hopefully, ready to roll. MS. KERKHOFF: Yes.  BY MR. LAZEROW: Q. Hopefully, you can see on the screen. I know this is Macchio 41. Sorry about that. So have you had a chance to look at Macchio 41? A. Yes, sir. Q. And is that a true and accurate copy of a tweet that you put on Twitter on January 20th, 2017? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall what time you put this on? A. I do not. But I know that it was well into the afternoon. Q. And just tell me if I read this correctly: "Incredibly inspiring about of restraint being employed" I assume that means "amount"? A. Probably a typo. Yes, sir. Q "Incredibly inspiring amount of restraint being employed by officers today. Ironic it's the same officers

- 1 MS. KERKHOFF: Can we now publish Macchio
- 2 Exhibit 42. Thank you, Jamie.
- 3 BY MR. LAZEROW:

- 4 Q. And is this a true and accurate copy of a tweet you
- 5 put on Twitter on January 20th, 2017?
  - A. Yes. Again, much later in the day. But yes.
- 7 Q. You hadn't looked at any video at that point when
- 8 you -- of this event at the point in time you put this on?
- 9 A. So just to be clear, I had seen news reports of what
- 10 was going on later in the day, nothing that had happened 11 prior to 11:00 a.m.
- 12 Q. Were those -- when you say "news reports," you mean
- 13 local news or national news?
- 14 Live news. I believe it's local. It's whatever was
- 15 playing in the Seventh District detectives' office. It's
- 16 usually a local news channel.
- 17 Q. Is that usually Fox News?
- 18 Sometimes it's USA 9; sometimes it's Fox 5.
- 19 Q. And just tell me if I read this correctly to the
- 20 jury: "Receiving reports of injured officers. I'm humbled
- 21 at the level of professionalism I've seen today and am proud
- 22 of our members."
- 23 Do you see that?
- 24 I do see that. Α.
- 25 Q. It says #inauguration. Correct?

### Pemberton - CROSS - By Mr. Lazerow

A. That's right.

- 2 Q. And the heart that's at the bottom, does that mean
- 3 that 13 people liked it?
- 4 A. Yes. I believe so.
- 5 Q. And so, on Twitter, when you see a heart, that means
- 6 like?

1

- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And I think you testified yesterday -- but please
- 9 correct me if I'm wrong -- that, after witnessing all the
- 10 hours of video that you've watched to prepare for your
- 11
- testimony in this case, you still hold the opinions that you
- 12 expressed, the conclusions you expressed, about the police
- 13 conduct on that day?
- 14 A. Well, again, just to be clear, I'm referring to the
- 15 entire day -- right? -- all the way up until midnight of all
- 16 the activity that happened well after 11:00 a.m., because
- 17 that's what I was talking about at this time.
- 18 I did not know what had happened with any level of 19 detail prior to 11:00 a.m. when I made these comments.
- 20 Q. And I appreciate that.
- 21 So you're saying that -- you're talking about
- 22 everything that was going on in Washington, DC, not just the
- 23 10:00 to 11:00 a.m. hour that we've been focusing on in this
- 24 trial from Logan Circle to 12th and L?
- 25 That as well, though. But referring to injured

- officers. There were a number of injured officers, I believe
- 2 five or maybe six additional injured officers that occurred
- 3 later in the day. I was referring to that.
- 4 In other events?
- 5 A. Yes.

1

6

18

19

47

46

- Q. Now, let me -- I appreciate that clarification. Let
- 7 me make sure my question is very specific.
- 8 Now, do you hold those conclusions that you wrote on January 20th -- the afternoon of January 20, 2017, about the
- 9
- 10 professionalism of the police and the inspiring conduct the 11
- police had that day about the events of what you've witnessed 12
  - as part of your investigation, this 10:00 to 11:00 a.m.
- 13 protest?
- 14 I think, in the general sense of the term, yes. I 15 think that the Metropolitan Police Department handled the
- 16 situation the best they could under the tumultuous
- 17 circumstances that they were facing.
  - And what I would point to is that, after 33 minutes of this incredible violence, one person out of this -- what
- 20 was probably 500, initially stated that they had an injury.
- 21 That injury was a sprained ankle.
- 22 So after everything that we've seen and all the
- 23 video and all of the violence that we've seen, granted, at
- 24 times, it probably -- probably better decisions could have 25
  - been made in specific circumstances.

### Pemberton - CROSS - By Mr. Lazerow

- 1 But in the chaos of that moment, I still hold this
- 2 belief that they did a great job. Yes.
- 3 So you -- just so I understand, you've seen some
- 4 clips of police conduct that you may question personally
- 5 about the -- what was going on?
- 6 I mean, I'm not going to armchair-quarterback a
- 7 five-second clip of what an officer did. I don't operate
- 8 that way.
- 9 Q. Now, in your role as treasurer of the police union,
- 10 do you have any oversight or responsibility for complaints
- 11 that are made against members of the police force?
- 12 Not directly. No. We have a liaison that handles
- 13 the Office of Police Complaints matters. That's a separate
- 14 individual. I don't have any oversight over that person.
- 15 Q. And you haven't had oversight since January 20, 16 2017. Is that correct?
- 17 No. That person reports directly to the chairman.
- 18 I don't have any interaction with them.
- 19 Q. So you wouldn't be involved personally in terms of 20 whether there's going to be any discipline for any officers
- 21 relating to this event?
- 22 No. I mean, as a union rep, I could. But I
- 23 would -- given the fact that I'm the lead detective on this
- 24 case, I would probably recuse myself if an officer asked me
- 25 to represent him at the Office of Police Complaints. I would

16 THE COURT: I would like you to stick to your

17 points.

18 MR. LAZEROW: Yes.

19 THE COURT: Sitting there and debating with him 20 over whether he should feel aggrieved because he got

21 100,000 unkind tweets over the weekend is not what this case

22 is about.

So I am asking you to move on under Rule 403 and, if

24 I have to keep doing that, I'm going to have to curtail the

25 cross.

23

16 sure the public knows that what happened on January 20th is

17 not the police's fault?

18

21

22

23

24

I'm sorry. Say that again.

19 Q. Sure. I know it's a long one. Let me see if I can 20 do it again.

Is it fair to say that one of your goals in working on this case is to make sure the public knows that what happened on January 20th is not the police's fault?

A. No. No. I don't think it's fair to say that.

25 Okay. Do you believe that people who criticize the

- 1 police response to this protest are disingenuous activists?
- A. No. I deal with people that criticize the policeall the time. I mean, I'm a union executive.
- 4 Q. That's one -- I'm sorry. Are you done?

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. I mean, I sit on a board of a police union. I'mused to police criticism. That's what we do.
  - Q. And it's fair to say on Twitter you push back hard about people who criticize the police?
  - A. Well, sometimes you need to be bombastic in order to get attention. And that's part PR. I mean, my statements as a public relations person for the organization of the DC police union doesn't necessarily mean that the gusto with which I make a statement represents my personal opinion.

It may be an effort to garner attention from the media or from others who are engaged in a conversation because sometimes the loudest person in the room gets the attention.

And then, once you get that attention, you can turn and present a fulsome, thoughtful, commonsense argument about what your position is. But if that's all you do all day long, no one will ever pay attention to you.

I mean, this is what Twitter is about, saying sort of edgy, oftentimes obnoxious things. But public relations and my personal opinion about things are two totally different things.

### Pemberton - CROSS - By Mr. Lazerow

- Q. Is it fair to say that you're very happy that
   body-worn cameras are now being used by many officers in the
- 3 District of Columbia?
- 4 A. Yes. I actually advocated for body-worn cameras5 when they were being legislated.
- **Q**. I want to show you another tweet that you had. This **7** is Macchio Exhibit 40.
- Just tell me if I have this right. I think this issomething you're tweeting and replying to the FOP 3. Is thatcorrect?
- 11 A. Yes. I think that's the Baltimore police union.
- 12 Q. And you wrote to -- at FOP 3, "BWCs have been the
- 13 bane of disingenuous activists who peddle lies and
- **14** falsehoods. TBs of footage of cops doing the right thing."
- **15** Did I read that correctly?
- **16** A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Am I right that BWCs refers to body-worn cameras?
- **18** A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And my guess is TBs is terabytes. But I'll ask you.
- **20** A. That's a good guess, Mr. Lazerow.
- Q. That's the end of my technological knowledge.
- When you wrote this on November 30th, 2016, were you
- 23 talking -- when you said "disingenuous activists" -- do you
- 24 see you put that in sort of mini quotes?
- **25** A. Yes, sir.

- 1 Q. When you wrote that, were you referring to Black
- 2 Lives Matter?

4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9

13

14

15

16

17

23

25

55

- 3 A. No, sir.
  - Q. Who were you referring to?
- A. There -- because of police reform over the pastthree or four years or longer, there have been genuine

7 activists who have come out and are -- have demanded police8 reform.

And let me tell you I'm the first person that wants to go to the table to talk about police reform. That's all I've done, is criticize the department and mismanagement, talk about hiring better cops and better training, putting better people on the street. I'm absolutely the first one that wants to come to the table.

But I think that that sort of process has created a cottage industry of people who just want to make money and cherry-pick data and malign the police over and over again, and they use false rhetoric about what's going on in order to interject themselves into the conversation to sort of make themselves the talking head.

I think that those people take away from the actual productive conversation that can be had between people like a police union and Black Lives Matter. And if we're going to sit down and have a conversation about what we need to do to police the community better, then I don't think those people

### Pemberton - CROSS - By Mr. Lazerow

57

- 1 should be involved because they gum up the works.
- Q. Now, have you -- did you conclude in your
   investigation that none of the Defendants in this trial were
   exercising their First Amendment rights?

**5** MS. KERKHOFF: Objection, your Honor.

6 THE COURT: Well, I guess I should ask: Any
7 objections from over here?

8 No objections?

I'll overrule.

10 You can answer.

10 Tod can answer.

11 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Repeat it one more time.

**12** BY MR. LAZEROW:

Q. Yeah.

In the course of your investigation, did you conclude that none of the -- none of the Defendants in this trial were exercising their First Amendment rights?

A. Well, over the course of the 33 minutes --

**18** THE COURT: "In this trial" meaning the six people

**19** here or everybody charged?

20 MR. LAZEROW: I'm sorry. I meant in this case, the case writ large.

22 BY MR. LAZEROW:

Q. Let me just state -- take one step back. I

**24** appreciate that.

You've been involved in investigating all the people

- 1 who currently still have charges against them. Correct?
- 2 A. That's correct.

21

1

- 3 Q. And how many people is that?
- 4 A. I think it's 195 or -96.
- 5 Q. Okay. So let's focus on those people who still have 6 charges against them.
- 7 Is it correct that you've concluded that none of 8 those Defendants were exercising their First Amendment rights 9 between 10:00 a.m. and 11:00 a.m. on January 20th?
  - A. No. I'd like to explain.

11 I think, over the course of the 33 minutes that this 12 incident took place, there are probably times where you can 13 look at a section of that and say that this individual or 14 that individual is exercising their First Amendment rights.

15 But I think the totality of the circumstances of 16 watching individuals for the entire length of the incident 17 and determining what their behavior was at portions 18 throughout, sometimes violent, sometimes not violent, 19 sometimes participating, sometimes not -- I think that that 20 totality brings me to the conclusion that there was a crime

22 I don't think that that is -- I think that it's 23 mutually exclusive to say that they were never exercising 24 those rights at any point in time. I've never come to that 25 conclusion.

# Pemberton - CROSS - By Mr. Lazerow

MR. LAZEROW: Can we put up Macchio Exhibit 30,

2 which I believe is a tweet.

that was committed.

3 BY MR. LAZEROW:

4 Q. This is a tweet that you liked, I believe. So we're 5 clear, I think it's the second one.

6 Do you see those little blue boxes? That's your 7 Twitter picture?

8 A. Yes. That's me.

- 9 Q. And that little picture was from an interview you 10 gave on Fox News. Right?
- 11 A. Yes. Yeah. It is.
- 12 Q. And if I have it right, you liked it. I don't think
- 13 you retweeted it, but I think you liked it. Correct?
- 14 A. That's probably right.
- 15 Q. And so the -- tell me if I read this correctly:
- 16 "Throwing concrete at police officers and destroying
- 17 Starbucks windows isn't exercising your First Amendment 18 rights."
- 19 Did I read that correctly?
- 20 A. That's what it says. Yes.
- 21 Q. And so what I'm getting at is: The people who
- 22 were -- you would agree that people who were -- what was this
- 23 one? -- throwing concrete at officers, in your view, they
- 24 were not exercising their First Amendment rights when they
- 25 picked up that concrete and threw it. Correct?

- I think that seems like pretty common sense. Yeah.
- 2 And in your investigation, as you were compiling
- 3 this information, you were treating the people who threw the
- concrete the same as the people who were walking by.
- 5 Correct?

1

7

58

- 6 A. No.
  - Q. You compiled the same amount of information about them. Correct?
- 8 9 A. I'm not sure what you mean, "compiled the same
- 10 amount of information." 11
- Q. Well, I'm trying to stick within your words. 12 I think that early on in your testimony, when you
- 13 first began direct, you said one of your jobs was to compile
- 14 information as part of all the cases to present in court or
- 15 for the Government's use. Correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 So that's what I'm focusing on. I'm happy to use 18 other words, but I'm trying to stick with what you told us.
  - I guess I didn't understand the question.
- 20 You're saying did I compile the same amount of 21 information for people that threw concrete as people that did
- 22 not?

19

59

- 23 Q. Yeah, Yes.
- 24 A. Well, again, going back to my last statement, it's
- 25 the totality of what everyone's behavior was that day. It's

### Pemberton - CROSS - By Mr. Lazerow

61

- not -- I'm not just taking one second where a person threw a
- 2 concrete at a police officer and then, you know, looking at
- 3 that same segment of time for other people around that
- 4 person.

15

18

21

- 5 I'm looking at what happens throughout from Logan 6 Circle to 12th and L, what their involvement is, what their
- 7 engagement was, what their participation was, whether they
- rejoined, whether they left, whether they came back again,
- 9 when they -- I mean, how close they were in proximity to the
- 10 damage.
- 11 Yeah. I looked at the totality for everyone.
- 12 Now, I think you said that you've been working on no 13 other case since January 20th, 2017. Right?
- 14 A. Yeah. That's correct.
  - Q. And I think you said -- correct me if my memory is
- 16 wrong -- you worked about 40 to 60 hours a week on this case
- 17 since Donald Trump became President. Correct?
  - Since January 21st. Yes.
- 19 Q. And if I counted my math right, I think that's
- 20 46 weeks as of last Friday.
  - I'll trust your math, Mr. Lazerow.
- 22 Q. I actually looked on my phone to make sure I was 23
- right about that.

24 And so, if my math is right, that is -- means you've 25 worked between 1,840 and 2,760 hours.

	Pemberton - CROSS - By Mr. Lazerow		Pemberton - CROSS - By Mr. Lazerow
	62		64
1	A. Okay.	1	Q. Am I right that you have not drawn a line in your
2	Q. Have you looked at your time sheets for the last	2	investigation between those who broke something and those who
3	46 weeks?	3	did not?
4	A. Not with that perspective on it. No.	4	A. No. That's not correct.
5	Q. Those would be good billable hours.	5	Q. You've been investigating all of them. Correct?
6	How many overtime hours do you have on this case?	6	A. Yes. That's right.
7	A. I have no idea. I don't have a way to look that up.	7	Q. So you've been investigating the person who dragged
8	Q. Can you give me a ballpark percentage?	8	that newspaper stand into the middle of the street the same
9	A. I really can't. I'm sorry. Without looking at	9	way you're investigating someone 50 feet away from that
10	some going into my time and attendance software, I	10	newspaper stand?
11	really I never looked at it that way. So I can't testify	11	A. Well, I investigated everyone the same that was
12	to it.	12	included within the group that's under investigation. Yes.
13	Q. Now, in your nine years as a detective in the	13	I treated them all the same in terms of the amount of time
14	Metropolitan Police Department, have you ever had a ten-month	14	that I spent investigating their behaviors, yes, if that's
15	period of time where you worked only on one case?	15	what you're asking.
16	A. Never.	16	I'm sorry if I misunderstood.
17	Q. Have you ever spent more hours on one case in a	17	Q. Yeah. That's right.
18	ten-month time period than did you on this case?	18	Again, we're talking about the 100 and I think you
19	A. No, sir.	19	said 196?
20	Q. How many cases do you investigate in a typical year?	20	A. Yes. Yes, sir.
21	THE COURT: I'm going to under Rule 403 ask you to	21	Q. That's what I was talking about.
22	return to the subject matter of your cross-examination that	22	A. That might be off by one or two.
23	is relevant to this case.	23	MR. LAZEROW: Can we publish to the jury Macchio
24	MR. LAZEROW: May I approach, your Honor?	24	Exhibit 29.
25	THE COURT: I'd like you simply to return to the	25	
	Pemberton - CROSS - By Mr. Lazerow		Pemberton - CROSS - By Mr. Lazerow
	63		65
1	line of questioning that is relevant to this case.	1	BY MR. LAZEROW:
2	BY MR. LAZEROW:	2	Q. I believe this is a tweet that you liked.
3	Q. Now, soon after you started your investigation, am I	3	Do I have this right? This is a tweet that you
4	right that you learned that the protest at issue in this case	4	liked on the tweet was on September 24th, 2016. But you
5	was advertised as anti-fascist and anti-capitalist?	5	liked it at some point after that.
6	A. Yes.	6	A. Yeah. It appears so.
7	Q. And so, when you started looking at videos, I assume	7	Q. Okay. And the person who tweeted this was David A.
8	you saw videos of protesters chanting things about Donald	8	Clarke, Jr.
9	Trump and about fascism. Is that correct?	9	Do you see that?
10	A. Those things were included. Yes.	10	A. Yes.
11	Q. You may have heard chants like, "Love, not hate,	11	Q. You're familiar with who that is?
12	makes America great." Do you remember hearing that?	12	A. I am.
13	A. No. I never remembered hearing that.	13	Q. He's a person who expresses some strong views about
14	Q. Now, in the course of your investigation, you came	14	policing in America?
15	to believe that about 500 people stepped off Logan Circle	15	A. Yes, he does.
16	to at the start of this protest?	16	Q. And the tweet that you like says, "America is upside
17	A. Yes, sir.	17	down. Cops under attack threatens the rule of law. That is
18	Q. And that, ultimately, am I right that the police	18	the aim of the leftist goons. Push back before it is too
19	arrested about 230 people at 12th and L?	19	late."
20	A. That's correct.	20	Do you see that?
21	Q. And in the course of your investigation, you became	21	A. Yes.
22	aware that some people personally broke property. Correct?	22	Q. Now, is it the reason that you do not draw a line
23	A. Yes.	23	between those who broke stuff and those who didn't because
24	Q. And some people did not?	24 25	you view all the people at this table as leftist goons?
25	A. Yes.	23	A. No, sir.

		Pemberton - CROSS - By Mr. Lazerow		Pemberton - CROSS - By Mr. Lazerow
1	Q.	Am I right that you have liked tweets by Donald	1	MR. LAZEROW: Jamie, if you could, let's publish
2	Trump?		2	Macchio Exhibit 18. Hopefully, that's a screen shot of
3	A.	Yes. Probably.	3	something on Twitter.
4	Q.	You follow Donald Trump on Twitter. Correct?	4	Could you make it slightly smaller.
5	A.	Yes.	5	BY MR. LAZEROW:
6	Q.	Along with 46 million other people?	6	Q. Emily do you know who Emily Miller is?
7	A.	Yes. I think I follow, like, 900 people.	7	A. Yes.
8	Q.	I thought it was yeah. Right around there.	8	Q. Who is Emily Miller?
9	Correct.		9	A. She's used to be a local reporter, but now she
10		You believe that he has a message of law and order	10	works with this OAN outfit.
11	that app	peals to police. Correct?	11	Q. And it says, "Will Fraternal Order of Police endorse
12	A.	I think that his stance on policing is probably more	12	Trump or Clinton for President?" And then underneath that it
13	favorab	le than it's been in the past. That doesn't	13	says "@g_pem gives insight."
14	necessa	arily mean it's a resounding endorsement.	14	Do you see that?
15	Q.	And on Twitter you also follow Donald Trump, Jr.?	15	A. I do see that.
16	A.	Yes.	16	Q. Do you remember being interviewed by them?
17	Q.	Kellyanne Conway?	17	A. Yes.
18	A.	Along with 800 other people. Yes.	18	Q. And let's go to the next interview I have is
19	Q.	And you follow a lot of people. Right?	19	Macchio Exhibit 19. Let's show the first part of it.
20	A.	Yes.	20	Have you seen the clip of the interview, I mean, the
21	Q.	You follow Breitbart News?	21	whole segment?
22	A.	I follow lots of news sources, Mr. Lazerow.	22	A. Yes.
23	Q.	Do you follow you follow One America News?	23	Q. I'm not playing the whole segment.
24	A.	I don't recall. Maybe.	24	A. That's fine.
25	Q.	So you don't know what that is that organization	25	Q. Just the first things so you can see it.
		Pemberton - CROSS - By Mr. Lazerow		Pemberton - CROSS - By Mr. Lazerow
		67		69
1	is?		1	(Whereupon, segments of Defendant Macchio's Exhibit
2	A.	No. I do. I just don't know if I follow them.	2	No. 19 were published in open court.)
3	Q.	What is that organization?	3	BY MR. LAZEROW:
4	A.	One America News Network I think is what it's	4	Q. That's the first part of it?
5	referred		5	A. Yes.
6	Q.	Correct.	6	MR. LAZEROW: Let's play 38 seconds to 1 minute.
7	Α.	Okay.	7	(Whereupon, segments of Defendant Macchio's Exhibit
8	Q.	I thought you said you knew what it was. I'm sorry.	8	No. 19 were published in open court.)
9	Α.	It's a media outlet. Right?	9	BY MR. LAZEROW:
10	Q.	Do you know if it's a very pro-Trump media outlet?	10	Q. Now, when you were speaking there, you were speaking
11	Α.	I mean, I don't know that. No.	11	as a member of the DC police union. Correct?
12 13	Q.	You also follow a network called Poll News Network.	12 13	A. That's right. Yes.
14	Correct		14	Q. And the DC police union does not endorse political candidates. Correct?
15	A. Q.	Probably at one point in time I did. You no longer follow that?	15	A. We have in the past, but we elected not to endorse
16	Q. A.	That's correct.	16	anyone in this political cycle.
17	Q.	Because they have very strong views about the	17	Q. I'll just ask you directly.
18		e a very, very Alt-right organization. Right?	18	This union didn't endorse any candidate for the
19	A.	Well, that's not why I no longer follow them.	19	presidential election of 2016?
20	Q.	Why don't you follow them?	20	A. That's correct. So the labor committee did not
21	A.	Well, because I'd have to describe the reason I	21	endorse anyone. Neither did the lodge, which is the
22		lowing them in the first place.	22	organization that issues our labor committee charter.
23	Q.	Was that for your work as a police officer?	23	Q. Now, as part of your investigation, is it fair to
24	Α.	Yes.	24	say the Government searched a lot of the Defendants' cell
		Okay.	25	phones?
25	Q.	Okay.		phones:

	Pemberton - CROSS - By Mr. Lazerow		Pemberton - CROSS - By Mr. Lazerow
1	70 A. Yes.	1	72 narrative. Correct?
2	Q. And some of the Defendants had videos of this	2	A. Yes. I don't think the data supported what it was
3	protest on their phones that the Government was able to	3	that they were suggesting was going on.
4	download. Correct?	4	Q. And that the false narrative was that they were
5	A. That's correct.	5	pushing the false narrative that police were inherently
6	Q. And was one of the people named Payton McDonald?	6	criminal racists. Right?
7	A. Yes.	7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And do you recall I'll show you if you don't	8	MR. LAZEROW: Can we play Exhibit 19. This is a
9	that he filmed inside Logan Circle at the start of the	9	part of that interview from OAN.
10	protest?	10	(Whereupon, segments of Defendant Macchio's Exhibit
11	A. Yes. I recall that.	11	No. 19 were published in open court.)
12	Q. So I'm now going to play Government's Exhibit 192.	12	MR. LAZEROW: Let me make sure I've got it right. I
13	(Whereupon, Government's Exhibit No. 192 was	13	just want to play a clip. Starting at 1:44.
14	published in open court.)	14	(Whereupon, segments of Defendant Macchio's Exhibit
15	BY MR. LAZEROW:	15	No. 19 were published in open court.)
16	Q. And you've seen that video before today. Correct?	16	BY MR. LAZEROW:
17	A. Yes.	17	Q. That's the interview you gave to OAN?
18	Q. And so you understood in the course of your	18	A. Yes, sir.
19	investigation this these protesters support Black Lives	19	Q. And when you said that, were you thinking of Black
20	Matter?	20	Lives Matter?
21	A. I mean, from that clip, it appears so. Yes.	21	A. No. Not necessarily. I don't think that that's
22	Q. Did you gather any information in the course of your	22	I think that there's more sort of rhetoric that comes from
23	investigation that they did not support Black Lives Matter?	23	other places rather than specific groups.
24	A. No.	24	Q. Now, I believe that you testified yes on
25	Q. And am I right that you believe that Black Lives	25	direct that a moment I want to direct your attention to
	Pemberton - CROSS - By Mr. Lazerow		Pemberton - CROSS - By Mr. Lazerow
	71		73
1	Matter is an anti-nalise entity?	_	
	Matter is an anti-police entity?	1	the video of the church meeting on January 8th.
2	A. Not so much anymore. I think, over the past year	1 2	the video of the church meeting on January 8th.  Do you remember that
2			
2 3 4	A. Not so much anymore. I think, over the past year	2	Do you remember that
3	A. Not so much anymore. I think, over the past year and a half, the sort of rhetoric and the policy decisions	2 3 4	Do you remember that A. Yes.
3	A. Not so much anymore. I think, over the past year and a half, the sort of rhetoric and the policy decisions coming out of there have been a little more levelheaded and	2 3 4	Do you remember that  A. Yes.  Q the one that was filmed by Project Veritas?
3 4 5	A. Not so much anymore. I think, over the past year and a half, the sort of rhetoric and the policy decisions coming out of there have been a little more levelheaded and seem to be more focused on making positive change, whereas	2 3 4 5	Do you remember that  A. Yes.  Q the one that was filmed by Project Veritas?  Who was the person you talked to for the raw
3 4 5 6	A. Not so much anymore. I think, over the past year and a half, the sort of rhetoric and the policy decisions coming out of there have been a little more levelheaded and seem to be more focused on making positive change, whereas year and a half ago there were police officers being murdered	2 3 4 5 6	Do you remember that  A. Yes.  Q the one that was filmed by Project Veritas?  Who was the person you talked to for the raw unedited footage?
3 4 5 6 7	A. Not so much anymore. I think, over the past year and a half, the sort of rhetoric and the policy decisions coming out of there have been a little more levelheaded and seem to be more focused on making positive change, whereas year and a half ago there were police officers being murdered all over the country and I thought that some of the rhetoric	2 3 4 5 6 7	Do you remember that A. Yes. Q the one that was filmed by Project Veritas? Who was the person you talked to for the raw unedited footage? A. I don't remember the person's name.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Not so much anymore. I think, over the past year and a half, the sort of rhetoric and the policy decisions coming out of there have been a little more levelheaded and seem to be more focused on making positive change, whereas year and a half ago there were police officers being murdered all over the country and I thought that some of the rhetoric that was coming out at that point in time was distasteful.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Do you remember that A. Yes. Q the one that was filmed by Project Veritas? Who was the person you talked to for the raw unedited footage? A. I don't remember the person's name. Q. Was it the founder, James O'Keefe? Do you know?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Not so much anymore. I think, over the past year and a half, the sort of rhetoric and the policy decisions coming out of there have been a little more levelheaded and seem to be more focused on making positive change, whereas year and a half ago there were police officers being murdered all over the country and I thought that some of the rhetoric that was coming out at that point in time was distasteful.  Q. And when does that shift happen exactly, in your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Do you remember that  A. Yes.  Q the one that was filmed by Project Veritas? Who was the person you talked to for the raw unedited footage?  A. I don't remember the person's name.  Q. Was it the founder, James O'Keefe? Do you know?  A. No. I've never spoken to Mr. O'Keefe.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Not so much anymore. I think, over the past year and a half, the sort of rhetoric and the policy decisions coming out of there have been a little more levelheaded and seem to be more focused on making positive change, whereas year and a half ago there were police officers being murdered all over the country and I thought that some of the rhetoric that was coming out at that point in time was distasteful.  Q. And when does that shift happen exactly, in your mind?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Do you remember that  A. Yes.  Q the one that was filmed by Project Veritas?  Who was the person you talked to for the raw  unedited footage?  A. I don't remember the person's name.  Q. Was it the founder, James O'Keefe? Do you know?  A. No. I've never spoken to Mr. O'Keefe.  Q. You've liked tweets by Mr. O'Keefe, though.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Not so much anymore. I think, over the past year and a half, the sort of rhetoric and the policy decisions coming out of there have been a little more levelheaded and seem to be more focused on making positive change, whereas year and a half ago there were police officers being murdered all over the country and I thought that some of the rhetoric that was coming out at that point in time was distasteful.  Q. And when does that shift happen exactly, in your mind?  A. I mean, I don't know. Over the course of the past	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Do you remember that  A. Yes.  Q the one that was filmed by Project Veritas? Who was the person you talked to for the raw unedited footage?  A. I don't remember the person's name. Q. Was it the founder, James O'Keefe? Do you know? A. No. I've never spoken to Mr. O'Keefe. Q. You've liked tweets by Mr. O'Keefe, though. Correct?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Not so much anymore. I think, over the past year and a half, the sort of rhetoric and the policy decisions coming out of there have been a little more levelheaded and seem to be more focused on making positive change, whereas year and a half ago there were police officers being murdered all over the country and I thought that some of the rhetoric that was coming out at that point in time was distasteful.  Q. And when does that shift happen exactly, in your mind?  A. I mean, I don't know. Over the course of the past year and a half, I would say. I mean, back in 2016, there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Do you remember that  A. Yes.  Q the one that was filmed by Project Veritas? Who was the person you talked to for the raw unedited footage?  A. I don't remember the person's name. Q. Was it the founder, James O'Keefe? Do you know? A. No. I've never spoken to Mr. O'Keefe. Q. You've liked tweets by Mr. O'Keefe, though.  Correct?  A. I don't recall.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Not so much anymore. I think, over the past year and a half, the sort of rhetoric and the policy decisions coming out of there have been a little more levelheaded and seem to be more focused on making positive change, whereas year and a half ago there were police officers being murdered all over the country and I thought that some of the rhetoric that was coming out at that point in time was distasteful.  Q. And when does that shift happen exactly, in your mind?  A. I mean, I don't know. Over the course of the past year and a half, I would say. I mean, back in 2016, there was a chunk of time where there was probably a few dozen	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Do you remember that  A. Yes.  Q the one that was filmed by Project Veritas? Who was the person you talked to for the raw unedited footage?  A. I don't remember the person's name. Q. Was it the founder, James O'Keefe? Do you know? A. No. I've never spoken to Mr. O'Keefe. Q. You've liked tweets by Mr. O'Keefe, though.  Correct?  A. I don't recall. MR. LAZEROW: Jamie
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Not so much anymore. I think, over the past year and a half, the sort of rhetoric and the policy decisions coming out of there have been a little more levelheaded and seem to be more focused on making positive change, whereas year and a half ago there were police officers being murdered all over the country and I thought that some of the rhetoric that was coming out at that point in time was distasteful.  Q. And when does that shift happen exactly, in your mind?  A. I mean, I don't know. Over the course of the past year and a half, I would say. I mean, back in 2016, there was a chunk of time where there was probably a few dozen officers that were basically assassinated, and some of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Do you remember that  A. Yes.  Q the one that was filmed by Project Veritas? Who was the person you talked to for the raw unedited footage?  A. I don't remember the person's name. Q. Was it the founder, James O'Keefe? Do you know? A. No. I've never spoken to Mr. O'Keefe. Q. You've liked tweets by Mr. O'Keefe, though.  Correct?  A. I don't recall. MR. LAZEROW: Jamie  BY MR. LAZEROW:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Not so much anymore. I think, over the past year and a half, the sort of rhetoric and the policy decisions coming out of there have been a little more levelheaded and seem to be more focused on making positive change, whereas year and a half ago there were police officers being murdered all over the country and I thought that some of the rhetoric that was coming out at that point in time was distasteful.  Q. And when does that shift happen exactly, in your mind?  A. I mean, I don't know. Over the course of the past year and a half, I would say. I mean, back in 2016, there was a chunk of time where there was probably a few dozen officers that were basically assassinated, and some of the rhetoric that was coming out of that side at that point in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Do you remember that  A. Yes.  Q the one that was filmed by Project Veritas? Who was the person you talked to for the raw unedited footage?  A. I don't remember the person's name. Q. Was it the founder, James O'Keefe? Do you know? A. No. I've never spoken to Mr. O'Keefe. Q. You've liked tweets by Mr. O'Keefe, though.  Correct?  A. I don't recall. MR. LAZEROW: Jamie  BY MR. LAZEROW: Q. Well, I'm going to show you a screen shot of a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Not so much anymore. I think, over the past year and a half, the sort of rhetoric and the policy decisions coming out of there have been a little more levelheaded and seem to be more focused on making positive change, whereas year and a half ago there were police officers being murdered all over the country and I thought that some of the rhetoric that was coming out at that point in time was distasteful.  Q. And when does that shift happen exactly, in your mind?  A. I mean, I don't know. Over the course of the past year and a half, I would say. I mean, back in 2016, there was a chunk of time where there was probably a few dozen officers that were basically assassinated, and some of the rhetoric that was coming out of that side at that point in time I didn't agree with.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Do you remember that  A. Yes.  Q the one that was filmed by Project Veritas? Who was the person you talked to for the raw unedited footage?  A. I don't remember the person's name. Q. Was it the founder, James O'Keefe? Do you know? A. No. I've never spoken to Mr. O'Keefe. Q. You've liked tweets by Mr. O'Keefe, though.  Correct?  A. I don't recall. MR. LAZEROW: Jamie  BY MR. LAZEROW: Q. Well, I'm going to show you a screen shot of a tweet.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Not so much anymore. I think, over the past year and a half, the sort of rhetoric and the policy decisions coming out of there have been a little more levelheaded and seem to be more focused on making positive change, whereas year and a half ago there were police officers being murdered all over the country and I thought that some of the rhetoric that was coming out at that point in time was distasteful.  Q. And when does that shift happen exactly, in your mind?  A. I mean, I don't know. Over the course of the past year and a half, I would say. I mean, back in 2016, there was a chunk of time where there was probably a few dozen officers that were basically assassinated, and some of the rhetoric that was coming out of that side at that point in time I didn't agree with.  I don't see those kind of things happening anymore.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Do you remember that  A. Yes.  Q the one that was filmed by Project Veritas? Who was the person you talked to for the raw unedited footage?  A. I don't remember the person's name. Q. Was it the founder, James O'Keefe? Do you know? A. No. I've never spoken to Mr. O'Keefe. Q. You've liked tweets by Mr. O'Keefe, though.  Correct?  A. I don't recall. MR. LAZEROW: Jamie  BY MR. LAZEROW: Q. Well, I'm going to show you a screen shot of a tweet.  Would that refresh your recollection?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Not so much anymore. I think, over the past year and a half, the sort of rhetoric and the policy decisions coming out of there have been a little more levelheaded and seem to be more focused on making positive change, whereas year and a half ago there were police officers being murdered all over the country and I thought that some of the rhetoric that was coming out at that point in time was distasteful.  Q. And when does that shift happen exactly, in your mind?  A. I mean, I don't know. Over the course of the past year and a half, I would say. I mean, back in 2016, there was a chunk of time where there was probably a few dozen officers that were basically assassinated, and some of the rhetoric that was coming out of that side at that point in time I didn't agree with.  I don't see those kind of things happening anymore.  So and I've actually seen a lot more positive commentary	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Do you remember that  A. Yes.  Q the one that was filmed by Project Veritas? Who was the person you talked to for the raw unedited footage?  A. I don't remember the person's name. Q. Was it the founder, James O'Keefe? Do you know? A. No. I've never spoken to Mr. O'Keefe. Q. You've liked tweets by Mr. O'Keefe, though.  Correct?  A. I don't recall. MR. LAZEROW: Jamie  BY MR. LAZEROW: Q. Well, I'm going to show you a screen shot of a tweet. Would that refresh your recollection? A. Sure.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Not so much anymore. I think, over the past year and a half, the sort of rhetoric and the policy decisions coming out of there have been a little more levelheaded and seem to be more focused on making positive change, whereas year and a half ago there were police officers being murdered all over the country and I thought that some of the rhetoric that was coming out at that point in time was distasteful.  Q. And when does that shift happen exactly, in your mind?  A. I mean, I don't know. Over the course of the past year and a half, I would say. I mean, back in 2016, there was a chunk of time where there was probably a few dozen officers that were basically assassinated, and some of the rhetoric that was coming out of that side at that point in time I didn't agree with.  I don't see those kind of things happening anymore. So and I've actually seen a lot more positive commentary and suggestions coming from that side.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Do you remember that  A. Yes.  Q the one that was filmed by Project Veritas? Who was the person you talked to for the raw unedited footage?  A. I don't remember the person's name. Q. Was it the founder, James O'Keefe? Do you know? A. No. I've never spoken to Mr. O'Keefe. Q. You've liked tweets by Mr. O'Keefe, though.  Correct?  A. I don't recall. MR. LAZEROW: Jamie  BY MR. LAZEROW: Q. Well, I'm going to show you a screen shot of a tweet.  Would that refresh your recollection? A. Sure. Q. (Tenders document to the witness.)
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Not so much anymore. I think, over the past year and a half, the sort of rhetoric and the policy decisions coming out of there have been a little more levelheaded and seem to be more focused on making positive change, whereas year and a half ago there were police officers being murdered all over the country and I thought that some of the rhetoric that was coming out at that point in time was distasteful.  Q. And when does that shift happen exactly, in your mind?  A. I mean, I don't know. Over the course of the past year and a half, I would say. I mean, back in 2016, there was a chunk of time where there was probably a few dozen officers that were basically assassinated, and some of the rhetoric that was coming out of that side at that point in time I didn't agree with.  I don't see those kind of things happening anymore. So and I've actually seen a lot more positive commentary and suggestions coming from that side.  Q. And am I right you have back in that time period	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Do you remember that  A. Yes.  Q the one that was filmed by Project Veritas? Who was the person you talked to for the raw unedited footage?  A. I don't remember the person's name. Q. Was it the founder, James O'Keefe? Do you know? A. No. I've never spoken to Mr. O'Keefe. Q. You've liked tweets by Mr. O'Keefe, though.  Correct?  A. I don't recall. MR. LAZEROW: Jamie BY MR. LAZEROW: Q. Well, I'm going to show you a screen shot of a tweet.  Would that refresh your recollection? A. Sure. Q. (Tenders document to the witness.) Does that refresh your recollection
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Not so much anymore. I think, over the past year and a half, the sort of rhetoric and the policy decisions coming out of there have been a little more levelheaded and seem to be more focused on making positive change, whereas year and a half ago there were police officers being murdered all over the country and I thought that some of the rhetoric that was coming out at that point in time was distasteful.  Q. And when does that shift happen exactly, in your mind?  A. I mean, I don't know. Over the course of the past year and a half, I would say. I mean, back in 2016, there was a chunk of time where there was probably a few dozen officers that were basically assassinated, and some of the rhetoric that was coming out of that side at that point in time I didn't agree with.  I don't see those kind of things happening anymore. So and I've actually seen a lot more positive commentary and suggestions coming from that side.  Q. And am I right you have back in that time period in the summer of 2016, you liked tweets by people who said	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Do you remember that  A. Yes.  Q the one that was filmed by Project Veritas? Who was the person you talked to for the raw unedited footage?  A. I don't remember the person's name. Q. Was it the founder, James O'Keefe? Do you know? A. No. I've never spoken to Mr. O'Keefe. Q. You've liked tweets by Mr. O'Keefe, though.  Correct?  A. I don't recall. MR. LAZEROW: Jamie BY MR. LAZEROW: Q. Well, I'm going to show you a screen shot of a tweet.  Would that refresh your recollection?  A. Sure. Q. (Tenders document to the witness.) Does that refresh your recollection A. Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Not so much anymore. I think, over the past year and a half, the sort of rhetoric and the policy decisions coming out of there have been a little more levelheaded and seem to be more focused on making positive change, whereas year and a half ago there were police officers being murdered all over the country and I thought that some of the rhetoric that was coming out at that point in time was distasteful.  Q. And when does that shift happen exactly, in your mind?  A. I mean, I don't know. Over the course of the past year and a half, I would say. I mean, back in 2016, there was a chunk of time where there was probably a few dozen officers that were basically assassinated, and some of the rhetoric that was coming out of that side at that point in time I didn't agree with.  I don't see those kind of things happening anymore. So and I've actually seen a lot more positive commentary and suggestions coming from that side.  Q. And am I right you have back in that time period in the summer of 2016, you liked tweets by people who said that it should be called Black Lies Matter?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Do you remember that  A. Yes.  Q the one that was filmed by Project Veritas? Who was the person you talked to for the raw unedited footage?  A. I don't remember the person's name. Q. Was it the founder, James O'Keefe? Do you know? A. No. I've never spoken to Mr. O'Keefe. Q. You've liked tweets by Mr. O'Keefe, though.  Correct?  A. I don't recall. MR. LAZEROW: Jamie BY MR. LAZEROW: Q. Well, I'm going to show you a screen shot of a tweet.  Would that refresh your recollection? A. Sure. Q. (Tenders document to the witness.) Does that refresh your recollection A. Yes. Q that you liked a tweet?

	Pemberton - CROSS - By Mr. Lazerow		Pemberton - CROSS - By Mr. Lazerow
	74		76
1	A. Yes.	1	BY MR. LAZEROW:
2	Q. Now, I believe it was you who edited out the person	2	Q. And is this a tweet of yours in April of 2017?
3	who had the button cam from the videos that were shown to	3	A. Yes, it is.
4	this jury. Right?	4	Q. And just tell me if I read this correctly: "You
5	A. Yes.	5	know what I haven't heard in a while? Police shootings of
6	Q. And you did that because you didn't want to disclose	6	unarmed black youth. Did they run out of funding for their
7	the identity of the person?	7	false narrative?"
8	A. That's correct.	8	Did I read that correctly?
9	Q. You were concerned that the person may be harassed	9	A. Yes, sir.
10	by people opposed to Project Veritas, for example?	10 11	Q. And you're not able to say, by April 2016, it's your view that Black Lives Matter had moderated its view about
11 12	A. No. It's just standard practice that we wouldn't elicit an exhibit with a witness.	12	police?
13	Q. Did you edit out any of the people other people	13	A. That would suggest that my opinion of it had
14	that were at the meeting or didn't know about the taping that	14	changed. Again, this goes back to the fact that sometimes
15	was going on?	15	you have to say something bombastic to be remain engaged
16	A. Only Officer Adelmeyer.	16	in conversation.
17	Q. And but besides Officer Adelmeyer, anyone else?	17	MR. LAZEROW: Could I have a brief indulgence, your
18	A. No.	18	Honor, to consult?
19	Q. Detective Pemberton, is it true that, in 2008,	19	THE COURT: Yes.
20	Internal Affairs found that you gave untruthful statements	20	BY MR. LAZEROW:
21	during an investigation into your suspected off-duty driving	21	Q. I'm going to show you one more. That's Exhibit 22.
22	under the influence in your personal vehicle?	22	Actually, I'll just ask. I'll just ask the question.
23	A. Yes.	23	Do you recall that you tweeted on August 29th, 2017,
24	Q. And, specifically, you told Internal Affairs that	24	that, "Body-worn cameras have destroyed the false narrative
25	you had had at most one beer before the accident, but	25	of BLM"?
	Pemberton - CROSS - By Mr. Lazerow 75		Pemberton - REDIRECT - By Ms. Kerkhoff 77
1	Internal Affairs found it, quote, clear, end quote, that you	1	A. I don't recall that. But I may have said that.
2	had more to drink than that. Is that correct?	2	Yeah.
3	A. I believe those were their findings.	3	Q. Is that I mean, is it your belief that body-worn
4	Q. You were also found guilty of conduct unbecoming an	4	cameras have helped the police push back on the idea that
5	officer. Correct?	5	they simply kill people? Is that fair to say?
6	A. Yes.	6	A. I've been advocating for body-worn cameras for years
7	Q. That was because you knowingly received overtime	7	now. I was part involved in the legislation of that and
8	compensation for a personal appearance in court related to	8	the drafting of the general orders.
9	the accident we just discussed?	9	As a union, we were very progressive with that idea
10	A. That's correct.	10	and the reason behind it was because we felt like a lot of
11	Q. And back to this case, I'm right now that you've	11	accusations that were being blanketly made could be proven to
12	had more time to think about it, you don't know how much	12 13	false.
13 14	overtime compensation you've received on this case?	14	I think that's coming to fruition through that. So I think that yeah.
15	A. No, sir. I don't.     Q. Now, are you able to put a time on it when the Black	15	Q. Thank you for your time.
16	Lives Matter group shifted to I don't want to	16	A. Thank you for your time.  A. Thank you, Mr. Lazerow.
17	recharacterize your words but shifted its focus that you	17	THE COURT: Redirect?
18	were thinking of?	18	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
19	A. No. I am not.	19	BY MS. KERKHOFF:
20	Q. Was it in was it what was it by April 2017?	20	Q. Detective Pemberton, Mr. Lazerow just asked you a
21	Do you know?	21	question about IAD making a finding against you in 2008,
22	A. I have no idea.	22	almost a decade ago. Correct?
23	MR. LAZEROW: Jamie, let's put up Macchio	23	A. Yes, ma'am. That's right.
24	Exhibit 43.	24	Q. And that had nothing to do with this case. Correct?
25		25	A. That's right.

both in court for your personal obligation as well as in court for a work obligation?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

19

3

4 5

6

7 8

9 10

11 12 organizations.

13

14 15 over the spectrum. I'm trying to obtain information about 16 what's going on in policy related to policing for the most 17 18

I retweet things that I think my members who follow me would be interested in. Sometimes it's pro-police.

20 Sometimes it's anti-police. 21 I try to gather as much information as I can so that 22 I can present, as I said before, a public relations 23 standpoint for our organization. That's been sort of my

24 de facto job with the union for some time.

25 So some of those things are -- I'm representing

you received a phone call while you were in the detective's 16 office at the Seventh District asking you to investigate.

17 Correct?

A. Yes.

18

25

19 Q. Did you volunteer for that?

20 A. I mean, I agreed. My lieutenant didn't give me a 21 lot of choice. But I was willing to go and help. Yeah.

22 Q. And since that time -- Mr. Lazerow, I think, did a

23 number of hours. 24 Prior to January 20th, you were stationed at the

Seventh District. Correct?

		Pemberton - REDIRECT - By Ms. Kerkhoff		Pemberton - REDIRECT - By Ms. Kerkhoff
		82		. 84
1	A.	Yes.	1	Five officers suffered concussions. Another officer
2	Q.	Did you work with a partner?	2	suffered a serious knee injury.
3	A.	Sometimes.	3	And that was the video that I was watching when I
4	Q.	Did you have other detectives around you?	4	made those tweets. I was watching live coverage of what was
5	A.	Yes.	5	happening on K Street, which is after this group was
6	Q.	It could be social. Correct?	6	detained, when I sent out those other tweets that Mr. Lazerow
7	A.	Yes.	7	pointed out.
8	Q.	And since January 20th, have you been stationed at	8	Q. Now, you were asked questions a number of
9	the Sev	venth District?	9	questions regarding the location of certain individuals on
10	A.	No.	10	various compilations that you prepared.
11	Q.	Where have you been?	11	Do you recall some of those questions?
12	A.	At a desk at the US Attorney's Office.	12	A. Yes.
13	Q.	Outside my office?	13	Q. And Ms. Kropf actually pulled up a couple portions
14	A.	Unfortunately.	14	of Exhibit 153 and talked about the person with the white
15	Q.	I think defense counsel would agree with you.	15	helmet.
16	_	So all of those hours spent outside my office?	16	Do you recall that?
17	Α.	Yes, ma'am.	17	A. Yes.
18	Q.	No other detectives hanging out every day	18	Q. I'm going to pull up Government's Exhibit No. 153.
19 20	Α.	No.	19 20	Now, Ms. Kropf pulled up portions at eight minutes
21	Q. A.	socializing? None.	21	and portions at 12 minutes and asked you about the location of the individual with the white helmet as it related to
22	Q.	Seems like a real bargain.	22	police and stated that this was an individual who was close
23	Q.	Now, I want to go back to the day of January 20th.	23	to the police, meaning towards the end. Correct?
24	You tes	stified that, with respect to the tweet that	24	A. I remember that question. Yes.
25		erow kept putting up about the police force, you were	25	Q. Well, let's just go ahead and put up at 9:39. This
		Pemberton - REDIRECT - By Ms. Kerkhoff		Pemberton - REDIRECT - By Ms. Kerkhoff
		83		85
1	talking	about events from later in the day.	1	was a portion Ms. Kropf didn't play.
2	A.	Yes.	2	(Whereupon, segments of Government's Exhibit No. 153
3	Q.	What were you talking about?	3	were published in open court.)
4	A.	So after this group was detained at 12th and L from	4	BY MS. KERKHOFF:
5	the vid	eos of that that you've seen and the scene was	5	Q. This is after the Crowne Plaza, correct, the
6	secure	d, police sort of made a rudimentary police line around	6	location?
7	that are	ea.	7	A. This is right at the intersection of 14th and L.
8		Groups of individuals dressed in all black, masks	8	The camera right now is facing
9		appeared to return to the area, came to the area and	9	(Whereupon, segments of Government's Exhibit No. 153
10	_	sort of chanting and engaging the police who were	10	were published in open court.)
11	detainii	ng these individuals.	11	BY MS. KERKHOFF:
12		The situation escalated. They began throwing bricks	12 13	Q. You can actually hear law enforcement. Correct?
1 2	and had	ttlee and rocke and other chiects at the police. And		A. Yes.
13		ttles and rocks and other objects at the police. And	14	(Whereupon segments of Government's Evhibit No. 152
14	those r	ocks and objects were also falling into the area where	14	(Whereupon, segments of Government's Exhibit No. 153 were published in open court )
14 15	those r	ocks and objects were also falling into the area where up was detained, the arrestees were detained.	15	were published in open court.)
14 15 16	those r	ocks and objects were also falling into the area where up was detained, the arrestees were detained.  So some action had to be taken to move them away in	15 16	were published in open court.)  MS. KERKHOFF: Pause it there.
14 15	those r the gro	ocks and objects were also falling into the area where up was detained, the arrestees were detained.	15	were published in open court.)
14 15 16 17	those r	ocks and objects were also falling into the area where up was detained, the arrestees were detained.  So some action had to be taken to move them away in	15 16 17	were published in open court.)  MS. KERKHOFF: Pause it there.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:
14 15 16 17 18	those return the groot order to there.	ocks and objects were also falling into the area where up was detained, the arrestees were detained.  So some action had to be taken to move them away in o securely process the individuals that were still	15 16 17 18	were published in open court.)  MS. KERKHOFF: Pause it there.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. So the individual with the white helmet was where in
14 15 16 17 18 19	those rether ground order to there.	ocks and objects were also falling into the area where up was detained, the arrestees were detained.  So some action had to be taken to move them away in o securely process the individuals that were still  So in the process of moving those individuals away,	15 16 17 18 19	were published in open court.)  MS. KERKHOFF: Pause it there.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. So the individual with the white helmet was where in proximity to the bus shelter when it got smashed?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	those rethered there.	ocks and objects were also falling into the area where up was detained, the arrestees were detained.  So some action had to be taken to move them away in o securely process the individuals that were still  So in the process of moving those individuals away, nation became very tumultuous again and the rock	15 16 17 18 19 20	were published in open court.)  MS. KERKHOFF: Pause it there.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. So the individual with the white helmet was where in proximity to the bus shelter when it got smashed?  A. Directly in front of the bus shelter.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	those rethered there.	ocks and objects were also falling into the area where up was detained, the arrestees were detained.  So some action had to be taken to move them away in o securely process the individuals that were still  So in the process of moving those individuals away, action became very tumultuous again and the rock ag increased and it then became another full-fledged	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	were published in open court.)  MS. KERKHOFF: Pause it there.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. So the individual with the white helmet was where in proximity to the bus shelter when it got smashed?  A. Directly in front of the bus shelter.  Q. And the police are close enough that you can hear
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	those rethered there.  the situathrowing riot all	ocks and objects were also falling into the area where up was detained, the arrestees were detained.  So some action had to be taken to move them away in a securely process the individuals that were still  So in the process of moving those individuals away, action became very tumultuous again and the rocking increased and it then became another full-fledged along K Street, from 12th all the way back to 14th.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	were published in open court.)  MS. KERKHOFF: Pause it there.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. So the individual with the white helmet was where in proximity to the bus shelter when it got smashed?  A. Directly in front of the bus shelter.  Q. And the police are close enough that you can hear them on the video?

1			
	Pemberton - REDIRECT - By Ms. Kerkhoff		Pemberton - REDIRECT - By Ms. Kerkhoff
	86		88
1	A. No.	1	during the course of 10:19 a.m. and 11:32 a.m. Correct?
2	Q. And the individual with the white helmet continues	2	A. Yes.
3	moving where? With the group?	3	Q. I'm just pulling up the portion of the map.
4	A. Yes.	4	I believe this is the one that starts at Location 2,
5	Q. Or stopping?	5	not Location 1. Correct?
6	A. With the group.	6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Now, to get to this spot at 12th between	7	Q. So the distance beginning at what's marked No. 2
8	13th Street and 12th Street, Ms. Kropf showed you that the	8	until you get to No. 6: What is the distance? How many city
9	person in the white helmet, the individual with the riot	9	blocks?
10	baton, moved them forward.	10	A. At least nine, maybe ten, blocks.
11	Do you recall that?	11	Q. And from Logan Circle, the route that was taken
12	A. Yes.	12	that's on Government's Exhibit 301, 302, 310, have you
13	Q. To get to that spot at 13th and L between	13	actually traveled that distance yourself?
14	13th and L and 12th and L, how far would a person in a white	14	A. Yes.
15	helmet have had to travel?	15	Q. How long did it take you to get from those points,
16	MS. KROPF: Objection, your Honor.	16	walking at a normal pace?
17	May we approach?	17	A. Well, unfortunately, I wasn't able to stop traffic.
18	THE COURT: Yes.	18	But it takes about an hour and 15 to an hour and 20 minutes
19	(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at	19	to walk that distance.
20	side-bar outside the presence of the jury:)	20	Q. To move the exact route?
21	THE COURT: What's the objection?	21	A. Yes.
22	MS. KROPF: The objection is, as far as I can tell	22	Q. With traffic?
23	from the evidence they proffered, they can only place her	23	A. Yes. With traffic.
24	from Franklin Square to the spot. If that's what the	24	Q. Now, you were asked questions by Ms. Weletz
25	officer's going to say, that's fine.	25	regarding a number of items that were observed on the scene,
	Development DEDIDEOT Devide Kontrol		
	Pemberton - REDIRECT - By Ms. Kerkhoff		Pemberton - REDIRECT - By Ms. Kerkhoff
4	87		89
1	87 But they have not offered any evidence that she was	1	she said. She asked questions: Did you observe anything
2	But they have not offered any evidence that she was at Logan Circle.	2	she said. She asked questions: Did you observe anything being taken off of or dropped and left on the scene by
2	But they have not offered any evidence that she was at Logan Circle.  THE COURT: So your objection is to the foundation	2	she said. She asked questions: Did you observe anything being taken off or dropped and left on the scene by individuals arrested, such as shin guards?
2 3 4	But they have not offered any evidence that she was at Logan Circle.  THE COURT: So your objection is to the foundation of the distance?	2 3 4	she said. She asked questions: Did you observe anything being taken off of or dropped and left on the scene by individuals arrested, such as shin guards?  You said you did not recall video showing people
2 3 4 5	But they have not offered any evidence that she was at Logan Circle.  THE COURT: So your objection is to the foundation of the distance?  MS. KROPF: Yes.	2 3 4 5	she said. She asked questions: Did you observe anything being taken off of or dropped and left on the scene by individuals arrested, such as shin guards?  You said you did not recall video showing people removing shin guards at 12th and L. Is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6	But they have not offered any evidence that she was at Logan Circle.  THE COURT: So your objection is to the foundation of the distance?  MS. KROPF: Yes.  THE COURT: I don't think he started it with Logan	2 3 4 5 6	she said. She asked questions: Did you observe anything being taken off of or dropped and left on the scene by individuals arrested, such as shin guards?  You said you did not recall video showing people removing shin guards at 12th and L. Is that correct?  A. I mean, I think there is video of people removing
2 3 4 5 6 7	But they have not offered any evidence that she was at Logan Circle.  THE COURT: So your objection is to the foundation of the distance?  MS. KROPF: Yes.  THE COURT: I don't think he started it with Logan Circle. You just asked, to get there, how long does the	2 3 4 5 6 7	she said. She asked questions: Did you observe anything being taken off of or dropped and left on the scene by individuals arrested, such as shin guards?  You said you did not recall video showing people removing shin guards at 12th and L. Is that correct?  A. I mean, I think there is video of people removing shin guards. If that was my testimony, then that's wrong.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	But they have not offered any evidence that she was at Logan Circle.  THE COURT: So your objection is to the foundation of the distance?  MS. KROPF: Yes.  THE COURT: I don't think he started it with Logan Circle. You just asked, to get there, how long does the person have to travel.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	she said. She asked questions: Did you observe anything being taken off of or dropped and left on the scene by individuals arrested, such as shin guards?  You said you did not recall video showing people removing shin guards at 12th and L. Is that correct?  A. I mean, I think there is video of people removing shin guards. If that was my testimony, then that's wrong.  Q. Let me ask this: Were shin guards and other forms
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	But they have not offered any evidence that she was at Logan Circle.  THE COURT: So your objection is to the foundation of the distance?  MS. KROPF: Yes.  THE COURT: I don't think he started it with Logan Circle. You just asked, to get there, how long does the person have to travel.  Are you so where are you what's your starting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	she said. She asked questions: Did you observe anything being taken off of or dropped and left on the scene by individuals arrested, such as shin guards?  You said you did not recall video showing people removing shin guards at 12th and L. Is that correct?  A. I mean, I think there is video of people removing shin guards. If that was my testimony, then that's wrong.  Q. Let me ask this: Were shin guards and other forms of body armor recovered as evidence from individuals arrested
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	But they have not offered any evidence that she was at Logan Circle.  THE COURT: So your objection is to the foundation of the distance?  MS. KROPF: Yes.  THE COURT: I don't think he started it with Logan Circle. You just asked, to get there, how long does the person have to travel.  Are you so where are you what's your starting location?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	she said. She asked questions: Did you observe anything being taken off of or dropped and left on the scene by individuals arrested, such as shin guards?  You said you did not recall video showing people removing shin guards at 12th and L. Is that correct?  A. I mean, I think there is video of people removing shin guards. If that was my testimony, then that's wrong.  Q. Let me ask this: Were shin guards and other forms of body armor recovered as evidence from individuals arrested at 12th and L?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	But they have not offered any evidence that she was at Logan Circle.  THE COURT: So your objection is to the foundation of the distance?  MS. KROPF: Yes.  THE COURT: I don't think he started it with Logan Circle. You just asked, to get there, how long does the person have to travel.  Are you so where are you what's your starting location?  MS. KERKHOFF: I'll just pull up the first part of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	she said. She asked questions: Did you observe anything being taken off of or dropped and left on the scene by individuals arrested, such as shin guards?  You said you did not recall video showing people removing shin guards at 12th and L. Is that correct?  A. I mean, I think there is video of people removing shin guards. If that was my testimony, then that's wrong.  Q. Let me ask this: Were shin guards and other forms of body armor recovered as evidence from individuals arrested at 12th and L?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	But they have not offered any evidence that she was at Logan Circle.  THE COURT: So your objection is to the foundation of the distance?  MS. KROPF: Yes.  THE COURT: I don't think he started it with Logan Circle. You just asked, to get there, how long does the person have to travel.  Are you so where are you what's your starting location?  MS. KERKHOFF: I'll just pull up the first part of the PowerPoint and show that to run the map of her	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	she said. She asked questions: Did you observe anything being taken off of or dropped and left on the scene by individuals arrested, such as shin guards? You said you did not recall video showing people removing shin guards at 12th and L. Is that correct? A. I mean, I think there is video of people removing shin guards. If that was my testimony, then that's wrong. Q. Let me ask this: Were shin guards and other forms of body armor recovered as evidence from individuals arrested at 12th and L? A. Yes. Q. So when you were saying it wasn't you don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	But they have not offered any evidence that she was at Logan Circle.  THE COURT: So your objection is to the foundation of the distance?  MS. KROPF: Yes.  THE COURT: I don't think he started it with Logan Circle. You just asked, to get there, how long does the person have to travel.  Are you so where are you what's your starting location?  MS. KERKHOFF: I'll just pull up the first part of the PowerPoint and show that to run the map of her PowerPoint. The starting location is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	she said. She asked questions: Did you observe anything being taken off of or dropped and left on the scene by individuals arrested, such as shin guards?  You said you did not recall video showing people removing shin guards at 12th and L. Is that correct?  A. I mean, I think there is video of people removing shin guards. If that was my testimony, then that's wrong.  Q. Let me ask this: Were shin guards and other forms of body armor recovered as evidence from individuals arrested at 12th and L?  A. Yes.  Q. So when you were saying it wasn't you don't recall it being there, are you talking about what was left on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	But they have not offered any evidence that she was at Logan Circle.  THE COURT: So your objection is to the foundation of the distance?  MS. KROPF: Yes.  THE COURT: I don't think he started it with Logan Circle. You just asked, to get there, how long does the person have to travel.  Are you so where are you what's your starting location?  MS. KERKHOFF: I'll just pull up the first part of the PowerPoint and show that to run the map of her PowerPoint. The starting location is  THE COURT: He's smart enough to where he can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	she said. She asked questions: Did you observe anything being taken off of or dropped and left on the scene by individuals arrested, such as shin guards?  You said you did not recall video showing people removing shin guards at 12th and L. Is that correct?  A. I mean, I think there is video of people removing shin guards. If that was my testimony, then that's wrong.  Q. Let me ask this: Were shin guards and other forms of body armor recovered as evidence from individuals arrested at 12th and L?  A. Yes.  Q. So when you were saying it wasn't you don't recall it being there, are you talking about what was left on the scene or was recovered from persons?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	But they have not offered any evidence that she was at Logan Circle.  THE COURT: So your objection is to the foundation of the distance?  MS. KROPF: Yes.  THE COURT: I don't think he started it with Logan Circle. You just asked, to get there, how long does the person have to travel.  Are you so where are you what's your starting location?  MS. KERKHOFF: I'll just pull up the first part of the PowerPoint and show that to run the map of her PowerPoint. The starting location is  THE COURT: He's smart enough to where he can understand distances. You can't just ask him how far would a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	she said. She asked questions: Did you observe anything being taken off of or dropped and left on the scene by individuals arrested, such as shin guards?  You said you did not recall video showing people removing shin guards at 12th and L. Is that correct?  A. I mean, I think there is video of people removing shin guards. If that was my testimony, then that's wrong.  Q. Let me ask this: Were shin guards and other forms of body armor recovered as evidence from individuals arrested at 12th and L?  A. Yes.  Q. So when you were saying it wasn't you don't recall it being there, are you talking about what was left on the scene or was recovered from persons?  A. What was left on the scene.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	But they have not offered any evidence that she was at Logan Circle.  THE COURT: So your objection is to the foundation of the distance?  MS. KROPF: Yes.  THE COURT: I don't think he started it with Logan Circle. You just asked, to get there, how long does the person have to travel.  Are you so where are you what's your starting location?  MS. KERKHOFF: I'll just pull up the first part of the PowerPoint and show that to run the map of her PowerPoint. The starting location is  THE COURT: He's smart enough to where he can understand distances. You can't just ask him how far would a person travel from Point A to Point B?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	she said. She asked questions: Did you observe anything being taken off of or dropped and left on the scene by individuals arrested, such as shin guards?  You said you did not recall video showing people removing shin guards at 12th and L. Is that correct?  A. I mean, I think there is video of people removing shin guards. If that was my testimony, then that's wrong.  Q. Let me ask this: Were shin guards and other forms of body armor recovered as evidence from individuals arrested at 12th and L?  A. Yes.  Q. So when you were saying it wasn't you don't recall it being there, are you talking about what was left on the scene or was recovered from persons?  A. What was left on the scene.  Q. And you were asked questions by Ms. Weletz about the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	But they have not offered any evidence that she was at Logan Circle.  THE COURT: So your objection is to the foundation of the distance?  MS. KROPF: Yes.  THE COURT: I don't think he started it with Logan Circle. You just asked, to get there, how long does the person have to travel.  Are you so where are you what's your starting location?  MS. KERKHOFF: I'll just pull up the first part of the PowerPoint and show that to run the map of her PowerPoint. The starting location is  THE COURT: He's smart enough to where he can understand distances. You can't just ask him how far would a person travel from Point A to Point B?  MS. KERKHOFF: Yes. I can ask that question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	she said. She asked questions: Did you observe anything being taken off of or dropped and left on the scene by individuals arrested, such as shin guards?  You said you did not recall video showing people removing shin guards at 12th and L. Is that correct?  A. I mean, I think there is video of people removing shin guards. If that was my testimony, then that's wrong.  Q. Let me ask this: Were shin guards and other forms of body armor recovered as evidence from individuals arrested at 12th and L?  A. Yes.  Q. So when you were saying it wasn't you don't recall it being there, are you talking about what was left on the scene or was recovered from persons?  A. What was left on the scene.  Q. And you were asked questions by Ms. Weletz about the video the 40 subparts from what she called the MRC video,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	But they have not offered any evidence that she was at Logan Circle.  THE COURT: So your objection is to the foundation of the distance?  MS. KROPF: Yes.  THE COURT: I don't think he started it with Logan Circle. You just asked, to get there, how long does the person have to travel.  Are you so where are you what's your starting location?  MS. KERKHOFF: I'll just pull up the first part of the PowerPoint and show that to run the map of her PowerPoint. The starting location is  THE COURT: He's smart enough to where he can understand distances. You can't just ask him how far would a person travel from Point A to Point B?  MS. KERKHOFF: Yes. I can ask that question. THE COURT: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	she said. She asked questions: Did you observe anything being taken off of or dropped and left on the scene by individuals arrested, such as shin guards?  You said you did not recall video showing people removing shin guards at 12th and L. Is that correct?  A. I mean, I think there is video of people removing shin guards. If that was my testimony, then that's wrong.  Q. Let me ask this: Were shin guards and other forms of body armor recovered as evidence from individuals arrested at 12th and L?  A. Yes.  Q. So when you were saying it wasn't you don't recall it being there, are you talking about what was left on the scene or was recovered from persons?  A. What was left on the scene.  Q. And you were asked questions by Ms. Weletz about the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	But they have not offered any evidence that she was at Logan Circle.  THE COURT: So your objection is to the foundation of the distance?  MS. KROPF: Yes.  THE COURT: I don't think he started it with Logan Circle. You just asked, to get there, how long does the person have to travel.  Are you so where are you what's your starting location?  MS. KERKHOFF: I'll just pull up the first part of the PowerPoint and show that to run the map of her PowerPoint. The starting location is  THE COURT: He's smart enough to where he can understand distances. You can't just ask him how far would a person travel from Point A to Point B?  MS. KERKHOFF: Yes. I can ask that question.  THE COURT: Okay.  (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	she said. She asked questions: Did you observe anything being taken off of or dropped and left on the scene by individuals arrested, such as shin guards?  You said you did not recall video showing people removing shin guards at 12th and L. Is that correct?  A. I mean, I think there is video of people removing shin guards. If that was my testimony, then that's wrong.  Q. Let me ask this: Were shin guards and other forms of body armor recovered as evidence from individuals arrested at 12th and L?  A. Yes.  Q. So when you were saying it wasn't you don't recall it being there, are you talking about what was left on the scene or was recovered from persons?  A. What was left on the scene.  Q. And you were asked questions by Ms. Weletz about the video the 40 subparts from what she called the MRC video, the one where you went and picked video up and talked to someone about it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	But they have not offered any evidence that she was at Logan Circle.  THE COURT: So your objection is to the foundation of the distance?  MS. KROPF: Yes.  THE COURT: I don't think he started it with Logan Circle. You just asked, to get there, how long does the person have to travel.  Are you so where are you what's your starting location?  MS. KERKHOFF: I'll just pull up the first part of the PowerPoint and show that to run the map of her PowerPoint. The starting location is  THE COURT: He's smart enough to where he can understand distances. You can't just ask him how far would a person travel from Point A to Point B?  MS. KERKHOFF: Yes. I can ask that question.  THE COURT: Okay.  (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court:)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	she said. She asked questions: Did you observe anything being taken off of or dropped and left on the scene by individuals arrested, such as shin guards?  You said you did not recall video showing people removing shin guards at 12th and L. Is that correct?  A. I mean, I think there is video of people removing shin guards. If that was my testimony, then that's wrong.  Q. Let me ask this: Were shin guards and other forms of body armor recovered as evidence from individuals arrested at 12th and L?  A. Yes.  Q. So when you were saying it wasn't you don't recall it being there, are you talking about what was left on the scene or was recovered from persons?  A. What was left on the scene.  Q. And you were asked questions by Ms. Weletz about the video the 40 subparts from what she called the MRC video, the one where you went and picked video up and talked to someone about it.  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	But they have not offered any evidence that she was at Logan Circle.  THE COURT: So your objection is to the foundation of the distance?  MS. KROPF: Yes.  THE COURT: I don't think he started it with Logan Circle. You just asked, to get there, how long does the person have to travel.  Are you so where are you what's your starting location?  MS. KERKHOFF: I'll just pull up the first part of the PowerPoint and show that to run the map of her PowerPoint. The starting location is  THE COURT: He's smart enough to where he can understand distances. You can't just ask him how far would a person travel from Point A to Point B?  MS. KERKHOFF: Yes. I can ask that question.  THE COURT: Okay.  (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court:)  THE COURT: Can you come back, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	she said. She asked questions: Did you observe anything being taken off of or dropped and left on the scene by individuals arrested, such as shin guards?  You said you did not recall video showing people removing shin guards at 12th and L. Is that correct?  A. I mean, I think there is video of people removing shin guards. If that was my testimony, then that's wrong.  Q. Let me ask this: Were shin guards and other forms of body armor recovered as evidence from individuals arrested at 12th and L?  A. Yes.  Q. So when you were saying it wasn't you don't recall it being there, are you talking about what was left on the scene or was recovered from persons?  A. What was left on the scene.  Q. And you were asked questions by Ms. Weletz about the video the 40 subparts from what she called the MRC video, the one where you went and picked video up and talked to someone about it.  A. Yes.  Q. And you said, "That's not the only thing I did to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	But they have not offered any evidence that she was at Logan Circle.  THE COURT: So your objection is to the foundation of the distance?  MS. KROPF: Yes.  THE COURT: I don't think he started it with Logan Circle. You just asked, to get there, how long does the person have to travel.  Are you so where are you what's your starting location?  MS. KERKHOFF: I'll just pull up the first part of the PowerPoint and show that to run the map of her PowerPoint. The starting location is  THE COURT: He's smart enough to where he can understand distances. You can't just ask him how far would a person travel from Point A to Point B?  MS. KERKHOFF: Yes. I can ask that question.  THE COURT: Okay.  (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court:)  THE COURT: Can you come back, please.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	she said. She asked questions: Did you observe anything being taken off of or dropped and left on the scene by individuals arrested, such as shin guards?  You said you did not recall video showing people removing shin guards at 12th and L. Is that correct?  A. I mean, I think there is video of people removing shin guards. If that was my testimony, then that's wrong.  Q. Let me ask this: Were shin guards and other forms of body armor recovered as evidence from individuals arrested at 12th and L?  A. Yes.  Q. So when you were saying it wasn't you don't recall it being there, are you talking about what was left on the scene or was recovered from persons?  A. What was left on the scene.  Q. And you were asked questions by Ms. Weletz about the video the 40 subparts from what she called the MRC video, the one where you went and picked video up and talked to someone about it.  A. Yes.  Q. And you said, "That's not the only thing I did to verify the accuracy of the video."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	But they have not offered any evidence that she was at Logan Circle.  THE COURT: So your objection is to the foundation of the distance?  MS. KROPF: Yes.  THE COURT: I don't think he started it with Logan Circle. You just asked, to get there, how long does the person have to travel.  Are you so where are you what's your starting location?  MS. KERKHOFF: I'll just pull up the first part of the PowerPoint and show that to run the map of her PowerPoint. The starting location is  THE COURT: He's smart enough to where he can understand distances. You can't just ask him how far would a person travel from Point A to Point B?  MS. KERKHOFF: Yes. I can ask that question.  THE COURT: Okay.  (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court:)  THE COURT: Can you come back, please.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Detective, you testified previously that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	she said. She asked questions: Did you observe anything being taken off of or dropped and left on the scene by individuals arrested, such as shin guards?  You said you did not recall video showing people removing shin guards at 12th and L. Is that correct?  A. I mean, I think there is video of people removing shin guards. If that was my testimony, then that's wrong.  Q. Let me ask this: Were shin guards and other forms of body armor recovered as evidence from individuals arrested at 12th and L?  A. Yes.  Q. So when you were saying it wasn't you don't recall it being there, are you talking about what was left on the scene or was recovered from persons?  A. What was left on the scene.  Q. And you were asked questions by Ms. Weletz about the video the 40 subparts from what she called the MRC video, the one where you went and picked video up and talked to someone about it.  A. Yes.  Q. And you said, "That's not the only thing I did to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	But they have not offered any evidence that she was at Logan Circle.  THE COURT: So your objection is to the foundation of the distance?  MS. KROPF: Yes.  THE COURT: I don't think he started it with Logan Circle. You just asked, to get there, how long does the person have to travel.  Are you so where are you what's your starting location?  MS. KERKHOFF: I'll just pull up the first part of the PowerPoint and show that to run the map of her PowerPoint. The starting location is  THE COURT: He's smart enough to where he can understand distances. You can't just ask him how far would a person travel from Point A to Point B?  MS. KERKHOFF: Yes. I can ask that question.  THE COURT: Okay.  (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court:)  THE COURT: Can you come back, please.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	she said. She asked questions: Did you observe anything being taken off of or dropped and left on the scene by individuals arrested, such as shin guards?  You said you did not recall video showing people removing shin guards at 12th and L. Is that correct?  A. I mean, I think there is video of people removing shin guards. If that was my testimony, then that's wrong.  Q. Let me ask this: Were shin guards and other forms of body armor recovered as evidence from individuals arrested at 12th and L?  A. Yes.  Q. So when you were saying it wasn't you don't recall it being there, are you talking about what was left on the scene or was recovered from persons?  A. What was left on the scene.  Q. And you were asked questions by Ms. Weletz about the video the 40 subparts from what she called the MRC video, the one where you went and picked video up and talked to someone about it.  A. Yes.  Q. And you said, "That's not the only thing I did to verify the accuracy of the video."  Do you recall that language?

	Pemberton - REDIRECT - By Ms. Kerkhoff		Pemberton - REDIRECT - By Ms. Kerkhoff
4	90	_	92
1	A. So any one of those 40 videos is captured at a time	1	of video of that have you watched?
2	where there's other video that we already have and had	2	A. I don't even think I could put a number on it. Way
3	already been corroborated in a number of ways.	3	too many.
4	So I could look at that video and determine that it	4	Q. Body-worn camera?
5	was accurate a fair and accurate representation of what	5	A. Yes.
6	happened by the fact that I had already looked at a ton of	6	Q. People filming?
7 8	video that had been captured at that same location.	7	A. Yes.
	I wasn't able to get that MRC video until much later	8	Q. Prior to the still images that you placed on the
9	in the investigation. I think it was probably spring or	9 10	board, did you see that combination of clothing
10 11	summertime. And so I had already done a considerable amount of examination and analysis of the video that I already	11	THE COURT: Can I just ask you, Ms. Kerkhoff, to relate it to exhibits in this case.
12	of examination and analysis of the video that I already obtained.	12	MS. KERKHOFF: Refer to the exhibits?
13	So when I was able to get the video from MRC, by	13	THE COURT: Confine your questions to the exhibits
14	looking at that video, I could see that, on its face value,	14	admitted in this case.
15	it was an accurate representation of what happened.	15	MS. KERKHOFF: Yes. The exhibit admitted in this
16	Q. Just a couple more questions.	16	case.
17	You were asked by Mr. McCool about that you did	17	THE COURT: Yes.
18	not seize the backpack you observed Defendant Oliver Harris	18	BY MS. KERKHOFF:
19	in possession of here in the courthouse within the past	19	Q. The board in this case, Government's Exhibit
20	month. Correct?	20	No. 315, those images of that clothing that's
21	A. That's right.	21	THE COURT: Can I just ask you to approach.
22	Q. Did you inform me about it so we could inform	22	(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at
23	defense counsel?	23	side-bar outside the presence of the jury:)
24	MR. McCOOL: Objection.	24	THE COURT: My original ruling was that you couldn't
25	THE COURT: Sustained.	25	ask him whether he had ever seen these things in all the
	Pemberton - REDIRECT - By Ms. Kerkhoff		Pemberton - REDIRECT - By Ms. Kerkhoff
	•		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	91		93
1	91 BY MS. KERKHOFF:	1	93 videos he'd ever watched, that it could only be testimony
1 2		1 2	
	BY MS. KERKHOFF:	_	videos he'd ever watched, that it could only be testimony
	BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Did you make a record of it orally or in writing	2	videos he'd ever watched, that it could only be testimony about the videos in this case that the jurors in this case
2	BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Did you make a record of it orally or in writing when you observed it at that point?	2	videos he'd ever watched, that it could only be testimony about the videos in this case that the jurors in this case would be able to look at themselves.
2 3 4	BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Did you make a record of it orally or in writing when you observed it at that point?  MR. McCOOL: Objection.	2 3 4	videos he'd ever watched, that it could only be testimony about the videos in this case that the jurors in this case would be able to look at themselves.  That's what I mean about confine your questions to
2 3 4 5	BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Did you make a record of it orally or in writing when you observed it at that point?  MR. McCOOL: Objection.  THE COURT: Overruled.	2 3 4 5	videos he'd ever watched, that it could only be testimony about the videos in this case that the jurors in this case would be able to look at themselves.  That's what I mean about confine your questions to the videos in this case, not the backpack in this case, but
2 3 4 5 6	BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Did you make a record of it orally or in writing when you observed it at that point?  MR. McCOOL: Objection.  THE COURT: Overruled.  THE WITNESS: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6	videos he'd ever watched, that it could only be testimony about the videos in this case that the jurors in this case would be able to look at themselves.  That's what I mean about confine your questions to the videos in this case, not the backpack in this case, but in his review of the exhibits, the admitted exhibits in this
2 3 4 5 6 7	BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Did you make a record of it orally or in writing when you observed it at that point?  MR. McCOOL: Objection.  THE COURT: Overruled.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:	2 3 4 5 6 7	videos he'd ever watched, that it could only be testimony about the videos in this case that the jurors in this case would be able to look at themselves.  That's what I mean about confine your questions to the videos in this case, not the backpack in this case, but in his review of the exhibits, the admitted exhibits in this case.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Did you make a record of it orally or in writing when you observed it at that point?  MR. McCOOL: Objection.  THE COURT: Overruled.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. What did you do?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	videos he'd ever watched, that it could only be testimony about the videos in this case that the jurors in this case would be able to look at themselves.  That's what I mean about confine your questions to the videos in this case, not the backpack in this case, but in his review of the exhibits, the admitted exhibits in this case.  MS. KERKHOFF: Sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Did you make a record of it orally or in writing when you observed it at that point?  MR. McCOOL: Objection.  THE COURT: Overruled.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. What did you do?  A. I informed you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	videos he'd ever watched, that it could only be testimony about the videos in this case that the jurors in this case would be able to look at themselves.  That's what I mean about confine your questions to the videos in this case, not the backpack in this case, but in his review of the exhibits, the admitted exhibits in this case.  MS. KERKHOFF: Sorry.  THE COURT: And to the extent that you're making a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Did you make a record of it orally or in writing when you observed it at that point?  MR. McCOOL: Objection.  THE COURT: Overruled.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. What did you do?  A. I informed you.  MR. McCOOL: Your Honor, may we approach? I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	videos he'd ever watched, that it could only be testimony about the videos in this case that the jurors in this case would be able to look at themselves.  That's what I mean about confine your questions to the videos in this case, not the backpack in this case, but in his review of the exhibits, the admitted exhibits in this case.  MS. KERKHOFF: Sorry.  THE COURT: And to the extent that you're making a Jencks request, you can talk to Ms. Kerkhoff about that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Did you make a record of it orally or in writing when you observed it at that point?  MR. McCOOL: Objection.  THE COURT: Overruled.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. What did you do?  A. I informed you.  MR. McCOOL: Your Honor, may we approach? I don't have the writing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	videos he'd ever watched, that it could only be testimony about the videos in this case that the jurors in this case would be able to look at themselves.  That's what I mean about confine your questions to the videos in this case, not the backpack in this case, but in his review of the exhibits, the admitted exhibits in this case.  MS. KERKHOFF: Sorry.  THE COURT: And to the extent that you're making a Jencks request, you can talk to Ms. Kerkhoff about that later.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Did you make a record of it orally or in writing when you observed it at that point?  MR. McCOOL: Objection.  THE COURT: Overruled.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. What did you do?  A. I informed you.  MR. McCOOL: Your Honor, may we approach? I don't have the writing.  THE COURT: Not at this time, Mr. McCool.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	videos he'd ever watched, that it could only be testimony about the videos in this case that the jurors in this case would be able to look at themselves.  That's what I mean about confine your questions to the videos in this case, not the backpack in this case, but in his review of the exhibits, the admitted exhibits in this case.  MS. KERKHOFF: Sorry.  THE COURT: And to the extent that you're making a Jencks request, you can talk to Ms. Kerkhoff about that later.  MR. McCOOL: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Did you make a record of it orally or in writing when you observed it at that point?  MR. McCOOL: Objection.  THE COURT: Overruled.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. What did you do?  A. I informed you.  MR. McCOOL: Your Honor, may we approach? I don't have the writing.  THE COURT: Not at this time, Mr. McCool.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	videos he'd ever watched, that it could only be testimony about the videos in this case that the jurors in this case would be able to look at themselves.  That's what I mean about confine your questions to the videos in this case, not the backpack in this case, but in his review of the exhibits, the admitted exhibits in this case.  MS. KERKHOFF: Sorry.  THE COURT: And to the extent that you're making a Jencks request, you can talk to Ms. Kerkhoff about that later.  MR. McCOOL: Okay.  (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Did you make a record of it orally or in writing when you observed it at that point?  MR. McCOOL: Objection.  THE COURT: Overruled.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. What did you do?  A. I informed you.  MR. McCOOL: Your Honor, may we approach? I don't have the writing.  THE COURT: Not at this time, Mr. McCool.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Now, you were asked by Mr. McCool about the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	videos he'd ever watched, that it could only be testimony about the videos in this case that the jurors in this case would be able to look at themselves.  That's what I mean about confine your questions to the videos in this case, not the backpack in this case, but in his review of the exhibits, the admitted exhibits in this case.  MS. KERKHOFF: Sorry.  THE COURT: And to the extent that you're making a Jencks request, you can talk to Ms. Kerkhoff about that later.  MR. McCOOL: Okay.  (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court:)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Did you make a record of it orally or in writing when you observed it at that point?  MR. McCOOL: Objection.  THE COURT: Overruled.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. What did you do?  A. I informed you.  MR. McCOOL: Your Honor, may we approach? I don't have the writing.  THE COURT: Not at this time, Mr. McCool.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Now, you were asked by Mr. McCool about the combination of clothing. I think that was the kind of shirt	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	videos he'd ever watched, that it could only be testimony about the videos in this case that the jurors in this case would be able to look at themselves.  That's what I mean about confine your questions to the videos in this case, not the backpack in this case, but in his review of the exhibits, the admitted exhibits in this case.  MS. KERKHOFF: Sorry.  THE COURT: And to the extent that you're making a Jencks request, you can talk to Ms. Kerkhoff about that later.  MR. McCOOL: Okay.  (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court:)  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. So on directing your attention to all of these exhibits admitted in this case, all of the video that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Did you make a record of it orally or in writing when you observed it at that point?  MR. McCOOL: Objection.  THE COURT: Overruled.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. What did you do?  A. I informed you.  MR. McCOOL: Your Honor, may we approach? I don't have the writing.  THE COURT: Not at this time, Mr. McCool.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Now, you were asked by Mr. McCool about the combination of clothing. I think that was the kind of shirt and hat and scarf.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	videos he'd ever watched, that it could only be testimony about the videos in this case that the jurors in this case would be able to look at themselves.  That's what I mean about confine your questions to the videos in this case, not the backpack in this case, but in his review of the exhibits, the admitted exhibits in this case.  MS. KERKHOFF: Sorry.  THE COURT: And to the extent that you're making a Jencks request, you can talk to Ms. Kerkhoff about that later.  MR. McCOOL: Okay.  (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court:)  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. So on directing your attention to all of these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Did you make a record of it orally or in writing when you observed it at that point?  MR. McCOOL: Objection.  THE COURT: Overruled.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. What did you do?  A. I informed you.  MR. McCOOL: Your Honor, may we approach? I don't have the writing.  THE COURT: Not at this time, Mr. McCool.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Now, you were asked by Mr. McCool about the combination of clothing. I think that was the kind of shirt and hat and scarf.  And Mr. McCool asked you is that something you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	videos he'd ever watched, that it could only be testimony about the videos in this case that the jurors in this case would be able to look at themselves.  That's what I mean about confine your questions to the videos in this case, not the backpack in this case, but in his review of the exhibits, the admitted exhibits in this case.  MS. KERKHOFF: Sorry.  THE COURT: And to the extent that you're making a Jencks request, you can talk to Ms. Kerkhoff about that later.  MR. McCOOL: Okay.  (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court:)  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. So on directing your attention to all of these exhibits admitted in this case, all of the video that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Did you make a record of it orally or in writing when you observed it at that point?  MR. McCOOL: Objection.  THE COURT: Overruled.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. What did you do?  A. I informed you.  MR. McCOOL: Your Honor, may we approach? I don't have the writing.  THE COURT: Not at this time, Mr. McCool.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Now, you were asked by Mr. McCool about the combination of clothing. I think that was the kind of shirt and hat and scarf.  And Mr. McCool asked you is that something you observed on any of the videos prior to that moment at 12th and L.  Correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	videos he'd ever watched, that it could only be testimony about the videos in this case that the jurors in this case would be able to look at themselves.  That's what I mean about confine your questions to the videos in this case, not the backpack in this case, but in his review of the exhibits, the admitted exhibits in this case.  MS. KERKHOFF: Sorry.  THE COURT: And to the extent that you're making a Jencks request, you can talk to Ms. Kerkhoff about that later.  MR. McCOOL: Okay.  (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court:)  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. So on directing your attention to all of these exhibits admitted in this case, all of the video that's admitted, not that we necessarily played it all, but all the admitted exhibits before the jury, having reviewed that, can you see
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Did you make a record of it orally or in writing when you observed it at that point?  MR. McCOOL: Objection.  THE COURT: Overruled.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. What did you do?  A. I informed you.  MR. McCOOL: Your Honor, may we approach? I don't have the writing.  THE COURT: Not at this time, Mr. McCool.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Now, you were asked by Mr. McCool about the combination of clothing. I think that was the kind of shirt and hat and scarf.  And Mr. McCool asked you is that something you observed on any of the videos prior to that moment at 12th and L.  Correct?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	videos he'd ever watched, that it could only be testimony about the videos in this case that the jurors in this case would be able to look at themselves.  That's what I mean about confine your questions to the videos in this case, not the backpack in this case, but in his review of the exhibits, the admitted exhibits in this case.  MS. KERKHOFF: Sorry.  THE COURT: And to the extent that you're making a Jencks request, you can talk to Ms. Kerkhoff about that later.  MR. McCOOL: Okay.  (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court:)  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. So on directing your attention to all of these exhibits admitted in this case, all of the video that's admitted, not that we necessarily played it all, but all the admitted exhibits before the jury, having reviewed that, can you see
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Did you make a record of it orally or in writing when you observed it at that point?  MR. McCOOL: Objection.  THE COURT: Overruled.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. What did you do?  A. I informed you.  MR. McCOOL: Your Honor, may we approach? I don't have the writing.  THE COURT: Not at this time, Mr. McCool.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Now, you were asked by Mr. McCool about the combination of clothing. I think that was the kind of shirt and hat and scarf.  And Mr. McCool asked you is that something you observed on any of the videos prior to that moment at 12th and L.  Correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Let me ask this I want to focus your attention	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	videos he'd ever watched, that it could only be testimony about the videos in this case that the jurors in this case would be able to look at themselves.  That's what I mean about confine your questions to the videos in this case, not the backpack in this case, but in his review of the exhibits, the admitted exhibits in this case.  MS. KERKHOFF: Sorry.  THE COURT: And to the extent that you're making a Jencks request, you can talk to Ms. Kerkhoff about that later.  MR. McCOOL: Okay.  (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court:)  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. So on directing your attention to all of these exhibits admitted in this case, all of the video that's admitted, not that we necessarily played it all, but all the admitted exhibits before the jury, having reviewed that, can you see  A. Yes.  Q when the group of over 200 people are beginning
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Did you make a record of it orally or in writing when you observed it at that point?  MR. McCOOL: Objection.  THE COURT: Overruled.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. What did you do?  A. I informed you.  MR. McCOOL: Your Honor, may we approach? I don't have the writing.  THE COURT: Not at this time, Mr. McCool.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Now, you were asked by Mr. McCool about the combination of clothing. I think that was the kind of shirt and hat and scarf.  And Mr. McCool asked you is that something you observed on any of the videos prior to that moment at 12th and L.  Correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Let me ask this I want to focus your attention specifically.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	videos he'd ever watched, that it could only be testimony about the videos in this case that the jurors in this case would be able to look at themselves.  That's what I mean about confine your questions to the videos in this case, not the backpack in this case, but in his review of the exhibits, the admitted exhibits in this case.  MS. KERKHOFF: Sorry.  THE COURT: And to the extent that you're making a Jencks request, you can talk to Ms. Kerkhoff about that later.  MR. McCOOL: Okay.  (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court:)  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. So on directing your attention to all of these exhibits admitted in this case, all of the video that's admitted, not that we necessarily played it all, but all the admitted exhibits before the jury, having reviewed that, can you see  A. Yes.  Q when the group of over 200 people are beginning to be surrounded by law enforcement?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Did you make a record of it orally or in writing when you observed it at that point?  MR. McCOOL: Objection.  THE COURT: Overruled.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. What did you do?  A. I informed you.  MR. McCOOL: Your Honor, may we approach? I don't have the writing.  THE COURT: Not at this time, Mr. McCool.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Now, you were asked by Mr. McCool about the combination of clothing. I think that was the kind of shirt and hat and scarf.  And Mr. McCool asked you is that something you observed on any of the videos prior to that moment at 12th and L.  Correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Let me ask this I want to focus your attention specifically.  The group that is being surrounded by law	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	videos he'd ever watched, that it could only be testimony about the videos in this case that the jurors in this case would be able to look at themselves.  That's what I mean about confine your questions to the videos in this case, not the backpack in this case, but in his review of the exhibits, the admitted exhibits in this case.  MS. KERKHOFF: Sorry.  THE COURT: And to the extent that you're making a Jencks request, you can talk to Ms. Kerkhoff about that later.  MR. McCOOL: Okay.  (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court:)  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. So on directing your attention to all of these exhibits admitted in this case, all of the video that's admitted, not that we necessarily played it all, but all the admitted exhibits before the jury, having reviewed that, can you see  A. Yes.  Q when the group of over 200 people are beginning to be surrounded by law enforcement?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Did you make a record of it orally or in writing when you observed it at that point?  MR. McCOOL: Objection.  THE COURT: Overruled.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. What did you do?  A. I informed you.  MR. McCOOL: Your Honor, may we approach? I don't have the writing.  THE COURT: Not at this time, Mr. McCool.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Now, you were asked by Mr. McCool about the combination of clothing. I think that was the kind of shirt and hat and scarf.  And Mr. McCool asked you is that something you observed on any of the videos prior to that moment at 12th and L.  Correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Let me ask this I want to focus your attention specifically.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	videos he'd ever watched, that it could only be testimony about the videos in this case that the jurors in this case would be able to look at themselves.  That's what I mean about confine your questions to the videos in this case, not the backpack in this case, but in his review of the exhibits, the admitted exhibits in this case.  MS. KERKHOFF: Sorry.  THE COURT: And to the extent that you're making a Jencks request, you can talk to Ms. Kerkhoff about that later.  MR. McCOOL: Okay.  (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court:)  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. So on directing your attention to all of these exhibits admitted in this case, all of the video that's admitted, not that we necessarily played it all, but all the admitted exhibits before the jury, having reviewed that, can you see  A. Yes.  Q when the group of over 200 people are beginning to be surrounded by law enforcement?

25

24

25

A.

Yes.

Do you see that alley depicted on the map?

evidence that was seized in this case to the brought to the

Fifth District community room.

We laid out every single piece of evidence that was seized in this case and photographed it.  O Showing you Covernment's Exhibit Nos. 805 and 806 that have previously been admitted, do you recognize these for your photographs?  A Nes. Those are photographs that we took that day at 7 5-b of Alexee Wood's property.  Mr. Cohen has access to It. Correct?  A Yes.  O And the property and teems seized equally available.  Mr. Cohen has access to It. Correct?  A Yes.  O And you bring every piece of evidence that 12 was seized to court for admission?  A Nes. Jud di not.  O But we have photographs. Correct?  A This correct.  O Some  In A Pes.  NR. Cohen has access to It. Correct?  A This correct.  O Some  In Cohen has access to It. Correct?  NR. Coleils: Your Honor, I would object.  NR. Cohen has access to It. Cover and the seize of a number of bitterviews that he's given?  NR. Cohen has access to It. Cover and the seize of the se		Pemberton - REDIRECT - By Ms. Kerkhoff		Pemberton - REDIRECT - By Ms. Kerkhoff
1   Just a second.		·		•
2 Siezella in this case and photographab it. 3 Q. Showing you Government's Exhibit Nos, 805 and 806 that have previously been admitted, do you recognize these to that have previously been admitted, do you recognize these to that have previously been admitted, do you recognize these to that have previously been admitted, do you recognize these to the have previously been admitted, do you recognize these to the have previously been admitted, do you will previously been admitted. A very photographs? 5 No. Poor Alexel Wood's property. 6 A Yes. 11 Q. Okay. So did you bring every piece of evidence that was edized to court for admission? 12 was edized to court for admission? 13 A. No. I did not. 14 Q. But we have photographs. Correct? 14 A That's correct. 16 Q. Aliright. Now, since Defendant Alexel Wood's arrest in this scase, have you become aware of a number of life interviews that he's given? 16 Interviews that he's given? 17 yes a person of the sea of the s	1		1	
4 that have previously been admitted, do you recognize these to two photographs? 5 two photographs? 6 A. Yes. Those are photographs that we took that day at 5-50 of Alexew Wood's property. 6 Q. And the property and items seized equally available. 7 So The Secret Wood's property. 8 Q. And the property and items seized equally available. 9 Mr. Cohen has access to it. Correct? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Dikay. So did you bring every piece of evidence that 12 was seized to court for admission? 13 A. No, I did not. 14 Q. But we have photographs. Correct? 15 A. That's correct. 16 Q. Alight. Now, since Defendant Alexel Wood's 13 interviews that he signen? 17 arrest in this case, have you become aware of a number of interviews that he signen? 18 A. Yes. 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. Some - Was Cohen. Your Honor, I would object. 21 MR. COHEN: Your Honor, I would object. 22 MR. COHEN: Your Honor, I would object. 23 THE COURT: Were going to break now for 15 minutes. 24 If I Sec Qualified the Section of Statements regarding the fact that he's a journalist, made a number of of statements regarding the fact that he's a journalist, made a number of of statements regarding the fact that he's a journalist, made a number of of statements regarding the fact that he's a journalist, made a number of of statements regarding the fact that he's a journalist, made a number of of statements regarding the fact that he's a journalist, made a number of of statements here, and that anytimes the sake about the press badge, his comment." 24 If I Sec Qualified the state of mind was been an umber of of statements here, and that anytimes that he saked about the press badge, his comment." 24 If I Sec Qualified the state of mind was here to be a journalist. The propriet have her break. Why don't you all come back in THE COURT: Both Government counsed are here. 25 THE COURT: Both Government counsed are here. 26 THE COURT: Both Government counsed are here. 27 THE COURT: Both Government counsed are here. 28 THE COURT: Both Government counsed are here. 29 THE COURT: Both Go	_	· - ·	2	
5 two photographs? 5 A Yes. Those are photographs that we took that day at 7 5-0 of Alkews Wood's property. 6 A Yes. Those are photographs that we took that day at 7 5-0 of Alkews Wood's property. 7 5-0 of Alkews Wood's property. 8 Q. And the property and Items selzed equally available. 9 Mr. Cohen has access to it. Correct? 10 A Yes. 11 Q. Dkay. So did you bring every piece of evidence that 11 Q. Okay. So did you bring every piece of evidence that 12 was selzed to court for admission? 13 A No, I did not. 14 Q. Dkay we have photographs. Correct? 15 A That's correct. 16 Q. All right. Now, since Defendant Alexel Wood's 16 The COURT: So, Mr. Cohen, you had an objection to 17 arrest in the iscase, have you become aware of a number of 18 interviews that he's given? 17 arrest in the iscase, have you become aware of a number of 18 interviews that he's allows you become aware of a number of 18 interviews that he's given? 18 MR. COHEN: Your Honor, I would object. 19 A Yes. 19 A Yes. 20 Q. Some - 19 Defendant Alexel Wood's 17 elicit, Mr. Kerkhoff: I was going to elicit that the 19 Defendant has both in print and on video media made a number of statements here, and that anytime he has been of 18 the proposed p	3		3	THE COURT: And, Mr. Cohen, don't go away.
6 A Ves. Those are photographs that we took that day at 7 5-D of Alexal Wood's property. 9 Mr. Cohen has access to it. Correct? 10 A Yes. 11 Q. Okay. So did you bring every piece of evidence that was seized to court for admission? 12 was seized to court for admission? 13 A No, I did not. 14 Q. But we have photographs. Correct? 16 Q. All right. Now, since Defendant Alexal Wood's armset in this case, have you become aware of a number of interviews that he's govent? 16 A. That's correct. 17 armset in this case, have you become aware of a number of interviews that he's govent? 18 interviews that he's given? 19 A Yes. 10 Some - 21 MR. COHEN: Your Honor, I would object. 22 May I approach? 23 THE COURT: We're going to break now for 15 minutes. 24 I'll see you back at ten after, ladies and 21 11:47 a.m. and the following proceedings were had:) 25 THE COURT: We're going to break now for 15 minutes. 26 Gentlemen. 27 I'll see you back at ten after. Don't 4 discuss your testimony. 28 THE COURT: We're going were hard:) 3 THE COURT: We're going were hard: or reporter have her break. Why don't you all come back in 7 reporter have her break. Why don't you all come back in 15 minutes, which is a couple minutes after noon. Thank you. 3 (Thereupon a recess was taken, after which the 6 following proceedings were had:) 3 THE COURT: We're going to break now. 4 I'm allowed to impeach it by noting that this is an 1 individual whor peetadity makes those statements, who a should whor repeatedly makes those statements, who a discussion about the press badge, lace ownerment. I believe it goes directly to 10 rebuttal of the state of mind. His intent was there to be a 1 individual whor peetadity makes those statements, who a discussion about the press badge, lace commended the court reporter have her break. Why don't you all come back in 15 minutes, which is a couple minutes after noon. Thank you. 4 (Thereupon a recess was taken, after which the 16 minutes, which is a couple minutes after noon. Thank you. 5 (Thereupon a recess was taken, af	4	that have previously been admitted, do you recognize these	4	MR. COHEN: I'm not going away.
7 S-D of Alexei Wood's property. 8 Mr. Cohen has access to it. Correct? 9 entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:) 10 A. Yes. 11 COURT: So, Mr. Cohen, volume of an aumber of a minute state in this case, have you become aware of a number of a minute with the 's givent' and an objection to grateful the Courtro' was presented by a minute state of minute of statements here, and that have have breaked with grant was the treatment of a sketkhoff? 12 In the Courtro' was a term after, ladies and cut the grant was to the Court of the Courtro' was a state of minute of statements here, and that anythine has been a sked about the press badge, his comment, despite speaking a swert had:) 11 In the Courtro' was provided zero individual who repeatedly makes those statements, who individual who repeatedly makes those statements, who individual who repeatedly makes those statements in this case, have you become aware of a number of a minute of the court of statements here, and that anythine has been a sked about the press badge, his comment. The Courtro' was provided zero individual who repeatedly makes those statements, who inclined the court of the state of minut. His intent was there to be a discussion about the press badge, even when specifically a saked at time when the Speaking obter, the process was taken, after which the speaking about, and the following proceedin	5	two photographs?	5	THE COURT: All counsel are here.
8	6	A. Yes. Those are photographs that we took that day at	6	All Defendants are here except Mr. Wood, who is now
9 mentered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:) 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. So did you bring every piece of evidence that 12 was seized to court for admission? 13 A. No. Jidd not. 14 Q. But we have photographs. Correct? 14 the effect of - about interviews that Mr. Wood had 15 subsequent to his arrest. 15 A. That's correct. 16 Q. Bit we have photographs. Correct? 17 arrest in this case, have you become aware of a number of 18 interviews that he's given? 18 A. Yes. 19 Defendant has both in print and on video media made a number of 19 A. Yes. 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. Some - 20 Osme - 20 Osme - 21 MR. KERKHOFF: I was going to elicit that the 20 Jurnalist, made 21 MR. COHEN: Your Honor, I would object. 21 MR. COHEN: Your Honor, I would object. 22 May I approach? 23 THE COURT: We're going to break now for 15 minutes. 24 I'll see you back at ten after, ladies and 21 I'll see you back at ten after. Don't 4 discuss your testimony. 25 gentlemen. 26 (Whereupon, the jury exited the courtroom at 2 11:47 a.m. and the following proceedings were had:) 11 THE COURT: Til see you back at len after. Don't 4 discuss your testimony. 5 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. 6 THE COURT: We'll just break and let the court of reporter have her break. Why don't you all come back in 3 I Sminutes, which is a couple minutes after noon. Thank you. The reporter have her break. Why don't you all come back in 3 I Sminutes, which is a couple minutes after noon. Thank you. The reporter have her break. Why don't you all come back in 3 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 18 I THE COURT: Good afternoon. 19 I THE COURT: Good afternoon. 10 I THE COURT: Good afternoon. 11 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 11 THE COURT: So the cross was teanen to show that he was so was taken, after which the 6 MR. KERKHOFF: I think it is some consciousness of guilt the state of minute that day as a journalist. Thank is the refuted to the instance of the press badge, even when specifically a saked at time when the she was coming to 4 The COURT: Good afternoon. 17 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 18	7	5-D of Alexei Wood's property.	7	coming in.
10 A Yes. 11 Q. Okay. So did you bring every piece of evidence that 11 vas seized to court for admission? 12 was seized to court for admission? 13 A No, I did not. 14 Q. But we have photographs. Correct? 15 A That's correct. 16 Q. All right. Now, since Defendant Alexel Wood's 16 interviews that he's given? 17 arrest in this case, have you become aware of a number of 18 interviews that he's given? 18 interviews that he's given? 19 A Yes. 19 Defendant has both in print and on video media made a number 20 Q. Some - 21 MR, COHEN: Your Honor, I would object. 21 MR, COHEN: Your Honor, I would object. 22 MR, COHEN: Your Honor, I would object. 23 THE COURT: We're going to break now for 15 minutes. 24 THI see you back at ten after, ladies and 25 ITHE COURT: We're going to break now for 15 minutes. 26 THE COURT: We're going to break now for 15 minutes. 27 THE COURT: We're going to break now for 15 minutes. 28 THE COURT: We're going to break now for 15 minutes. 29 THE COURT: We're going to break now for 15 minutes. 20 (Summent.) 20 (Whereupon, the jury exited the courtroom at discuss your restimony. 20 (Whereupon, the jury exited the court room at 1 (The COURT: We're going to break the after. Don't discuss your restimony. 20 (The With The COURT: Sum't you all come back in 15 minutes, which is a couple minutes after noon. Thank you, 16 minutes, which is a couple minutes after noon. Thank you, 17 minutes, which is a couple minutes after noon. Thank you, 18 minutes, which is a couple minutes after noon. Thank you, 19 minutes, which is a couple minutes after noon. Thank you, 19 minutes, 19	8	Q. And the property and items seized equally available.	8	(Thereupon, Defendant Wood
11 Q. Okay. So did you bring every piece of evidence that 12 was seized to court for admission? 13 A. No, I did not. 14 Q. But we have photographs. Correct? 15 A. That's correct. 16 Q. All right. Now, since Defendant Alexel Wood's 17 arrest in this case, have you become aware of a number of 18 interviews that he's given? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. Some 21 May I approach? 21 May I approach? 22 May I approach? 23 THE COURT: We're going to break now for 15 minutes. 24 I'll see you back at ten after, ladies and 25 gentlemen. 26 Quertemen. 27 Pembenton - REDIRECT - By Ms. Kerkhoff 28 (Whereupon, the jury exited the court or reporter have her break. Why don't you all come back in 29 THE COURT: We'll just break and let the court or reporter have her break. Why don't you all come back in 29 I'll THE COURT: We'll just break and let the court or reporter have her break. Why don't you all come back in 30 THE COURT: We'll just break and let the court or reporter have her break. Why don't you all come back in 41 THE COURT: So, Mr. Cohen, you had an objection to a subseting that Ms. KERKHOFF: I believe its pleasition was to the effect of about interviews that Mr. Wood had subsequent to his arrest.  42 THE COURT: And what specifically were you going to effect of about interviews that Mr. Wood had subsequent to his arrest.  43 THE COURT: We'll pust break now for 15 minutes.  44 The COURT: We'll pust preak now for 15 minutes.  45 THE COURT: We'll pust we've going to break now for 15 minutes.  46 (Whereupon, the jury exited the court or reporter have her break. Why don't you all come back in many to a statements reagand that he's a journalist.  47 The Will be subsequent to his arrest.  48 The COURT: And what specifically were you going to detect the flex that the sabout interviews that Mr. Wood had subsequent to his arrest.  49 THE COURT: And what specifically were you going to detect the flex that the sabout interviews that Mr. Wood had subsequent to his arrest.  40 THE COURT: And when a seed about the search flex that he's a jo	9	Mr. Cohen has access to it. Correct?	9	entered the courtroom and the
12 was seized to court for admission? 13 A No, I did not. 14 Co. But we have photographs. Correct? 15 A. That's correct. 16 Q. All right. Now, since Defendant Alexei Wood's arrest in this case, have you become aware of a number of a liter/ews that he's given? 18 interviews that he's given? 18 interviews that he's given? 19 A Yes. 20 Q. Some	10	A. Yes.	10	following proceedings were had:)
13	11	Q. Okay. So did you bring every piece of evidence that	11	THE COURT: So, Mr. Cohen, you had an objection to
14 the effect of — about interviews that Mr. Wood had 15 A That's correct. 16 Q. All right. Now, since Defendant Alexel Wood's 16 arrest in this case, have you become aware of a number of 17 arrest in this case, have you become aware of a number of 18 interviews that he's given? 18 Interviews that he's given? 19 A Yes. 19 Q. Some — 19 Defendant has both in print and on video media made a number of statements regarding the fact that he's a journalist, made of statements here, and that anyttine he has been 21 a number of statements here, and that anyttine he has been 21 a number of statements here, and that anyttine he has been 22 asked about the press badge, level it goes directly to 23 about everything else, is, "No comment." 21 THE COURT: We're going to break now for 15 minutes. 24 In'll see you back at ten after. In 2 In	12	was seized to court for admission?	12	something that Ms. Kerkhoff was about to ask.
15 a That's correct. 16 Q. All right. Now, since Defendant Alexei Wood's 17 arrest in this case, have you become aware of a number of 18 interviews that he's given? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. Some 21 MR. COHEN: Your Honor, I would object. 21 MR. COHEN: We're going to break now for 15 minutes. 22 May I approach? 23 THE COURT: We're going to break now for 15 minutes. 24 I'll see you back at ten after, ladies and 25 gentlemen. 26 Pemberton - REDIRECT - By Ms. Kerkhoff 27 gentlemen. 27 Pemberton - REDIRECT - By Ms. Kerkhoff 28 I 11:47 a.m. and the following proceedings were had:) 29 (Whereupon, the jury exited the court or and the following proceedings were had:) 30 THE COURT: We'll just break and let the court 41 discuss your testimony. 42 discuss your testimony. 43 discuss your testimony. 44 discuss your testimony. 45 THE COURT: We'll just break and let the court 46 discuss your testimony. 46 discuss your testimony. 47 reporter have her break. Why don't you all come back in 15 minutes, which is a couple minutes after noon. Thank you. 48 discuss your testimony. 49 (Thereupon a recess was taken, after which the 16 following proceedings were had:) 40 following proceedings were had:) 41 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 42 THE COURT: Solt Good afternoon. 43 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 44 discussion about the press badge, even when specifically was reintended to - his state of mind was he was coming to discussion about the press badge, even when specifically as a journalist. 45 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 46 following proceedings were had:) 47 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 48 discussion about the press badge, even when specifically was reintended to - his state of mind was he was coming to discussion about the press badge, even when specifically was reintended to - his state of mind was he was coming to discussion about the press badge, even when specifically asked the a time the day as a journalist. 49 THE COURT: Both Government counsel are here. 40 THE COURT: Both Government counsel are here. 41 THE COURT: Both Government counsel are	13	A. No, I did not.	13	MR. COHEN: I believe Ms. Kerkhoff's question was to
16 Q. All right. Now, since Defendant Alexei Wood's 17 arrest in this case, have you become aware of a number of 18 interviews that he's given? 19 A. Yes. 19 Defendant has both in print and on video media made a number 20 Q. Some 21 MR, COHEN: Your Honor, I would object. 22 May I approach? 23 THE COURT: We're going to break now for 15 minutes. 24 If il see you back at ten after, ladies and 25 gentlerme. 26 Pemberton - REDIRECT - By Ms. Kerkhoff 27 Pemberton - REDIRECT - By Ms. Kerkhoff 28 (Whereupon, the jury exited the courtroom at 29 11:47 a.m. and the following proceedings were had:) 30 THE COURT: We'l' going ware had:) 40 discuss your testimony. 51 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'm. 52 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'm. 53 THE COURT: See'll just break and let the court 54 discuss your cestimony. 55 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'm. 56 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 57 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 58 15 minutes, which is a couple minutes after noon. Thank you. 59 (Threeupon a recess was taken, after which the 50 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 51 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 52 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 53 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 54 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 55 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Now re-calling United States 56 THE COURT: Good afternoon, your Honor. 57 THE COURT: Good afternoon, your Honor. 58 THE COURT: Both Government counsel are here. 59 And Mr. Cohen, api idea where your client is? 50 And Mr. Cohen, api idea where your client is? 50 And Mr. Cohen, api idea where your client is? 51 Ms. KERKHOFF: I think it is some consciousness of guilt that what? That's where I'm 51 And Mr. Cohen, api idea where your client is? 51 And Mr. Cohen, api idea where your client is? 52 And Mr. Cohen, api idea where your client is? 53 Ms. KERKHOFF: I think it is some consciousness of guilt that what? That's where I'm 54 Girl and the state of mind was he was coming to discussion about the press badge, even when specifically and the state of mind was he was coming to discussion about the press badge, were when specifically a brown and the following proceedings	14	Q. But we have photographs. Correct?	14	the effect of about interviews that Mr. Wood had
17 elicit, Ms. Kerkhoff?	15	A. That's correct.	15	subsequent to his arrest.
18 Interviews that he's given? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. Some 21 MR. COHEN: Your Honor, I would object. 22 May I approach? 23 THE COURT: We're going to break now for 15 minutes. 24 I'll see you back at ten after, ladies and 25 gentlemen. 26 Pemberton - REDIRECT - By Ms. Kerkhoff 27 Pemberton - REDIRECT - By Ms. Kerkhoff 28 11-47 a.m. and the following proceedings were had:) 30 THE COURT: We'll just break and let the court reporter have her break. Why don't you all come back in 15 minutes, which is a couple minutes after noon. Thank you. 31 THE COURT: We'll just break and let the court reporter have her break. Why don't you all come back in 16 following proceedings were had:) 31 THE COURT: We'll just break and let the court reporter have her break. Why don't you all come back in 16 following proceedings were had:) 31 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 32 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 33 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 44 I'm allowed to impeach it by noting that this is an individual whor repeatedly makes those statements, who a fiffirmatively, not required to, provided zero discussion about the press badge, even when specifically a saked at a time when he's speaking otherwise that, "I am a journalist." 45 THE COURT: Good afternoon, your Honor. 46 THE COURT: Good afternoon, your Honor. 47 THE COURT: Good afternoon, your Honor. 48 THE COURT: Good afternoon, your Honor. 49 THE COURT: Both Government counsel are here. 40 THE COURT: Both Government counsel are here. 41 THE COURT: Both Government counsel are here. 41 THE COURT: So the cross was maen to show that he 17 the COURT: So the cross was meant to show that he 18 the court of the following proceedings were had:) 41 THE COURT: So the cross was meant to show that he 19 the following proceedings were had:) 42 THE COURT: So the cross was meant to show that he 19 the following proceedings were had:) 43 THE COURT: So the cross was meant to show that he 19 the following proceedings were had:) 44 The allowed to impeach it by noting that this is an individual to prespeaking otherwise that, "	_			
19 A. Yes. 20 Q. Some 21 MR. COHEN: Your Honor, I would object. 22 May I approach? 23 THE COURT: We're going to break now for 15 minutes. 24 I'll see you back at ten after, ladies and 25 genter. 26 Pemberton - REDIRECT - By Ms. Kerkhoff 27 Pemberton - REDIRECT - By Ms. Kerkhoff 28 I'll see you back at ten after, ladies and 29 Pemberton - REDIRECT - By Ms. Kerkhoff 29 Pemberton - REDIRECT - By Ms. Kerkhoff 29 Pemberton - REDIRECT - By Ms. Kerkhoff 20 I'll 4 (Whereupon, the jury exited the courtroom at a discuss your testimony. 21 I'll 5 THE COURT: I'll see you back at ten after. Don't a discussion about the press badge, his comment." 22 Ill 4 Jam. and the following proceedings were had:) 23 A state-of-mind exception under Rule 807, I believe a journalist. 30 THE COURT: We'll just break and let the court a 15 minutes, which is a couple minutes after noon. Thank you. 31 THE COURT: We'll just break and let the court a 15 minutes, which is a couple minutes after noon. Thank you. 32 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 33 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 34 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 35 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 36 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 37 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 38 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 39 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 30 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 31 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 31 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 32 THE COURT: Both Government counsel are here. 33 THE COURT: Both Government counsel are here. 34 MR. COHEN: I'l may have a minute, your honor. 36 THE COURT: Both Government counsel are here. 37 MR. COHEN: I'l may have a minute, your honor. 38 MR. GREKHOFF: Good afternoon which the following more and that a distribute a proceedings were had:) 39 MR. GREKHOFF: I'l think it is some consciousness of getting lost. 30 MR. GREKHOFF: I think it is some consciousness of getting lost. 31 THE COURT: Both Government counsel are here. 32 MR. COHEN: I'l may have a minute, your honor. 39 MR. GREKHOFF: I'l may have a minute, your honor. 40 MR. GREKHOFF: Good afternoor. 41 MR. COHEN: I'l may have a minute, your honor. 41 MR. COHE		•		
20		interviews that he's given?		
21 MR. COHEN: Your Honor, I would object. 22 May I approach? 23 THE COURT: We're going to break now for 15 minutes. 24 I'll see you back at ten after, ladies and 25 gentlemen.  26 Pemberton - REDIRECT - By Ms. Kerkhoff  27 Pemberton - REDIRECT - By Ms. Kerkhoff  28 1:47 a.m. and the following proceedings were had:) 29 1:47 a.m. and the following proceedings were had:) 3 THE COURT: Will just break and let the court 4 discuss your testimony. 5 THE CURT: Sey you all come back in 8 15 minutes, which is a couple minutes after noon. Thank you. 9 (Thereupon a recess was taken, after which the 10 following proceedings were had:) 11 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 12 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 13 versus Jennifer Armento, 2017 CF2 1193, et al. 14 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 15 MS. KERKHOFF: Good afternoon. 16 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 17 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 18 MS. KERKHOFF: Good afternoon. 19 MS. KERKHOFF: Good afternoon. 19 MS. KERKHOFF: Good afternoon. 10 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 11 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 12 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 13 Versus Jennifer Armento, 2017 CF2 1193, et al. 14 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 15 MS. KERKHOFF: Good afternoon. 16 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 17 MS. KERKHOFF: Good afternoon. 18 MS. KERKHOFF: Good afternoon. 19 MR. QURESHI: Your Honor, may I retrieve the witness awell? 20 as well? 21 THE COURT: Not yet. 22 (Thereupon, the witness entered 23 the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:) 24 proceedings were had:) 25 (Whereupon after here. 26 MS. KERKHOFF: I think it is some consciousness of guilt that what? That's where I'm 27 and Mr. Cohen, any idea where your client is? 28 MR. COHEN: If I may have a minute, your Honor. 29 MR. QURESHI: Your Honor, may I retrieve the witness 20 as well? 21 THE COURT: Not yet. 22 (Thereupon, the witness entered 23 the courtroom and the following 24 proceedings were had:) 25 (Thereupon, the witness entered 26 (Thereupon, the witness entered 27 (Thereupon, the witness entered 28 (Thereupon, the witness entered 29 (Thereupon, the witness en				
23				
THE COURT: We're going to break now for 15 minutes.  I'll see you back at ten after, ladies and  pemberton - REDIRECT - By Ms. Kerkhoff  Pemberton - REDIRECT - By Ms. Kerkhoff  (Whereupon, the jury exited the court rebuttal of the state of mind. His intent was there to be a journalist.  THE COURT: I'll see you back at ten after. Don't discuss your testimony.  THE COURT: I'll see you back at ten after. Don't discuss your testimony.  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.  THE COURT: We'll just break and let the court reporter have her break. Why don't you all come back in 15 minutes, which is a couple minutes after noon. Thank you.  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  MS. KERKHOFF: John Library was a meant to show that he was intended to his state of mind. His intent was there to be a journalist.  THE COURT: we'll just break and let the court of affirmatively, not required to, provided zero and speak about this and has not, while not required to, provided zero and speak about this and has not, while not required to, provided zero and siccussion about the press badge, even when specifically asked at a time when he's speaking otherwise that, "I am a journalist and I was three that day as a journalist."  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE COURT: Good afternoon, your Honor.  MS. KERKHOFF: Good afternoon, your Honor.  MS. KERKHOFF: Good afternoon, your Honor.  THE COURT: Both Government counsel are here.  And Mr. Cohen, any idea where your client is?  MR. COHEN: If I may have a minute, your Honor.  MS. KERKHOFF: I think it is some consciousness of guilt that what? That's where I'm getting lost.  MS. KERKHOFF: I think it is some consciousness of guilt regarding his intent that day. But it also goes to the fact that this is an individual who's speaking about, "I am a journalist. That was my intent that day. This is				
24 I'll see you back at ten after, ladies and gentlemen. 25 MS. KERKHOFF: I believe it goes directly to MS. KERKHOFF: I believe it goes directly to Pemberton - REDIRECT - By Ms. Kerkhoff 99 101 1 (Whereupon, the jury exited the courtroom at 11:47 a.m. and the following proceedings were had:) 2 11:47 a.m. and the following proceedings were had:) 3 THE COURT: I'll see you back at ten after. Don't 4 discuss your testimony. 5 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. 6 THE COURT: We'll just break and let the court 6 THE COURT: We'll just break and let the court 7 reporter have her break. Why don't you all come back in 8 15 minutes, which is a couple minutes after noon. Thank you. (Thereupon a recess was taken, after which the 10 following proceedings were had:) 10 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 11 THE COURT: Both Government counsel are here. 16 MR. COHEN: If I may have a minute, your Honor. 18 MR. COHEN: If I may have a minute, your Honor. 18 MR. QURESHI: Your Honor, may I retrieve the witness of 20 as well? 20 THE COURT: Not yet. 21 THE COURT: Not yet. 21 THE COURT: Not yet. 22 (Thereupon, the witness entered 22 (Thereupon, the witness entered 23 (Thereupon, the witness entered 24 proceedings were had:) 24 And when asked about, "What about the journal badge 25 THE COURT: Detective, can I ask you to step out of the proceedings were had:) 24 And when asked about, "What about the journal badge 50 you had?", his response is, "I'm not talking about that. No				
Pemberlon - REDIRECT - By Ms. Kerkhoff 99 1				
Pemberton - REDIRECT - By Ms. Kerkhoff 99 101 1 (Whereupon, the jury exited the courtroom at 1 1:47 a.m. and the following proceedings were had:) 2 11:47 a.m. and the following proceedings were had:) 3 THE COURT: I'll see you back at ten after. Don't 4 discuss your testimony. 5 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. 6 THE COURT: We'll just break and let the court 7 reporter have her break. Why don't you all come back in 8 15 minutes, which is a couple minutes after noon. Thank you. 9 (Thereupon a recess was taken, after which the 10 following proceedings were had:) 11 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 12 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Now re-calling United States 13 versus Jennifer Armento, 2017 CF2 1193, et al. 14 THE COURT: Good afternoon, your Honor. 15 MS. KERKHOFF: Good afternoon, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Both Government counsel are here. 17 And Mr. Cohen, any idea where your client is? 18 MR. COHEN: If I may have a minute, your Honor. 19 MR. QURESHI: Your Honor, may I retrieve the witness 20 as well? 21 THE COURT: Not yet. 21 THE COURT: Not yet. 22 (Thereupon, the witness entered 23 the courtroom and the following 24 proceedings were had:) 25 THE COURT: Detective, can I ask you to step out 26 THE COURT: Detective, can I ask you to step out 27 And when asked about, "What about the journal badge 28 THE COURT: Detective, can I ask you to step out				
11.47 a.m. and the following proceedings were had:) 1 THE COURT: I'll see you back at ten after. Don't 4 discuss your testimony. 5 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. 6 THE COURT: We'll just break and let the court 7 reporter have her break. Why don't you all come back in 8 15 minutes, which is a couple minutes after noon. Thank you. 9 (Thereupon a recess was taken, after which the 10 following proceedings were had:) 11 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 12 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 13 versus Jennifer Armento, 2017 CF2 1193, et al. 14 THE COURT: Booth Government counsel are here. 15 MR. KERKHOFF: Good afternoon, way I retrieve the witness 16 THE COURT: Not yet. 17 MR. QURESHI: Your Honor, may I retrieve the witness 18 MR. COHEN: If I may have a minute, your Honor. 19 MR. QURESHI: Your Honor, may I retrieve the witness 20 as well? 21 THE COURT: Not yet. 22 (Thereupon, the witness entered 23 the Courtro and the following 24 proceedings were had:) 25 THE COURT: Detective, can I ask you to step out 27 repotter have her following proceedings were had:) 28 THE COURT: Detective, can I ask you to step out 29 THE COURT: Detective, can I ask you to step out 20 THE COURT: Detective, can I ask you to step out 20 THE COURT: Detective, can I ask you to step out 21 THE COURT: Detective, can I ask you to step out 22 And when asked about, "What about that. No	25		25	
1 (Whereupon, the jury exited the courtroom at 2 11:47 a.m. and the following proceedings were had:) 3 THE COURT: I'll see you back at ten after. Don't 4 discuss your testimony. 5 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. 6 THE COURT: We'll just break and let the court 7 reporter have her break. Why don't you all come back in 8 15 minutes, which is a couple minutes after noon. Thank you. 9 (Thereupon a recess was taken, after which the 10 following proceedings were had:) 11 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 11 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 12 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 13 versus Jennifer Armento, 2017 CF2 1193, et al. 14 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 15 MS. KERKHOFF: Good afternoon, your Honor. 16 MR. COHEN: If I may have a minute, your Honor. 17 And Mr. Cohen, any idea where your client is? 18 MR. COHEN: If I may have a minute, your Honor. 19 MR. QURESHI: Your Honor, may I retrieve the witness 20 as well? 21 THE COURT: Not yet. 22 (Thereupon, the witness entered 23 the Courtro mand the following 24 proceedings were had:) 25 THE COURT: Detective, can I ask you to step out		·		·
2 11:47 a.m. and the following proceedings were had:) 3 THE COURT: I'll see you back at ten after. Don't 4 discuss your testimony. 5 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. 6 THE COURT: We'll just break and let the court 7 reporter have her break. Why don't you all come back in 8 15 minutes, which is a couple minutes after noon. Thank you. 9 (Thereupon a recess was taken, after which the 10 following proceedings were had:) 11 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 12 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Now re-calling United States 13 versus Jennifer Armento, 2017 CF2 1193, et al. 14 THE COURT: Both Government counsel are here. 15 MR. COHEN: If I may have a minute, your Honor. 16 MR. COHEN: If I may have a minute, your Honor. 17 MR. QURESHI: Your Honor, may I retrieve the witness as well? 18 MR. COHEN: Not yet. 19 THE COURT: Not yet. 20 (Thereupon, the witness entered the court of may be a proceedings were had:) 21 THE COURT: Not yet. 22 (Thereupon, the witness entered the court of may be a minute, your Honor, and the following proceedings were had:) 23 the courtroom and the following the firm of the provided xero discussion about the press badge, even when specifically asked at a time when he's speaking otherwise that, "I am a journalist and I was there that day as a journalist." 11 THE COURT: So the cross was meant to show that he was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to Washington to be a journalist and to carry out journalistic efforts. 15 And you're saying a refusal to talk about a press badge that is at least facially not true or has false name is consciousness of guilt that what? That's where I'm getting lost. 15 MS. KERKHOFF: I think it is some consciousness of guilt regarding his intent that day. But it also goes to the fact that this is an individual who's speaking about, "I am a journalist." 16 MS. KERKHOFF: I think it was my intent that day. This is what I am doing." 17 And when asked about, "What about the journal badge that on the pournal badge that the courtroom and the following the proceedings were had:) 28 And when				101
THE COURT: I'll see you back at ten after. Don't discuss your testimony.  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.  THE COURT: We'll just break and let the court affirmatively, not required to, but chooses to go and speak affirmatively, not required to, but chooses to go and speak about this and has not, while not required to, but chooses to go and speak about this and has not, while not required to, provided zero discussion about the press badge, even when specifically asked at a time when he's speaking otherwise that, "I am a journalist and I was there that day as a journalist."  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE COURT: Good afternoon, your Honor.  MS. KERKHOFF: Good afternoon, your Honor.  THE COURT: Both Government counsel are here.  And Mr. Cohen, any idea where your client is?  MR. QURESHI: Your Honor, may I retrieve the witness as well?  THE COURT: Not yet.  THE COURT: Not yet.  THE COURT: Not yet.  THE COURT: Detective, can I ask you to step out	1		1	
discuss your testimony.  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.  THE COURT: We'll just break and let the court reporter have her break. Why don't you all come back in 15 minutes, which is a couple minutes after noon. Thank you.  Thereupon a recess was taken, after which the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE COURT: Both Government counsel are here.  And Mr. Cohen, any idea where your client is?  MR. QURESHI: Your Honor, may I retrieve the witness as well?  THE COURT: Not yet.  THE COURT: Detective, can I ask you to step out  THE COURT: Detective, can I ask you to step out  The courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Detective, can I ask you to step out  The courtroom and take the court on the state of mind was he was coming to was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to was intended to hi	_	(Whereupon, the jury exited the courtroom at		rebuttal of the state of mind. His intent was there to be a
THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.  THE COURT: We'll just break and let the court reporter have her break. Why don't you all come back in 15 minutes, which is a couple minutes after noon. Thank you. (Thereupon a recess was taken, after which the following proceedings were had:) THE COURT: Good afternoon. THE COURT: Both Government counsel are here. And Mr. Cohen, any idea where your client is? MR. QURESHI: Your Honor, may I retrieve the witness as well?  THE COURT: Not yet.  THE COURT: Not yet.  THE COURT: Detective, can I ask you to step out  THE COURT: Detective, can I ask you to step out  THE COURT: Detective, can I ask you to step out  This is an individual who repeatedly makes those statements, who affirmatively, not required to, but chooses to go and speak about the press badge, even when specifically about the press badge, even when specifically about the press badge, even when specifically as about the press badge, even when specifically asked at a time when he's speaking otherwise that, "I am a journalist and I was there that day as a journalist."  THE COURT: So the cross was meant to show that he was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to was intended to his state of mind w	2	(Whereupon, the jury exited the courtroom at 11:47 a.m. and the following proceedings were had:)	2	rebuttal of the state of mind. His intent was there to be a journalist.
6 THE COURT: We'll just break and let the court 7 reporter have her break. Why don't you all come back in 8 15 minutes, which is a couple minutes after noon. Thank you. 9 (Thereupon a recess was taken, after which the 10 following proceedings were had:) 11 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 12 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Now re-calling United States 13 versus Jennifer Armento, 2017 CF2 1193, et al. 14 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 15 MS. KERKHOFF: Good afternoon, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Both Government counsel are here. 17 And Mr. Cohen, any idea where your client is? 18 MR. COHEN: If I may have a minute, your Honor. 19 MR. QURESHI: Your Honor, may I retrieve the witness 20 as well? 21 THE COURT: Not yet. 22 (Thereupon, the witness entered 23 the courtroom and the following 24 proceedings were had:) 25 THE COURT: Detective, can I ask you to step out	3	(Whereupon, the jury exited the courtroom at 11:47 a.m. and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: I'll see you back at ten after. Don't	3	rebuttal of the state of mind. His intent was there to be a journalist.  A state-of-mind exception under Rule 807, I believe
7 reporter have her break. Why don't you all come back in 8 15 minutes, which is a couple minutes after noon. Thank you. 9 (Thereupon a recess was taken, after which the 10 following proceedings were had:) 11 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 12 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Now re-calling United States 13 versus Jennifer Armento, 2017 CF2 1193, et al. 14 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 15 MS. KERKHOFF: Good afternoon, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Both Government counsel are here. 17 And Mr. Cohen, any idea where your client is? 18 MR. COHEN: If I may have a minute, your Honor. 19 MR. QURESHI: Your Honor, may I retrieve the witness 20 as well? 21 (Thereupon, the witness entered 22 (Thereupon, the witness entered 23 the Court: Detective, can I ask you to step out 25 THE COURT: Detective, can I ask you to step out 26 discussion about the press badge, even when specifically adiscussion about the press badge, even when specifically asked at a time when he's speaking otherwise that, "I am a journalist and I was there that day as a journalist."  THE COURT: So the cross was meant to show that he 12 was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to Washington to be a journalist and to carry out journalistic  14 efforts.  15 And you're saying a refusal to talk about a press badge that is at least facially not true or has false name is consciousness of guilt that what? That's where I'm getting lost.  18 Washington to be a journalist and I was here that day as a journalist."  19 MS. KERKHOFF: I think it is some consciousness of guilt regarding his intent that day. But it also goes to the getting lost.  20 Jurnalist and I was there that	2 3 4	(Whereupon, the jury exited the courtroom at 11:47 a.m. and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: I'll see you back at ten after. Don't discuss your testimony.	2 3 4	rebuttal of the state of mind. His intent was there to be a journalist.  A state-of-mind exception under Rule 807, I believe I'm allowed to impeach it by noting that this is an
15 minutes, which is a couple minutes after noon. Thank you. 16 (Thereupon a recess was taken, after which the 10 following proceedings were had:) 17 (The COURT: Good afternoon. 11 THE COURT: So the cross was meant to show that he 12 terms of Journalist and I was there that day as a journalist." 18 (The DEPUTY CLERK: Now re-calling United States 12 terms of Journalist and to carry out journalistic 13 terms of Journalist and to carry out journalistic 14 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 14 terms of Journalist and to carry out journalistic 15 terms of Journalist and to carry out journalistic 16 terms of Journalist and to carry out journalistic 17 terms of Journalist and to carry out journalistic 18 terms of Journalist and to carry out journalistic 19 terms of Journalist and to carry out journalistic 19 terms of Journalist and to carry out journalistic 19 terms of Journalist and to carry out journalistic 19 terms of Journalist and to carry out journalistic 19 terms of Journalist and to carry out journalistic 19 terms of Journalist and to carry out journalistic 19 terms of Journalist and to carry out journalistic 19 terms of Journalist and to carry out journalistic 19 terms of Journalist and to carry out journalistic 19 terms of Journalist and to carry out journalistic 19 terms of Journalist and to carry out journalistic 19 terms of Journalist 20 terms of Journalist 2	2 3 4 5	(Whereupon, the jury exited the courtroom at 11:47 a.m. and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: I'll see you back at ten after. Don't discuss your testimony.  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.	2 3 4 5	rebuttal of the state of mind. His intent was there to be a journalist.  A state-of-mind exception under Rule 807, I believe I'm allowed to impeach it by noting that this is an individual who repeatedly makes those statements, who
following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE DEPUTY CLERK: Now re-calling United States  versus Jennifer Armento, 2017 CF2 1193, et al.  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  MS. KERKHOFF: Good afternoon, your Honor.  THE COURT: Both Government counsel are here.  And Mr. Cohen, any idea where your client is?  MR. QURESHI: Your Honor, may I retrieve the witness as well?  THE COURT: Not yet.  THE COURT: Not yet.  THE COURT: Detective, can I ask you to step out  THE COURT: Detective, can I ask you to step out  THE COURT: Detective, can I ask you to step out  THE COURT: So the cross was meant to show that he was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to was intended to his state of mind was he vas coming to was intended to his state of mind was he vas coming to was intended to his state of mind was he vas coming to was intended to his stat	2 3 4 5 6	(Whereupon, the jury exited the courtroom at 11:47 a.m. and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: I'll see you back at ten after. Don't discuss your testimony.  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.  THE COURT: We'll just break and let the court	2 3 4 5 6	rebuttal of the state of mind. His intent was there to be a journalist.  A state-of-mind exception under Rule 807, I believe I'm allowed to impeach it by noting that this is an individual who repeatedly makes those statements, who affirmatively, not required to, but chooses to go and speak
THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE DEPUTY CLERK: Now re-calling United States  THE DEPUTY CLERK: Now re-calling United States  versus Jennifer Armento, 2017 CF2 1193, et al.  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  MS. KERKHOFF: Good afternoon, your Honor.  THE COURT: Both Government counsel are here.  And Mr. Cohen, any idea where your client is?  And Mr. COHEN: If I may have a minute, your Honor.  MR. QURESHI: Your Honor, may I retrieve the witness as well?  THE COURT: Not yet.  THE COURT: So the cross was meant to show that he was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to was intended to his state of not	2 3 4 5 6 7	(Whereupon, the jury exited the courtroom at 11:47 a.m. and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: I'll see you back at ten after. Don't discuss your testimony.  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.  THE COURT: We'll just break and let the court reporter have her break. Why don't you all come back in	2 3 4 5 6 7	rebuttal of the state of mind. His intent was there to be a journalist.  A state-of-mind exception under Rule 807, I believe I'm allowed to impeach it by noting that this is an individual who repeatedly makes those statements, who affirmatively, not required to, but chooses to go and speak about this and has not, while not required to, provided zero
THE DEPUTY CLERK: Now re-calling United States versus Jennifer Armento, 2017 CF2 1193, et al.  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  MS. KERKHOFF: Good afternoon, your Honor.  THE COURT: Both Government counsel are here. And Mr. Cohen, any idea where your client is? And Mr. COHEN: If I may have a minute, your Honor.  MR. QURESHI: Your Honor, may I retrieve the witness as well?  THE COURT: Not yet.  THE COURT: Not yet.  (Thereupon, the witness entered to his state of mind was he was coming to Washington to be a journalist and to carry out journalistic efforts.  And you're saying a refusal to talk about a press badge that is at least facially not true or has false name is consciousness of guilt that what? That's where I'm getting lost.  MR. QURESHI: Your Honor, may I retrieve the witness as well?  THE COURT: Not yet.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Detective, can I ask you to step out  Detective And when asked about, "What about the journal badge you had?", his response is, "I'm not talking about that. No	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	(Whereupon, the jury exited the courtroom at 11:47 a.m. and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: I'll see you back at ten after. Don't discuss your testimony.  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.  THE COURT: We'll just break and let the court reporter have her break. Why don't you all come back in 15 minutes, which is a couple minutes after noon. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	rebuttal of the state of mind. His intent was there to be a journalist.  A state-of-mind exception under Rule 807, I believe I'm allowed to impeach it by noting that this is an individual who repeatedly makes those statements, who affirmatively, not required to, but chooses to go and speak about this and has not, while not required to, provided zero discussion about the press badge, even when specifically
13 versus Jennifer Armento, 2017 CF2 1193, et al.  14 THE COURT: Good afternoon.  15 MS. KERKHOFF: Good afternoon, your Honor.  16 THE COURT: Both Government counsel are here.  17 And Mr. Cohen, any idea where your client is?  18 MR. COHEN: If I may have a minute, your Honor.  19 MR. QURESHI: Your Honor, may I retrieve the witness as well?  20 as well?  21 THE COURT: Not yet.  22 (Thereupon, the witness entered  23 the courtroom and the following  24 proceedings were had:)  26 THE COURT: Detective, can I ask you to step out  18 Washington to be a journalist and to carry out journalistic  4 efforts.  16 And you're saying a refusal to talk about a press  4 badge that is at least facially not true or has false name is  4 consciousness of guilt that what? That's where I'm  4 getting lost.  4 getting lost.  4 MS. KERKHOFF: I think it is some consciousness of  5 guilt regarding his intent that day. But it also goes to the  20 guilt regarding his intent that day. But it also goes to the  21 fact that this is an individual who's speaking about, "I am a  22 journalist. That was my intent that day. This is what I am  23 doing."  24 And when asked about, "What about the journal badge  25 you had?", his response is, "I'm not talking about that. No	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(Whereupon, the jury exited the courtroom at 11:47 a.m. and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: I'll see you back at ten after. Don't discuss your testimony.  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.  THE COURT: We'll just break and let the court reporter have her break. Why don't you all come back in 15 minutes, which is a couple minutes after noon. Thank you. (Thereupon a recess was taken, after which the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	rebuttal of the state of mind. His intent was there to be a journalist.  A state-of-mind exception under Rule 807, I believe I'm allowed to impeach it by noting that this is an individual who repeatedly makes those statements, who affirmatively, not required to, but chooses to go and speak about this and has not, while not required to, provided zero discussion about the press badge, even when specifically asked at a time when he's speaking otherwise that, "I am a
THE COURT: Good afternoon.  MS. KERKHOFF: Good afternoon, your Honor.  THE COURT: Both Government counsel are here.  And Mr. Cohen, any idea where your client is?  MR. COHEN: If I may have a minute, your Honor.  MR. QURESHI: Your Honor, may I retrieve the witness as well?  THE COURT: Not yet.  THE COURT: Not yet.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Detective, can I ask you to step out  14 efforts.  And you're saying a refusal to talk about a press badge that is at least facially not true or has false name is consciousness of guilt that what? That's where I'm getting lost.  MS. KERKHOFF: I think it is some consciousness of guilt regarding his intent that day. But it also goes to the fact that this is an individual who's speaking about, "I am a journalist. That was my intent that day. This is what I am doing."  And when asked about, "What about the journal badge you had?", his response is, "I'm not talking about that. No	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(Whereupon, the jury exited the courtroom at 11:47 a.m. and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: I'll see you back at ten after. Don't discuss your testimony.  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.  THE COURT: We'll just break and let the court reporter have her break. Why don't you all come back in 15 minutes, which is a couple minutes after noon. Thank you.  (Thereupon a recess was taken, after which the following proceedings were had:)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	rebuttal of the state of mind. His intent was there to be a journalist.  A state-of-mind exception under Rule 807, I believe I'm allowed to impeach it by noting that this is an individual who repeatedly makes those statements, who affirmatively, not required to, but chooses to go and speak about this and has not, while not required to, provided zero discussion about the press badge, even when specifically asked at a time when he's speaking otherwise that, "I am a journalist and I was there that day as a journalist."
MS. KERKHOFF: Good afternoon, your Honor. THE COURT: Both Government counsel are here. And Mr. Cohen, any idea where your client is? And Mr. COHEN: If I may have a minute, your Honor. MR. QURESHI: Your Honor, may I retrieve the witness as well?  THE COURT: Not yet.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Detective, can I ask you to step out  And you're saying a refusal to talk about a press badge that is at least facially not true or has false name is consciousness of guilt that what? That's where I'm getting lost.  MS. KERKHOFF: I think it is some consciousness of guilt regarding his intent that day. But it also goes to the fact that this is an individual who's speaking about, "I am a journalist. That was my intent that day. This is what I am doing."  And when asked about, "What about the journal badge you had?", his response is, "I'm not talking about that. No	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	(Whereupon, the jury exited the courtroom at 11:47 a.m. and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: I'll see you back at ten after. Don't discuss your testimony.  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.  THE COURT: We'll just break and let the court reporter have her break. Why don't you all come back in 15 minutes, which is a couple minutes after noon. Thank you.  (Thereupon a recess was taken, after which the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Good afternoon.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	rebuttal of the state of mind. His intent was there to be a journalist.  A state-of-mind exception under Rule 807, I believe I'm allowed to impeach it by noting that this is an individual who repeatedly makes those statements, who affirmatively, not required to, but chooses to go and speak about this and has not, while not required to, provided zero discussion about the press badge, even when specifically asked at a time when he's speaking otherwise that, "I am a journalist and I was there that day as a journalist."  THE COURT: So the cross was meant to show that he
THE COURT: Both Government counsel are here.  And Mr. Cohen, any idea where your client is?  MR. COHEN: If I may have a minute, your Honor.  MR. QURESHI: Your Honor, may I retrieve the witness  as well?  THE COURT: Not yet.  (Thereupon, the witness entered  the courtroom and the following  proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Detective, can I ask you to step out  16 badge that is at least facially not true or has false name is consciousness of guilt that what? That's where I'm getting lost.  MS. KERKHOFF: I think it is some consciousness of guilt regarding his intent that day. But it also goes to the fact that this is an individual who's speaking about, "I am a journalist. That was my intent that day. This is what I am doing."  And when asked about, "What about the journal badge you had?", his response is, "I'm not talking about that. No	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	(Whereupon, the jury exited the courtroom at 11:47 a.m. and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: I'll see you back at ten after. Don't discuss your testimony.  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.  THE COURT: We'll just break and let the court reporter have her break. Why don't you all come back in 15 minutes, which is a couple minutes after noon. Thank you.  (Thereupon a recess was taken, after which the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE DEPUTY CLERK: Now re-calling United States	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	rebuttal of the state of mind. His intent was there to be a journalist.  A state-of-mind exception under Rule 807, I believe I'm allowed to impeach it by noting that this is an individual who repeatedly makes those statements, who affirmatively, not required to, but chooses to go and speak about this and has not, while not required to, provided zero discussion about the press badge, even when specifically asked at a time when he's speaking otherwise that, "I am a journalist and I was there that day as a journalist."  THE COURT: So the cross was meant to show that he was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to
And Mr. Cohen, any idea where your client is?  MR. COHEN: If I may have a minute, your Honor.  MR. QURESHI: Your Honor, may I retrieve the witness  as well?  THE COURT: Not yet.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Detective, can I ask you to step out  17 consciousness of guilt that what? That's where I'm getting lost.  MS. KERKHOFF: I think it is some consciousness of guilt regarding his intent that day. But it also goes to the fact that this is an individual who's speaking about, "I am a journalist. That was my intent that day. This is what I am doing."  And when asked about, "What about the journal badge you had?", his response is, "I'm not talking about that. No	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(Whereupon, the jury exited the courtroom at 11:47 a.m. and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: I'll see you back at ten after. Don't discuss your testimony.  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.  THE COURT: We'll just break and let the court reporter have her break. Why don't you all come back in 15 minutes, which is a couple minutes after noon. Thank you.  (Thereupon a recess was taken, after which the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE DEPUTY CLERK: Now re-calling United States versus Jennifer Armento, 2017 CF2 1193, et al.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	rebuttal of the state of mind. His intent was there to be a journalist.  A state-of-mind exception under Rule 807, I believe I'm allowed to impeach it by noting that this is an individual who repeatedly makes those statements, who affirmatively, not required to, but chooses to go and speak about this and has not, while not required to, provided zero discussion about the press badge, even when specifically asked at a time when he's speaking otherwise that, "I am a journalist and I was there that day as a journalist."  THE COURT: So the cross was meant to show that he was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to Washington to be a journalist and to carry out journalistic
MR. COHEN: If I may have a minute, your Honor.  MR. QURESHI: Your Honor, may I retrieve the witness as well?  THE COURT: Not yet.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Detective, can I ask you to step out  18 getting lost.  MS. KERKHOFF: I think it is some consciousness of guilt regarding his intent that day. But it also goes to the fact that this is an individual who's speaking about, "I am a journalist. That was my intent that day. This is what I am doing."  24 And when asked about, "What about the journal badge you had?", his response is, "I'm not talking about that. No	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(Whereupon, the jury exited the courtroom at 11:47 a.m. and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: I'll see you back at ten after. Don't discuss your testimony.  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.  THE COURT: We'll just break and let the court reporter have her break. Why don't you all come back in 15 minutes, which is a couple minutes after noon. Thank you.  (Thereupon a recess was taken, after which the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE DEPUTY CLERK: Now re-calling United States versus Jennifer Armento, 2017 CF2 1193, et al.  THE COURT: Good afternoon.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	rebuttal of the state of mind. His intent was there to be a journalist.  A state-of-mind exception under Rule 807, I believe I'm allowed to impeach it by noting that this is an individual who repeatedly makes those statements, who affirmatively, not required to, but chooses to go and speak about this and has not, while not required to, provided zero discussion about the press badge, even when specifically asked at a time when he's speaking otherwise that, "I am a journalist and I was there that day as a journalist."  THE COURT: So the cross was meant to show that he was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to Washington to be a journalist and to carry out journalistic efforts.
MR. QURESHI: Your Honor, may I retrieve the witness as well?  20 as well?  THE COURT: Not yet.  21 (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)  22 (THE COURT: Detective, can I ask you to step out)  MS. KERKHOFF: I think it is some consciousness of guilt regarding his intent that day. But it also goes to the fact that this is an individual who's speaking about, "I am a journalist. That was my intent that day. This is what I am doing."  23 And when asked about, "What about the journal badge you had?", his response is, "I'm not talking about that. No	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	(Whereupon, the jury exited the courtroom at 11:47 a.m. and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: I'll see you back at ten after. Don't discuss your testimony.  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.  THE COURT: We'll just break and let the court reporter have her break. Why don't you all come back in 15 minutes, which is a couple minutes after noon. Thank you.  (Thereupon a recess was taken, after which the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE DEPUTY CLERK: Now re-calling United States versus Jennifer Armento, 2017 CF2 1193, et al.  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  MS. KERKHOFF: Good afternoon, your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	rebuttal of the state of mind. His intent was there to be a journalist.  A state-of-mind exception under Rule 807, I believe I'm allowed to impeach it by noting that this is an individual who repeatedly makes those statements, who affirmatively, not required to, but chooses to go and speak about this and has not, while not required to, provided zero discussion about the press badge, even when specifically asked at a time when he's speaking otherwise that, "I am a journalist and I was there that day as a journalist."  THE COURT: So the cross was meant to show that he was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to Washington to be a journalist and to carry out journalistic efforts.  And you're saying a refusal to talk about a press
20 guilt regarding his intent that day. But it also goes to the 21 THE COURT: Not yet. 22 (Thereupon, the witness entered 23 the courtroom and the following 24 proceedings were had:) 25 THE COURT: Detective, can I ask you to step out 26 guilt regarding his intent that day. But it also goes to the 27 fact that this is an individual who's speaking about, "I am a 28 journalist. That was my intent that day. This is what I am 29 doing." 20 guilt regarding his intent that day. But it also goes to the 20 fact that this is an individual who's speaking about, "I am a 21 journalist. That was my intent that day. This is what I am 22 doing." 23 doing." 24 And when asked about, "What about the journal badge 25 you had?", his response is, "I'm not talking about that. No	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	(Whereupon, the jury exited the courtroom at 11:47 a.m. and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: I'll see you back at ten after. Don't discuss your testimony.  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.  THE COURT: We'll just break and let the court reporter have her break. Why don't you all come back in 15 minutes, which is a couple minutes after noon. Thank you.  (Thereupon a recess was taken, after which the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE DEPUTY CLERK: Now re-calling United States versus Jennifer Armento, 2017 CF2 1193, et al.  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  MS. KERKHOFF: Good afternoon, your Honor.  THE COURT: Both Government counsel are here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	rebuttal of the state of mind. His intent was there to be a journalist.  A state-of-mind exception under Rule 807, I believe I'm allowed to impeach it by noting that this is an individual who repeatedly makes those statements, who affirmatively, not required to, but chooses to go and speak about this and has not, while not required to, provided zero discussion about the press badge, even when specifically asked at a time when he's speaking otherwise that, "I am a journalist and I was there that day as a journalist."  THE COURT: So the cross was meant to show that he was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to Washington to be a journalist and to carry out journalistic efforts.  And you're saying a refusal to talk about a press badge that is at least facially not true or has false name is
THE COURT: Not yet.  21 fact that this is an individual who's speaking about, "I am a 22 (Thereupon, the witness entered 23 the courtroom and the following 24 proceedings were had:) 25 THE COURT: Detective, can I ask you to step out 26 fact that this is an individual who's speaking about, "I am a 27 journalist. That was my intent that day. This is what I am 28 doing." 29 And when asked about, "What about the journal badge 29 you had?", his response is, "I'm not talking about that. No	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	(Whereupon, the jury exited the courtroom at 11:47 a.m. and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: I'll see you back at ten after. Don't discuss your testimony.  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.  THE COURT: We'll just break and let the court reporter have her break. Why don't you all come back in 15 minutes, which is a couple minutes after noon. Thank you.  (Thereupon a recess was taken, after which the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE DEPUTY CLERK: Now re-calling United States versus Jennifer Armento, 2017 CF2 1193, et al.  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  MS. KERKHOFF: Good afternoon, your Honor.  THE COURT: Both Government counsel are here.  And Mr. Cohen, any idea where your client is?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	rebuttal of the state of mind. His intent was there to be a journalist.  A state-of-mind exception under Rule 807, I believe I'm allowed to impeach it by noting that this is an individual who repeatedly makes those statements, who affirmatively, not required to, but chooses to go and speak about this and has not, while not required to, provided zero discussion about the press badge, even when specifically asked at a time when he's speaking otherwise that, "I am a journalist and I was there that day as a journalist."  THE COURT: So the cross was meant to show that he was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to Washington to be a journalist and to carry out journalistic efforts.  And you're saying a refusal to talk about a press badge that is at least facially not true or has false name is consciousness of guilt that what? That's where I'm
22 (Thereupon, the witness entered 23 the courtroom and the following 24 proceedings were had:) 25 THE COURT: Detective, can I ask you to step out 26 journalist. That was my intent that day. This is what I am 27 doing." 28 And when asked about, "What about the journal badge 29 you had?", his response is, "I'm not talking about that. No	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(Whereupon, the jury exited the courtroom at 11:47 a.m. and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: I'll see you back at ten after. Don't discuss your testimony.  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.  THE COURT: We'll just break and let the court reporter have her break. Why don't you all come back in 15 minutes, which is a couple minutes after noon. Thank you.  (Thereupon a recess was taken, after which the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE DEPUTY CLERK: Now re-calling United States versus Jennifer Armento, 2017 CF2 1193, et al.  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  MS. KERKHOFF: Good afternoon, your Honor.  THE COURT: Both Government counsel are here.  And Mr. Cohen, any idea where your client is?  MR. COHEN: If I may have a minute, your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	rebuttal of the state of mind. His intent was there to be a journalist.  A state-of-mind exception under Rule 807, I believe I'm allowed to impeach it by noting that this is an individual who repeatedly makes those statements, who affirmatively, not required to, but chooses to go and speak about this and has not, while not required to, provided zero discussion about the press badge, even when specifically asked at a time when he's speaking otherwise that, "I am a journalist and I was there that day as a journalist."  THE COURT: So the cross was meant to show that he was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to Washington to be a journalist and to carry out journalistic efforts.  And you're saying a refusal to talk about a press badge that is at least facially not true or has false name is consciousness of guilt that what? That's where I'm getting lost.
the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Detective, can I ask you to step out  doing."  And when asked about, "What about the journal badge you had?", his response is, "I'm not talking about that. No	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	(Whereupon, the jury exited the courtroom at 11:47 a.m. and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: I'll see you back at ten after. Don't discuss your testimony.  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.  THE COURT: We'll just break and let the court reporter have her break. Why don't you all come back in 15 minutes, which is a couple minutes after noon. Thank you.  (Thereupon a recess was taken, after which the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE DEPUTY CLERK: Now re-calling United States versus Jennifer Armento, 2017 CF2 1193, et al.  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  MS. KERKHOFF: Good afternoon, your Honor.  THE COURT: Both Government counsel are here.  And Mr. Cohen, any idea where your client is?  MR. COHEN: If I may have a minute, your Honor.  MR. QURESHI: Your Honor, may I retrieve the witness as well?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	rebuttal of the state of mind. His intent was there to be a journalist.  A state-of-mind exception under Rule 807, I believe I'm allowed to impeach it by noting that this is an individual who repeatedly makes those statements, who affirmatively, not required to, but chooses to go and speak about this and has not, while not required to, provided zero discussion about the press badge, even when specifically asked at a time when he's speaking otherwise that, "I am a journalist and I was there that day as a journalist."  THE COURT: So the cross was meant to show that he was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to Washington to be a journalist and to carry out journalistic efforts.  And you're saying a refusal to talk about a press badge that is at least facially not true or has false name is consciousness of guilt that what? That's where I'm getting lost.  MS. KERKHOFF: I think it is some consciousness of guilt regarding his intent that day. But it also goes to the
proceedings were had:)  24 And when asked about, "What about the journal badge  25 THE COURT: Detective, can I ask you to step out  26 you had?", his response is, "I'm not talking about that. No	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(Whereupon, the jury exited the courtroom at 11:47 a.m. and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: I'll see you back at ten after. Don't discuss your testimony.  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.  THE COURT: We'll just break and let the court reporter have her break. Why don't you all come back in 15 minutes, which is a couple minutes after noon. Thank you.  (Thereupon a recess was taken, after which the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE DEPUTY CLERK: Now re-calling United States versus Jennifer Armento, 2017 CF2 1193, et al.  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  MS. KERKHOFF: Good afternoon, your Honor.  THE COURT: Both Government counsel are here.  And Mr. Cohen, any idea where your client is?  MR. COHEN: If I may have a minute, your Honor.  MR. QURESHI: Your Honor, may I retrieve the witness as well?  THE COURT: Not yet.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	rebuttal of the state of mind. His intent was there to be a journalist.  A state-of-mind exception under Rule 807, I believe I'm allowed to impeach it by noting that this is an individual who repeatedly makes those statements, who affirmatively, not required to, but chooses to go and speak about this and has not, while not required to, provided zero discussion about the press badge, even when specifically asked at a time when he's speaking otherwise that, "I am a journalist and I was there that day as a journalist."  THE COURT: So the cross was meant to show that he was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to Washington to be a journalist and to carry out journalistic efforts.  And you're saying a refusal to talk about a press badge that is at least facially not true or has false name is consciousness of guilt that what? That's where I'm getting lost.  MS. KERKHOFF: I think it is some consciousness of guilt regarding his intent that day. But it also goes to the fact that this is an individual who's speaking about, "I am a
25 THE COURT: Detective, can I ask you to step out 25 you had?", his response is, "I'm not talking about that. No	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(Whereupon, the jury exited the courtroom at 11:47 a.m. and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: I'll see you back at ten after. Don't discuss your testimony.  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.  THE COURT: We'll just break and let the court reporter have her break. Why don't you all come back in 15 minutes, which is a couple minutes after noon. Thank you. (Thereupon a recess was taken, after which the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE DEPUTY CLERK: Now re-calling United States versus Jennifer Armento, 2017 CF2 1193, et al.  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  MS. KERKHOFF: Good afternoon, your Honor.  THE COURT: Both Government counsel are here.  And Mr. Cohen, any idea where your client is?  MR. COHEN: If I may have a minute, your Honor.  MR. QURESHI: Your Honor, may I retrieve the witness as well?  THE COURT: Not yet.  (Thereupon, the witness entered	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	rebuttal of the state of mind. His intent was there to be a journalist.  A state-of-mind exception under Rule 807, I believe I'm allowed to impeach it by noting that this is an individual who repeatedly makes those statements, who affirmatively, not required to, but chooses to go and speak about this and has not, while not required to, provided zero discussion about the press badge, even when specifically asked at a time when he's speaking otherwise that, "I am a journalist and I was there that day as a journalist."  THE COURT: So the cross was meant to show that he was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to Washington to be a journalist and to carry out journalistic efforts.  And you're saying a refusal to talk about a press badge that is at least facially not true or has false name is consciousness of guilt that what? That's where I'm getting lost.  MS. KERKHOFF: I think it is some consciousness of guilt regarding his intent that day. But it also goes to the fact that this is an individual who's speaking about, "I am a journalist. That was my intent that day. This is what I am
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	(Whereupon, the jury exited the courtroom at 11:47 a.m. and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: I'll see you back at ten after. Don't discuss your testimony.  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.  THE COURT: We'll just break and let the court reporter have her break. Why don't you all come back in 15 minutes, which is a couple minutes after noon. Thank you.  (Thereupon a recess was taken, after which the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE DEPUTY CLERK: Now re-calling United States versus Jennifer Armento, 2017 CF2 1193, et al.  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  MS. KERKHOFF: Good afternoon, your Honor.  THE COURT: Both Government counsel are here.  And Mr. Cohen, any idea where your client is?  MR. COHEN: If I may have a minute, your Honor.  MR. QURESHI: Your Honor, may I retrieve the witness as well?  THE COURT: Not yet.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	rebuttal of the state of mind. His intent was there to be a journalist.  A state-of-mind exception under Rule 807, I believe I'm allowed to impeach it by noting that this is an individual who repeatedly makes those statements, who affirmatively, not required to, but chooses to go and speak about this and has not, while not required to, provided zero discussion about the press badge, even when specifically asked at a time when he's speaking otherwise that, "I am a journalist and I was there that day as a journalist."  THE COURT: So the cross was meant to show that he was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to Washington to be a journalist and to carry out journalistic efforts.  And you're saying a refusal to talk about a press badge that is at least facially not true or has false name is consciousness of guilt that what? That's where I'm getting lost.  MS. KERKHOFF: I think it is some consciousness of guilt regarding his intent that day. But it also goes to the fact that this is an individual who's speaking about, "I am a journalist. That was my intent that day. This is what I am doing."
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	(Whereupon, the jury exited the courtroom at  11:47 a.m. and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: I'll see you back at ten after. Don't  discuss your testimony.  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.  THE COURT: We'll just break and let the court  reporter have her break. Why don't you all come back in  15 minutes, which is a couple minutes after noon. Thank you.  (Thereupon a recess was taken, after which the  following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE DEPUTY CLERK: Now re-calling United States  versus Jennifer Armento, 2017 CF2 1193, et al.  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  MS. KERKHOFF: Good afternoon, your Honor.  THE COURT: Both Government counsel are here.  And Mr. Cohen, any idea where your client is?  MR. COHEN: If I may have a minute, your Honor.  MR. QURESHI: Your Honor, may I retrieve the witness as well?  THE COURT: Not yet.  (Thereupon, the witness entered  the courtroom and the following  proceedings were had:)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	rebuttal of the state of mind. His intent was there to be a journalist.  A state-of-mind exception under Rule 807, I believe I'm allowed to impeach it by noting that this is an individual who repeatedly makes those statements, who affirmatively, not required to, but chooses to go and speak about this and has not, while not required to, provided zero discussion about the press badge, even when specifically asked at a time when he's speaking otherwise that, "I am a journalist and I was there that day as a journalist."  THE COURT: So the cross was meant to show that he was intended to his state of mind was he was coming to Washington to be a journalist and to carry out journalistic efforts.  And you're saying a refusal to talk about a press badge that is at least facially not true or has false name is consciousness of guilt that what? That's where I'm getting lost.  MS. KERKHOFF: I think it is some consciousness of guilt regarding his intent that day. But it also goes to the fact that this is an individual who's speaking about, "I am a journalist. That was my intent that day. This is what I am doing."  And when asked about, "What about the journal badge

23

24

25

22

23

24

25

things going through my mind. One that pops into my head is

So Mr. Wood has a press badge, but it doesn't --

the Government has failed to establish a link between

journalism and press badges in this case.

the Defendant, who has viewed all of these articles and

that's far lessAkins territory, that that --

media -- that the Defendant at no time has ever alleged or

stated that he has the name John Osburn or an alias? I think

	Develoption DEDUDENT D. M. 17 11 "			Dembedes DEDIDEOT D. M. W. U. W.
	Pemberton - REDIRECT - By Ms. Kerkhoff			Pemberton - REDIRECT - By Ms. Kerkhoff
_	THE COURT OF THE CLASSIC COURTS OF THE COURT		_	108
1	THE COURT: So in all of his public introductions of	1		red himself as John Osburn?
2	himself, he's always been introduced as Alexei Wood, never as	2	Α.	No.
3	John Osburn?	3	Q.	And what name was on the press badge he had?
4	MS. KERKHOFF: Including the inception of the case	4	Α.	John Osburn.
5	where he has talked about this cases. Never John Osburn.	5	Q.	And whose photograph?
6	THE COURT: And so I don't hear any objection to	6	Α.	Mr. Wood's photograph.
7	that. Is that correct?	7		And, finally, on the last line of questions,
8	MR. LAZEROW: Cumulative.	8		en talked to you about whether you were aware of media
9	MR. COHEN: What the Government would be saying is	9	personn	el, what their requirements are or aren't.
10	he has never admitted or taken on the persona	10		Do you recall that?
11	THE COURT: He's never said he was John Osburn?	11	Α.	Yes.
12	MS. KERKHOFF: I would have no objection to that.	12		I believe he said you can't hinder law enforcement.
13	THE COURT: So let's bring the witness back in and	13	Correct?	
14	continue.	14		Yes.
15	Did you say you would have an objection?	15	Q.	And what was the other thing?
16	MS. KERKHOFF: I said I wouldn't.	16	A.	I don't recall.
17	THE COURT: How much more do you think you have?	17	Q.	Was it you can't in any way insert yourself into an
18	MS. KERKHOFF: This that line of questioning	18	event?	
19	about John Osburn and then a very short discussion about	19	A.	Something like that. Yes.
20	with respect to another video by a noncredentialed journalist	20		MR. COHEN: Objection.
21	that is admitted as evidence.	21		MR. LAZEROW: Objection.
22	THE COURT: And then he gets to go away and then you	22		MS. KERKHOFF: Mr. Cohen can
23	do stipulations and then you rest?	23		MR. COHEN: Mischaracterization.
24	MS. KERKHOFF: Officer Ranck.	24		THE COURT: Can we just move on, please.
25	THE COURT: Okay.	25		MS. KERKHOFF: Thank you.
	Domborton DEDIDECT Dy Ma Karkhoff			Pemberton - REDIRECT - By Ms. Kerkhoff
	Pemberton - REDIRECT - By Ms. Kerkhoff			Temberton Rebliceor by Mo. Religion
	107			109
1		1	BY MS. I	•
2	MS. KERKHOFF: I believe we'll be resting at lunchtime.	2	Q.	109 KERKHOFF: Now, Detective, when you were reviewing all of the
2	MS. KERKHOFF: I believe we'll be resting at lunchtime.  THE COURT: Well, unfortunately, lunchtime may start		Q. video, y	109 KERKHOFF: Now, Detective, when you were reviewing all of the ou testified with Mr. Lazerow that you looked at the
2 3 4	MS. KERKHOFF: I believe we'll be resting at lunchtime.  THE COURT: Well, unfortunately, lunchtime may start early today. But we'll see.	2 3 4	Q. video, y conduct	109 KERKHOFF: Now, Detective, when you were reviewing all of the ou testified with Mr. Lazerow that you looked at the the totality of the conduct. Correct?
2 3 4 5	MS. KERKHOFF: I believe we'll be resting at lunchtime.  THE COURT: Well, unfortunately, lunchtime may start early today. But we'll see.  (Thereupon, the witness entered	2 3 4 5	Q. video, y conduct	Top  KERKHOFF:  Now, Detective, when you were reviewing all of the ou testified with Mr. Lazerow that you looked at the the totality of the conduct. Correct?  Yes. Yes, I did.
2 3 4 5 6	MS. KERKHOFF: I believe we'll be resting at lunchtime.  THE COURT: Well, unfortunately, lunchtime may start early today. But we'll see.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following	2 3 4 5 6	Q. video, y conduct A. Q.	Top  KERKHOFF:  Now, Detective, when you were reviewing all of the ou testified with Mr. Lazerow that you looked at the the totality of the conduct. Correct?  Yes. Yes, I did.  So when you looked at the totality of the conduct as
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. KERKHOFF: I believe we'll be resting at lunchtime.  THE COURT: Well, unfortunately, lunchtime may start early today. But we'll see.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. video, y conduct A. Q. to Defer	KERKHOFF:  Now, Detective, when you were reviewing all of the ou testified with Mr. Lazerow that you looked at the the totality of the conduct. Correct?  Yes. Yes, I did.  So when you looked at the totality of the conduct as indant Alexei Wood, what, if any, import did it have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. KERKHOFF: I believe we'll be resting at lunchtime.  THE COURT: Well, unfortunately, lunchtime may start early today. But we'll see.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Let's bring the jurors back in.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. video, y conduct A. Q. to Defer	KERKHOFF:  Now, Detective, when you were reviewing all of the ou testified with Mr. Lazerow that you looked at the the totality of the conduct. Correct?  Yes. Yes, I did.  So when you looked at the totality of the conduct as indant Alexei Wood, what, if any, import did it have or not Mr. Wood was a credentialed journalist or not?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. KERKHOFF: I believe we'll be resting at lunchtime.  THE COURT: Well, unfortunately, lunchtime may start early today. But we'll see.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Let's bring the jurors back in.  (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. video, y. conduct A. Q. to Defer	KERKHOFF:  Now, Detective, when you were reviewing all of the ou testified with Mr. Lazerow that you looked at the the totality of the conduct. Correct?  Yes. Yes, I did.  So when you looked at the totality of the conduct as indant Alexei Wood, what, if any, import did it have for not Mr. Wood was a credentialed journalist or not?  THE COURT: And so are you asking for his opinion?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. KERKHOFF: I believe we'll be resting at lunchtime.  THE COURT: Well, unfortunately, lunchtime may start early today. But we'll see.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Let's bring the jurors back in.  (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom at 12:19 p.m. and the following proceedings were had:)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. video, y. conduct A. Q. to Defer whether	KERKHOFF:  Now, Detective, when you were reviewing all of the ou testified with Mr. Lazerow that you looked at the the totality of the conduct. Correct?  Yes. Yes, I did.  So when you looked at the totality of the conduct as ideant Alexei Wood, what, if any, import did it have or not Mr. Wood was a credentialed journalist or not?  THE COURT: And so are you asking for his opinion?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. KERKHOFF: I believe we'll be resting at lunchtime.  THE COURT: Well, unfortunately, lunchtime may start early today. But we'll see.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Let's bring the jurors back in.  (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom at 12:19 p.m. and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Good afternoon again, ladies and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. video, yo conduct A. Q. to Defer whether I'm goin BY MS. I	KERKHOFF:  Now, Detective, when you were reviewing all of the ou testified with Mr. Lazerow that you looked at the the totality of the conduct. Correct?  Yes. Yes, I did.  So when you looked at the totality of the conduct as indant Alexei Wood, what, if any, import did it have or not Mr. Wood was a credentialed journalist or not?  THE COURT: And so are you asking for his opinion? ag to not allow you to do that.  KERKHOFF:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. KERKHOFF: I believe we'll be resting at lunchtime.  THE COURT: Well, unfortunately, lunchtime may start early today. But we'll see.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Let's bring the jurors back in.  (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom at 12:19 p.m. and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Good afternoon again, ladies and gentlemen.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. video, y. conduct A. Q. to Defer whether I'm goin BY MS. I	KERKHOFF:  Now, Detective, when you were reviewing all of the ou testified with Mr. Lazerow that you looked at the the totality of the conduct. Correct?  Yes. Yes, I did.  So when you looked at the totality of the conduct as indant Alexei Wood, what, if any, import did it have for not Mr. Wood was a credentialed journalist or not? THE COURT: And so are you asking for his opinion? In the conduct as independent of the conduct of the con
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. KERKHOFF: I believe we'll be resting at lunchtime.  THE COURT: Well, unfortunately, lunchtime may start early today. But we'll see.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Let's bring the jurors back in.  (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom at 12:19 p.m. and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Good afternoon again, ladies and gentlemen.  We're picking back up with Detective Pemberton on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. video, yo conduct A. Q. to Defer whether I'm goin BY MS. I Q. about yo	KERKHOFF:  Now, Detective, when you were reviewing all of the ou testified with Mr. Lazerow that you looked at the the totality of the conduct. Correct?  Yes. Yes, I did.  So when you looked at the totality of the conduct as indant Alexei Wood, what, if any, import did it have or not Mr. Wood was a credentialed journalist or not?  THE COURT: And so are you asking for his opinion? In the conduct as independent of the conduct of the Defendants our review of the conduct for each of the Defendants
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. KERKHOFF: I believe we'll be resting at lunchtime.  THE COURT: Well, unfortunately, lunchtime may start early today. But we'll see.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Let's bring the jurors back in.  (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom at 12:19 p.m. and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Good afternoon again, ladies and gentlemen.  We're picking back up with Detective Pemberton on redirect.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. video, yo conduct A. Q. to Defer whether  I'm goin BY MS. I Q. about yo and wha	KERKHOFF:  Now, Detective, when you were reviewing all of the ou testified with Mr. Lazerow that you looked at the the totality of the conduct. Correct?  Yes. Yes, I did.  So when you looked at the totality of the conduct as indant Alexei Wood, what, if any, import did it have or not Mr. Wood was a credentialed journalist or not?  THE COURT: And so are you asking for his opinion? In the conduct as independent of the conduct to Mr. KERKHOFF:  With respect to Mr. Lazerow's line of questioning our review of the conduct for each of the Defendants at it was you were looking at, did you look at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. KERKHOFF: I believe we'll be resting at lunchtime.  THE COURT: Well, unfortunately, lunchtime may start early today. But we'll see.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Let's bring the jurors back in.  (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom at 12:19 p.m. and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Good afternoon again, ladies and gentlemen.  We're picking back up with Detective Pemberton on redirect.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. video, yo conduct A. Q. to Defer whether  I'm goin BY MS. I Q. about yo and wha	KERKHOFF:  Now, Detective, when you were reviewing all of the ou testified with Mr. Lazerow that you looked at the the totality of the conduct. Correct?  Yes. Yes, I did.  So when you looked at the totality of the conduct as indant Alexei Wood, what, if any, import did it have for not Mr. Wood was a credentialed journalist or not? THE COURT: And so are you asking for his opinion? In the conduct do that.  KERKHOFF:  With respect to Mr. Lazerow's line of questioning our review of the conduct for each of the Defendants at it was you were looking at, did you look at the from video?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. KERKHOFF: I believe we'll be resting at lunchtime.  THE COURT: Well, unfortunately, lunchtime may start early today. But we'll see.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Let's bring the jurors back in.  (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom at 12:19 p.m. and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Good afternoon again, ladies and gentlemen.  We're picking back up with Detective Pemberton on redirect.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Detective Pemberton, when we left off, you stated	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. video, yo conduct A. Q. to Defer whether  I'm goin BY MS. I Q. about yo and wha	KERKHOFF:  Now, Detective, when you were reviewing all of the ou testified with Mr. Lazerow that you looked at the the totality of the conduct. Correct?  Yes. Yes, I did.  So when you looked at the totality of the conduct as ideant Alexei Wood, what, if any, import did it have or not Mr. Wood was a credentialed journalist or not?  THE COURT: And so are you asking for his opinion? In the conduct of the Defendants of the twas you were looking at, did you look at the from video?  MR. COHEN: I would object. That's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. KERKHOFF: I believe we'll be resting at lunchtime.  THE COURT: Well, unfortunately, lunchtime may start early today. But we'll see.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Let's bring the jurors back in.  (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom at 12:19 p.m. and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Good afternoon again, ladies and gentlemen.  We're picking back up with Detective Pemberton on redirect.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Detective Pemberton, when we left off, you stated that you are aware of a number of interviews both in print as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. video, yo conduct A. Q. to Defer whether  I'm goin BY MS. I Q. about yo and what totality for	KERKHOFF:  Now, Detective, when you were reviewing all of the ou testified with Mr. Lazerow that you looked at the the totality of the conduct. Correct?  Yes. Yes, I did.  So when you looked at the totality of the conduct as indant Alexei Wood, what, if any, import did it have or not Mr. Wood was a credentialed journalist or not?  THE COURT: And so are you asking for his opinion? In the conduct to Mr. Lazerow's line of questioning our review of the conduct for each of the Defendants at it was you were looking at, did you look at the from video?  MR. COHEN: I would object. That's  THE COURT: And so I'm not going to allow you to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. KERKHOFF: I believe we'll be resting at lunchtime.  THE COURT: Well, unfortunately, lunchtime may start early today. But we'll see.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Let's bring the jurors back in.  (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom at 12:19 p.m. and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Good afternoon again, ladies and gentlemen.  We're picking back up with Detective Pemberton on redirect.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Detective Pemberton, when we left off, you stated that you are aware of a number of interviews both in print as well as video that Defendant Alexei Wood has given since his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. video, yo conduct A. Q. to Defer whether  I'm goin BY MS. I Q. about yo and what totality for	KERKHOFF:  Now, Detective, when you were reviewing all of the ou testified with Mr. Lazerow that you looked at the the totality of the conduct. Correct?  Yes. Yes, I did.  So when you looked at the totality of the conduct as indant Alexei Wood, what, if any, import did it have for not Mr. Wood was a credentialed journalist or not? THE COURT: And so are you asking for his opinion? In the conduct of the conduct for each of the Defendants our review of the conduct for each of the Defendants at it was you were looking at, did you look at the from video?  MR. COHEN: I would object. That's  THE COURT: And so I'm not going to allow you to inions specific to these people.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. KERKHOFF: I believe we'll be resting at lunchtime.  THE COURT: Well, unfortunately, lunchtime may start early today. But we'll see.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Let's bring the jurors back in.  (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom at 12:19 p.m. and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Good afternoon again, ladies and gentlemen.  We're picking back up with Detective Pemberton on redirect.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Detective Pemberton, when we left off, you stated that you are aware of a number of interviews both in print as well as video that Defendant Alexei Wood has given since his arrest in this case. Correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. video, yo conduct A. Q. to Defer whether I'm goin BY MS. I Q. about yo and what totality for the conduct opinion of the conduct of the con	KERKHOFF:  Now, Detective, when you were reviewing all of the ou testified with Mr. Lazerow that you looked at the the totality of the conduct. Correct?  Yes. Yes, I did.  So when you looked at the totality of the conduct as indant Alexei Wood, what, if any, import did it have or not Mr. Wood was a credentialed journalist or not?  THE COURT: And so are you asking for his opinion? In the conduct of the conduct for each of the Defendants of it was you were looking at, did you look at the from video?  MR. COHEN: I would object. That's  THE COURT: And so I'm not going to allow you to inions specific to these people.  MS. KERKHOFF: I understand.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. KERKHOFF: I believe we'll be resting at lunchtime.  THE COURT: Well, unfortunately, lunchtime may start early today. But we'll see.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Let's bring the jurors back in. (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom at 12:19 p.m. and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Good afternoon again, ladies and gentlemen.  We're picking back up with Detective Pemberton on redirect.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Detective Pemberton, when we left off, you stated that you are aware of a number of interviews both in print as well as video that Defendant Alexei Wood has given since his arrest in this case. Correct?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. video, yo conduct A. Q. to Defer whether I'm goin BY MS. I Q. about yo and what totality for the conduct opinion of the conduct opinion op	KERKHOFF:  Now, Detective, when you were reviewing all of the ou testified with Mr. Lazerow that you looked at the the totality of the conduct. Correct?  Yes. Yes, I did.  So when you looked at the totality of the conduct as indant Alexei Wood, what, if any, import did it have or not Mr. Wood was a credentialed journalist or not?  THE COURT: And so are you asking for his opinion? In the conduct to the conduct for each of the Defendants of it was you were looking at, did you look at the from video?  MR. COHEN: I would object. That's  THE COURT: And so I'm not going to allow you to inions specific to these people.  MS. KERKHOFF: I understand.  KERKHOFF:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. KERKHOFF: I believe we'll be resting at lunchtime.  THE COURT: Well, unfortunately, lunchtime may start early today. But we'll see.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Let's bring the jurors back in. (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom at 12:19 p.m. and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Good afternoon again, ladies and gentlemen.  We're picking back up with Detective Pemberton on redirect.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Detective Pemberton, when we left off, you stated that you are aware of a number of interviews both in print as well as video that Defendant Alexei Wood has given since his arrest in this case. Correct?  A. Yes.  Q. And he's talked specifically about this case.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. video, y conduct A. Q. to Defer whether  I'm goin BY MS. I Q. about yo and what totality f	KERKHOFF:  Now, Detective, when you were reviewing all of the ou testified with Mr. Lazerow that you looked at the the totality of the conduct. Correct?  Yes. Yes, I did.  So when you looked at the totality of the conduct as indant Alexei Wood, what, if any, import did it have for not Mr. Wood was a credentialed journalist or not? THE COURT: And so are you asking for his opinion? In the total to the conduct for each of the Defendants our review of the conduct for each of the Defendants at it was you were looking at, did you look at the from video?  MR. COHEN: I would object. That's  THE COURT: And so I'm not going to allow you to inions specific to these people.  MS. KERKHOFF: I understand.  KERKHOFF:  When you reviewed the video, what did you see and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. KERKHOFF: I believe we'll be resting at lunchtime.  THE COURT: Well, unfortunately, lunchtime may start early today. But we'll see.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Let's bring the jurors back in.  (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom at 12:19 p.m. and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Good afternoon again, ladies and gentlemen.  We're picking back up with Detective Pemberton on redirect.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Detective Pemberton, when we left off, you stated that you are aware of a number of interviews both in print as well as video that Defendant Alexei Wood has given since his arrest in this case. Correct?  A. Yes.  Q. And he's talked specifically about this case.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. video, yo conduct A. Q. to Defer whether I'm goin BY MS. I Q. about yo and what totality for elicit opi BY MS. I Q. hear on	KERKHOFF:  Now, Detective, when you were reviewing all of the ou testified with Mr. Lazerow that you looked at the the totality of the conduct. Correct?  Yes. Yes, I did.  So when you looked at the totality of the conduct as ideant Alexei Wood, what, if any, import did it have or not Mr. Wood was a credentialed journalist or not? THE COURT: And so are you asking for his opinion? In the conduct of the conduct for each of the Defendants of it was you were looking at, did you look at the from video?  MR. COHEN: I would object. That's  THE COURT: And so I'm not going to allow you to dinions specific to these people.  MS. KERKHOFF: I understand.  KERKHOFF:  When you reviewed the video, what did you see and the video of Government's Exhibit No. 111?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. KERKHOFF: I believe we'll be resting at lunchtime.  THE COURT: Well, unfortunately, lunchtime may start early today. But we'll see.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Let's bring the jurors back in.  (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom at 12:19 p.m. and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Good afternoon again, ladies and gentlemen.  We're picking back up with Detective Pemberton on redirect.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Detective Pemberton, when we left off, you stated that you are aware of a number of interviews both in print as well as video that Defendant Alexei Wood has given since his arrest in this case. Correct?  A. Yes.  Q. And he's talked specifically about this case.  Correct?  A. Yes, he did.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. video, yo conduct A. Q. to Defer whether  I'm goin BY MS. I Q. about yo and wha totality for  elicit opi  BY MS. I Q. hear on A.	KERKHOFF:  Now, Detective, when you were reviewing all of the ou testified with Mr. Lazerow that you looked at the the totality of the conduct. Correct?  Yes. Yes, I did.  So when you looked at the totality of the conduct as ideant Alexei Wood, what, if any, import did it have or not Mr. Wood was a credentialed journalist or not?  THE COURT: And so are you asking for his opinion? In the conduct of the conduct for each of the Defendants of it was you were looking at, did you look at the from video?  MR. COHEN: I would object. That's  THE COURT: And so I'm not going to allow you to inions specific to these people.  MS. KERKHOFF: I understand.  KERKHOFF:  When you reviewed the video, what did you see and the video of Government's Exhibit No. 111?  Exhibit 111 is the livestream of Mr. Wood, which is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. KERKHOFF: I believe we'll be resting at lunchtime.  THE COURT: Well, unfortunately, lunchtime may start early today. But we'll see.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Let's bring the jurors back in.  (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom at 12:19 p.m. and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Good afternoon again, ladies and gentlemen.  We're picking back up with Detective Pemberton on redirect.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Detective Pemberton, when we left off, you stated that you are aware of a number of interviews both in print as well as video that Defendant Alexei Wood has given since his arrest in this case. Correct?  A. Yes.  Q. And he's talked specifically about this case.  Correct?  A. Yes, he did.  Q. And at any time in any of those interviews, has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. video, yconduct A. Q. to Defer whether  I'm goin BY MS. I Q. about yconduct and what totality for  BY MS. I Q. hear on A. a contin	KERKHOFF:  Now, Detective, when you were reviewing all of the ou testified with Mr. Lazerow that you looked at the the totality of the conduct. Correct?  Yes. Yes, I did.  So when you looked at the totality of the conduct as indant Alexei Wood, what, if any, import did it have or not Mr. Wood was a credentialed journalist or not?  THE COURT: And so are you asking for his opinion? In the conduct of the conduct for each of the Defendants of the twas you were looking at, did you look at the from video?  MR. COHEN: I would object. That's  THE COURT: And so I'm not going to allow you to inions specific to these people.  MS. KERKHOFF: I understand.  KERKHOFF:  When you reviewed the video, what did you see and the video of Government's Exhibit No. 111?  Exhibit 111 is the livestream of Mr. Wood, which is uous running stream of video from the beginning to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	MS. KERKHOFF: I believe we'll be resting at lunchtime.  THE COURT: Well, unfortunately, lunchtime may start early today. But we'll see.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Let's bring the jurors back in.  (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom at 12:19 p.m. and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Good afternoon again, ladies and gentlemen.  We're picking back up with Detective Pemberton on redirect.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Detective Pemberton, when we left off, you stated that you are aware of a number of interviews both in print as well as video that Defendant Alexei Wood has given since his arrest in this case. Correct?  A. Yes.  Q. And he's talked specifically about this case.  Correct?  A. Yes, he did.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. video, yconduct A. Q. to Defer whether  I'm goin BY MS. I Q. about yconduct and what totality for  BY MS. I Q. hear on A. a contin	KERKHOFF:  Now, Detective, when you were reviewing all of the ou testified with Mr. Lazerow that you looked at the the totality of the conduct. Correct?  Yes. Yes, I did.  So when you looked at the totality of the conduct as ideant Alexei Wood, what, if any, import did it have or not Mr. Wood was a credentialed journalist or not?  THE COURT: And so are you asking for his opinion? In the conduct of the conduct for each of the Defendants of it was you were looking at, did you look at the from video?  MR. COHEN: I would object. That's  THE COURT: And so I'm not going to allow you to inions specific to these people.  MS. KERKHOFF: I understand.  KERKHOFF:  When you reviewed the video, what did you see and the video of Government's Exhibit No. 111?  Exhibit 111 is the livestream of Mr. Wood, which is

	Pemberton - REDIRECT - By Ms. Kerkhoff		Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi
	110		112
1	Throughout that video, you could see Mr. Wood	1	people that he bumps into. "Excuse me," "I'm sorry," "My
2	participating in the way that he is cheering and urging	2	bad." Those are the only things I hear him say.
3	others to participate in violence.	3	Q. Thank you.
4	MR. COHEN: Objection.	4	MS. KERKHOFF: No further questions.
5	BY MS. KERKHOFF:	5	THE COURT: Sir, you may be excused. Do not discuss
6	Q. Now	6	your testimony with any other witness.
7	THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection.	7	THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor.
8	BY MS. KERKHOFF:	8	(Witness excused.)
9	Q. Detective Pemberton, are you aware	9	THE COURT: Please call your next witness.
10	·	10	
11	THE COURT: I'm actually going to strike the last sentence.	11	MR. QURESHI: Your Honor, the United States calls Robert Ranck to the stand.
12		12	
	Ladies and gentlemen, the reason for that is that	13	ROBERT RANCK, GOVERNMENT WITNESS, SWORN
13 14	you are going to be the deciders of the charges in this case.	14	THE WITNESS: Cood offernoon your Honor
	And the witness's opinions are not binding on you and should	15	THE WITNESS: Good afternoon, your Honor.
15	have no bearing on your decisions about whether the		DIRECT EXAMINATION
16 17	Government has proved guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.  BY MS. KERKHOFF:	16 17	BY MR. QURESHI:
18		18	Q. Good afternoon, sir.  A. Good afternoon.
19	Q. Detective Pemberton, who is Michael Cali?	19	
20	A. Another independent amateur journalist who filmed portions of the event.	20	Q. Can you please introduce yourself to the ladies and
21	•	21	gentlemen of the jury by stating and spelling your first and
22	Q. Are you aware of what Government's Exhibit 112 is?	22	last name, please.
	A. Yes. That is a similar footage, livestream, if you	23	A. Robert Ranck. R-o-b-e-r-t, R-a-n-c-k.
23	will, or capture a video that was captured by Mr. Cali	24	Q. Mr. Ranck, how are you employed?
24 25	during the events.	25	A. The Metropolitan Police Department.
25	Q. Where did you locate that video?	25	Q. And what are your duties and responsibilities there?
	Pemberton - REDIRECT - By Ms. Kerkhoff 111		Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi
1	A. On a small college's newspaper that he posted it to.	1	A. I'm currently assigned to the Sixth District
2	I don't recall the name of it.	2	detectives' office.
3	Q. And I'm going to pull up Government's Exhibit	3	Q. Are you a detective?
4	No. 112.	4	A. An investigator.
5	MS. KERKHOFF: If we could play that starting at	5	Q. Is there a difference?
6	32 seconds in.	6	A. It's to your after a year, you become a
7	(Whereupon, segments of Government's Exhibit No. 112	7	detective.
8	were published in open court.)	8	Q. How long have you been an investigator with the
9	MS. KERKHOFF: I'm pausing at 2:50.	9	Sixth District?
10	BY MS. KERKHOFF:	10	A. On and off, since March of this year.
11	Q. Detective Pemberton, have you watched Government's	11	Q. Prior to your current assignment as an investigator
12	Exhibit No. 112, the livestream of the amateur journalist	12	with the Sixth District, where were you assigned?
13	Michael Cali?	13	A. I was briefly an investigator with the intelligence
14	A. Yes.	14	unit, and I was also an officer with the intelligence unit
15	Q. How many times?	15	for approximately two and a half years before making
16	A. Maybe dozens of times.	16	investigator.
17	Q. How many times throughout the course of the entire	17	Q. What is the intelligence unit?
18	video does Michael Cali appear to be cheering?	18	A. They do a variety of things. They handle threat
19	MR. COHEN: Objection.	19	cases against officers, First Amendment assemblies, and
20	THE COURT: Overruled.	20	assist detectives in some of their investigations.
21	THE WITNESS: None.	21	Q. And on January 20th of 2017, what capacity were you
22	BY MS. KERKHOFF:	22	working in at that point?
23	Q. What, if any, words can you hear come out of what	23	A. I was an officer with the intelligence unit.
24	appears to be Michael Cali during his livestream?	24	Q. Were you working in your capacity as an officer with
25	A. The only thing I can hear is he's apologizing to	25	the intelligence unit on Inauguration Day, January 20th,

	Danak DIDECT By Mr Ourochi		Donak DIDECT Dy Mr Ourophi
	Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi 114		Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi
4		4	116
1 2	2017? A. Yes.	1 2	A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat that?  Q. Any
3	Q. I want to direct your attention to approximately	3	THE COURT: He was clanging on something. It was
4	9:30 in the morning at Logan Circle, Northwest Washington, on	4	distracting me. So then I distracted him.
5	January 20th, 2017.	5	MR. QURESHI: Sorry, your Honor.
6	Do you recognize that date, time and location?	6	THE COURT: Sorry.
7	A. Yes.	7	BY MR. QURESHI:
8	Q. What were you doing at that date, time and location?	8	Q. So aside from the black clothing you've described of
9	A. That was Inauguration Day, on the 20th. Probably a	9	these approximately 40 people and was it all of them or
10	little before 9:30 not exactly sure what time I arrived	10	most of them? How would you describe it?
11	at Logan Circle. I was advised there was a group assembling	11	A. The majority of them.
12	there.	12	Q. And were they wearing any face or head covering?
13	Q. And were you uniformed?	13	A. Some were wearing head coverings. Some were wearing
14	A. No.	14	masks. Some were wearing bandanas. There was a variety of
15	Q. How were you dressed?	15	different coverings, I guess, throughout the crowd.
16	A. I was dressed in plainclothes, casual clothes,	16	Q. What were they doing?
17	whatever was suitable to wear.	17	A. At that point, they were when there's just
18	Q. Do you remember what color your clothes were?	18	initially a small amount, the energy of the crowd was low.
19	A. I was wearing a multicolored beanie and a kind of	19	They were pretty much gathered up.
20	green thermal sweatshirt with a zipper in the front.	20	There may have been a couple of signs. But the
21	Q. So just in police speak, is that undercover or is	21	group was small and just fairly orderly at that point.
22	that plainclothes? What is that it?	22	Q. So you said at that point there were 40 people.
23	A. That's just plainclothes. I still had	23	Did the size grow at some point?
24	less-than-lethal handcuffs, radio, gun. I had my police ID	24	A. Yes.
25	and credentials.	25	Q. How big did the group go to?
	Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi		Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi
	115		117
1	Q. But with your clothing, were you outwardly	1	A. I would guess around 400 or so. I'd say 400 or so
2	identifying yourself as a police officer at 9:30 or somewhere	3	is about approximate.
3	about that time at Logan Circle?	4	Q. So when you were there at Logan Circle and now it's
4 5	<ul><li>A. No.</li><li>Q. What was the objective of why you were there?</li></ul>	5	become a group of 400 people, is it still predominantly people wearing all black?
6	A. Usually, when we depending on the groups that are	6	A. Yes.
7	there, we serve different purposes when we go out there. We	7	Q. Was it still a majority of them wearing either some
8	help basically be the eyes for our special operations	8	kind of a face mask or a head covering?
9	division who usually handle routes that the groups will march	9	A. Or hat or something along those lines. Yes.
10	or proceed down.	10	Q. And what was the energy of the group now that it's
11	Sometimes we'll talk to the organizers. Sometimes	11	increased to about 400 people?
12	we'll just monitor them from a distance. We're there mainly	12	A. It was more excited. There's some chanting.
13	to make sure everything's going orderly, that the individuals	13	There's a little more signs going on. I believe there is a
14	exercising their First Amendment rights are safe and that the	14	couple people banging on five-gallon buckets as their
15	community that they're in is safe as well.	15	noisemakers. I believe they lit off a couple fireworks in
16	Q. So at 9:30 or 10:00 and I know you don't know the	16	the park. It was getting more intense.
17	precise time when you arrived at Logan Circle what did you	17	Q. So at some point, did you leave Logan Circle?
18	observe?	18	A. Yes.
19	A. When I arrived at Logan Circle, there's I had	19	Q. And approximately what time was that?
20	I'd say there's approximately 40 or so individuals gathered	20	A. Maybe 10:30.
21	towards the center of the circle, dressed in predominantly	21	Q. Would you when you say I'm asking for an
22	all-black clothing.	22	approximate time.
23	Q. In addition to black clothing, what about their face	23	Are you sure it was 10:30?
24	or their head? Can you specify anything else they may be	24	A. No. No. I was there with the group for a while as
25	wearing?	25	the group grew, but I'd say it was sometime between 10:00 and
Page	114 to 117 of 236		

1 10:30.

- 2 Q. And when you left, did you leave alone or with the 3 group?
- 4 I followed the group. A.
- 5 Q. And where was your positioning in the group as it 6 was moving?
- 7 It varied as the group moved.
- 8 Let's focus on when you're leaving Logan Circle.
- 9 When we're leaving Logan Circle, I guess it would be 10 the northwest side of Logan Circle I was positioned on. The
- 11 group moved down on 13th Street, and I continued to follow
- 12 them towards the center of the city.
- 13 Did you observe any law enforcement at Logan Circle
- 14 that morning?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 When you moved with the group down 13th Street, were
- 17 you -- did you observe law enforcement follow the group?
- 18 A. Yes. Yes. They followed the group after we --
- 19 right when the group departed, there was -- one or two vans,
- 20 if I recall correctly, pulled into Logan Circle and as --
- 21 pretty much as soon as the vans pulled in, the groups moved
- 22 out.
- 23 Q. And are you ahead of law enforcement, at the back of
- 24 the group or behind law enforcement? Where are you at this
- 25 point at Logan Circle?

### Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi

119

118

9

10

11

12

25

9

12

- 1 It varied during times. Sometimes I'd fall back a
- 2 little bit behind law enforcement. Sometimes I'd be up ahead
- 3 of them.
- 4 But when I was going down 13th Street, most of the
- 5 time it was -- I was probably towards the tail end of the
- 6 group.

14

- 7 Q. But in front of law enforcement?
- 8 Most of it. For most -- for part of the time. I
- 9 wouldn't say all the time.
- 10 Q. As you're heading down 13th Street with -- at the
- 11 back of the group, what are you observing the group doing?
- 12 You can see trash cans and newspaper boxes pulled
- 13 out into the street or thrown out into the street. There was
- graffiti on multiple buildings and doors. There was windows 15
- smashed out on, I believe, a police -- excuse me -- a fire
- 16 department SUV and graffiti on a Metro bus.
- 17 Q. As you're seeing all this stuff in your plainclothes
- 18 capacity, what are you doing?
- 19 A. I was trying to voice at least some of it over the 20 radio.
- 21 Now, active destruction of property, is that an
- 22 arrestable offense in the District of Columbia?
- 23 Α Yes
- 24 Q. So why didn't you step in at this point and arrest
- 25 some of these people?

1 As the crowd was beginning to vandalize or destroy 2 property, the atmosphere was more of an excited state that 3 would raise a safety concern for myself, as a plainclothes 4 officer, going in there by myself to arrest one subject.

5 And I'm not easily identified when I enter that 6 group as being a police officer. So, initially, especially, 7 the loud noise communication may be hard and somebody could 8 mistake me for somebody just assaulting them.

- Were you able to identify individuals that were pulling trash cans into 13th Street, destroying windows, putting up graffiti along 13th Street?
  - No. I didn't try and stop and identify anybody.
- 13 Were you able to identify them visibly?
- 14 You would see one or two members coming from a 15 certain area. Then, as I got closer, I could see there was 16 spray paint, graffiti or damage done to that location. But I 17 couldn't specifically say what individual it was, as most of
- 18 them were dressed wearing similar clothing.
- 19 So you've described you heading down 13th Street. 20 MR. QURESHI: I'm going to approach the witness, 21 your Honor.
- 22 BY MR. QURESHI:
- 23 I'll show you what's already in evidence as
- 24 Government's Exhibit 310. I'll display it for the jury.
  - Can you see that okay, Investigator Ranck?

### Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. What is Exhibit 310?
- 3 That's -- I'd say that's approximately the route
- 4 they took on Inauguration Day.
- 5 And you've thus far described in Government's
- 6 Exhibit 310 at the very top Logan Circle is where you started
- 7 and you had just started describing as you were approaching a
- 8 firehouse on K Street. Right?
  - Firehouse on 13th Street, I believe.
- 10 I'm sorry. Those are my words, not yours.
- 11 But you described a firehouse?
  - Yes. A.
- 13 Q. What about the firehouse sticks out in your mind?
- 14 I remember that there was -- one of their SUVs
- 15 there, I think, usually the battalion chief or supervisor's
- 16 vehicle, had a window smashed on it.
- 17 And as the group approached K Street, were you still 18 with the group?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Aside from what you've described as the graffiti,
- 21 destruction to windows of businesses, the battalion chief's
- 22 vehicle, at that point, what other destruction of property or
- 23 vandalism did you observe?
- 24 I recall that there was a storefront, a window broke
- 25 out. There was trash cans laying out there. At some point

- during the day, there was a trash can that was on fire in thestreet along K Street.
- Q. So as you've described Government's Exhibit 310 as
  being the movement of the group, what did you do once you got
  close to K Street?
  - A. Once I got close to K Street, the group went towards Franklin Square. I kind of stayed on the east side of Franklin Square towards 13th Street and just kept a distance from the crowd, as, obviously, they were destroying property as they were proceeding through the streets.

I wasn't going to put myself in a position where I was going to be in danger or get caught between them if a large group of police officers had to rush in and engage the group.

- Q. So as you're at the east side of Franklin SquarePark, are you observing the group move through FranklinSquare Park towards I Street?
- **18** A. Yes

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- Q. Were you at a vantage point where you could seetheir movement through the park?
- **21** A. Yes.
- Q. As the group made its way to I Street, what did you do?
- A. I kind of cut behind the group. As I stated before,with the attitude of the group and the excited state, I

### Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi

123

- didn't want to get into a position where I could be in harm'sway. So I stayed back towards the back end of the group and
- **3** cut over towards I Street, I believe.
- Q. Okay. And once you approached the intersection of
  13th Street and I Street, did you observe any additional
  destruction of property or vandalism?
- 7 A. I observed an -- I don't know whose vehicle it is.
  8 It was a white SUV that was owned by some sort of government
  9 agency that was spray-painted with black spray paint on it.
  - Q. And you've already described that the group -- this being the movement of the group, the group headed, as you can see on Government's Exhibit 310, east on I Street.
- 13 Did you also at some point head east on I Street?
- **14** A. Yes.

10

11

12

- Q. And what, if any, destruction of property did youobserve on I Street?
- 17 A. I observed the windows of the Bank of America and
- 18 Starbucks being broken out. I don't recall if it was19 trash -- I think it was a trash can, not like a newspaper
- 20 box, but I think a trash can laying in the street there.
- **21** That was about the extent I recall seeing there.
- **22** Q. Now, just to be clear, were you actively observing
- 23 the destruction at Starbucks and Bank of America when it was
- 24 happening?
- **25** A. No.

**1** Q. So you were behind the group?

**2** A. I was behind the group.

**3** Q. In terms of the SUV that you identified that was

4 vandalized and destroyed at 13th and I Street, were you able5 to observe that destruction?

- A. No. I couldn't observe the exact individuals whohad done it.
- Q. But were you closer in time to when that vandalism
  occurred? Were you able to observe individuals leaving
  that --

A. Yes. I saw a group leaving or individuals leavingfrom that direct area.

Q. So as the group headed towards 12th Street on
I Street, once you've observed what happened at Starbucks and
the Bank of America, where are you now in proximity to the
group?

17 A. When you said towards 12th and I Street, I was still
18 a little ways behind them. I was probably a half a block or
19 maybe more behind them at this point.

**20** Q. But they were within eyesight?

**21** A. Yes.

24

25

3

9

10

18

25

**Q.** And as you approached 12th and I, what happened

23 then -- or what did you do?

 $\label{eq:A.} \textbf{I} \text{ went down 12th Street and got behind the group on } \\ \textbf{New York Ave.} \\$ 

# Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi

125

124

- 1 Q. Okay.
- **2** A. At that point, the group began moving much quicker.
  - Q. What do you mean by "much quicker"?
- **4** A. They were in a different -- when they went through
- **5** Franklin Square Park, as I recall, it was more of a walk. At
- **6** different points on 13th Street, the pace was exaggerated
- 7 through the group. It wasn't just a normal protest march.
- 8 It was --

THE COURT: We're going to break for lunch now. I'm sorry to interrupt.

11 It's a quarter to 1:00. I'll see you all back at 12 2:00 p.m.

13 Thank you, ladies and gentlemen.

(Whereupon, the jury exited the courtroom at12:44 p.m. and the following proceedings were had:)

THE COURT: Sir, I'll excuse you. Don't discussyour testimony with anyone. I'll see you at 2:00.

your testimony with anyone. I'll see you at 2:00. THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor.

**19** (Witness excused.)

THE COURT: Were you guys hoping for time to discuss
MJOA this afternoon so we can start the defense case tomorrow
or are you --

22 or are you --23 MS. KERKHOFF: I think the Government's

24 preference --

THE COURT: -- planning to let this go a lot longer,

	Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi		Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi
	126		128
1	Mr. Qureshi? Or are you planning to let this go a lot	1	have been made by more than Ms. Macchio. There is a those
2	longer? Because I thought he's just here to authenticate the	2	were written objections.
3	little teeny-tiny portions of 113.	3	The Government intends to introduce exhibits and
4	MS. KERKHOFF: Correct. But we were told we had to	4	simply read a stipulation, rest our case.
5	make a foundation, based on the objections stated, to	5	The Government has proposed I've spoken with some
6	establish what he can observe, where and when, because	6	defense counsel that we counsel go ahead and make their
7	THE COURT: Not for 33 minutes. There's just two	7	motion for judgment of acquittal, but the Court can table it
8	portions they're objecting to.	8	with no prejudice to the Defendants.
9	MS. KERKHOFF: No, your Honor. They're objecting to	9	We can present the witnesses we have I think the
10	more than two portions. They are objecting, at least as we	10	number is lower than five to six at this point for the total
11	understood it, to other videos, to include 119. There were	11	of the defense case and try to get jury home and
12	other objections made. We have to establish	12	accomplish arguments
13	THE COURT: I thought he was here because there's an	13	THE COURT: So you're saying do all that today?
14	objection to 113, two under-two-minute portions.	14	MS. KERKHOFF: That is the Government's proposal.
15	MS. KERKHOFF: In part, your Honor. The defense	15	Again, no prejudice to the Defendants. He'll be
16	THE COURT: Okay. It looks like we're going to be	16	able to argue MJOA it as stands in the Government's case.
17	going through today.	17	But we have witnesses here. We have a jury here. It seems
18	I assume you all have nice lengthy	18	to me that's efficient.
19	cross-examinations for there gentleman.	19	THE COURT: Is everybody fine with that?
20	MS. KROPF: Sara Kropf, your Honor. I do not.	20	MS. JACQUES: Yes.
21	However, I do have a witness who has flown overnight	21	THE COURT: I'm just going to say now that I assume
22	from California to be here today and has a flight this	22	that you are all moving for judgment of acquittal on all
23	afternoon. So I do need to get her on the stand today.	23	counts.
24	THE COURT: Just talk to Ms. Kerkhoff. I am fine	24	If you're agreeing that I don't need to rule on
25	with that. And if everybody else is fine with me not	25	those motions until after you've presented your evidence, I
	Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi		Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi
	127		129
1	addressing MJOAs until we've gotten her on and off the stand,	1	just need to be sure that it's not, I guess, prejudicing
2	let's do that.	2	anybody's case and that you're satisfied with that way of
3	MS. KROPF: I'll discuss it.	3	proceeding, because I'm fine with it if you are.
4	THE COURT: Talk to them about how much this guy has	4	Everybody's shaking their head. Can everybody on
5	to talk about that day.	5	behalf of your client, can you each tell me whether you have
6	MS. KERKHOFF: We already did, your Honor.	6	any objection to proceeding in that way.
7	THE COURT: I feel like we've heard all this before.	7	On behalf of Ms. Macchio?
8	MS. KERKHOFF: I understand.	8	MS. HEINE: No objection.
9	We did, your Honor. And my point is they have an	9	THE COURT: On behalf of Ms. Armento, any objection
10	objection to his ability to authenticate the videos, which is	10	to proceeding that way?
11	why we're doing this. We have talked to them.	11	MS. WELETZ: No objection, your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Thank you. I'll see you at 2:00.	12	THE COURT: On behalf of Ms. Simmons?
13	(Thereupon, a luncheon recess was taken, after which	13	MS. JACQUES: No objection.
14	the following proceedings were had:)	14	THE COURT: On behalf of Mr. Wood?
15	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Now re-calling United States	15	MR. COHEN: No objection.
16	versus Jennifer Armento, 2017 CF2 1193, et al.	16	THE COURT: So you've said, "No objection."
17	THE COURT: Good afternoon.	17	Can I keep going, Mr. Cohen?
18	MS. KERKHOFF: Good afternoon, your Honor.	18	MR. COHEN: Yes, your Honor.
19	THE COURT: All counsel are here. All Defendants	19	THE COURT: On behalf of Mr. Harris?
20	are here.	20	MR. McCOOL: No objection, your Honor.
21	We're only missing Mr. Healy.	21	THE COURT: And on behalf of Ms. Lawson?
22	What are we doing about Ms. Kropf's witness?	22	MS. KROPF: No objection.
23	MS. KERKHOFF: Your Honor, the Government believes	23	THE COURT: That's how we'll do it. No waiver of
24	it has about ten more minutes of direct for this witness,	24	any rights on MJOA.
25	which we believe will lay the foundation for objections that	25	I had a question. Since we have to talk about MJOA,
			Page 126 to 129 of 236

1 182; 185 to 188; and 190 to 219. 2 how long that's going to take. That's one of my concerns 3 about just telling the jury, "Go home and come back when we 4 tell you to." 5 MS. KERKHOFF: Your Honor, I don't know how long it 6 will take. But given my experience with the Court and what I 7 believe to be the issues, at least from what I've seen on the 8 instructions, what the Government would propose is that we 9 can complete the evidentiary portion today, do as much work 10 as we can before the end of the day. 11 Then if the jury came back after lunch tomorrow we 12 could at least begin. We would have all morning to deal with 13 whatever the issues are. I think that would get us through 14 jury instructions. 15 To the extent we have other questions about 16 exhibits, we can that doesn't have to be resolved 17 tomorrow. I think we're getting there. We could at least start. 18 start. 19 THE COURT: So I know that the Government filed 10 proposed Jury instructions. I've gotten a number of proposed 11 theories of the case. 12 Have I gotten any other new substantive 13 instructions? I had that submission from all of you a little 14 while ago. 15 No. I do have more. Okay. All right. Let me 16 will take. But given my experience with the Court and what I 1 THE COURT: And have all those videos? 1 THE COURT: And have all of those things already 1 the COURT: And have all of those things already 1 already been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have 1 already been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have 1 already been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have 1 already been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have 1 already been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have 1 already been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have 1 already been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have 1 already been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have 1 already been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have 1 already been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have 1 alread	nem have ave hat	182; 185 to 188; and 190 to 219.  THE COURT: Are all those videos?  MS. KERKHOFF: Yes, your Honor.  THE COURT: And have all of those things already been shown in the courtroom?	2 3	130 we're going to have to talk about instructions. I don't know	_
1 we're going to have to talk about instructions. I don't know 2 how long that's going to take. That's one of my concerns 3 about just telling the jury, "Go home and come back when we 4 tell you to." 5 MS. KERKHOFF: Your Honor, I don't know how long it 6 will take. But given my experience with the Court and what I 7 believe to be the issues, at least from what I've seen on the 8 instructions, what the Government would propose is that we 9 can complete the evidentiary portion today, do as much work 10 as we can before the end of the day. 11 Then if the jury came back after lunch tomorrow we 12 could at least begin. We would have all morning to deal with 13 whatever the issues are. I think that would get us through 14 jury instructions. 15 To the extent we have other questions about 16 exhibits, we can that doesn't have to be resolved 17 tomorrow. I think we're getting there. We could at least 18 start. 19 THE COURT: So I know that the Government filed 20 proposed jury instructions. I've gotten a number of proposed 21 theories of the case. 22 Have I gotten any other new substantive 23 instructions? I had that submission from all of you a little 24 while ago. 25 No. I do have more. Okay. All right. Let me  Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi  10 Isst; 185 to 188; and 190 to 219.  THE COURT: Are all those videos?  3 MS. KERKHOFF: Yes, your Honor.  THE COURT: And have all of those things already  5 been shown in the courtroom?  6 MS. KERKHOFF: Shown or admitted. Many of them  10 already been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have  11 in the COURT: At this point you don't know what that  12 Isst to 188; and 190 to 219.  THE COURT: And have all of those things already  5 been shown in the courtroom?  6 MS. KERKHOFF: Yes, vour Honor.  7 already been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have  10 already been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have  11 in the COURT: At this point you don't know what that  12 Isst to 188; and 190 to 219.  THE COURT: At this point know there is a handful that have  13	y nem have ave :hat	182; 185 to 188; and 190 to 219.  THE COURT: Are all those videos?  MS. KERKHOFF: Yes, your Honor.  THE COURT: And have all of those things already been shown in the courtroom?	2 3	we're going to have to talk about instructions. I don't know	_
2 how long that's going to take. That's one of my concerns 3 about just telling the jury, "Go home and come back when we 4 tell you to." 5 MS. KERKHOFF: Your Honor, I don't know how long it 6 will take. But given my experience with the Court and what I 7 believe to be the issues, at least from what I've seen on the 8 instructions, what the Government would propose is that we 9 can complete the evidentiary portion today, do as much work 10 as we can before the end of the day. 11 Then if the jury came back after lunch tomorrow we 12 could at least begin. We would have all morning to deal with 13 whatever the issues are. I think that would get us through 14 jury instructions. 15 To the extent we have other questions about 16 exhibits, we can that doesn't have to be resolved 17 tomorrow. I think we're getting there. We could at least 19 THE COURT: So I know that the Government filed 10 proposed jury instructions. I've gotten a number of proposed 11 theories of the case. 12 Have I gotten any other new substantive 13 will eago. 14 While ago. 15 THE COURT: And have all of those things already 16 MS. KERKHOFF: Yes, your Honor. 16 MS. KERKHOFF: Shown or admitted. Many of them 17 already been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have 18 already been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have 19 THE COURT: At this point you don't know what that 10 list is: 11 MS. KERKHOFF: I do know that list, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Never mind. The jury's coming in. Tel 13 MS. KERKHOFF: Yes. 15 (Thereupon, the witness entered 16 the courtroom and the following 17 proceedings were had:) 18 (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom at 19 2:12 p.m. and the following proceedings were had:) 19 THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. 19 THE COURT: I hope you had a good lunch break. 20 We're going to pick back up with Investigator 21 I remind you you're still under oath. 22 Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi	nem have ave hat	THE COURT: Are all those videos?  MS. KERKHOFF: Yes, your Honor.  THE COURT: And have all of those things already been shown in the courtroom?	2 3		_
3 about just telling the jury, "Go home and come back when we tell you to."  5 MS. KERKHOFF: Your Honor, I don't know how long it will take. But given my experience with the Court and what I believe to be the issues, at least from what I've seen on the instructions, what the Government would propose is that we can complete the evidentiary portion today, do as much work as we can before the end of the day.  10 as we can before the end of the day.  11 Then if the jury came back after lunch tomorrow we could at least begin. We would have all morning to deal with jury instructions.  12 The court: At this point you don't know what that would get us through jury instructions.  13 MS. KERKHOFF: Shown or admitted. Many of them already been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have not in any way been admitted or authenticated.  14 Jury instructions.  15 To the extent we have all morning to deal with jury instructions.  16 ewill take. But given my experience with the Court and what I on the court of a handful that have all of those things already been shown in the courtroom?  18 AS. KERKHOFF: Shown or admitted. Many of them on the already been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have not in any way been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have not in any way been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have not in any way been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have not in any way been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have not in any way been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have not in any way been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have not in any way been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have not in any way been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have not in any way been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have not in any way been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have not in all already been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have not in all already been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have not in all alre	nem have ave hat	THE COURT: And have all of those things already been shown in the courtroom?			2
4 tell you to."  5 MS. KERKHOFF: Your Honor, I don't know how long it 6 will take. But given my experience with the Court and what I 7 believe to be the issues, at least from what I've seen on the 8 instructions, what the Government would propose is that we 9 can complete the evidentiary portion today, do as much work 10 as we can before the end of the day. 11 Then if the jury came back after lunch tomorrow we 12 could at least begin. We would have all morning to deal with 13 whatever the issues are. I think that would get us through 14 jury instructions. 15 To the extent we have other questions about 16 exhibits, we can that doesn't have to be resolved 17 tomorrow. I think we're getting there. We could at least 18 start. 19 THE COURT: So I know that the Government filed 20 proposed jury instructions. I've gotten a number of proposed 21 theories of the case. 22 Have I gotten any other new substantive 23 instructions? I had that submission from all of you a little 24 while ago. 25 No. I do have more. Okay. All right. Let me  4 THE COURT: And have all of those things already 5 been shown in the courtroom?  6 MS. KERKHOFF: Shown or admitted. Many of them 7 already been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have 8 not in any way been admitted or authenticated. 9 THE COURT: At this point you don't know what that 10 list is? 11 MS. KERKHOFF: Yes. 12 MS. KERKHOFF: Yes. 13 MS. KERKHOFF: How know that list, your Honor. 14 MS. KERKHOFF: At this point you don't know what that 15 (Thereupon, the vitiness entered 16 the courtroom and the following 17 proceedings were had:) 18 (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom at 19 2:12 p.m. and the following proceedings were had:) 19 THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. 21 THE COURT: I hope you had a good lunch break. 22 THE COURT: I hope you had a good lunch break. 23 We're going to pick back up with Investigator 24 Ranck's testimony. 25 I remind you you're still under oath.	nem have ave hat	THE COURT: And have all of those things already been shown in the courtroom?			3
5 MS. KERKHOFF: Your Honor, I don't know how long it 6 will take. But given my experience with the Court and what I 7 believe to be the issues, at least from what I've seen on the 8 instructions, what the Government would propose is that we 9 can complete the evidentiary portion today, do as much work 10 as we can before the end of the day. 11 Then if the jury came back after lunch tomorrow we 12 could at least begin. We would have all morning to deal with 13 whatever the issues are. I think that would get us through 14 jury instructions. 15 To the extent we have other questions about 16 exhibits, we can that doesn't have to be resolved 17 tomorrow. I think we're getting there. We could at least 18 start. 19 THE COURT: So I know that the Government filed 20 proposed jury instructions. I've gotten a number of proposed 21 theories of the case. 22 Have I gotten any other new substantive 23 instructions? I had that submission from all of you a little 24 while ago. 25 No. I do have more. Okay. All right. Let me  8 MS. KERKHOFF: Shown or admitted. Many of them 21 already been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have 3 already been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have 3 already been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have 3 not in any way been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have 4 not in any way been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have 4 not in any way been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have 4 not in any way been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have 4 not in any way been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have 4 not in any way been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have 4 not in any way been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have 4 not in any way been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have 4 not in any way been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have 4 not in any way been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have 5 not in any way been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have 6 not in any	nem have ave hat	been shown in the courtroom?	4		_
6 will take. But given my experience with the Court and what I 7 believe to be the issues, at least from what I've seen on the 8 instructions, what the Government would propose is that we 9 can complete the evidentiary portion today, do as much work 10 as we can before the end of the day. 11 Then if the jury came back after lunch tomorrow we 12 could at least begin. We would have all morning to deal with 13 whatever the issues are. I think that would get us through 14 jury instructions. 15 To the extent we have other questions about 16 exhibits, we can that doesn't have to be resolved 17 tomorrow. I think we're getting there. We could at least 18 start. 19 THE COURT: So I know that the Government filed 20 proposed jury instructions. I've gotten a number of proposed 21 theories of the case. 22 Have I gotten any other new substantive 23 instructions? I had that submission from all of you a little 24 while ago. 25 No. I do have more. Okay. All right. Let me  6 MS. KERKHOFF: Shown or admitted. I believe there's a handful that have already been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have not in any way been admitted or authenticated. 9 THE COURT: At this point you don't know what that 10 list is? 11 MS. KERKHOFF: I do know that list, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Never mind. The jury's coming in. Tel 13 me later. 14 MS. KERKHOFF: Yes. 15 (Thereupon, the witness entered 16 the courtroom and the following 17 proceedings were had:) 18 (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom at 19 2:12 p.m. and the following proceedings were had:) 19 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 21 THE COURT: I hope you had a good lunch break. 22 We're going to pick back up with Investigator 23 We're going to pick back up with Investigator 24 Ranck's testimony. 25 I remind you you're still under oath.	ave :hat	MS. KERKHOFF: Shown or admitted. Many of the	5		5
7 believe to be the issues, at least from what I've seen on the 8 instructions, what the Government would propose is that we 9 can complete the evidentiary portion today, do as much work 10 as we can before the end of the day. 11 Then if the jury came back after lunch tomorrow we 12 could at least begin. We would have all morning to deal with 13 whatever the issues are. I think that would get us through 14 jury instructions. 15 To the extent we have other questions about 16 exhibits, we can that doesn't have to be resolved 17 tomorrow. I think we're getting there. We could at least 18 start. 19 THE COURT: So I know that the Government filed 20 proposed jury instructions. I've gotten a number of proposed 21 theories of the case. 22 Have I gotten any other new substantive 23 instructions? I had that submission from all of you a little 24 while ago. 25 No. I do have more. Okay. All right. Let me  7 already been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have not in any way been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have not in any way been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have not in any way been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have not in any way been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have not in any way been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have not in any way been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have not in any way been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have not in any way been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have not in any way been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have not in any way been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have not in any way been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have not in any way been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have not in any way been admitted. I be level and in any way ben admitted. I be level and in the following that hat have not in any way been admitted. I be level and in the followin that have in the following that hat have in a list is: 10 list is: 12 THE COURT:	ave :hat	•	6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6
8 instructions, what the Government would propose is that we 2 can complete the evidentiary portion today, do as much work 2 as we can before the end of the day. 10 list is? 11 Then if the jury came back after lunch tomorrow we 2 could at least begin. We would have all morning to deal with 2 makever the issues are. I think that would get us through 3 jury instructions. 15 To the extent we have other questions about 16 exhibits, we can that doesn't have to be resolved 17 tomorrow. I think we're getting there. We could at least 19 THE COURT: So I know that the Government filed 19 proposed jury instructions. I've gotten a number of proposed 21 theories of the case. 21 Have I gotten any other new substantive 22 instructions? I had that submission from all of you a little 24 while ago. 25 No. I do have more. Okay. All right. Let me 10 list is? 11 MS. KERKHOFF: I do know that list, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Never mind. The jury's coming in. Tel 3 me later. 14 MS. KERKHOFF: Yes. 15 (Thereupon, the witness entered 16 the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:) 17 proceedings were had:) 17 proceedings were had:) 18 (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom at 2:12 p.m. and the following proceedings were had:) 19 2:12 p.m. and the following proceedings were had:) 19 THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. 21 THE COURT: I hope you had a good lunch break. 22 We're going to pick back up with Investigator 24 Ranck's testimony. 25 I remind you you're still under oath. 25 I remind you you're still under oath.		already been admitted. I believe there's a handful that have	7		7
9 can complete the evidentiary portion today, do as much work 10 as we can before the end of the day. 11 Then if the jury came back after lunch tomorrow we 12 could at least begin. We would have all morning to deal with 13 whatever the issues are. I think that would get us through 14 jury instructions. 15 To the extent we have other questions about 16 exhibits, we can that doesn't have to be resolved 17 tomorrow. I think we're getting there. We could at least 18 start. 19 THE COURT: So I know that the Government filed 20 proposed jury instructions. I've gotten a number of proposed 21 theories of the case. 22 Have I gotten any other new substantive 23 instructions? I had that submission from all of you a little 24 while ago. 25 No. I do have more. Okay. All right. Let me  16 THE COURT: At this point you don't know what that 10 list is? 11 MS. KERKHOFF: I do know that list, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Never mind. The jury's coming in. Tel 13 me later. 14 MS. KERKHOFF: Yes. 15 (Thereupon, the witness entered 16 the courtroom and the following 17 proceedings were had:) 18 (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom at 2:12 p.m. and the following proceedings were had:) 3 We're going to pick back up with Investigator 3 We're going to pick back up with Investigator 3 Ve're going to pick back up with Investigator 3 I remind you you're still under oath. 3 Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi		not in any way been admitted or authenticated.	8	•	8
10 as we can before the end of the day. 11 Then if the jury came back after lunch tomorrow we 12 could at least begin. We would have all morning to deal with 13 whatever the issues are. I think that would get us through 14 jury instructions. 15 To the extent we have other questions about 16 exhibits, we can that doesn't have to be resolved 17 tomorrow. I think we're getting there. We could at least 18 start. 19 THE COURT: So I know that the Government filed 20 proposed jury instructions. I've gotten a number of proposed 21 theories of the case. 22 Have I gotten any other new substantive 23 instructions? I had that submission from all of you a little 24 while ago. 25 No. I do have more. Okay. All right. Let me  Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi  10 list is? 11 MS. KERKHOFF: I do know that list, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Never mind. The jury's coming in. Tel 13 me later. 14 MS. KERKHOFF: I do know that list, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Never mind. The jury's coming in. Tel 18 (Thereupon, the witness entered 19 (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom at 19 2:12 p.m. and the following proceedings were had:) 19 THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. 19 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 20 THE COURT: I hope you had a good lunch break. 21 We're going to pick back up with Investigator 22 We're going to pick back up with Investigator 23 I remind you you're still under oath. 24 Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi		, ,	9		9
12 could at least begin. We would have all morning to deal with 13 whatever the issues are. I think that would get us through 14 jury instructions. 15 To the extent we have other questions about 16 exhibits, we can that doesn't have to be resolved 17 tomorrow. I think we're getting there. We could at least 18 start. 19 THE COURT: So I know that the Government filed 20 proposed jury instructions. I've gotten a number of proposed 21 theories of the case. 22 Have I gotten any other new substantive 23 instructions? I had that submission from all of you a little 24 while ago. 25 No. I do have more. Okay. All right. Let me  Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi  18 THE COURT: Never mind. The jury's coming in. Tel 13 me later.  14 MS. KERKHOFF: Yes.  15 (Thereupon, the witness entered 16 the courtroom and the following 17 proceedings were had:) 18 (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom at 19 2:12 p.m. and the following proceedings were had:) 19 THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. 21 THE COURT: I hope you had a good lunch break. 22 We're going to pick back up with Investigator 23 We're going to pick back up with Investigator 24 Ranck's testimony. 25 I remind you you're still under oath.  Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi		·	10		10
12 could at least begin. We would have all morning to deal with 13 whatever the issues are. I think that would get us through 14 jury instructions. 15 To the extent we have other questions about 16 exhibits, we can that doesn't have to be resolved 17 tomorrow. I think we're getting there. We could at least 18 start. 19 THE COURT: So I know that the Government filed 20 proposed jury instructions. I've gotten a number of proposed 21 theories of the case. 22 Have I gotten any other new substantive 23 instructions? I had that submission from all of you a little 24 while ago. 25 No. I do have more. Okay. All right. Let me  Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi  18 THE COURT: Never mind. The jury's coming in. Tel 13 me later. 14 MS. KERKHOFF: Yes. 15 (Thereupon, the witness entered 16 the courtroom and the following 17 proceedings were had:) 18 (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom at 19 2:12 p.m. and the following proceedings were had:) 19 THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. 21 THE COURT: I hope you had a good lunch break. 22 We're going to pick back up with Investigator 23 We're going to pick back up with Investigator 24 Ranck's testimony. 25 I remind you you're still under oath.  Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi		MS. KERKHOFF: I do know that list, your Honor.	11	Then if the jury came back after lunch tomorrow we	11
13 whatever the issues are. I think that would get us through 14 jury instructions. 15 To the extent we have other questions about 16 exhibits, we can that doesn't have to be resolved 17 tomorrow. I think we're getting there. We could at least 18 start. 19 THE COURT: So I know that the Government filed 20 proposed jury instructions. I've gotten a number of proposed 21 theories of the case. 22 Have I gotten any other new substantive 23 instructions? I had that submission from all of you a little 24 while ago. 25 No. I do have more. Okay. All right. Let me  Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi  13 me later. 14 MS. KERKHOFF: Yes. 15 (Thereupon, the witness entered 16 the courtroom and the following 17 proceedings were had:) 18 (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom at 21 the COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. 21 THE COURT: I hope you had a good lunch break. 22 We're going to pick back up with Investigator 23 We're going to pick back up with Investigator 24 Ranck's testimony. 25 I remind you you're still under oath. 26 Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi			12		12
15 To the extent we have other questions about 16 exhibits, we can that doesn't have to be resolved 17 tomorrow. I think we're getting there. We could at least 18 start. 19 THE COURT: So I know that the Government filed 20 proposed jury instructions. I've gotten a number of proposed 21 theories of the case. 22 Have I gotten any other new substantive 23 instructions? I had that submission from all of you a little 24 while ago. 25 No. I do have more. Okay. All right. Let me  Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi  15 (Thereupon, the witness entered 16 the courtroom and the following 17 proceedings were had:) 18 (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom at 19 2:12 p.m. and the following proceedings were had:) 20 THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. 21 THE COURT: I hope you had a good lunch break. 22 We're going to pick back up with Investigator 23 We're going to pick back up with Investigator 24 Ranck's testimony. 25 I remind you you're still under oath.  Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi  18 (Whereupon, the witness entered 19 the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:) 21 THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. 22 THE COURT: I hope you had a good lunch break. 23 We're going to pick back up with Investigator 24 Ranck's testimony. 25 I remind you you're still under oath.  Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi			13		13
16 exhibits, we can that doesn't have to be resolved 17 tomorrow. I think we're getting there. We could at least 18 start. 19 THE COURT: So I know that the Government filed 20 proposed jury instructions. I've gotten a number of proposed 21 theories of the case. 22 Have I gotten any other new substantive 23 instructions? I had that submission from all of you a little 24 while ago. 25 No. I do have more. Okay. All right. Let me  Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi  16 the courtroom and the following 17 proceedings were had:) 18 (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom at 19 2:12 p.m. and the following proceedings were had:) 20 THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. 21 THE JURY: Good afternoon. 22 THE COURT: I hope you had a good lunch break. 23 We're going to pick back up with Investigator 24 Ranck's testimony. 25 I remind you you're still under oath.  Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi  131		MS. KERKHOFF: Yes.	14	jury instructions.	14
17 tomorrow. I think we're getting there. We could at least 18 start. 19 THE COURT: So I know that the Government filed 20 proposed jury instructions. I've gotten a number of proposed 21 theories of the case. 22 Have I gotten any other new substantive 23 instructions? I had that submission from all of you a little 24 while ago. 25 No. I do have more. Okay. All right. Let me  Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi  17 proceedings were had:) 18 (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom at 19 2:12 p.m. and the following proceedings were had:) 21 THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. 22 THE COURT: I hope you had a good lunch break. 23 We're going to pick back up with Investigator 24 Ranck's testimony. 25 I remind you you're still under oath.  Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi  131		(Thereupon, the witness entered	15	To the extent we have other questions about	15
18 start.  19 THE COURT: So I know that the Government filed 20 proposed jury instructions. I've gotten a number of proposed 21 theories of the case. 22 Have I gotten any other new substantive 23 instructions? I had that submission from all of you a little 24 while ago. 25 No. I do have more. Okay. All right. Let me  Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi  18 (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom at 2:12 p.m. and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen.  THE JURY: Good afternoon.  THE COURT: I hope you had a good lunch break.  We're going to pick back up with Investigator  Ranck's testimony.  I remind you you're still under oath.  Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi  Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi		the courtroom and the following	16	exhibits, we can that doesn't have to be resolved	16
THE COURT: So I know that the Government filed proposed jury instructions. I've gotten a number of proposed theories of the case.  Have I gotten any other new substantive instructions? I had that submission from all of you a little while ago.  No. I do have more. Okay. All right. Let me  THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. THE JURY: Good afternoon.  THE COURT: I hope you had a good lunch break.  We're going to pick back up with Investigator and the following proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. The JURY: Good afternoon.  THE COURT: I hope you had a good lunch break.  We're going to pick back up with Investigator and gentlemen. The JURY: Good afternoon.  THE COURT: I hope you had a good lunch break.  I remind you you're still under oath.  Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi		proceedings were had:)	17	tomorrow. I think we're getting there. We could at least	17
proposed jury instructions. I've gotten a number of proposed theories of the case.  Have I gotten any other new substantive instructions? I had that submission from all of you a little while ago.  No. I do have more. Okay. All right. Let me  Panck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi  THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen.  THE COURT: I hope you had a good lunch break.  We're going to pick back up with Investigator  Ranck's testimony.  I remind you you're still under oath.  Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi		(Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom at	18	start.	18
theories of the case.  Have I gotten any other new substantive instructions? I had that submission from all of you a little while ago.  No. I do have more. Okay. All right. Let me  Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi  131  THE JURY: Good afternoon.  THE COURT: I hope you had a good lunch break.  We're going to pick back up with Investigator  Ranck's testimony.  I remind you you're still under oath.  Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi		2:12 p.m. and the following proceedings were had:)	19	THE COURT: So I know that the Government filed	19
Have I gotten any other new substantive instructions? I had that submission from all of you a little while ago.  No. I do have more. Okay. All right. Let me  Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi  131  THE COURT: I hope you had a good lunch break.  We're going to pick back up with Investigator  24 Ranck's testimony.  I remind you you're still under oath.  Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi	nen.	THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and gentleme	20	proposed jury instructions. I've gotten a number of proposed	20
23 instructions? I had that submission from all of you a little 24 while ago. 25 No. I do have more. Okay. All right. Let me  Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi  131  28 We're going to pick back up with Investigator 29 Ranck's testimony. 29 I remind you you're still under oath.  Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi  133		THE JURY: Good afternoon.	21	theories of the case.	21
24 while ago. 25 No. I do have more. Okay. All right. Let me  Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi  131  24 Ranck's testimony. 25 I remind you you're still under oath.  Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi  133		THE COURT: I hope you had a good lunch break.	22	Have I gotten any other new substantive	22
25 No. I do have more. Okay. All right. Let me 25 I remind you you're still under oath.  Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi 131 Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi 133		We're going to pick back up with Investigator	23	instructions? I had that submission from all of you a little	23
Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi  131  Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi  133		Ranck's testimony.	24	while ago.	24
131		I remind you you're still under oath.	25	No. I do have more. Okay. All right. Let me	25
		Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi		Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi	
1 think and 1 Please go ahead, Mr. Qureshi.	133	1		131	
2 MR. McCOOL: I have Mr. Harris's theory of the case. 2 MR. QURESHI: Thank you, your Honor.				•	
3 THE COURT: Have you filed it yet? 3 BY MR. QURESHI:		-	_	•	
4 MR. McCOOL: No. 4 Q. Investigator Ranck, as you may recall, we were going	joing				_
5 THE COURT: Why don't you just file it. I'll look 5 over Government's Exhibit 310 before we left.					_
6 at it when you file it. 6 Remember that?				·	
7 MS. WELETZ: Your Honor, on behalf of Ms. Armento, I 7 A. Yes.					
8 also have one. It's on my computer. 8 Q. So at this point, Investigator Ranck, just before				· · · ·	
9 THE COURT: Feel free to file it. You're not 9 lunch, you had described that you had gone by the Starbucks	icks		_		
10 waiving anything.  10 and Bank of America and behind the group and you were  11 approaching 12th and New York Avenue.					
				•	
		·			
				-	
<ul> <li>14 I have a lot of stuff here.</li> <li>14 Q. And you indicated where was the group coming from the stuff here.</li> <li>15 So we'll see. I'll think through when I tell the</li> <li>15 once you arrived at 12th and New York Avenue?</li> </ul>	a from				
16 jury to come back. It might be it doesn't make sense to have  16 A. Once I got back behind the group, they were going of	g from				
17 them come back tomorrow. 17 New York Avenue towards H Street, towards the McDonald's.					
18 So can we bring the jury in and your witness.  18 Q. And then, when you were behind the group on New York Avenue towards it is received as the Presonal 3.	ng on				
19 MR. QURESHI: I'll get him, your Honor. Sorry. 19 Avenue, as it was traveling as according to this board, in	ng on d's.				
	ng on d's. ew York	Avenue, as it was traveling as according to this board, in			
	ng on d's. ew York	-			
	ng on d's. ew York n	a southwest direction, how fast was the group moving?	21	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	ng on d's. ew York n	a southwest direction, how fast was the group moving?  A. The group was moving pretty fast. When I got do	21 22	numbers this witness purports to authenticate.	
	ng on d's. ew York in own	a southwest direction, how fast was the group moving?  A. The group was moving pretty fast. When I got do towards the area of McDonald's, I saw, I recall, about	22		
	ng on d's. ew York in own	a southwest direction, how fast was the group moving?  A. The group was moving pretty fast. When I got do towards the area of McDonald's, I saw, I recall, about somewhere around that area, seeing the tail end of people	22 23	MS. KERKHOFF: In advance? We'll go through it with	23
23 MS. KERKHOFF: In advance? We'll go through it with 23 somewhere around that area, seeing the tail end of people of	ng on d's. ew York in own	a southwest direction, how fast was the group moving?  A. The group was moving pretty fast. When I got do towards the area of McDonald's, I saw, I recall, about somewhere around that area, seeing the tail end of people the group starting to run.	22 23 24	MS. KERKHOFF: In advance? We'll go through it with him. It is 106 to 114; 118 to 120; 126 to 127; 129 to 130;	23 24

- 1 What I did in response, I think probably, once I got 2 around the corner and got on 13th Street and saw how far
- 3 ahead they were of me, I started to jog to catch up.
- 4 Q. Okay. And what, if anything, did you observe at the 5 McDonald's?
- 6 A. I remember the broken glass and I remember the dirt 7 from -- I'm assuming from a flowerpot of some sort that was 8 on the ground.
- 9 Q. Now, as you've come around the corner on New York 10 Avenue and 13th Street, you've indicated in Government's 11 Exhibit 310 that this is the path of the group.
- 12 As you came around the corner and -- heading north 13 on 13th, where was the group and where were you?
- 14 A. Most of the group was in front of me. They were 15
- running when I observed them, when I was on 13th Street. 16 Q. And as the group approaches the southeast corner of
- 17 Franklin Square Park at 13th Street and I Street Northwest, 18 as it made its way into Franklin Square Park, where did you 19 go?
- 20 A. I believe I continued. I may have cut part of the 21 park, but I went towards the park at 13th Street.
- 22 Q. And then as you're in through part of the park at 23 13th Street, what you've just described, are you at a vantage 24 point where you're again observing the group move?
- 25 A. Yes.

# Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi

- 1 Q. Unobstructed?
- 2 A. For the most part, yes.
- 3 As the group made its way over to 14th Street and 4 headed north that way, did you follow them up 14th Street or
- 5 did you take a different route?
- 6 A. I don't believe I followed them up 14th Street. I 7 believe I continued up 13th Street.
- Did you reconnect with the group at some point? 8
- 9 Yes. A.
- 10 Q. Where approximately did you reconnect with the
- 11 group?
- 12 A. If I'm recalling correctly, I see them at
- 13 13th Street. And in the alley over in this area, I believe I 14 came up through this way.
- 15 Q. For the record, you've pointed to an alley that goes 16 north and south between 13th Street and 14th Street between
- 17 K and L. Is that correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. And once you met up with the group on
- 20 L Street, which direction did it travel?
- 21 A. The group was pretty much already at L Street. It
- 22 seemed like the group had slowed down or almost came to a
- 23 complete stop at that location.
- 24 Q. And how far did you follow the group down L Street?
- 25 Maybe half a block.

- 1 Q. And at some point, did you stop?
- 2 Yes. There was -- the officers were engaging them
- with pepper spray at different points that I had seen. I
- think they -- when I started down towards the McDonald's, if
- 5 I recall correctly, I believe there was some pepper spray 6
  - down there.
- 7 And, obviously, I didn't want to get caught up in
- 8 any of that. So I was trying to keep somewhat of a distance
- 9

12

21

135

134

- 10 Were you wearing a gas mask or anything to protect
- 11 you from the pepper spray?
  - Α. No.
- 13 So approximately where -- this is going to be my
- 14 last line of questioning with regards to Government's
- 15 Exhibit 310.
- 16 Approximately where -- I didn't hear you correctly
- 17 about where you stopped on L Street.
- 18 I may have proceeded a little bit past 13th Street.
- 19 Now, did you observe the group after you stopped at
- 20 13th and L or somewhere thereabouts?
  - A little later on, yes.
- 22 And what was your vantage point at that point?
- 23 The group was at about 12th and L and I was on the Α
- 24 eastern side of the group.
- 25 So now you've sort of gone on the opposite side of

# Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi

137

- 1 the group?
- 2 A.
- 3 Okay. Now, prior to your testimony today, did you
- have an opportunity to observe a number of Government
- 5 exhibits?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Were they all videos?
- 8 A.
- 9 Q. And did those exhibits, as you observed them, fairly
- 10 and accurately depict what you observed as you were with the
- 11 group, sometimes behind the group, on January 20th, 2017?
  - Yes.

12

- 13 Did you observe the group throughout this route with
- 14 the exception of the portion where you talked about you
- 15 weren't with them on 14th Street?
- 16 Primarily, yes.
- 17 Did you have freedom of movement the entire route
- 18 that you took from Logan Circle all the way to 12th and L?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. At any point did you identify yourself as a police
- 21 officer during this route that you took with the group?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. At what point was that?
  - I believe it was near 13th and L. I was kind of
- 25 separating myself from the group at that point. And I don't

	Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi		Ranck - CROSS - By Mr. Lazerow
	138		140
1	recall the reason.	1	movement of the group and the various focal points we've
2	It may have been because of pepper spray or it may	2	talked about of destruction of property and vandalism?
3	have been because I saw police officers started to engage	3	A. Yes.
4	them. But I separated myself back from the group at that	4	MR. QURESHI: At this point, your Honor, to the
5	point.	5	extent they haven't been moved in, the Government moves all
6	Q. How did you identify yourself?	6	these exhibits into evidence.
7	A. I stated, "Blue, blue, blue." And I may have had my	7	THE COURT: Is there any objection?
8	hands down and I believe I had my hands crossed.	8	MR. LAZEROW: No objection.
9	MR. QURESHI: Your Honor, for the record, I'm going	9	THE COURT: They are all admitted.
10	to just reference the Government exhibits on the record in	10	(Whereupon, Government's Exhibit Nos. 106 to 114;
11	bulk.	11	118 to 120; 126 to 127; 129 to 130; 133 to 134; 136 to 138;
12	Some of them have been admitted. But subject to	12	143 to 146; 148.12 to 148.44; 175 to 182; 185 to 188; and 190
13	them not being admitted, the Government would move them. I'm		to 219 were entered into evidence.)
14	just going to raise them for the record.	14	MR. QURESHI: Thank you, your Honor. I have nothing
15	BY MR. QURESHI:	15	further.
16	Q. Government's Exhibits 106 to 114?	16	THE COURT: Cross-examination for Ms. Macchio.
17	A. Yes.	17	CROSS-EXAMINATION
18 19	Q. Government's Exhibits 118 to 120?	18 19	BY MR. LAZEROW:
20	A. Yes.	20	Q. Good afternoon, Investigator.
21	Q. Government's Exhibits 126 and 127?  A. Yes.	21	Good afternoon, sir.     Wy name is Andrew Lazerow. I represent Ms. Macchio
22	Q. Government's Exhibits 129 and 130?	22	Q. My name is Andrew Lazerow. I represent Ms. Macchio in this case. I just have a few questions.
23	A. Yes.	23	When you were in Logan Circle, you did not see any
24	Q. Government's Exhibits	24	property destruction by any individuals within Logan Circle.
25	THE COURT: I don't think he's asking you questions	25	Correct?
	Ranck - DIRECT - By Mr. Qureshi		Ranck - CROSS - By Mr. Lazerow
	139		141
1	right now.	1	A. I didn't see it occur. Once the group departed from
	right now.		A. I didn't see it occur. Once the group departed from
2	Right? You're just naming them or are you asking	2	the statue area where many of them were gathered and kind of
2		2	- ' '
2 3 4	Right? You're just naming them or are you asking		the statue area where many of them were gathered and kind of
3	Right? You're just naming them or are you asking whether he's seen them?	3	the statue area where many of them were gathered and kind of standing at the base statue, I observed black spray paint. I
3 4	Right? You're just naming them or are you asking whether he's seen them?  MR. QURESHI: I am naming them and then I'm asking	3 4	the statue area where many of them were gathered and kind of standing at the base statue, I observed black spray paint. I believe it was a black circle with an "A" inside of it.
3 4 5	Right? You're just naming them or are you asking whether he's seen them?  MR. QURESHI: I am naming them and then I'm asking if he's seen them.	3 4 5	the statue area where many of them were gathered and kind of standing at the base statue, I observed black spray paint. I believe it was a black circle with an "A" inside of it.  Q. And when you were there walking behind this group
3 4 5 6	Right? You're just naming them or are you asking whether he's seen them?  MR. QURESHI: I am naming them and then I'm asking if he's seen them.  BY MR. QURESHI:	3 4 5 6	the statue area where many of them were gathered and kind of standing at the base statue, I observed black spray paint. I believe it was a black circle with an "A" inside of it.  Q. And when you were there walking behind this group and wherever you were, were you on the phone on the radio
3 4 5 6 7	Right? You're just naming them or are you asking whether he's seen them?  MR. QURESHI: I am naming them and then I'm asking if he's seen them.  BY MR. QURESHI:  Q. Government's Exhibit 133 and 134?	3 4 5 6 7	the statue area where many of them were gathered and kind of standing at the base statue, I observed black spray paint. I believe it was a black circle with an "A" inside of it.  Q. And when you were there walking behind this group and wherever you were, were you on the phone on the radio talking to Commander DeVille?  A. I wasn't necessarily talking directly to him. But I was trying to voice some of the destruction and property
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Right? You're just naming them or are you asking whether he's seen them?  MR. QURESHI: I am naming them and then I'm asking if he's seen them.  BY MR. QURESHI:  Q. Government's Exhibit 133 and 134?  A. Yes.  Q. Government's Exhibits 136 through 138?  A. Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the statue area where many of them were gathered and kind of standing at the base statue, I observed black spray paint. I believe it was a black circle with an "A" inside of it.  Q. And when you were there walking behind this group and wherever you were, were you on the phone on the radio talking to Commander DeVille?  A. I wasn't necessarily talking directly to him. But I was trying to voice some of the destruction and property damage and vandalism that I observed.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Right? You're just naming them or are you asking whether he's seen them?  MR. QURESHI: I am naming them and then I'm asking if he's seen them.  BY MR. QURESHI:  Q. Government's Exhibit 133 and 134?  A. Yes. Q. Government's Exhibits 136 through 138?  A. Yes. Q. Government's Exhibits 143 to 146?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the statue area where many of them were gathered and kind of standing at the base statue, I observed black spray paint. I believe it was a black circle with an "A" inside of it.  Q. And when you were there walking behind this group and wherever you were, were you on the phone on the radio talking to Commander DeVille?  A. I wasn't necessarily talking directly to him. But I was trying to voice some of the destruction and property damage and vandalism that I observed.  Q. And when you reported that, would that just go out
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Right? You're just naming them or are you asking whether he's seen them?  MR. QURESHI: I am naming them and then I'm asking if he's seen them.  BY MR. QURESHI:  Q. Government's Exhibit 133 and 134?  A. Yes. Q. Government's Exhibits 136 through 138?  A. Yes. Q. Government's Exhibits 143 to 146? A. Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the statue area where many of them were gathered and kind of standing at the base statue, I observed black spray paint. I believe it was a black circle with an "A" inside of it.  Q. And when you were there walking behind this group and wherever you were, were you on the phone on the radio talking to Commander DeVille?  A. I wasn't necessarily talking directly to him. But I was trying to voice some of the destruction and property damage and vandalism that I observed.  Q. And when you reported that, would that just go out over the radio? Is that fair?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Right? You're just naming them or are you asking whether he's seen them?  MR. QURESHI: I am naming them and then I'm asking if he's seen them.  BY MR. QURESHI:  Q. Government's Exhibit 133 and 134?  A. Yes.  Q. Government's Exhibits 136 through 138?  A. Yes.  Q. Government's Exhibits 143 to 146?  A. Yes.  Q. Government's Exhibits 148.12 to 148.44?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the statue area where many of them were gathered and kind of standing at the base statue, I observed black spray paint. I believe it was a black circle with an "A" inside of it.  Q. And when you were there walking behind this group and wherever you were, were you on the phone on the radio talking to Commander DeVille?  A. I wasn't necessarily talking directly to him. But I was trying to voice some of the destruction and property damage and vandalism that I observed.  Q. And when you reported that, would that just go out over the radio? Is that fair?  A. Yeah. Yeah.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Right? You're just naming them or are you asking whether he's seen them?  MR. QURESHI: I am naming them and then I'm asking if he's seen them.  BY MR. QURESHI:  Q. Government's Exhibit 133 and 134?  A. Yes.  Q. Government's Exhibits 136 through 138?  A. Yes.  Q. Government's Exhibits 143 to 146?  A. Yes.  Q. Government's Exhibits 143 to 148.44?  A. Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the statue area where many of them were gathered and kind of standing at the base statue, I observed black spray paint. I believe it was a black circle with an "A" inside of it.  Q. And when you were there walking behind this group and wherever you were, were you on the phone on the radio talking to Commander DeVille?  A. I wasn't necessarily talking directly to him. But I was trying to voice some of the destruction and property damage and vandalism that I observed.  Q. And when you reported that, would that just go out over the radio? Is that fair?  A. Yeah. Yeah.  Q. Now, when you were before the group stepped off
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Right? You're just naming them or are you asking whether he's seen them?  MR. QURESHI: I am naming them and then I'm asking if he's seen them.  BY MR. QURESHI:  Q. Government's Exhibit 133 and 134?  A. Yes. Q. Government's Exhibits 136 through 138?  A. Yes. Q. Government's Exhibits 143 to 146?  A. Yes. Q. Government's Exhibits 143 to 146?  A. Yes. Q. Government's Exhibit 148.12 to 148.44?  A. Yes. Q. Government's Exhibits 175 through 182?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the statue area where many of them were gathered and kind of standing at the base statue, I observed black spray paint. I believe it was a black circle with an "A" inside of it.  Q. And when you were there walking behind this group and wherever you were, were you on the phone on the radio talking to Commander DeVille?  A. I wasn't necessarily talking directly to him. But I was trying to voice some of the destruction and property damage and vandalism that I observed.  Q. And when you reported that, would that just go out over the radio? Is that fair?  A. Yeah. Yeah.  Q. Now, when you were before the group stepped off Logan Circle, did Commander DeVille or anyone else direct you
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Right? You're just naming them or are you asking whether he's seen them?  MR. QURESHI: I am naming them and then I'm asking if he's seen them.  BY MR. QURESHI:  Q. Government's Exhibit 133 and 134?  A. Yes.  Q. Government's Exhibits 136 through 138?  A. Yes.  Q. Government's Exhibits 143 to 146?  A. Yes.  Q. Government's Exhibits 148.12 to 148.44?  A. Yes.  Q. Government's Exhibits 175 through 182?  A. Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the statue area where many of them were gathered and kind of standing at the base statue, I observed black spray paint. I believe it was a black circle with an "A" inside of it.  Q. And when you were there walking behind this group and wherever you were, were you on the phone on the radio talking to Commander DeVille?  A. I wasn't necessarily talking directly to him. But I was trying to voice some of the destruction and property damage and vandalism that I observed.  Q. And when you reported that, would that just go out over the radio? Is that fair?  A. Yeah. Yeah.  Q. Now, when you were before the group stepped off Logan Circle, did Commander DeVille or anyone else direct you to make contact with this group?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Right? You're just naming them or are you asking whether he's seen them?  MR. QURESHI: I am naming them and then I'm asking if he's seen them.  BY MR. QURESHI:  Q. Government's Exhibit 133 and 134?  A. Yes. Q. Government's Exhibits 136 through 138?  A. Yes. Q. Government's Exhibits 143 to 146? A. Yes. Q. Government's Exhibits 143 to 146? A. Yes. Q. Government's Exhibit 148.12 to 148.44? A. Yes. Q. Government's Exhibits 175 through 182? A. Yes. Q. Government's Exhibits 185 through 188?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the statue area where many of them were gathered and kind of standing at the base statue, I observed black spray paint. I believe it was a black circle with an "A" inside of it.  Q. And when you were there walking behind this group and wherever you were, were you on the phone on the radio talking to Commander DeVille?  A. I wasn't necessarily talking directly to him. But I was trying to voice some of the destruction and property damage and vandalism that I observed.  Q. And when you reported that, would that just go out over the radio? Is that fair?  A. Yeah. Yeah.  Q. Now, when you were before the group stepped off Logan Circle, did Commander DeVille or anyone else direct you to make contact with this group?  A. No.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Right? You're just naming them or are you asking whether he's seen them?  MR. QURESHI: I am naming them and then I'm asking if he's seen them.  BY MR. QURESHI:  Q. Government's Exhibit 133 and 134?  A. Yes. Q. Government's Exhibits 136 through 138?  A. Yes. Q. Government's Exhibits 143 to 146? A. Yes. Q. Government's Exhibits 143 to 146? A. Yes. Q. Government's Exhibit 148.12 to 148.44? A. Yes. Q. Government's Exhibits 175 through 182? A. Yes. Q. Government's Exhibits 185 through 188? A. Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the statue area where many of them were gathered and kind of standing at the base statue, I observed black spray paint. I believe it was a black circle with an "A" inside of it.  Q. And when you were there walking behind this group and wherever you were, were you on the phone on the radio talking to Commander DeVille?  A. I wasn't necessarily talking directly to him. But I was trying to voice some of the destruction and property damage and vandalism that I observed.  Q. And when you reported that, would that just go out over the radio? Is that fair?  A. Yeah. Yeah.  Q. Now, when you were before the group stepped off Logan Circle, did Commander DeVille or anyone else direct you to make contact with this group?  A. No.  Q. So no one asked you to go find out where these
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Right? You're just naming them or are you asking whether he's seen them?  MR. QURESHI: I am naming them and then I'm asking if he's seen them.  BY MR. QURESHI:  Q. Government's Exhibit 133 and 134?  A. Yes.  Q. Government's Exhibits 136 through 138?  A. Yes.  Q. Government's Exhibits 143 to 146?  A. Yes.  Q. Government's Exhibits 148.12 to 148.44?  A. Yes.  Q. Government's Exhibits 175 through 182?  A. Yes.  Q. Government's Exhibits 185 through 188?  A. Yes.  Q. And, finally, Government's Exhibits 190 through 219?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the statue area where many of them were gathered and kind of standing at the base statue, I observed black spray paint. I believe it was a black circle with an "A" inside of it.  Q. And when you were there walking behind this group and wherever you were, were you on the phone on the radio talking to Commander DeVille?  A. I wasn't necessarily talking directly to him. But I was trying to voice some of the destruction and property damage and vandalism that I observed.  Q. And when you reported that, would that just go out over the radio? Is that fair?  A. Yeah. Yeah.  Q. Now, when you were before the group stepped off Logan Circle, did Commander DeVille or anyone else direct you to make contact with this group?  A. No.  Q. So no one asked you to go find out where these where is this group going, what are they planning? No one
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Right? You're just naming them or are you asking whether he's seen them?  MR. QURESHI: I am naming them and then I'm asking if he's seen them.  BY MR. QURESHI:  Q. Government's Exhibit 133 and 134?  A. Yes.  Q. Government's Exhibits 136 through 138?  A. Yes.  Q. Government's Exhibits 143 to 146?  A. Yes.  Q. Government's Exhibits 143 to 148.44?  A. Yes.  Q. Government's Exhibit 148.12 to 148.44?  A. Yes.  Q. Government's Exhibits 175 through 182?  A. Yes.  Q. Government's Exhibits 185 through 188?  A. Yes.  Q. And, finally, Government's Exhibits 190 through 219?  A. Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the statue area where many of them were gathered and kind of standing at the base statue, I observed black spray paint. I believe it was a black circle with an "A" inside of it.  Q. And when you were there walking behind this group and wherever you were, were you on the phone on the radio talking to Commander DeVille?  A. I wasn't necessarily talking directly to him. But I was trying to voice some of the destruction and property damage and vandalism that I observed.  Q. And when you reported that, would that just go out over the radio? Is that fair?  A. Yeah. Yeah.  Q. Now, when you were before the group stepped off Logan Circle, did Commander DeVille or anyone else direct you to make contact with this group?  A. No.  Q. So no one asked you to go find out where these where is this group going, what are they planning? No one asked you to do that?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Right? You're just naming them or are you asking whether he's seen them?  MR. QURESHI: I am naming them and then I'm asking if he's seen them.  BY MR. QURESHI:  Q. Government's Exhibit 133 and 134?  A. Yes. Q. Government's Exhibits 136 through 138?  A. Yes. Q. Government's Exhibits 143 to 146? A. Yes. Q. Government's Exhibits 143 to 146? A. Yes. Q. Government's Exhibit 148.12 to 148.44? A. Yes. Q. Government's Exhibits 175 through 182? A. Yes. Q. Government's Exhibits 185 through 188? A. Yes. Q. And, finally, Government's Exhibits 190 through 219? A. Yes. Q. These are all the Government's exhibits that you	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the statue area where many of them were gathered and kind of standing at the base statue, I observed black spray paint. I believe it was a black circle with an "A" inside of it.  Q. And when you were there walking behind this group and wherever you were, were you on the phone on the radio talking to Commander DeVille?  A. I wasn't necessarily talking directly to him. But I was trying to voice some of the destruction and property damage and vandalism that I observed.  Q. And when you reported that, would that just go out over the radio? Is that fair?  A. Yeah. Yeah.  Q. Now, when you were before the group stepped off Logan Circle, did Commander DeVille or anyone else direct you to make contact with this group?  A. No.  Q. So no one asked you to go find out where these where is this group going, what are they planning? No one asked you to do that?  A. No.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Right? You're just naming them or are you asking whether he's seen them?  MR. QURESHI: I am naming them and then I'm asking if he's seen them.  BY MR. QURESHI:  Q. Government's Exhibit 133 and 134?  A. Yes.  Q. Government's Exhibits 136 through 138?  A. Yes.  Q. Government's Exhibits 143 to 146?  A. Yes.  Q. Government's Exhibits 143 to 148.44?  A. Yes.  Q. Government's Exhibit 148.12 to 148.44?  A. Yes.  Q. Government's Exhibits 175 through 182?  A. Yes.  Q. Government's Exhibits 185 through 188?  A. Yes.  Q. And, finally, Government's Exhibits 190 through 219?  A. Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the statue area where many of them were gathered and kind of standing at the base statue, I observed black spray paint. I believe it was a black circle with an "A" inside of it.  Q. And when you were there walking behind this group and wherever you were, were you on the phone on the radio talking to Commander DeVille?  A. I wasn't necessarily talking directly to him. But I was trying to voice some of the destruction and property damage and vandalism that I observed.  Q. And when you reported that, would that just go out over the radio? Is that fair?  A. Yeah. Yeah.  Q. Now, when you were before the group stepped off Logan Circle, did Commander DeVille or anyone else direct you to make contact with this group?  A. No.  Q. So no one asked you to go find out where these where is this group going, what are they planning? No one asked you to do that?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Right? You're just naming them or are you asking whether he's seen them?  MR. QURESHI: I am naming them and then I'm asking if he's seen them.  BY MR. QURESHI:  Q. Government's Exhibit 133 and 134?  A. Yes.  Q. Government's Exhibits 136 through 138?  A. Yes.  Q. Government's Exhibits 143 to 146?  A. Yes.  Q. Government's Exhibits 143.12 to 148.44?  A. Yes.  Q. Government's Exhibits 175 through 182?  A. Yes.  Q. Government's Exhibits 185 through 188?  A. Yes.  Q. Government's Exhibits 185 through 188?  A. Yes.  Q. And, finally, Government's Exhibits 190 through 219?  A. Yes.  Q. These are all the Government's exhibits that you reviewed prior to your testimony?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the statue area where many of them were gathered and kind of standing at the base statue, I observed black spray paint. I believe it was a black circle with an "A" inside of it.  Q. And when you were there walking behind this group and wherever you were, were you on the phone on the radio talking to Commander DeVille?  A. I wasn't necessarily talking directly to him. But I was trying to voice some of the destruction and property damage and vandalism that I observed.  Q. And when you reported that, would that just go out over the radio? Is that fair?  A. Yeah. Yeah.  Q. Now, when you were before the group stepped off Logan Circle, did Commander DeVille or anyone else direct you to make contact with this group?  A. No.  Q. So no one asked you to go find out where these where is this group going, what are they planning? No one asked you to do that?  A. No.  Q. And you did not do that. Correct?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Right? You're just naming them or are you asking whether he's seen them?  MR. QURESHI: I am naming them and then I'm asking if he's seen them.  BY MR. QURESHI:  Q. Government's Exhibit 133 and 134?  A. Yes.  Q. Government's Exhibits 136 through 138?  A. Yes.  Q. Government's Exhibits 143 to 146?  A. Yes.  Q. Government's Exhibit 148.12 to 148.44?  A. Yes.  Q. Government's Exhibits 175 through 182?  A. Yes.  Q. Government's Exhibits 185 through 188?  A. Yes.  Q. And, finally, Government's Exhibits 190 through 219?  A. Yes.  Q. These are all the Government's exhibits that you reviewed prior to your testimony?  A. Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the statue area where many of them were gathered and kind of standing at the base statue, I observed black spray paint. I believe it was a black circle with an "A" inside of it.  Q. And when you were there walking behind this group and wherever you were, were you on the phone on the radio talking to Commander DeVille?  A. I wasn't necessarily talking directly to him. But I was trying to voice some of the destruction and property damage and vandalism that I observed.  Q. And when you reported that, would that just go out over the radio? Is that fair?  A. Yeah. Yeah.  Q. Now, when you were before the group stepped off Logan Circle, did Commander DeVille or anyone else direct you to make contact with this group?  A. No.  Q. So no one asked you to go find out where these where is this group going, what are they planning? No one asked you to do that?  A. No.  Q. And you did not do that. Correct?  A. No.

	Ranck - CROSS - By Ms. Weletz		Ranck - CROSS - By Ms. Weletz
	142		144
1	on that 25- to 30-minute direct with Mr. Qureshi.	1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
2	But you saw particular individuals committing these	2	
3	acts of destruction. Correct?	3	
4	A. I didn't observe most of the destruction occur	4	. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
5	myself.	5	3
6	Q. So you didn't see the whole group committing	6	
7	destruction. Correct?	7	3
8	A. I can't say I saw every individual in the group	8	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
9	committing destruction. No.	9	3 - 7,
10	Q. Thank you very much.	10	3
11	A. You're welcome.	11	
12	THE COURT: For Ms. Armento?	12	
13	MS. WELETZ: Briefly, your Honor.	13	
14	CROSS-EXAMINATION	14	,
15 16	BY MS. WELETZ:	15 16	1 1
17	Q. Investigator, you said that you were assigned as an intel investigator to deal with this First Amendment	17	
18	assembly. Correct?	18	
19	A. I was just an officer at that point.	19	
20	Q. You were an officer at that time.	20	,
21	How many other First Amendment assemblies have you	21	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
22	ever been at?	22	, , , , , ,
23	A. Dozens. There was multiple ones we did that day.	23	
24	Q. Okay. And you said that, in your capacity, you I	24	, , , ,
25	think you said you usually act as the eyes. Right?	25	, , , , ,
	Ranck - CROSS - By Ms. Weletz		Ranck - CROSS - By Ms. Jacques
	143		145
1	A. Yeah. We try to assist SOD because they do most	1	1 what that sound actually is.
2	of the traffic control to keep the vehicular interference	2	2 Q. But you heard that from Logan Circle all the way
3	and keep everybody safe. So we try to as eyes sometimes for	3	
_		•	3 through 12th and L. Correct?
4	them.	4	
4 5	them.  Q. So you help facilitate rolling roadblocks?	_	4 A. When I was with the group?
_		4	<ul><li>A. When I was with the group?</li><li>Q. Correct. When you were with the group, following</li></ul>
5	Q. So you help facilitate rolling roadblocks?	4 5	<ul> <li>A. When I was with the group?</li> <li>Q. Correct. When you were with the group, following</li> <li>them.</li> </ul>
5 6	<ul><li>Q. So you help facilitate rolling roadblocks?</li><li>A. I don't necessarily facilitate them in that aspect,</li></ul>	4 5 6	<ul> <li>4 A. When I was with the group?</li> <li>5 Q. Correct. When you were with the group, following</li> <li>6 them.</li> <li>7 A. At times, yeah.</li> </ul>
5 6 7	Q. So you help facilitate rolling roadblocks?  A. I don't necessarily facilitate them in that aspect, saying, "Hey, we need a car going up here," "We need a car	4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. When I was with the group?</li> <li>Q. Correct. When you were with the group, following</li> <li>them.</li> <li>A. At times, yeah.</li> <li>Q. And not just chanting. You heard people going,</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8	Q. So you help facilitate rolling roadblocks?  A. I don't necessarily facilitate them in that aspect, saying, "Hey, we need a car going up here," "We need a car going up there."	4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. When I was with the group?</li> <li>Q. Correct. When you were with the group, following</li> <li>them.</li> <li>A. At times, yeah.</li> <li>Q. And not just chanting. You heard people going,</li> <li>"Woo-hoo," correct, or something like that?</li> <li>A. I wouldn't say it was like I don't recall</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9	Q. So you help facilitate rolling roadblocks?  A. I don't necessarily facilitate them in that aspect, saying, "Hey, we need a car going up here," "We need a car going up there."  If I speak to the group and they give me a path that	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. When I was with the group?</li> <li>Q. Correct. When you were with the group, following</li> <li>them.</li> <li>A. At times, yeah.</li> <li>Q. And not just chanting. You heard people going,</li> <li>"Woo-hoo," correct, or something like that?</li> <li>A. I wouldn't say it was like I don't recall</li> <li>hearing, like, exclamations of joy.</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9	Q. So you help facilitate rolling roadblocks?  A. I don't necessarily facilitate them in that aspect, saying, "Hey, we need a car going up here," "We need a car going up there."  If I speak to the group and they give me a path that they're planning on traveling sometimes they already know	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	4 A. When I was with the group? 5 Q. Correct. When you were with the group, following 6 them. 7 A. At times, yeah. 8 Q. And not just chanting. You heard people going, 9 "Woo-hoo," correct, or something like that? 0 A. I wouldn't say it was like I don't recall 1 hearing, like, exclamations of joy. 2 Q. You don't hear you don't remember any type of
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. So you help facilitate rolling roadblocks?  A. I don't necessarily facilitate them in that aspect, saying, "Hey, we need a car going up here," "We need a car going up there."  If I speak to the group and they give me a path that they're planning on traveling sometimes they already know the path they think this group is traveling and we're just	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. When I was with the group?</li> <li>Q. Correct. When you were with the group, following</li> <li>them.</li> <li>A. At times, yeah.</li> <li>Q. And not just chanting. You heard people going,</li> <li>"Woo-hoo," correct, or something like that?</li> <li>A. I wouldn't say it was like I don't recall</li> <li>hearing, like, exclamations of joy.</li> <li>Q. You don't hear you don't remember any type of</li> <li>joyous shouting out?</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. So you help facilitate rolling roadblocks?  A. I don't necessarily facilitate them in that aspect, saying, "Hey, we need a car going up here," "We need a car going up there."  If I speak to the group and they give me a path that they're planning on traveling sometimes they already know the path they think this group is traveling and we're just there to monitor the group to make sure there's no destruction going on, nobody a counter protester or anybody else trying to engage them as well.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. When I was with the group?  Q. Correct. When you were with the group, following them.  A. At times, yeah.  Q. And not just chanting. You heard people going, "Woo-hoo," correct, or something like that?  A. I wouldn't say it was like I don't recall hearing, like, exclamations of joy.  Q. You don't hear you don't remember any type of joyous shouting out?  A. Not in a celebration manner. No.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. So you help facilitate rolling roadblocks?  A. I don't necessarily facilitate them in that aspect, saying, "Hey, we need a car going up here," "We need a car going up there."  If I speak to the group and they give me a path that they're planning on traveling sometimes they already know the path they think this group is traveling and we're just there to monitor the group to make sure there's no destruction going on, nobody a counter protester or anybody else trying to engage them as well.  Q. And on that day, on January 20th, 2017, when you	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. When I was with the group?</li> <li>Q. Correct. When you were with the group, following them.</li> <li>A. At times, yeah.</li> <li>Q. And not just chanting. You heard people going,</li> <li>"Woo-hoo," correct, or something like that?</li> <li>A. I wouldn't say it was like I don't recall hearing, like, exclamations of joy.</li> <li>Q. You don't hear you don't remember any type of joyous shouting out?</li> <li>A. Not in a celebration manner. No.</li> <li>MS. WELETZ: No further questions.</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. So you help facilitate rolling roadblocks?  A. I don't necessarily facilitate them in that aspect, saying, "Hey, we need a car going up here," "We need a car going up there."  If I speak to the group and they give me a path that they're planning on traveling sometimes they already know the path they think this group is traveling and we're just there to monitor the group to make sure there's no destruction going on, nobody a counter protester or anybody else trying to engage them as well.  Q. And on that day, on January 20th, 2017, when you spoke to the organizers in the group like you said you	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. When I was with the group?</li> <li>Q. Correct. When you were with the group, following</li> <li>them.</li> <li>A. At times, yeah.</li> <li>Q. And not just chanting. You heard people going,</li> <li>"Woo-hoo," correct, or something like that?</li> <li>A. I wouldn't say it was like I don't recall</li> <li>hearing, like, exclamations of joy.</li> <li>Q. You don't hear you don't remember any type of</li> <li>joyous shouting out?</li> <li>A. Not in a celebration manner. No.</li> <li>MS. WELETZ: No further questions.</li> <li>THE COURT: For Ms. Simmons?</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. So you help facilitate rolling roadblocks?  A. I don't necessarily facilitate them in that aspect, saying, "Hey, we need a car going up here," "We need a car going up there."  If I speak to the group and they give me a path that they're planning on traveling sometimes they already know the path they think this group is traveling and we're just there to monitor the group to make sure there's no destruction going on, nobody a counter protester or anybody else trying to engage them as well.  Q. And on that day, on January 20th, 2017, when you spoke to the organizers in the group like you said you usually do, what path did you find out this march was going	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. When I was with the group?  Q. Correct. When you were with the group, following them.  A. At times, yeah.  Q. And not just chanting. You heard people going, "Woo-hoo," correct, or something like that?  A. I wouldn't say it was like I don't recall hearing, like, exclamations of joy.  Q. You don't hear you don't remember any type of joyous shouting out?  A. Not in a celebration manner. No.  MS. WELETZ: No further questions.  THE COURT: For Ms. Simmons?  MS. JACQUES: Yes. Thank you.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. So you help facilitate rolling roadblocks?  A. I don't necessarily facilitate them in that aspect, saying, "Hey, we need a car going up here," "We need a car going up there."  If I speak to the group and they give me a path that they're planning on traveling sometimes they already know the path they think this group is traveling and we're just there to monitor the group to make sure there's no destruction going on, nobody a counter protester or anybody else trying to engage them as well.  Q. And on that day, on January 20th, 2017, when you spoke to the organizers in the group like you said you usually do, what path did you find out this march was going to be taking?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. When I was with the group?  Q. Correct. When you were with the group, following them.  A. At times, yeah.  Q. And not just chanting. You heard people going, "Woo-hoo," correct, or something like that?  A. I wouldn't say it was like I don't recall hearing, like, exclamations of joy.  Q. You don't hear you don't remember any type of joyous shouting out?  A. Not in a celebration manner. No.  MS. WELETZ: No further questions.  THE COURT: For Ms. Simmons?  MS. JACQUES: Yes. Thank you.  ROSS-EXAMINATION
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. So you help facilitate rolling roadblocks?  A. I don't necessarily facilitate them in that aspect, saying, "Hey, we need a car going up here," "We need a car going up there."  If I speak to the group and they give me a path that they're planning on traveling sometimes they already know the path they think this group is traveling and we're just there to monitor the group to make sure there's no destruction going on, nobody a counter protester or anybody else trying to engage them as well.  Q. And on that day, on January 20th, 2017, when you spoke to the organizers in the group like you said you usually do, what path did you find out this march was going to be taking?  A. As I stated before, I did not speak to the group.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. When I was with the group?  Q. Correct. When you were with the group, following them.  A. At times, yeah.  Q. And not just chanting. You heard people going, "Woo-hoo," correct, or something like that?  A. I wouldn't say it was like I don't recall hearing, like, exclamations of joy.  Q. You don't hear you don't remember any type of joyous shouting out?  A. Not in a celebration manner. No.  MS. WELETZ: No further questions.  THE COURT: For Ms. Simmons?  MS. JACQUES: Yes. Thank you.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MS. JACQUES:
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. So you help facilitate rolling roadblocks?  A. I don't necessarily facilitate them in that aspect, saying, "Hey, we need a car going up here," "We need a car going up there."  If I speak to the group and they give me a path that they're planning on traveling sometimes they already know the path they think this group is traveling and we're just there to monitor the group to make sure there's no destruction going on, nobody a counter protester or anybody else trying to engage them as well.  Q. And on that day, on January 20th, 2017, when you spoke to the organizers in the group like you said you usually do, what path did you find out this march was going to be taking?  A. As I stated before, I did not speak to the group.  Q. Okay. And, to your knowledge, were there other	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. When I was with the group? Q. Correct. When you were with the group, following them. A. At times, yeah. Q. And not just chanting. You heard people going, "Woo-hoo," correct, or something like that? A. I wouldn't say it was like I don't recall hearing, like, exclamations of joy. Q. You don't hear you don't remember any type of joyous shouting out? A. Not in a celebration manner. No. MS. WELETZ: No further questions. THE COURT: For Ms. Simmons? MS. JACQUES: Yes. Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. JACQUES: Q. Good afternoon.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. So you help facilitate rolling roadblocks?  A. I don't necessarily facilitate them in that aspect, saying, "Hey, we need a car going up here," "We need a car going up there."  If I speak to the group and they give me a path that they're planning on traveling sometimes they already know the path they think this group is traveling and we're just there to monitor the group to make sure there's no destruction going on, nobody a counter protester or anybody else trying to engage them as well.  Q. And on that day, on January 20th, 2017, when you spoke to the organizers in the group like you said you usually do, what path did you find out this march was going to be taking?  A. As I stated before, I did not speak to the group.  Q. Okay. And, to your knowledge, were there other officers there from the intel unit?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. When I was with the group?  Q. Correct. When you were with the group, following them.  A. At times, yeah.  Q. And not just chanting. You heard people going, "Woo-hoo," correct, or something like that?  A. I wouldn't say it was like I don't recall hearing, like, exclamations of joy.  Q. You don't hear you don't remember any type of joyous shouting out?  A. Not in a celebration manner. No.  MS. WELETZ: No further questions.  THE COURT: For Ms. Simmons?  MS. JACQUES: Yes. Thank you.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MS. JACQUES:  Q. Good afternoon.  A. Good afternoon.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. So you help facilitate rolling roadblocks?  A. I don't necessarily facilitate them in that aspect, saying, "Hey, we need a car going up here," "We need a car going up there."  If I speak to the group and they give me a path that they're planning on traveling sometimes they already know the path they think this group is traveling and we're just there to monitor the group to make sure there's no destruction going on, nobody a counter protester or anybody else trying to engage them as well.  Q. And on that day, on January 20th, 2017, when you spoke to the organizers in the group like you said you usually do, what path did you find out this march was going to be taking?  A. As I stated before, I did not speak to the group.  Q. Okay. And, to your knowledge, were there other officers there from the intel unit?  A. Yes.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. When I was with the group? Q. Correct. When you were with the group, following them. A. At times, yeah. Q. And not just chanting. You heard people going, "Woo-hoo," correct, or something like that? A. I wouldn't say it was like I don't recall hearing, like, exclamations of joy. Q. You don't hear you don't remember any type of joyous shouting out? A. Not in a celebration manner. No. MS. WELETZ: No further questions. THE COURT: For Ms. Simmons? MS. JACQUES: Yes. Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. JACQUES: Q. Good afternoon. A. Good afternoon. Q. My name is Tammy Jacques. I represent Ms. Simmons.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. So you help facilitate rolling roadblocks?  A. I don't necessarily facilitate them in that aspect, saying, "Hey, we need a car going up here," "We need a car going up there."  If I speak to the group and they give me a path that they're planning on traveling sometimes they already know the path they think this group is traveling and we're just there to monitor the group to make sure there's no destruction going on, nobody a counter protester or anybody else trying to engage them as well.  Q. And on that day, on January 20th, 2017, when you spoke to the organizers in the group like you said you usually do, what path did you find out this march was going to be taking?  A. As I stated before, I did not speak to the group.  Q. Okay. And, to your knowledge, were there other officers there from the intel unit?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you know how many?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. When I was with the group?  Q. Correct. When you were with the group, following them.  A. At times, yeah.  Q. And not just chanting. You heard people going, "Woo-hoo," correct, or something like that?  A. I wouldn't say it was like I don't recall hearing, like, exclamations of joy.  Q. You don't hear you don't remember any type of joyous shouting out?  A. Not in a celebration manner. No.  MS. WELETZ: No further questions.  THE COURT: For Ms. Simmons?  MS. JACQUES: Yes. Thank you.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MS. JACQUES:  Q. Good afternoon.  A. Good afternoon.  Q. My name is Tammy Jacques. I represent Ms. Simmons.  I just have two questions.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. So you help facilitate rolling roadblocks?  A. I don't necessarily facilitate them in that aspect, saying, "Hey, we need a car going up here," "We need a car going up there."  If I speak to the group and they give me a path that they're planning on traveling sometimes they already know the path they think this group is traveling and we're just there to monitor the group to make sure there's no destruction going on, nobody a counter protester or anybody else trying to engage them as well.  Q. And on that day, on January 20th, 2017, when you spoke to the organizers in the group like you said you usually do, what path did you find out this march was going to be taking?  A. As I stated before, I did not speak to the group.  Q. Okay. And, to your knowledge, were there other officers there from the intel unit?  A. Yes.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. When I was with the group? Q. Correct. When you were with the group, following them. A. At times, yeah. Q. And not just chanting. You heard people going, "Woo-hoo," correct, or something like that? A. I wouldn't say it was like I don't recall hearing, like, exclamations of joy. Q. You don't hear you don't remember any type of joyous shouting out? A. Not in a celebration manner. No. MS. WELETZ: No further questions. THE COURT: For Ms. Simmons? MS. JACQUES: Yes. Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. JACQUES: Q. Good afternoon. A. Good afternoon. Q. My name is Tammy Jacques. I represent Ms. Simmons. I just have two questions. Was it your testimony that you didn't actually see

	Danely CDOSS Dy Mr Cohen		Danels CDOSS Dy Mr Cahan
	Ranck - CROSS - By Mr. Cohen 146		Ranck - CROSS - By Mr. Cohen 148
1	group?	1	group of journalists went to certain locations. But I do
2	A. Towards the end of the group. Yes.	2	remember seeing people filming around those locations.
3	Q. So and you testified that around the McDonald's	3	Q. Did you notice anybody who was filming, like,
4	is when people started moving faster?	4	putting in, putting away or taking out camera equipment?
5	A. There was different points throughout there.	5	A. Not that I recall. It wasn't my focus at that
6	Q. And you indicated that at the McDonald's is where	6	point.
7	the pepper spray was being sprayed and you didn't want to get	7	Q. Did you observe anybody with camera equipment
8	caught up in that. Correct?	8	changing trying to change their appearance in any way?
9	A. If I recall right, there was some pepper spray	9	A. Anybody with camera equipment trying to change their
10	there.	10	appearance, like their clothing or anything?
11	Q. And there was also grenades?	11	Q. Yes.
12	A. I think you're probably referring to, like, the	12	A. Not that I was focusing on. No.
13	sting-balls, not like fragment grenade.	13	Q. It's fairly safe to say that, based on your
14	Q. Okay. The sting-balls.	14	observations, when you were with the group at times, when
15	They were being thrown by the McDonald's as well.	15	you were with the group, you didn't see there was nothing
16	Correct?	16	that caught your attention with respect to those people's
17	A. I wasn't using any of them. I don't recall the	17	actions. Correct?
18	exact locations of all that.	18	A. Not necessarily. No. Not that actions that they
19	Q. Okay.	19	were taking. No.
20	MS. JACQUES: I don't have anything else.	20	MR. COHEN: No further questions.
21	THE COURT: Mr. Cohen?	21	THE COURT: For Ms. Harris?
22	MR. COHEN: Thank you.	22	MR. McCOOL: No. Thank you, your Honor.
23		23	THE COURT: Ms. Kropf?
24		24	MS. KROPF: No questions, your Honor.
25	CROSS-EXAMINATION	25	THE COURT: Redirect?
	Ranck - CROSS - By Mr. Cohen 147	4	MD OUDECHT. Nothing further for this witness your
1	BY MR. COHEN:	1 2	MR. QURESHI: Nothing further for this witness, your Honor. Thank you.
2	Q. I'm Brett Cohen on behalf of Mr. Wood.	3	THE COURT: Sir, you may be excused. Thank you.
3	Good afternoon.	_	Don't discuss your testimony with any other witness.
4	A. Good afternoon.	5	
5	Q. I just have a few questions.	6	THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor. (Witness excused.)
6	When you were with the group or at any point near	7	,
7	the group, did you have the opportunity to observe those who	8	THE COURT: Does the Government have any additional
8	were trying to capture, in other words, through pictures or	9	witnesses?  MR. QURESHI: No, your Honor.
9	video, the events that were going on in the demonstration?	10	The Government would just move a couple of exhibits
10	A. Yes.	11	into evidence and a stipulation and then the Government would
11	Q. And there were a large group of those people,	12	rest.
12	correct, not as large as the protesters, but, I mean, there	13	THE COURT: And so I think we need to have exhibit
13	were at least a couple dozen?	14	discussions separately. I'd be happy to just wait until
14	A. That was inside the group? Because I know there's	15	later to do that.
15	also reporters that were staying away from the group as well.	16	You do have a stipulation, however?
_		1.0	Tod do have a supulation, however:
16	Q. My question is: During so they were inside the	17	MR OURESHI! Yes your Honor
17	group and away from the group. Is that your testimony?	17 18	MR. QURESHI: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you
17 18	group and away from the group. Is that your testimony?  A. Yeah. I saw I remember seeing some that were	18	THE COURT: Thank you.
17 18 19	group and away from the group. Is that your testimony?  A. Yeah. I saw I remember seeing some that were away from the group, and there were some that were inside the	18 19	THE COURT: Thank you.  MR. QURESHI: May I go ahead?
17 18 19 20	group and away from the group. Is that your testimony?  A. Yeah. I saw I remember seeing some that were away from the group, and there were some that were inside the group.	18 19 20	THE COURT: Thank you.  MR. QURESHI: May I go ahead?  THE COURT: Yes.
17 18 19 20 21	group and away from the group. Is that your testimony?  A. Yeah. I saw I remember seeing some that were away from the group, and there were some that were inside the group.  Q. And those people that were documenting, they would	18 19 20 21	THE COURT: Thank you.  MR. QURESHI: May I go ahead?  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. QURESHI: The parties in this case, the United
17 18 19 20 21 22	group and away from the group. Is that your testimony?  A. Yeah. I saw I remember seeing some that were away from the group, and there were some that were inside the group.  Q. And those people that were documenting, they would frequently go to where something was happening with the	18 19 20 21 22	THE COURT: Thank you.  MR. QURESHI: May I go ahead?  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. QURESHI: The parties in this case, the United  States and the Defendants, hereby stipulate as follows:
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	group and away from the group. Is that your testimony?  A. Yeah. I saw I remember seeing some that were away from the group, and there were some that were inside the group.  Q. And those people that were documenting, they would frequently go to where something was happening with the group, such as property destruction?	18 19 20 21 22 23	THE COURT: Thank you.  MR. QURESHI: May I go ahead?  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. QURESHI: The parties in this case, the United  States and the Defendants, hereby stipulate as follows:  Government's Exhibits 282 and 283 fairly and
17 18 19 20 21 22	group and away from the group. Is that your testimony?  A. Yeah. I saw I remember seeing some that were away from the group, and there were some that were inside the group.  Q. And those people that were documenting, they would frequently go to where something was happening with the	18 19 20 21 22	THE COURT: Thank you.  MR. QURESHI: May I go ahead?  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. QURESHI: The parties in this case, the United  States and the Defendants, hereby stipulate as follows:

	150		152
1	These exhibits reflect the total property damage at	1	THE COURT: Well, I understand.
2	1225 I Street Northwest and 1201 I Street Northwest, which	2	For the record, I assume everybody has.
3	includes the Starbucks, Bank of America and Atrium Cafe.	3	MR. LAZEROW: I just wanted it on the transcript.
4	Exhibit 283 also includes the damage to the Atrium	4	That's all.
5	Cafe as reflected in a previously admitted Government	5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	exhibit, 237, and should not be interpreted as additional	6	MR. LAZEROW: Thank you, your Honor.
7	damage to the Atrium Cafe.	7	MR. McCOOL: We're not putting on a case, your
8	The jury will in the back you will have both of	8	Honor. I thought you had asked.
9	these exhibits. 282 reflects \$4,108 in damage at the	9	THE COURT: So you don't object to me saying
10	Starbucks. And 283 reflects a total of \$83,215.77.	10	different Defendants will be presenting different
11	Thank you, your Honor.	11	witnesses
12	With that, the Government rests.	12	MR. McCOOL: No.
13	THE COURT: And so, through that stipulation, you're	13	THE COURT: in whatever order?
14	offering 282 and 283?	14	MR. McCOOL: No objection. Thank you.
15	MR. QURESHI: Yes, your Honor.	15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	THE COURT: Sorry. I didn't understand that.	16	(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in
17	Any objection to the stipulation or those two	17	open court:)
18	exhibits?	18	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we are now going
19	MS. WELETZ: No objection, your Honor.	19	to begin presentations by certain Defendants. You're going
20	(Whereupon, Government's Exhibit Nos. 282 and 283	20	to hear people out of order just for scheduling reasons, not
21	were entered into evidence.)	21	for any other reason.
22	THE COURT: Counsel, please approach.	22	So we're going to start on behalf of Ms. Lawson with
23	(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at	23	a witness.
24	side-bar outside the presence of the jury:)	24	Ms. Kropf.
25	THE COURT: Ms. Kropf, just making sure you're ready	25	MS. KROPF: Yes, your Honor.
	151		Hess - DIRECT - By Ms. Kropf
1	to go. Your witness is first?		Hess - DIRECT - By Ms. Kropf 153
1 2		1	•
	to go. Your witness is first?	1 2	153
2	to go. Your witness is first?  MS. KROPF: We're ready. Yes.		THE WITNESS: We call Valerie Hemp.
3	to go. Your witness is first?  MS. KROPF: We're ready. Yes.  THE COURT: And should I be telling the jury, just	2 3 4	THE WITNESS: We call Valerie Hemp.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)
2 3 4	to go. Your witness is first?  MS. KROPF: We're ready. Yes.  THE COURT: And should I be telling the jury, just for scheduling reasons, different Defendants will be	2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: We call Valerie Hemp.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)  VALERIE HESS, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN
2 3 4 5	to go. Your witness is first?  MS. KROPF: We're ready. Yes.  THE COURT: And should I be telling the jury, just for scheduling reasons, different Defendants will be presenting different witnesses at different times?	2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: We call Valerie Hemp.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)  VALERIE HESS, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN THE COURT: Good afternoon.
2 3 4 5 6	to go. Your witness is first?  MS. KROPF: We're ready. Yes.  THE COURT: And should I be telling the jury, just for scheduling reasons, different Defendants will be presenting different witnesses at different times?  MS. KROPF: I think that's fair.	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: We call Valerie Hemp.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)  VALERIE HESS, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE WITNESS: Hi.
2 3 4 5 6 7	to go. Your witness is first?  MS. KROPF: We're ready. Yes.  THE COURT: And should I be telling the jury, just for scheduling reasons, different Defendants will be presenting different witnesses at different times?  MS. KROPF: I think that's fair.  MR. LAZEROW: Your Honor I'm sorry.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: We call Valerie Hemp.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)  VALERIE HESS, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE WITNESS: Hi.  DIRECT EXAMINATION
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to go. Your witness is first?  MS. KROPF: We're ready. Yes.  THE COURT: And should I be telling the jury, just for scheduling reasons, different Defendants will be presenting different witnesses at different times?  MS. KROPF: I think that's fair.  MR. LAZEROW: Your Honor I'm sorry.  THE COURT: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: We call Valerie Hemp.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)  VALERIE HESS, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN THE COURT: Good afternoon. THE WITNESS: Hi.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MS. KROPF:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to go. Your witness is first?  MS. KROPF: We're ready. Yes.  THE COURT: And should I be telling the jury, just for scheduling reasons, different Defendants will be presenting different witnesses at different times?  MS. KROPF: I think that's fair.  MR. LAZEROW: Your Honor I'm sorry.  THE COURT: Yes.  And is there anyone who's not presenting any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: We call Valerie Hemp.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)  VALERIE HESS, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE WITNESS: Hi.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MS. KROPF:  Q. Good afternoon.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to go. Your witness is first?  MS. KROPF: We're ready. Yes.  THE COURT: And should I be telling the jury, just for scheduling reasons, different Defendants will be presenting different witnesses at different times?  MS. KROPF: I think that's fair.  MR. LAZEROW: Your Honor I'm sorry.  THE COURT: Yes.  And is there anyone who's not presenting any evidence at all?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: We call Valerie Hemp.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)  VALERIE HESS, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE WITNESS: Hi.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MS. KROPF:  Q. Good afternoon.  A. Hi.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to go. Your witness is first?  MS. KROPF: We're ready. Yes.  THE COURT: And should I be telling the jury, just for scheduling reasons, different Defendants will be presenting different witnesses at different times?  MS. KROPF: I think that's fair.  MR. LAZEROW: Your Honor I'm sorry.  THE COURT: Yes.  And is there anyone who's not presenting any evidence at all?  MR. LAZEROW: I expect not to.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: We call Valerie Hemp.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)  VALERIE HESS, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE WITNESS: Hi.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MS. KROPF:  Q. Good afternoon.  A. Hi.  Q. Could you please introduce yourself to the jury and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to go. Your witness is first?  MS. KROPF: We're ready. Yes.  THE COURT: And should I be telling the jury, just for scheduling reasons, different Defendants will be presenting different witnesses at different times?  MS. KROPF: I think that's fair.  MR. LAZEROW: Your Honor I'm sorry.  THE COURT: Yes.  And is there anyone who's not presenting any evidence at all?  MR. LAZEROW: I expect not to.  THE COURT: So what do you want me to say? I mean,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: We call Valerie Hemp.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)  VALERIE HESS, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE WITNESS: Hi.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MS. KROPF:  Q. Good afternoon.  A. Hi.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to go. Your witness is first?  MS. KROPF: We're ready. Yes.  THE COURT: And should I be telling the jury, just for scheduling reasons, different Defendants will be presenting different witnesses at different times?  MS. KROPF: I think that's fair.  MR. LAZEROW: Your Honor I'm sorry.  THE COURT: Yes.  And is there anyone who's not presenting any evidence at all?  MR. LAZEROW: I expect not to.  THE COURT: So what do you want me to say? I mean, just sort of say, for scheduling reasons, you may hear	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: We call Valerie Hemp.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)  VALERIE HESS, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE WITNESS: Hi.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MS. KROPF:  Q. Good afternoon.  A. Hi. Q. Could you please introduce yourself to the jury and spell your name for the court reporter.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to go. Your witness is first?  MS. KROPF: We're ready. Yes.  THE COURT: And should I be telling the jury, just for scheduling reasons, different Defendants will be presenting different witnesses at different times?  MS. KROPF: I think that's fair.  MR. LAZEROW: Your Honor I'm sorry.  THE COURT: Yes.  And is there anyone who's not presenting any evidence at all?  MR. LAZEROW: I expect not to.  THE COURT: So what do you want me to say? I mean, just sort of say, for scheduling reasons, you may hear different witnesses presented at different times?  MR. LAZEROW: Yes. Correct.  THE COURT: By different	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: We call Valerie Hemp.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)  VALERIE HESS, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE WITNESS: Hi.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MS. KROPF:  Q. Good afternoon.  A. Hi. Q. Could you please introduce yourself to the jury and spell your name for the court reporter.  A. Sure. My name is Valerie Hess. V-a-l-e-r-i-e,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to go. Your witness is first?  MS. KROPF: We're ready. Yes.  THE COURT: And should I be telling the jury, just for scheduling reasons, different Defendants will be presenting different witnesses at different times?  MS. KROPF: I think that's fair.  MR. LAZEROW: Your Honor I'm sorry.  THE COURT: Yes.  And is there anyone who's not presenting any evidence at all?  MR. LAZEROW: I expect not to.  THE COURT: So what do you want me to say? I mean, just sort of say, for scheduling reasons, you may hear different witnesses presented at different times?  MR. LAZEROW: Yes. Correct.  THE COURT: By different  MR. LAZEROW: Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: We call Valerie Hemp.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)  VALERIE HESS, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE WITNESS: Hi.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MS. KROPF:  Q. Good afternoon.  A. Hi.  Q. Could you please introduce yourself to the jury and spell your name for the court reporter.  A. Sure. My name is Valerie Hess. V-a-l-e-r-i-e, H-e-s-s.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to go. Your witness is first?  MS. KROPF: We're ready. Yes.  THE COURT: And should I be telling the jury, just for scheduling reasons, different Defendants will be presenting different witnesses at different times?  MS. KROPF: I think that's fair.  MR. LAZEROW: Your Honor I'm sorry.  THE COURT: Yes.  And is there anyone who's not presenting any evidence at all?  MR. LAZEROW: I expect not to.  THE COURT: So what do you want me to say? I mean, just sort of say, for scheduling reasons, you may hear different witnesses presented at different times?  MR. LAZEROW: Yes. Correct.  THE COURT: By different  MR. LAZEROW: Right.  THE COURT: Defendants, even though you're not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: We call Valerie Hemp.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)  VALERIE HESS, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE WITNESS: Hi.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MS. KROPF:  Q. Good afternoon.  A. Hi.  Q. Could you please introduce yourself to the jury and spell your name for the court reporter.  A. Sure. My name is Valerie Hess. V-a-l-e-r-i-e, H-e-s-s.  Q. Ms. Hess, where do you live?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to go. Your witness is first?  MS. KROPF: We're ready. Yes.  THE COURT: And should I be telling the jury, just for scheduling reasons, different Defendants will be presenting different witnesses at different times?  MS. KROPF: I think that's fair.  MR. LAZEROW: Your Honor I'm sorry.  THE COURT: Yes.  And is there anyone who's not presenting any evidence at all?  MR. LAZEROW: I expect not to.  THE COURT: So what do you want me to say? I mean, just sort of say, for scheduling reasons, you may hear different witnesses presented at different times?  MR. LAZEROW: Yes. Correct.  THE COURT: By different  MR. LAZEROW: Right.  THE COURT: Defendants, even though you're not presenting any?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: We call Valerie Hemp.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)  VALERIE HESS, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE WITNESS: Hi.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MS. KROPF:  Q. Good afternoon.  A. Hi.  Q. Could you please introduce yourself to the jury and spell your name for the court reporter.  A. Sure. My name is Valerie Hess. V-a-l-e-r-i-e, H-e-s-s.  Q. Ms. Hess, where do you live?  A. In Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to go. Your witness is first?  MS. KROPF: We're ready. Yes.  THE COURT: And should I be telling the jury, just for scheduling reasons, different Defendants will be presenting different witnesses at different times?  MS. KROPF: I think that's fair.  MR. LAZEROW: Your Honor I'm sorry.  THE COURT: Yes.  And is there anyone who's not presenting any evidence at all?  MR. LAZEROW: I expect not to.  THE COURT: So what do you want me to say? I mean, just sort of say, for scheduling reasons, you may hear different witnesses presented at different times?  MR. LAZEROW: Yes. Correct.  THE COURT: By different  MR. LAZEROW: Right.  THE COURT: Defendants, even though you're not presenting any?  MR. LAZEROW: Yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: We call Valerie Hemp.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)  VALERIE HESS, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE WITNESS: Hi.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MS. KROPF:  Q. Good afternoon.  A. Hi.  Q. Could you please introduce yourself to the jury and spell your name for the court reporter.  A. Sure. My name is Valerie Hess. V-a-l-e-r-i-e, H-e-s-s.  Q. Ms. Hess, where do you live?  A. In Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.  Q. And are you originally from Pittsburgh?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. KROPF: We're ready. Yes.  THE COURT: And should I be telling the jury, just for scheduling reasons, different Defendants will be presenting different witnesses at different times?  MS. KROPF: I think that's fair.  MR. LAZEROW: Your Honor I'm sorry.  THE COURT: Yes.  And is there anyone who's not presenting any evidence at all?  MR. LAZEROW: I expect not to.  THE COURT: So what do you want me to say? I mean, just sort of say, for scheduling reasons, you may hear different witnesses presented at different times?  MR. LAZEROW: Yes. Correct.  THE COURT: By different  MR. LAZEROW: Right.  THE COURT: Defendants, even though you're not presenting any?  MR. LAZEROW: Yeah.  THE COURT: I'm just making sure you're all right	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: We call Valerie Hemp.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)  VALERIE HESS, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE WITNESS: Hi.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MS. KROPF:  Q. Good afternoon.  A. Hi.  Q. Could you please introduce yourself to the jury and spell your name for the court reporter.  A. Sure. My name is Valerie Hess. V-a-l-e-r-i-e, H-e-s-s.  Q. Ms. Hess, where do you live?  A. In Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.  Q. And are you originally from Pittsburgh?  A. No. I grew up most of my youth in Lancaster,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. KROPF: We're ready. Yes.  THE COURT: And should I be telling the jury, just for scheduling reasons, different Defendants will be presenting different witnesses at different times?  MS. KROPF: I think that's fair.  MR. LAZEROW: Your Honor I'm sorry.  THE COURT: Yes.  And is there anyone who's not presenting any evidence at all?  MR. LAZEROW: I expect not to.  THE COURT: So what do you want me to say? I mean, just sort of say, for scheduling reasons, you may hear different witnesses presented at different times?  MR. LAZEROW: Yes. Correct.  THE COURT: By different  MR. LAZEROW: Right.  THE COURT: Defendants, even though you're not presenting any?  MR. LAZEROW: Yeah.  THE COURT: I'm just making sure you're all right with that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: We call Valerie Hemp.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)  VALERIE HESS, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE WITNESS: Hi.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MS. KROPF:  Q. Good afternoon.  A. Hi.  Q. Could you please introduce yourself to the jury and spell your name for the court reporter.  A. Sure. My name is Valerie Hess. V-a-l-e-r-i-e, H-e-s-s.  Q. Ms. Hess, where do you live?  A. In Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.  Q. And are you originally from Pittsburgh?  A. No. I grew up most of my youth in Lancaster, Pennsylvania.  Q. And I know that you flew from California.  A. I did.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. KROPF: We're ready. Yes. THE COURT: And should I be telling the jury, just for scheduling reasons, different Defendants will be presenting different witnesses at different times? MS. KROPF: I think that's fair. MR. LAZEROW: Your Honor I'm sorry. THE COURT: Yes. And is there anyone who's not presenting any evidence at all? MR. LAZEROW: I expect not to. THE COURT: So what do you want me to say? I mean, just sort of say, for scheduling reasons, you may hear different witnesses presented at different times? MR. LAZEROW: Yes. Correct. THE COURT: By different MR. LAZEROW: Right. THE COURT: Defendants, even though you're not presenting any? MR. LAZEROW: Yeah. THE COURT: I'm just making sure you're all right with that. MR. LAZEROW: We may defer to the witnesses who are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: We call Valerie Hemp.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)  VALERIE HESS, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE WITNESS: Hi.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MS. KROPF:  Q. Good afternoon.  A. Hi.  Q. Could you please introduce yourself to the jury and spell your name for the court reporter.  A. Sure. My name is Valerie Hess. V-a-l-e-r-i-e, H-e-s-s.  Q. Ms. Hess, where do you live?  A. In Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.  Q. And are you originally from Pittsburgh?  A. No. I grew up most of my youth in Lancaster, Pennsylvania.  Q. And I know that you flew from California.  A. I did.  Q. Why did you come from California?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. KROPF: We're ready. Yes.  THE COURT: And should I be telling the jury, just for scheduling reasons, different Defendants will be presenting different witnesses at different times?  MS. KROPF: I think that's fair.  MR. LAZEROW: Your Honor I'm sorry.  THE COURT: Yes.  And is there anyone who's not presenting any evidence at all?  MR. LAZEROW: I expect not to.  THE COURT: So what do you want me to say? I mean, just sort of say, for scheduling reasons, you may hear different witnesses presented at different times?  MR. LAZEROW: Yes. Correct.  THE COURT: By different  MR. LAZEROW: Right.  THE COURT: Defendants, even though you're not presenting any?  MR. LAZEROW: Yeah.  THE COURT: I'm just making sure you're all right with that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: We call Valerie Hemp.  (Thereupon, the witness entered the courtroom and the following proceedings were had:)  VALERIE HESS, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE WITNESS: Hi.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MS. KROPF:  Q. Good afternoon.  A. Hi.  Q. Could you please introduce yourself to the jury and spell your name for the court reporter.  A. Sure. My name is Valerie Hess. V-a-l-e-r-i-e, H-e-s-s.  Q. Ms. Hess, where do you live?  A. In Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.  Q. And are you originally from Pittsburgh?  A. No. I grew up most of my youth in Lancaster, Pennsylvania.  Q. And I know that you flew from California.  A. I did.

	Hess - DIRECT - By Ms. Kropf		Hess - DIRECT - By Ms. Kropf
	154		156
1	Q. Thank you.	1	BY MS. KROPF:
2	Ms. Hess, what do you do for a living?	2	Q. Now, Britt's work on the unit: Has she been a good
3	A. I'm an oncology nurse. I'm the unit director or	3	nurse on the unit?
4	unit manager for an oncology unit at the University of	4	MS. KERKHOFF: Objection. Relevance.
5	Pittsburgh Medical Center in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.	5	THE WITNESS: Yes. Yeah.
6	Q. And how long have you been a nurse?	6	THE COURT: I'm going to ask you to approach,
7	A. Since 2004. So my math is bad at this moment.	7	please.
8	14 years.	8	(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at
9	Q. And have you worked at University of Pittsburgh	9	side-bar outside the presence of the jury:)
10	Medical Center for your whole nursing career?	10	THE COURT: Is she a character witness?
11	A. I have off and on. I started there in surgical	11	MS. KROPF: Yes, your Honor.
12	oncology for about five years and I went to a kind of casual	12	THE COURT: For what character trait?
13	status in that position and also worked part-time with Health	13	MS. KROPF: For the fact that she's a hard worker,
14	Care for the Homeless, which is a community health	14	that she is not violent. The Government has painted a
15	organization.	15	picture
16	Then I left Pittsburgh for about eight months, lived	16	THE COURT: I'm just asking what traits. In other
17	in Brazil. And when I came back to Pittsburgh, I started	17	words, for peace and good order or nonviolence?
18	working at UPMC again in medical oncology, which is the unit	18	MS. KROPF: Yes.
19	I now supervise.	19	THE COURT: Hard worker, not relevant.
20 21	Q. What were you doing when you were in Brazil?	20 21	MS. KROPF: Okay.  THE COURT: What other relevant character traits?
22	A. In Brazil, I was volunteering for a Pittsburgh-based nonprofit called Amizade that does global service learning	22	MS. KROPF: That's the main one, your Honor.
23	programming.	23	THE COURT: Peace and good order and nonviolence?
24	Q. Could you spell Amizade for the court reporter.	24	MS. KROPF: Yes. And how she interacts with
25	A. I can. A-m-i-z-a-d-e.	25	THE COURT: And so her opinion or her reputation or
	Hess - DIRECT - By Ms. Kropf		Hess - DIRECT - By Ms. Kropf
	155		157
1	155 Q. And do you know Brittne Lawson?	1	both?
1 2		1 2	
	Q. And do you know Brittne Lawson?	_	both?
2	<ul><li>Q. And do you know Brittne Lawson?</li><li>A. I do.</li></ul>	2	both?  MS. KROPF: What do you mean? In the witness's
2	<ul><li>Q. And do you know Brittne Lawson?</li><li>A. I do.</li><li>Q. And do you call her Britt?</li></ul>	2	both?  MS. KROPF: What do you mean? In the witness's opinion?
2 3 4	<ul><li>Q. And do you know Brittne Lawson?</li><li>A. I do.</li><li>Q. And do you call her Britt?</li><li>A. Yes.</li></ul>	2 3 4	both?  MS. KROPF: What do you mean? In the witness's opinion?  THE COURT: Yes. Because there's opinion testimony
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. And do you know Brittne Lawson?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And do you call her Britt?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You said you are a unit director?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. How do you know Ms. Lawson? From work?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	both?  MS. KROPF: What do you mean? In the witness's opinion?  THE COURT: Yes. Because there's opinion testimony as to character traits and there's reputation testimony as to character traits.  MS. KROPF: She's going to talk about her opinion of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. And do you know Brittne Lawson?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And do you call her Britt?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You said you are a unit director?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. How do you know Ms. Lawson? From work?</li> <li>A. I hired Britt. I met her in April of 2015. I</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	both?  MS. KROPF: What do you mean? In the witness's opinion?  THE COURT: Yes. Because there's opinion testimony as to character traits and there's reputation testimony as to character traits.  MS. KROPF: She's going to talk about her opinion of Ms. Lawson, having known her for over a year and seen her in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. And do you know Brittne Lawson?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And do you call her Britt?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You said you are a unit director?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. How do you know Ms. Lawson? From work?</li> <li>A. I hired Britt. I met her in April of 2015. I</li> <li>interviewed her and then she started the following month and</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	both?  MS. KROPF: What do you mean? In the witness's opinion?  THE COURT: Yes. Because there's opinion testimony as to character traits and there's reputation testimony as to character traits.  MS. KROPF: She's going to talk about her opinion of Ms. Lawson, having known her for over a year and seen her in many stressful and difficult situations.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. And do you know Brittne Lawson?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And do you call her Britt?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You said you are a unit director?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. How do you know Ms. Lawson? From work?</li> <li>A. I hired Britt. I met her in April of 2015. I</li> <li>interviewed her and then she started the following month and worked on 5 Main, which is the unit we worked on, until</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	both?  MS. KROPF: What do you mean? In the witness's opinion?  THE COURT: Yes. Because there's opinion testimony as to character traits and there's reputation testimony as to character traits.  MS. KROPF: She's going to talk about her opinion of Ms. Lawson, having known her for over a year and seen her in many stressful and difficult situations.  THE COURT: But not her reputation?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. And do you know Brittne Lawson?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And do you call her Britt?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You said you are a unit director?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. How do you know Ms. Lawson? From work?</li> <li>A. I hired Britt. I met her in April of 2015. I interviewed her and then she started the following month and worked on 5 Main, which is the unit we worked on, until October of 2017.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	both?  MS. KROPF: What do you mean? In the witness's opinion?  THE COURT: Yes. Because there's opinion testimony as to character traits and there's reputation testimony as to character traits.  MS. KROPF: She's going to talk about her opinion of Ms. Lawson, having known her for over a year and seen her in many stressful and difficult situations.  THE COURT: But not her reputation?  MS. KROPF: She can talk about her reputation at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. And do you know Brittne Lawson?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And do you call her Britt?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You said you are a unit director?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. How do you know Ms. Lawson? From work?</li> <li>A. I hired Britt. I met her in April of 2015. I interviewed her and then she started the following month and worked on 5 Main, which is the unit we worked on, until October of 2017.</li> <li>Q. Why did you hire Ms. Lawson to work with you?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	both?  MS. KROPF: What do you mean? In the witness's opinion?  THE COURT: Yes. Because there's opinion testimony as to character traits and there's reputation testimony as to character traits.  MS. KROPF: She's going to talk about her opinion of Ms. Lawson, having known her for over a year and seen her in many stressful and difficult situations.  THE COURT: But not her reputation?  MS. KROPF: She can talk about her reputation at work.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. And do you know Brittne Lawson?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And do you call her Britt?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You said you are a unit director?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. How do you know Ms. Lawson? From work?</li> <li>A. I hired Britt. I met her in April of 2015. I interviewed her and then she started the following month and worked on 5 Main, which is the unit we worked on, until October of 2017.</li> <li>Q. Why did you hire Ms. Lawson to work with you?</li> <li>A. So I my focus, I guess, when I'm interviewing</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	both?  MS. KROPF: What do you mean? In the witness's opinion?  THE COURT: Yes. Because there's opinion testimony as to character traits and there's reputation testimony as to character traits.  MS. KROPF: She's going to talk about her opinion of Ms. Lawson, having known her for over a year and seen her in many stressful and difficult situations.  THE COURT: But not her reputation?  MS. KROPF: She can talk about her reputation at work.  THE COURT: No. I'm asking you, because character
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. And do you know Brittne Lawson?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And do you call her Britt?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You said you are a unit director?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. How do you know Ms. Lawson? From work?</li> <li>A. I hired Britt. I met her in April of 2015. I interviewed her and then she started the following month and worked on 5 Main, which is the unit we worked on, until</li> <li>October of 2017.</li> <li>Q. Why did you hire Ms. Lawson to work with you?</li> <li>A. So I my focus, I guess, when I'm interviewing staff or candidates is to look for people who will contribute</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	both?  MS. KROPF: What do you mean? In the witness's opinion?  THE COURT: Yes. Because there's opinion testimony as to character traits and there's reputation testimony as to character traits.  MS. KROPF: She's going to talk about her opinion of Ms. Lawson, having known her for over a year and seen her in many stressful and difficult situations.  THE COURT: But not her reputation?  MS. KROPF: She can talk about her reputation at work.  THE COURT: No. I'm asking you, because character testimony may come in this two forms with regards to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. And do you know Brittne Lawson?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And do you call her Britt?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You said you are a unit director?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. How do you know Ms. Lawson? From work?</li> <li>A. I hired Britt. I met her in April of 2015. I interviewed her and then she started the following month and worked on 5 Main, which is the unit we worked on, until</li> <li>October of 2017.</li> <li>Q. Why did you hire Ms. Lawson to work with you?</li> <li>A. So I my focus, I guess, when I'm interviewing staff or candidates is to look for people who will contribute to the type of unit that we're trying to foster.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	both?  MS. KROPF: What do you mean? In the witness's opinion?  THE COURT: Yes. Because there's opinion testimony as to character traits and there's reputation testimony as to character traits.  MS. KROPF: She's going to talk about her opinion of Ms. Lawson, having known her for over a year and seen her in many stressful and difficult situations.  THE COURT: But not her reputation?  MS. KROPF: She can talk about her reputation at work.  THE COURT: No. I'm asking you, because character testimony may come in this two forms with regards to the specific character trait at issue.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. And do you know Brittne Lawson?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And do you call her Britt?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You said you are a unit director?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. How do you know Ms. Lawson? From work?</li> <li>A. I hired Britt. I met her in April of 2015. I interviewed her and then she started the following month and worked on 5 Main, which is the unit we worked on, until</li> <li>October of 2017.</li> <li>Q. Why did you hire Ms. Lawson to work with you?</li> <li>A. So I my focus, I guess, when I'm interviewing staff or candidates is to look for people who will contribute to the type of unit that we're trying to foster.</li> <li>So, for me, that is someone who's going to be a team</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	both?  MS. KROPF: What do you mean? In the witness's opinion?  THE COURT: Yes. Because there's opinion testimony as to character traits and there's reputation testimony as to character traits.  MS. KROPF: She's going to talk about her opinion of Ms. Lawson, having known her for over a year and seen her in many stressful and difficult situations.  THE COURT: But not her reputation?  MS. KROPF: She can talk about her reputation at work.  THE COURT: No. I'm asking you, because character testimony may come in this two forms with regards to the specific character trait at issue.  MS. KROPF: Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. And do you know Brittne Lawson?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And do you call her Britt?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You said you are a unit director?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. How do you know Ms. Lawson? From work?</li> <li>A. I hired Britt. I met her in April of 2015. I interviewed her and then she started the following month and worked on 5 Main, which is the unit we worked on, until</li> <li>October of 2017.</li> <li>Q. Why did you hire Ms. Lawson to work with you?</li> <li>A. So I my focus, I guess, when I'm interviewing staff or candidates is to look for people who will contribute to the type of unit that we're trying to foster.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	both?  MS. KROPF: What do you mean? In the witness's opinion?  THE COURT: Yes. Because there's opinion testimony as to character traits and there's reputation testimony as to character traits.  MS. KROPF: She's going to talk about her opinion of Ms. Lawson, having known her for over a year and seen her in many stressful and difficult situations.  THE COURT: But not her reputation?  MS. KROPF: She can talk about her reputation at work.  THE COURT: No. I'm asking you, because character testimony may come in this two forms with regards to the specific character trait at issue.  MS. KROPF: Sure.  One is reputation evidence, reputation in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. And do you know Brittne Lawson?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And do you call her Britt?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You said you are a unit director?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. How do you know Ms. Lawson? From work?</li> <li>A. I hired Britt. I met her in April of 2015. I interviewed her and then she started the following month and worked on 5 Main, which is the unit we worked on, until</li> <li>October of 2017.</li> <li>Q. Why did you hire Ms. Lawson to work with you?</li> <li>A. So I my focus, I guess, when I'm interviewing staff or candidates is to look for people who will contribute to the type of unit that we're trying to foster.</li> <li>So, for me, that is someone who's going to be a team player, someone who sees patients as the center of what we do.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	both?  MS. KROPF: What do you mean? In the witness's opinion?  THE COURT: Yes. Because there's opinion testimony as to character traits and there's reputation testimony as to character traits.  MS. KROPF: She's going to talk about her opinion of Ms. Lawson, having known her for over a year and seen her in many stressful and difficult situations.  THE COURT: But not her reputation?  MS. KROPF: She can talk about her reputation at work.  THE COURT: No. I'm asking you, because character testimony may come in this two forms with regards to the specific character trait at issue.  MS. KROPF: Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. And do you know Brittne Lawson?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And do you call her Britt?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You said you are a unit director?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. How do you know Ms. Lawson? From work?</li> <li>A. I hired Britt. I met her in April of 2015. I interviewed her and then she started the following month and worked on 5 Main, which is the unit we worked on, until</li> <li>October of 2017.</li> <li>Q. Why did you hire Ms. Lawson to work with you?</li> <li>A. So I my focus, I guess, when I'm interviewing staff or candidates is to look for people who will contribute to the type of unit that we're trying to foster.</li> <li>So, for me, that is someone who's going to be a team player, someone who sees patients as the center of what we</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	both?  MS. KROPF: What do you mean? In the witness's opinion?  THE COURT: Yes. Because there's opinion testimony as to character traits and there's reputation testimony as to character traits.  MS. KROPF: She's going to talk about her opinion of Ms. Lawson, having known her for over a year and seen her in many stressful and difficult situations.  THE COURT: But not her reputation?  MS. KROPF: She can talk about her reputation at work.  THE COURT: No. I'm asking you, because character testimony may come in this two forms with regards to the specific character trait at issue.  MS. KROPF: Sure.  One is reputation evidence, reputation in the community. One is opinion testimony with an appropriate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. And do you know Brittne Lawson?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And do you call her Britt?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You said you are a unit director?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. How do you know Ms. Lawson? From work?</li> <li>A. I hired Britt. I met her in April of 2015. I interviewed her and then she started the following month and worked on 5 Main, which is the unit we worked on, until</li> <li>October of 2017.</li> <li>Q. Why did you hire Ms. Lawson to work with you?</li> <li>A. So I my focus, I guess, when I'm interviewing staff or candidates is to look for people who will contribute to the type of unit that we're trying to foster.</li> <li>So, for me, that is someone who's going to be a team player, someone who sees patients as the center of what we do.</li> <li>And I think so I have kind of a long history in</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. KROPF: What do you mean? In the witness's opinion?  THE COURT: Yes. Because there's opinion testimony as to character traits and there's reputation testimony as to character traits.  MS. KROPF: She's going to talk about her opinion of Ms. Lawson, having known her for over a year and seen her in many stressful and difficult situations.  THE COURT: But not her reputation?  MS. KROPF: She can talk about her reputation at work.  THE COURT: No. I'm asking you, because character testimony may come in this two forms with regards to the specific character trait at issue.  MS. KROPF: Sure.  One is reputation evidence, reputation in the community. One is opinion testimony with an appropriate foundation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. And do you know Brittne Lawson?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And do you call her Britt?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You said you are a unit director?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. How do you know Ms. Lawson? From work?</li> <li>A. I hired Britt. I met her in April of 2015. I interviewed her and then she started the following month and worked on 5 Main, which is the unit we worked on, until</li> <li>October of 2017.</li> <li>Q. Why did you hire Ms. Lawson to work with you?</li> <li>A. So I my focus, I guess, when I'm interviewing staff or candidates is to look for people who will contribute to the type of unit that we're trying to foster.</li> <li>So, for me, that is someone who's going to be a team player, someone who sees patients as the center of what we do.</li> <li>And I think so I have kind of a long history in oncology. And I think oncology patients are super special.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. KROPF: What do you mean? In the witness's opinion?  THE COURT: Yes. Because there's opinion testimony as to character traits and there's reputation testimony as to character traits.  MS. KROPF: She's going to talk about her opinion of Ms. Lawson, having known her for over a year and seen her in many stressful and difficult situations.  THE COURT: But not her reputation?  MS. KROPF: She can talk about her reputation at work.  THE COURT: No. I'm asking you, because character testimony may come in this two forms with regards to the specific character trait at issue.  MS. KROPF: Sure.  One is reputation evidence, reputation in the community. One is opinion testimony with an appropriate foundation.  So you've told me, yes, opinion. But is it also,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. And do you know Brittne Lawson? A. I do. Q. And do you call her Britt? A. Yes. Q. You said you are a unit director? A. Uh-huh. Q. How do you know Ms. Lawson? From work? A. I hired Britt. I met her in April of 2015. I interviewed her and then she started the following month and worked on 5 Main, which is the unit we worked on, until October of 2017. Q. Why did you hire Ms. Lawson to work with you? A. So I my focus, I guess, when I'm interviewing staff or candidates is to look for people who will contribute to the type of unit that we're trying to foster. So, for me, that is someone who's going to be a team player, someone who sees patients as the center of what we do. And I think so I have kind of a long history in oncology. And I think oncology patients are super special.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. KROPF: What do you mean? In the witness's opinion?  THE COURT: Yes. Because there's opinion testimony as to character traits and there's reputation testimony as to character traits.  MS. KROPF: She's going to talk about her opinion of Ms. Lawson, having known her for over a year and seen her in many stressful and difficult situations.  THE COURT: But not her reputation?  MS. KROPF: She can talk about her reputation at work.  THE COURT: No. I'm asking you, because character testimony may come in this two forms with regards to the specific character trait at issue.  MS. KROPF: Sure.  One is reputation evidence, reputation in the community. One is opinion testimony with an appropriate foundation.  So you've told me, yes, opinion. But is it also, yes, reputation or, no, for the character trait, not just her
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. And do you know Brittne Lawson?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And do you call her Britt?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You said you are a unit director?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. How do you know Ms. Lawson? From work?</li> <li>A. I hired Britt. I met her in April of 2015. I interviewed her and then she started the following month and worked on 5 Main, which is the unit we worked on, until</li> <li>October of 2017.</li> <li>Q. Why did you hire Ms. Lawson to work with you?</li> <li>A. So I my focus, I guess, when I'm interviewing staff or candidates is to look for people who will contribute to the type of unit that we're trying to foster.</li> <li>So, for me, that is someone who's going to be a team player, someone who sees patients as the center of what we do.</li> <li>And I think so I have kind of a long history in oncology. And I think oncology patients are super special.</li> <li>They</li> <li>MS. KERKHOFF: Objection.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. KROPF: What do you mean? In the witness's opinion?  THE COURT: Yes. Because there's opinion testimony as to character traits and there's reputation testimony as to character traits.  MS. KROPF: She's going to talk about her opinion of Ms. Lawson, having known her for over a year and seen her in many stressful and difficult situations.  THE COURT: But not her reputation?  MS. KROPF: She can talk about her reputation at work.  THE COURT: No. I'm asking you, because character testimony may come in this two forms with regards to the specific character trait at issue.  MS. KROPF: Sure.  One is reputation evidence, reputation in the community. One is opinion testimony with an appropriate foundation.  So you've told me, yes, opinion. But is it also, yes, reputation or, no, for the character trait, not just her reputation?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. And do you know Brittne Lawson?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And do you call her Britt?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You said you are a unit director?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. How do you know Ms. Lawson? From work?</li> <li>A. I hired Britt. I met her in April of 2015. I interviewed her and then she started the following month and worked on 5 Main, which is the unit we worked on, until October of 2017.</li> <li>Q. Why did you hire Ms. Lawson to work with you?</li> <li>A. So I my focus, I guess, when I'm interviewing staff or candidates is to look for people who will contribute to the type of unit that we're trying to foster.</li> <li>So, for me, that is someone who's going to be a team player, someone who sees patients as the center of what we do.</li> <li>And I think so I have kind of a long history in oncology. And I think oncology patients are super special. They</li> <li>MS. KERKHOFF: Objection.</li> <li>THE COURT: Sustained. Relevance.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. KROPF: What do you mean? In the witness's opinion?  THE COURT: Yes. Because there's opinion testimony as to character traits and there's reputation testimony as to character traits.  MS. KROPF: She's going to talk about her opinion of Ms. Lawson, having known her for over a year and seen her in many stressful and difficult situations.  THE COURT: But not her reputation?  MS. KROPF: She can talk about her reputation at work.  THE COURT: No. I'm asking you, because character testimony may come in this two forms with regards to the specific character trait at issue.  MS. KROPF: Sure.  One is reputation evidence, reputation in the community. One is opinion testimony with an appropriate foundation.  So you've told me, yes, opinion. But is it also, yes, reputation or, no, for the character trait, not just her reputation?  MS. KROPF: Her opinion of her.

	Hess - DIRECT - By Ms. Kropf		Hess - DIRECT - By Ms. Kropf
,	158		160
1	only testify to her at work. So it would be the idea that	1	A. Sure.
2	she can testify to her in the community I don't think she	2	We frequently have patients with brain tumors, brain
3	can.	3	metastases, and a lot of times these patients can be very
4	THE COURT: I'm not saying she can't. Because if	4	confused and aggressive at times.
5	she can lay a foundation for the reputation she has in the	5	MS. KERKHOFF: Objection as to reputation.
6	community she's aware of I'm not saying you can't offer	6	THE COURT: I'm sustaining.
7	that. I'm just asking what your intention is.	7	Do we need to discuss it further?
8	MS. KROPF: If I can do both I will definitely do	8	MS. KROPF: No. Let me try to reask the question in
9	both, and I will try to lay a foundation for it.	9	a way that might help.
10	THE COURT: But that doesn't mean you get to have	10	BY MS. KROPF:
11	her testify about other great things about her, as in she's	11	Q. Could you describe her reputation generally without
12	so wonderful with very sick patients. It's not relevant	12	getting into specific instances?
13	character testimony.	13	THE COURT: As to?
14	MS. KROPF: I think the jury would love to hear it,	14	BY MS. KROPF:
15	your Honor.	15	Q. Her reputation for nonviolence.
16 17	THE COURT: It must be specific acts. And so	16 17	THE COURT: In other words, what is the reputation
18	MS. KROPF: That's fine, your Honor.  THE COURT: I can give you the red book if you want	18	for nonviolence that you're aware of from having spoken to
19	me to give you the red book.	19	the people that you and she know?  THE WITNESS: That she can respond to aggressive
20	MS. KROPF: I've read most of it. I can move her	20	patients calmly.
21	along.	21	BY MS. KROPF:
22	THE COURT: There was an objection. I'm sustaining	22	Q. Now, do you socialize with Ms. Lawson outside of
23	the objection. I'm happy to have you pursue the reputation	23	work?
24	and opinion paths properly.	24	A. Not outside of work. We have social events with
25	MS. KROPF: Absolutely. Thank you, your Honor.	25	work, dinner clubs, holiday parties. But I don't I don't
	Hess - DIRECT - By Ms. Kropf		Hess - DIRECT - By Ms. Kropf
	159		161
1	(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in	1	generally hang out with my employees.
2	open court:)	2	Q. And were you aware that Ms. Lawson was coming to
3	THE COURT: Please come on back.	3	Washington, DC, for the inauguration?
4	BY MS. KROPF:	4	
1		-	A. Yes.
5	Q. Ms. Hess, are you aware of how the other nurses on	5	Q. Did you have any sense of what of her reason for
5 6	your unit view Ms. Lawson?	5 6	Q. Did you have any sense of what of her reason for coming here?
5 6 7	your unit view Ms. Lawson?  A. Yes. They	5 6 7	Q. Did you have any sense of what of her reason for coming here?  A. I did.
5 6 7 8	your unit view Ms. Lawson?  A. Yes. They  THE COURT: That was a yes-or-no question, I think.	5 6 7 8	<ul><li>Q. Did you have any sense of what of her reason for coming here?</li><li>A. I did.</li><li>MS. KERKHOFF: Objection.</li></ul>
5 6 7 8 9	your unit view Ms. Lawson?  A. Yes. They  THE COURT: That was a yes-or-no question, I think. You are yes?	5 6 7 8 9	Q. Did you have any sense of what of her reason for coming here?  A. I did.  MS. KERKHOFF: Objection.  THE COURT: Sustained.
5 6 7 8 9	your unit view Ms. Lawson?  A. Yes. They THE COURT: That was a yes-or-no question, I think.  You are yes? THE WITNESS: Yes, I am.	5 6 7 8 9	Q. Did you have any sense of what of her reason for coming here?  A. I did.  MS. KERKHOFF: Objection.  THE COURT: Sustained.  BY MS. KROPF:
5 6 7 8 9 10	your unit view Ms. Lawson?  A. Yes. They THE COURT: That was a yes-or-no question, I think.  You are yes? THE WITNESS: Yes, I am. THE COURT: Next question.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. Did you have any sense of what of her reason for coming here?  A. I did.  MS. KERKHOFF: Objection.  THE COURT: Sustained.  BY MS. KROPF:  Q. Is Ms. Lawson working for you now?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	your unit view Ms. Lawson?  A. Yes. They THE COURT: That was a yes-or-no question, I think.  You are yes? THE WITNESS: Yes, I am. THE COURT: Next question.  BY MS. KROPF:	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. Did you have any sense of what of her reason for coming here?  A. I did.  MS. KERKHOFF: Objection.  THE COURT: Sustained.  BY MS. KROPF:  Q. Is Ms. Lawson working for you now?  A. She is not.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	your unit view Ms. Lawson?  A. Yes. They THE COURT: That was a yes-or-no question, I think.  You are yes? THE WITNESS: Yes, I am. THE COURT: Next question.  BY MS. KROPF: Q. Could you describe her reputation among the nurses	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Did you have any sense of what of her reason for coming here?  A. I did.  MS. KERKHOFF: Objection.  THE COURT: Sustained.  BY MS. KROPF:  Q. Is Ms. Lawson working for you now?  A. She is not. Q. Why is that?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	your unit view Ms. Lawson?  A. Yes. They THE COURT: That was a yes-or-no question, I think.  You are yes? THE WITNESS: Yes, I am. THE COURT: Next question.  BY MS. KROPF: Q. Could you describe her reputation among the nurses in your community?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Did you have any sense of what of her reason for coming here?  A. I did.  MS. KERKHOFF: Objection.  THE COURT: Sustained.  BY MS. KROPF:  Q. Is Ms. Lawson working for you now?  A. She is not.  Q. Why is that?  MS. KERKHOFF: Objection. Relevance.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	your unit view Ms. Lawson?  A. Yes. They THE COURT: That was a yes-or-no question, I think.  You are yes? THE WITNESS: Yes, I am. THE COURT: Next question.  BY MS. KROPF: Q. Could you describe her reputation among the nurses in your community? A. Yes, I can.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Did you have any sense of what of her reason for coming here?  A. I did.  MS. KERKHOFF: Objection.  THE COURT: Sustained.  BY MS. KROPF:  Q. Is Ms. Lawson working for you now?  A. She is not.  Q. Why is that?  MS. KERKHOFF: Objection. Relevance.  THE COURT: Sustained.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	your unit view Ms. Lawson?  A. Yes. They THE COURT: That was a yes-or-no question, I think.  You are yes? THE WITNESS: Yes, I am. THE COURT: Next question.  BY MS. KROPF: Q. Could you describe her reputation among the nurses in your community? A. Yes, I can. THE COURT: Well, reputation for what? I think you	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Did you have any sense of what of her reason for coming here?  A. I did.  MS. KERKHOFF: Objection.  THE COURT: Sustained.  BY MS. KROPF:  Q. Is Ms. Lawson working for you now?  A. She is not.  Q. Why is that?  MS. KERKHOFF: Objection. Relevance.  THE COURT: Sustained.  MS. KROPF: Your Honor, may we approach?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	your unit view Ms. Lawson?  A. Yes. They THE COURT: That was a yes-or-no question, I think.  You are yes? THE WITNESS: Yes, I am. THE COURT: Next question.  BY MS. KROPF: Q. Could you describe her reputation among the nurses in your community? A. Yes, I can. THE COURT: Well, reputation for what? I think you told me certain specific character traits.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Did you have any sense of what of her reason for coming here?  A. I did.  MS. KERKHOFF: Objection.  THE COURT: Sustained.  BY MS. KROPF:  Q. Is Ms. Lawson working for you now?  A. She is not.  Q. Why is that?  MS. KERKHOFF: Objection. Relevance.  THE COURT: Sustained.  MS. KROPF: Your Honor, may we approach?  THE COURT: You may approach.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	your unit view Ms. Lawson?  A. Yes. They THE COURT: That was a yes-or-no question, I think.  You are yes? THE WITNESS: Yes, I am. THE COURT: Next question.  BY MS. KROPF: Q. Could you describe her reputation among the nurses in your community? A. Yes, I can. THE COURT: Well, reputation for what? I think you told me certain specific character traits. MS. KROPF: Sure.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Did you have any sense of what of her reason for coming here?</li> <li>A. I did.  MS. KERKHOFF: Objection.  THE COURT: Sustained.</li> <li>BY MS. KROPF:  Q. Is Ms. Lawson working for you now?</li> <li>A. She is not.</li> <li>Q. Why is that?  MS. KERKHOFF: Objection. Relevance.  THE COURT: Sustained.  MS. KROPF: Your Honor, may we approach?  THE COURT: You may approach.  MS. KROPF: Thank you, your Honor.</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	your unit view Ms. Lawson?  A. Yes. They THE COURT: That was a yes-or-no question, I think.  You are yes? THE WITNESS: Yes, I am. THE COURT: Next question.  BY MS. KROPF: Q. Could you describe her reputation among the nurses in your community? A. Yes, I can. THE COURT: Well, reputation for what? I think you told me certain specific character traits. MS. KROPF: Sure. THE COURT: That would be the relevant reputation.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Did you have any sense of what of her reason for coming here?</li> <li>A. I did.  MS. KERKHOFF: Objection.  THE COURT: Sustained.</li> <li>BY MS. KROPF:  Q. Is Ms. Lawson working for you now?</li> <li>A. She is not.</li> <li>Q. Why is that?  MS. KERKHOFF: Objection. Relevance.  THE COURT: Sustained.  MS. KROPF: Your Honor, may we approach?  THE COURT: You may approach.  MS. KROPF: Thank you, your Honor.  (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	your unit view Ms. Lawson?  A. Yes. They THE COURT: That was a yes-or-no question, I think.  You are yes? THE WITNESS: Yes, I am. THE COURT: Next question.  BY MS. KROPF: Q. Could you describe her reputation among the nurses in your community? A. Yes, I can. THE COURT: Well, reputation for what? I think you told me certain specific character traits. MS. KROPF: Sure.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Did you have any sense of what of her reason for coming here?</li> <li>A. I did.  MS. KERKHOFF: Objection.  THE COURT: Sustained.</li> <li>BY MS. KROPF:  Q. Is Ms. Lawson working for you now?</li> <li>A. She is not.</li> <li>Q. Why is that?  MS. KERKHOFF: Objection. Relevance.  THE COURT: Sustained.  MS. KROPF: Your Honor, may we approach?  THE COURT: You may approach.  MS. KROPF: Thank you, your Honor.</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	your unit view Ms. Lawson?  A. Yes. They THE COURT: That was a yes-or-no question, I think.  You are yes? THE WITNESS: Yes, I am. THE COURT: Next question.  BY MS. KROPF: Q. Could you describe her reputation among the nurses in your community? A. Yes, I can. THE COURT: Well, reputation for what? I think you told me certain specific character traits. MS. KROPF: Sure. THE COURT: That would be the relevant reputation. MS. KROPF: Absolutely, your Honor.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Did you have any sense of what of her reason for coming here?  A. I did.  MS. KERKHOFF: Objection.  THE COURT: Sustained.  BY MS. KROPF:  Q. Is Ms. Lawson working for you now?  A. She is not.  Q. Why is that?  MS. KERKHOFF: Objection. Relevance.  THE COURT: Sustained.  MS. KROPF: Your Honor, may we approach?  THE COURT: You may approach.  MS. KROPF: Thank you, your Honor.  (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at side-bar outside the presence of the jury:)
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	your unit view Ms. Lawson?  A. Yes. They THE COURT: That was a yes-or-no question, I think.  You are yes? THE WITNESS: Yes, I am. THE COURT: Next question.  BY MS. KROPF: Q. Could you describe her reputation among the nurses in your community? A. Yes, I can. THE COURT: Well, reputation for what? I think you told me certain specific character traits. MS. KROPF: Sure. THE COURT: That would be the relevant reputation. MS. KROPF: Absolutely, your Honor.  BY MS. KROPF:	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Did you have any sense of what of her reason for coming here?  A. I did.  MS. KERKHOFF: Objection.  THE COURT: Sustained.  BY MS. KROPF:  Q. Is Ms. Lawson working for you now?  A. She is not.  Q. Why is that?  MS. KERKHOFF: Objection. Relevance.  THE COURT: Sustained.  MS. KROPF: Your Honor, may we approach?  THE COURT: You may approach.  MS. KROPF: Thank you, your Honor.  (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at side-bar outside the presence of the jury:)  THE COURT: Yes.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	your unit view Ms. Lawson?  A. Yes. They THE COURT: That was a yes-or-no question, I think.  You are yes? THE WITNESS: Yes, I am. THE COURT: Next question.  BY MS. KROPF: Q. Could you describe her reputation among the nurses in your community? A. Yes, I can. THE COURT: Well, reputation for what? I think you told me certain specific character traits. MS. KROPF: Sure. THE COURT: That would be the relevant reputation. MS. KROPF: Absolutely, your Honor.  BY MS. KROPF: Q. Ms. Hess, are you aware of Ms. Lawson's reputation	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Did you have any sense of what of her reason for coming here?  A. I did.  MS. KERKHOFF: Objection.  THE COURT: Sustained.  BY MS. KROPF:  Q. Is Ms. Lawson working for you now?  A. She is not.  Q. Why is that?  MS. KERKHOFF: Objection. Relevance.  THE COURT: Sustained.  MS. KROPF: Your Honor, may we approach?  THE COURT: You may approach.  MS. KROPF: Thank you, your Honor.  (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at side-bar outside the presence of the jury:)  THE COURT: Yes.  MS. KROPF: Your Honor, I do think it's relevant to
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	your unit view Ms. Lawson?  A. Yes. They THE COURT: That was a yes-or-no question, I think.  You are yes? THE WITNESS: Yes, I am. THE COURT: Next question.  BY MS. KROPF: Q. Could you describe her reputation among the nurses in your community? A. Yes, I can. THE COURT: Well, reputation for what? I think you told me certain specific character traits. MS. KROPF: Sure. THE COURT: That would be the relevant reputation. MS. KROPF: Absolutely, your Honor.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Did you have any sense of what of her reason for coming here?  A. I did.  MS. KERKHOFF: Objection.  THE COURT: Sustained.  BY MS. KROPF:  Q. Is Ms. Lawson working for you now?  A. She is not.  Q. Why is that?  MS. KERKHOFF: Objection. Relevance.  THE COURT: Sustained.  MS. KROPF: Your Honor, may we approach?  THE COURT: You may approach.  MS. KROPF: Thank you, your Honor.  (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at side-bar outside the presence of the jury:)

	Hess - DIRECT - By Ms. Kropf		Hess - CROSS - By Ms. Kerkhoff
	162		164
1	mean, the only thing that she's here to testify about is a	1	of January 20th?
2	character trait and how she knows about it. So the question	2	A. No.
3	is: Why isn't she working with you anymore?	3	Q. You said you've never experienced Ms. Lawson be
4	MS. KROPF: Yes.	4	violent and that your opinion is that she is not violent.
5	THE COURT: And what's the answer going to be?	5	That's your opinion. Correct?
6	MS. KROPF: Because of this case.	6	A. Correct.
7	THE COURT: I'm sustaining the objection.	7	Q. Based on your observations at work?
8	MS. KROPF: Thank you, your Honor.	8	A. Correct.
9	(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in	9	Q. Do you know what a street medic is?
10	open court:)	10	A. My understanding of a street medic is that they are
11	THE COURT: Please come on back.	11	available at places where there are large amounts of people
12	BY MS. KROPF:	12	gathered and, in the event that there's anyone with an
13	Q. Just to just one last question, Ms. Hess.	13	injury, that they can be cared for.
14	What is your opinion as to Ms. Lawson and whether or	14	Q. Are you aware of why someone would soak a bandana in
15	not she's violent?	15	vinegar?
16	A. I have not experienced her to be violent in any	16	A. No.
17	capacity.	17	Q. You're a nurse. Correct?
18	Q. Thank you.	18	A. I am.
19	MS. KROPF: Nothing further.	19	Q. But that is not a treatment you have in your
20	THE COURT: Cross-examination from any Defendant?	20	hospital. Correct?
21	MR. LAZEROW: No. Thank you, your Honor.	21	A. We don't really use vinegar.
22	MR. McCOOL: No, thank you.	22	Q. Now never?
23	MS. JACQUES: No, your Honor.	23	A. I'm sorry?
24	MR. COHEN: No, your Honor.	24	Q. Never?
25	THE COURT: From the Government?	25	A. Not in my experience.
	Hess - CROSS - By Ms. Kerkhoff		Hess - CROSS - By Ms. Kerkhoff
	163		165
1	MS. KERKHOFF: Thank you.	1	Q. Now, have you observed any video of what occurred in
2	163 MS. KERKHOFF: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION	2	Q. Now, have you observed any video of what occurred in Washington, DC, on January 20th, 2017?
3	MS. KERKHOFF: Thank you.  CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. KERKHOFF:	3	Q. Now, have you observed any video of what occurred in Washington, DC, on January 20th, 2017?  A. I've seen the like, the news clips that probably
2 3 4	MS. KERKHOFF: Thank you.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Hi, Ms. Hess. How are you?	2 3 4	Q. Now, have you observed any video of what occurred in Washington, DC, on January 20th, 2017?  A. I've seen the like, the news clips that probably everyone has seen of, I think, the limousine on fire.
2 3 4 5	MS. KERKHOFF: Thank you.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Hi, Ms. Hess. How are you?  A. I'm well. Thank you.	2 3 4 5	Q. Now, have you observed any video of what occurred in Washington, DC, on January 20th, 2017?  A. I've seen the like, the news clips that probably everyone has seen of, I think, the limousine on fire.  Q. Have you seen images of the Starbucks window
2 3 4 5 6	MS. KERKHOFF: Thank you.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Hi, Ms. Hess. How are you?  A. I'm well. Thank you.  Q. My name is Jennifer Kerkhoff. I represent the	2 3 4 5 6	Q. Now, have you observed any video of what occurred in Washington, DC, on January 20th, 2017?  A. I've seen the like, the news clips that probably everyone has seen of, I think, the limousine on fire.  Q. Have you seen images of the Starbucks window breaking?
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. KERKHOFF: Thank you.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Hi, Ms. Hess. How are you?  A. I'm well. Thank you.  Q. My name is Jennifer Kerkhoff. I represent the  United States in this case.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Now, have you observed any video of what occurred in Washington, DC, on January 20th, 2017?  A. I've seen the like, the news clips that probably everyone has seen of, I think, the limousine on fire.  Q. Have you seen images of the Starbucks window breaking?  A. I have not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. KERKHOFF: Thank you.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Hi, Ms. Hess. How are you?  A. I'm well. Thank you.  Q. My name is Jennifer Kerkhoff. I represent the  United States in this case.  We've never met. Is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Now, have you observed any video of what occurred in Washington, DC, on January 20th, 2017?  A. I've seen the like, the news clips that probably everyone has seen of, I think, the limousine on fire.  Q. Have you seen images of the Starbucks window breaking?  A. I have not.  Q. Have you seen images of the Bank of America window
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. KERKHOFF: Thank you.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Hi, Ms. Hess. How are you?  A. I'm well. Thank you.  Q. My name is Jennifer Kerkhoff. I represent the  United States in this case.  We've never met. Is that correct?  A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Now, have you observed any video of what occurred in Washington, DC, on January 20th, 2017?  A. I've seen the like, the news clips that probably everyone has seen of, I think, the limousine on fire.  Q. Have you seen images of the Starbucks window breaking?  A. I have not.  Q. Have you seen images of the Bank of America window breaking?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. KERKHOFF: Thank you.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Hi, Ms. Hess. How are you?  A. I'm well. Thank you.  Q. My name is Jennifer Kerkhoff. I represent the  United States in this case.  We've never met. Is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. We've never spoken. Correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Now, have you observed any video of what occurred in Washington, DC, on January 20th, 2017?  A. I've seen the like, the news clips that probably everyone has seen of, I think, the limousine on fire.  Q. Have you seen images of the Starbucks window breaking?  A. I have not.  Q. Have you seen images of the Bank of America window breaking?  A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. KERKHOFF: Thank you.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Hi, Ms. Hess. How are you?  A. I'm well. Thank you.  Q. My name is Jennifer Kerkhoff. I represent the  United States in this case.  We've never met. Is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. We've never spoken. Correct?  A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Now, have you observed any video of what occurred in Washington, DC, on January 20th, 2017?  A. I've seen the like, the news clips that probably everyone has seen of, I think, the limousine on fire.  Q. Have you seen images of the Starbucks window breaking?  A. I have not.  Q. Have you seen images of the Bank of America window breaking?  A. No.  Q. Have you seen images of people cowering inside the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. KERKHOFF: Thank you.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Hi, Ms. Hess. How are you?  A. I'm well. Thank you.  Q. My name is Jennifer Kerkhoff. I represent the  United States in this case.  We've never met. Is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. We've never spoken. Correct?  A. Correct.  Q. Now, I just have a few questions for you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. Now, have you observed any video of what occurred in Washington, DC, on January 20th, 2017?  A. I've seen the like, the news clips that probably everyone has seen of, I think, the limousine on fire.  Q. Have you seen images of the Starbucks window breaking?  A. I have not.  Q. Have you seen images of the Bank of America window breaking?  A. No.  Q. Have you seen images of people cowering inside the Starbucks?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. KERKHOFF: Thank you.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Hi, Ms. Hess. How are you?  A. I'm well. Thank you.  Q. My name is Jennifer Kerkhoff. I represent the  United States in this case.  We've never met. Is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. We've never spoken. Correct?  A. Correct.  Q. Now, I just have a few questions for you.  You testified that your Ms. Lawson's you were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Now, have you observed any video of what occurred in Washington, DC, on January 20th, 2017?  A. I've seen the like, the news clips that probably everyone has seen of, I think, the limousine on fire.  Q. Have you seen images of the Starbucks window breaking?  A. I have not.  Q. Have you seen images of the Bank of America window breaking?  A. No.  Q. Have you seen images of people cowering inside the Starbucks?  A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. KERKHOFF: Thank you.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Hi, Ms. Hess. How are you?  A. I'm well. Thank you.  Q. My name is Jennifer Kerkhoff. I represent the  United States in this case.  We've never met. Is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. We've never spoken. Correct?  A. Correct.  Q. Now, I just have a few questions for you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Now, have you observed any video of what occurred in Washington, DC, on January 20th, 2017?  A. I've seen the like, the news clips that probably everyone has seen of, I think, the limousine on fire.  Q. Have you seen images of the Starbucks window breaking?  A. I have not.  Q. Have you seen images of the Bank of America window breaking?  A. No.  Q. Have you seen images of people cowering inside the Starbucks?  A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. KERKHOFF: Thank you.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Hi, Ms. Hess. How are you?  A. I'm well. Thank you.  Q. My name is Jennifer Kerkhoff. I represent the  United States in this case.  We've never met. Is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. We've never spoken. Correct?  A. Correct.  Q. Now, I just have a few questions for you.  You testified that your Ms. Lawson's you were  Ms. Lawson's supervisor?  A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Now, have you observed any video of what occurred in Washington, DC, on January 20th, 2017?  A. I've seen the like, the news clips that probably everyone has seen of, I think, the limousine on fire.  Q. Have you seen images of the Starbucks window breaking?  A. I have not.  Q. Have you seen images of the Bank of America window breaking?  A. No.  Q. Have you seen images of people cowering inside the Starbucks?  A. No.  Q. And if Ms. Lawson were part of a group that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. KERKHOFF: Thank you.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Hi, Ms. Hess. How are you?  A. I'm well. Thank you.  Q. My name is Jennifer Kerkhoff. I represent the  United States in this case.  We've never met. Is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. We've never spoken. Correct?  A. Correct.  Q. Now, I just have a few questions for you.  You testified that your Ms. Lawson's you were  Ms. Lawson's supervisor?  A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Now, have you observed any video of what occurred in Washington, DC, on January 20th, 2017?  A. I've seen the like, the news clips that probably everyone has seen of, I think, the limousine on fire.  Q. Have you seen images of the Starbucks window breaking?  A. I have not.  Q. Have you seen images of the Bank of America window breaking?  A. No.  Q. Have you seen images of people cowering inside the Starbucks?  A. No.  Q. And if Ms. Lawson were part of a group that committed that, would that change your opinion about whether
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. KERKHOFF: Thank you.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Hi, Ms. Hess. How are you?  A. I'm well. Thank you.  Q. My name is Jennifer Kerkhoff. I represent the  United States in this case.  We've never met. Is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. We've never spoken. Correct?  A. Correct.  Q. Now, I just have a few questions for you.  You testified that your Ms. Lawson's you were  Ms. Lawson's supervisor?  A. Correct.  Q. And you were her supervisor in January 2017?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Now, have you observed any video of what occurred in Washington, DC, on January 20th, 2017?  A. I've seen the like, the news clips that probably everyone has seen of, I think, the limousine on fire.  Q. Have you seen images of the Starbucks window breaking?  A. I have not.  Q. Have you seen images of the Bank of America window breaking?  A. No.  Q. Have you seen images of people cowering inside the Starbucks?  A. No.  Q. And if Ms. Lawson were part of a group that committed that, would that change your opinion about whether she was violent?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. KERKHOFF: Thank you.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Hi, Ms. Hess. How are you?  A. I'm well. Thank you.  Q. My name is Jennifer Kerkhoff. I represent the  United States in this case.  We've never met. Is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. We've never spoken. Correct?  A. Correct.  Q. Now, I just have a few questions for you.  You testified that your Ms. Lawson's you were  Ms. Lawson's supervisor?  A. Correct.  Q. And you were her supervisor in January 2017?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Now, have you observed any video of what occurred in Washington, DC, on January 20th, 2017?  A. I've seen the like, the news clips that probably everyone has seen of, I think, the limousine on fire.  Q. Have you seen images of the Starbucks window breaking?  A. I have not.  Q. Have you seen images of the Bank of America window breaking?  A. No.  Q. Have you seen images of people cowering inside the Starbucks?  A. No.  Q. And if Ms. Lawson were part of a group that committed that, would that change your opinion about whether she was violent?  A. (No response.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. KERKHOFF: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MS. KERKHOFF: Q. Hi, Ms. Hess. How are you? A. I'm well. Thank you. Q. My name is Jennifer Kerkhoff. I represent the  United States in this case. We've never met. Is that correct? A. Correct. Q. We've never spoken. Correct? A. Correct. Q. Now, I just have a few questions for you. You testified that your Ms. Lawson's you were  Ms. Lawson's supervisor? A. Correct. Q. And you were her supervisor in January 2017? A. Yes. Q. You said you were aware that she was coming down to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Now, have you observed any video of what occurred in Washington, DC, on January 20th, 2017?  A. I've seen the like, the news clips that probably everyone has seen of, I think, the limousine on fire.  Q. Have you seen images of the Starbucks window breaking?  A. I have not.  Q. Have you seen images of the Bank of America window breaking?  A. No.  Q. Have you seen images of people cowering inside the Starbucks?  A. No.  Q. And if Ms. Lawson were part of a group that committed that, would that change your opinion about whether she was violent?  A. (No response.)  Q. That's a yes or no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. KERKHOFF: Thank you.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Hi, Ms. Hess. How are you?  A. I'm well. Thank you.  Q. My name is Jennifer Kerkhoff. I represent the  United States in this case.  We've never met. Is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. We've never spoken. Correct?  A. Correct.  Q. Now, I just have a few questions for you.  You testified that your Ms. Lawson's you were  Ms. Lawson's supervisor?  A. Correct.  Q. And you were her supervisor in January 2017?  A. Yes.  Q. You said you were aware that she was coming down to  DC. Correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Now, have you observed any video of what occurred in Washington, DC, on January 20th, 2017?  A. I've seen the like, the news clips that probably everyone has seen of, I think, the limousine on fire.  Q. Have you seen images of the Starbucks window breaking?  A. I have not.  Q. Have you seen images of the Bank of America window breaking?  A. No.  Q. Have you seen images of people cowering inside the Starbucks?  A. No.  Q. And if Ms. Lawson were part of a group that committed that, would that change your opinion about whether she was violent?  A. (No response.)  Q. That's a yes or no.  A. I don't know if it is a yes-or-no question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. KERKHOFF: Thank you.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Hi, Ms. Hess. How are you?  A. I'm well. Thank you.  Q. My name is Jennifer Kerkhoff. I represent the  United States in this case.  We've never met. Is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. We've never spoken. Correct?  A. Correct.  Q. Now, I just have a few questions for you.  You testified that your Ms. Lawson's you were  Ms. Lawson's supervisor?  A. Correct.  Q. And you were her supervisor in January 2017?  A. Yes.  Q. You said you were aware that she was coming down to  DC. Correct?  A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Now, have you observed any video of what occurred in Washington, DC, on January 20th, 2017?  A. I've seen the like, the news clips that probably everyone has seen of, I think, the limousine on fire.  Q. Have you seen images of the Starbucks window breaking?  A. I have not.  Q. Have you seen images of the Bank of America window breaking?  A. No.  Q. Have you seen images of people cowering inside the Starbucks?  A. No.  Q. And if Ms. Lawson were part of a group that committed that, would that change your opinion about whether she was violent?  A. (No response.)  Q. That's a yes or no.  A. I don't know if it is a yes-or-no question.  I think we are responsible for our personal actions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. KERKHOFF: Thank you.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Hi, Ms. Hess. How are you?  A. I'm well. Thank you.  Q. My name is Jennifer Kerkhoff. I represent the  United States in this case.  We've never met. Is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. We've never spoken. Correct?  A. Correct.  Q. Now, I just have a few questions for you.  You testified that your Ms. Lawson's you were  Ms. Lawson's supervisor?  A. Correct.  Q. And you were her supervisor in January 2017?  A. Yes.  Q. You said you were aware that she was coming down to  DC. Correct?  A. Correct.  Q. Did Ms. Lawson discuss with you in advance what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Now, have you observed any video of what occurred in Washington, DC, on January 20th, 2017?  A. I've seen the like, the news clips that probably everyone has seen of, I think, the limousine on fire.  Q. Have you seen images of the Starbucks window breaking?  A. I have not.  Q. Have you seen images of the Bank of America window breaking?  A. No.  Q. Have you seen images of people cowering inside the Starbucks?  A. No.  Q. And if Ms. Lawson were part of a group that committed that, would that change your opinion about whether she was violent?  A. (No response.)  Q. That's a yes or no.  A. I don't know if it is a yes-or-no question.  I think we are responsible for our personal actions.  So, to me, the question is whether or not she had personal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. KERKHOFF: Thank you.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Hi, Ms. Hess. How are you?  A. I'm well. Thank you.  Q. My name is Jennifer Kerkhoff. I represent the  United States in this case.  We've never met. Is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. We've never spoken. Correct?  A. Correct.  Q. Now, I just have a few questions for you.  You testified that your Ms. Lawson's you were  Ms. Lawson's supervisor?  A. Correct.  Q. And you were her supervisor in January 2017?  A. Yes.  Q. You said you were aware that she was coming down to  DC. Correct?  A. Correct.  Q. Did Ms. Lawson discuss with you in advance what would happen if she was arrested?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Now, have you observed any video of what occurred in Washington, DC, on January 20th, 2017?  A. I've seen the like, the news clips that probably everyone has seen of, I think, the limousine on fire.  Q. Have you seen images of the Starbucks window breaking?  A. I have not.  Q. Have you seen images of the Bank of America window breaking?  A. No.  Q. Have you seen images of people cowering inside the Starbucks?  A. No.  Q. And if Ms. Lawson were part of a group that committed that, would that change your opinion about whether she was violent?  A. (No response.)  Q. That's a yes or no.  A. I don't know if it is a yes-or-no question.  I think we are responsible for our personal actions.  So, to me, the question is whether or not she had personal responsibility.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. KERKHOFF: Thank you.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MS. KERKHOFF:  Q. Hi, Ms. Hess. How are you?  A. I'm well. Thank you.  Q. My name is Jennifer Kerkhoff. I represent the  United States in this case.  We've never met. Is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. We've never spoken. Correct?  A. Correct.  Q. Now, I just have a few questions for you.  You testified that your Ms. Lawson's you were  Ms. Lawson's supervisor?  A. Correct.  Q. And you were her supervisor in January 2017?  A. Yes.  Q. You said you were aware that she was coming down to  DC. Correct?  A. Correct.  Q. Did Ms. Lawson discuss with you in advance what would happen if she was arrested?  A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Now, have you observed any video of what occurred in Washington, DC, on January 20th, 2017?  A. I've seen the like, the news clips that probably everyone has seen of, I think, the limousine on fire.  Q. Have you seen images of the Starbucks window breaking?  A. I have not.  Q. Have you seen images of the Bank of America window breaking?  A. No.  Q. Have you seen images of people cowering inside the Starbucks?  A. No.  Q. And if Ms. Lawson were part of a group that committed that, would that change your opinion about whether she was violent?  A. (No response.)  Q. That's a yes or no.  A. I don't know if it is a yes-or-no question.  I think we are responsible for our personal actions.  So, to me, the question is whether or not she had personal responsibility.  Q. So that's what would change your opinion? Yes or

	Hess - CROSS - By Ms. Kerkhoff		Kaplan - DIRECT - By Ms. Jacques
	166		168
1	THE COURT: Overruled. It's cross-examination.	1	Q. And do you know a young lady by the name of
2	You can answer.	2	Christina Simmons?
3	THE WITNESS: I think now this is getting into my	3	A. Yes, I do.
4	own, like, kind of personal philosophy. But I am, I think,	4	Q. How do you know Ms. Simmons?
5	someone who is maybe not quick to judge someone, I guess.	5	A. She is the daughter of my boyfriend, Gary Simmons.
6	I think, if there were a behavior that was	6	Q. How long have you known her?
7	concerning to me, I'd want to have a conversation with	7	A. I've known her fairly well for six years, but I met
8	someone to understand more about it.	8	her before that.
9	Yeah.	9	Q. And you indicated you know her fairly well.
10	BY MS. KERKHOFF:	10	How
11	Q. Thank you.	11	A. Now I know her quite well. She moved to the area
12	A. You're welcome.	12	six years ago to go to high school right near my house. And
13	MS. KERKHOFF: No further questions.	13	she's now a student at Towson University.
14	THE COURT: Redirect?	14	So I've had an opportunity to see her often. She's
15	MS. KROPF: No, your Honor.	15	been on vacation with me.
16	THE COURT: Ma'am, you may be excused. Do not	16	Q. How
17	discuss your testimony with any other witness.	17	A. She has meals at my house, you know.
18	THE WITNESS: Okay.	18	Q. How often do you see Ms. Simmons?
19	THE COURT: Thank you.	19	A. I would say probably twice a month.
20	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	20	Q. Twice a month?
21	(Witness excused.)	21	A. Uh-huh.
22	THE COURT: Next witness, please.	22	Q. You indicated she's a student at Towson?
23	MS. JACQUES: Yes. Your Honor, on behalf of	23	A. Uh-huh.
24	Ms. Simmons, we'll call Judith Kaplan.	24	Q. Do you know what she studies?
25	May I just step out briefly?	25	A. She is studying family and human services and
	Kaplan - DIRECT - By Ms. Jacques		Kaplan - DIRECT - By Ms. Jacques
	167		169
1	THE COURT: Yes.	1	communication.
2	(Thereupon, the witness entered	2	MS. KERKHOFF: Objection. Relevance.
3	the courtroom and the following	3	THE COURT OF 1
4			THE COURT: Sustained.
-	proceedings were had:)	4	BY MS. JACQUES:
5	proceedings were had:) THE COURT: Please come up here.	4 5	
	proceedings were had:)  THE COURT: Please come up here.  JUDITH KAPLAN, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN		BY MS. JACQUES:  Q. Do you know any other people who know Ms. Simmons?
5	THE COURT: Please come up here.	5	BY MS. JACQUES:  Q. Do you know any other people who know Ms. Simmons?  A. Only her family. I've met others, but I don't know
5 6	THE COURT: Please come up here.  JUDITH KAPLAN, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN	5 6	BY MS. JACQUES:  Q. Do you know any other people who know Ms. Simmons?
5 6 7	THE COURT: Please come up here.  JUDITH KAPLAN, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN  THE COURT: Good afternoon.	5 6 7	BY MS. JACQUES:  Q. Do you know any other people who know Ms. Simmons?  A. Only her family. I've met others, but I don't know them.
5 6 7 8	THE COURT: Please come up here.  JUDITH KAPLAN, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE WITNESS: Hi.	5 6 7 8	BY MS. JACQUES:  Q. Do you know any other people who know Ms. Simmons?  A. Only her family. I've met others, but I don't know them.  Q. Based on your knowledge of Ms. Simmons, do you have
5 6 7 8 9	THE COURT: Please come up here.  JUDITH KAPLAN, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE WITNESS: Hi.  DIRECT EXAMINATION	5 6 7 8 9	BY MS. JACQUES:  Q. Do you know any other people who know Ms. Simmons?  A. Only her family. I've met others, but I don't know them.  Q. Based on your knowledge of Ms. Simmons, do you have an opinion on whether she's a truthful and honest person?
5 6 7 8 9	THE COURT: Please come up here.  JUDITH KAPLAN, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE WITNESS: Hi.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MS. JACQUES:	5 6 7 8 9	BY MS. JACQUES:  Q. Do you know any other people who know Ms. Simmons?  A. Only her family. I've met others, but I don't know them.  Q. Based on your knowledge of Ms. Simmons, do you have an opinion on whether she's a truthful and honest person?  A. I fully believe she's both a truthful and honest
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE COURT: Please come up here.  JUDITH KAPLAN, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE WITNESS: Hi.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MS. JACQUES:  Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Kaplan.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	BY MS. JACQUES:  Q. Do you know any other people who know Ms. Simmons?  A. Only her family. I've met others, but I don't know them.  Q. Based on your knowledge of Ms. Simmons, do you have an opinion on whether she's a truthful and honest person?  A. I fully believe she's both a truthful and honest person. Yes.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE COURT: Please come up here.  JUDITH KAPLAN, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN THE COURT: Good afternoon. THE WITNESS: Hi.  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. JACQUES: Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Kaplan. Could you state and spell your name, please.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	BY MS. JACQUES:  Q. Do you know any other people who know Ms. Simmons?  A. Only her family. I've met others, but I don't know them.  Q. Based on your knowledge of Ms. Simmons, do you have an opinion on whether she's a truthful and honest person?  A. I fully believe she's both a truthful and honest person. Yes.  Q. Based on your knowledge of Ms. Simmons, do you
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE COURT: Please come up here.  JUDITH KAPLAN, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE WITNESS: Hi.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MS. JACQUES:  Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Kaplan.  Could you state and spell your name, please.  A. My name is Judith Kaplan. J-u-d-i-t-h, K-a-p-l-a-n.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MS. JACQUES:  Q. Do you know any other people who know Ms. Simmons?  A. Only her family. I've met others, but I don't know them.  Q. Based on your knowledge of Ms. Simmons, do you have an opinion on whether she's a truthful and honest person?  A. I fully believe she's both a truthful and honest person. Yes.  Q. Based on your knowledge of Ms. Simmons, do you believe that she is a nonviolent person?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE COURT: Please come up here.  JUDITH KAPLAN, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE WITNESS: Hi.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MS. JACQUES:  Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Kaplan.  Could you state and spell your name, please.  A. My name is Judith Kaplan. J-u-d-i-t-h, K-a-p-l-a-n.  Q. Without telling us your exact address, where do you	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MS. JACQUES:  Q. Do you know any other people who know Ms. Simmons?  A. Only her family. I've met others, but I don't know them.  Q. Based on your knowledge of Ms. Simmons, do you have an opinion on whether she's a truthful and honest person?  A. I fully believe she's both a truthful and honest person. Yes.  Q. Based on your knowledge of Ms. Simmons, do you believe that she is a nonviolent person?  A. Absolutely I believe she's a nonviolent person.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE COURT: Please come up here.  JUDITH KAPLAN, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE WITNESS: Hi.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MS. JACQUES:  Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Kaplan.  Could you state and spell your name, please.  A. My name is Judith Kaplan. J-u-d-i-t-h, K-a-p-l-a-n.  Q. Without telling us your exact address, where do you live?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY MS. JACQUES:  Q. Do you know any other people who know Ms. Simmons? A. Only her family. I've met others, but I don't know them.  Q. Based on your knowledge of Ms. Simmons, do you have an opinion on whether she's a truthful and honest person?  A. I fully believe she's both a truthful and honest person. Yes.  Q. Based on your knowledge of Ms. Simmons, do you believe that she is a nonviolent person?  A. Absolutely I believe she's a nonviolent person.  Q. Do you know what her reputation is among the others
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE COURT: Please come up here.  JUDITH KAPLAN, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE WITNESS: Hi.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MS. JACQUES:  Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Kaplan.  Could you state and spell your name, please.  A. My name is Judith Kaplan. J-u-d-i-t-h, K-a-p-l-a-n.  Q. Without telling us your exact address, where do you live?  A. I live northwest of Baltimore, Maryland.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MS. JACQUES:  Q. Do you know any other people who know Ms. Simmons? A. Only her family. I've met others, but I don't know them.  Q. Based on your knowledge of Ms. Simmons, do you have an opinion on whether she's a truthful and honest person?  A. I fully believe she's both a truthful and honest person. Yes.  Q. Based on your knowledge of Ms. Simmons, do you believe that she is a nonviolent person?  A. Absolutely I believe she's a nonviolent person.  Q. Do you know what her reputation is among the others who know her regarding truthfulness, honesty and
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE COURT: Please come up here.  JUDITH KAPLAN, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE WITNESS: Hi.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MS. JACQUES:  Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Kaplan.  Could you state and spell your name, please.  A. My name is Judith Kaplan. J-u-d-i-t-h, K-a-p-l-a-n.  Q. Without telling us your exact address, where do you live?  A. I live northwest of Baltimore, Maryland.  Q. How long have you lived in that area?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MS. JACQUES:  Q. Do you know any other people who know Ms. Simmons? A. Only her family. I've met others, but I don't know them.  Q. Based on your knowledge of Ms. Simmons, do you have an opinion on whether she's a truthful and honest person?  A. I fully believe she's both a truthful and honest person. Yes.  Q. Based on your knowledge of Ms. Simmons, do you believe that she is a nonviolent person?  A. Absolutely I believe she's a nonviolent person.  Q. Do you know what her reputation is among the others who know her regarding truthfulness, honesty and peacefulness?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE COURT: Please come up here.  JUDITH KAPLAN, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE WITNESS: Hi.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MS. JACQUES:  Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Kaplan.  Could you state and spell your name, please.  A. My name is Judith Kaplan. J-u-d-i-t-h, K-a-p-l-a-n.  Q. Without telling us your exact address, where do you live?  A. I live northwest of Baltimore, Maryland.  Q. How long have you lived in that area?  A. Since 1977.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MS. JACQUES:  Q. Do you know any other people who know Ms. Simmons? A. Only her family. I've met others, but I don't know them.  Q. Based on your knowledge of Ms. Simmons, do you have an opinion on whether she's a truthful and honest person?  A. I fully believe she's both a truthful and honest person. Yes.  Q. Based on your knowledge of Ms. Simmons, do you believe that she is a nonviolent person?  A. Absolutely I believe she's a nonviolent person.  Q. Do you know what her reputation is among the others who know her regarding truthfulness, honesty and peacefulness?  MS. KERKHOFF: Objection as to truthfulness,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE COURT: Please come up here.  JUDITH KAPLAN, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE WITNESS: Hi.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MS. JACQUES:  Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Kaplan.  Could you state and spell your name, please.  A. My name is Judith Kaplan. J-u-d-i-t-h, K-a-p-l-a-n.  Q. Without telling us your exact address, where do you live?  A. I live northwest of Baltimore, Maryland.  Q. How long have you lived in that area?  A. Since 1977.  Q. Are you employed?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MS. JACQUES:  Q. Do you know any other people who know Ms. Simmons? A. Only her family. I've met others, but I don't know them.  Q. Based on your knowledge of Ms. Simmons, do you have an opinion on whether she's a truthful and honest person?  A. I fully believe she's both a truthful and honest person. Yes.  Q. Based on your knowledge of Ms. Simmons, do you believe that she is a nonviolent person?  A. Absolutely I believe she's a nonviolent person.  Q. Do you know what her reputation is among the others who know her regarding truthfulness, honesty and peacefulness?  MS. KERKHOFF: Objection as to truthfulness, relevancy.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE COURT: Please come up here.  JUDITH KAPLAN, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE WITNESS: Hi.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MS. JACQUES:  Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Kaplan.  Could you state and spell your name, please.  A. My name is Judith Kaplan. J-u-d-i-t-h, K-a-p-l-a-n.  Q. Without telling us your exact address, where do you live?  A. I live northwest of Baltimore, Maryland.  Q. How long have you lived in that area?  A. Since 1977.  Q. Are you employed?  A. Yes, I am.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MS. JACQUES:  Q. Do you know any other people who know Ms. Simmons? A. Only her family. I've met others, but I don't know them. Q. Based on your knowledge of Ms. Simmons, do you have an opinion on whether she's a truthful and honest person? A. I fully believe she's both a truthful and honest person. Yes. Q. Based on your knowledge of Ms. Simmons, do you believe that she is a nonviolent person? A. Absolutely I believe she's a nonviolent person. Q. Do you know what her reputation is among the others who know her regarding truthfulness, honesty and peacefulness? MS. KERKHOFF: Objection as to truthfulness, relevancy. THE COURT: Counsel, please approach.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE COURT: Please come up here.  JUDITH KAPLAN, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN  THE COURT: Good afternoon.  THE WITNESS: Hi.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MS. JACQUES:  Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Kaplan.  Could you state and spell your name, please.  A. My name is Judith Kaplan. J-u-d-i-t-h, K-a-p-l-a-n.  Q. Without telling us your exact address, where do you live?  A. I live northwest of Baltimore, Maryland.  Q. How long have you lived in that area?  A. Since 1977.  Q. Are you employed?  A. Yes, I am.  Q. How are you employed?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MS. JACQUES:  Q. Do you know any other people who know Ms. Simmons? A. Only her family. I've met others, but I don't know them.  Q. Based on your knowledge of Ms. Simmons, do you have an opinion on whether she's a truthful and honest person?  A. I fully believe she's both a truthful and honest person. Yes.  Q. Based on your knowledge of Ms. Simmons, do you believe that she is a nonviolent person?  A. Absolutely I believe she's a nonviolent person.  Q. Do you know what her reputation is among the others who know her regarding truthfulness, honesty and peacefulness?  MS. KERKHOFF: Objection as to truthfulness, relevancy.  THE COURT: Counsel, please approach.  (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE COURT: Please come up here.  JUDITH KAPLAN, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN THE COURT: Good afternoon. THE WITNESS: Hi.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MS. JACQUES:  Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Kaplan. Could you state and spell your name, please. A. My name is Judith Kaplan. J-u-d-i-t-h, K-a-p-l-a-n. Q. Without telling us your exact address, where do you live?  A. I live northwest of Baltimore, Maryland. Q. How long have you lived in that area? A. Since 1977. Q. Are you employed? A. Yes, I am. Q. How are you employed? A. I work for the Baltimore County Public Library and	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MS. JACQUES:  Q. Do you know any other people who know Ms. Simmons? A. Only her family. I've met others, but I don't know them.  Q. Based on your knowledge of Ms. Simmons, do you have an opinion on whether she's a truthful and honest person?  A. I fully believe she's both a truthful and honest person. Yes.  Q. Based on your knowledge of Ms. Simmons, do you believe that she is a nonviolent person?  A. Absolutely I believe she's a nonviolent person.  Q. Do you know what her reputation is among the others who know her regarding truthfulness, honesty and peacefulness?  MS. KERKHOFF: Objection as to truthfulness, relevancy.  THE COURT: Counsel, please approach.  (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at side-bar outside the presence of the jury:)

	Kanlan , DIDECT - Rv Me Jacques		Kanjan - DIDECT - Ry Me Jacques
	Kaplan - DIRECT - By Ms. Jacques 170		Kaplan - DIRECT - By Ms. Jacques 172
1	relevant character trait at this point.	1	property. Correct?
2	THE COURT: Is she going to be testifying?	2	A. I'm aware that's one of the charges.
3	MS. JACOUES: No.	3	Q. Does that change your opinion as to how you feel
4	THE COURT: So truthfulness and honesty is not a	4	about Ms. Simmons?
5	relevant trait of what she's testifying.	5	A. No, because they're charges.
6	MS. JACQUES: Okay.	6	Q. You indicated that you have known Ms. Simmons for
7	THE COURT: And	7	about six years.
8	MS. JACQUES: I'll stick to peacefulness.	8	Did you know she was coming to DC on January 20th?
9	THE COURT: Okay. Let's go to order, nonviolence.	9	A. That I did not know.
10	Are you going to be objecting to reputation at this	10	Q. To your knowledge to your knowledge, does
11	point foundation-wise?	11	Ms. Simmons come to DC often?
12	MS. KERKHOFF: I'm not sure she's laid a foundation.	12	MS. KERKHOFF: Objection. Relevance.
13	THE COURT: That's why I was asking.	13	THE COURT: Sustained well, counsel, please
14	MS. KERKHOFF: I don't think she's laid a	14	approach.
15	foundation.	15	(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at
16	THE COURT: In terms of where gets the reputation	16	side-bar outside the presence of the jury:)
17	from and how she got it.	17	THE COURT: It's not irrelevant if she's eliciting
18	MS. JACQUES: All right.	18	other testimony that's factually a part of this case.
19	THE COURT: In other words, not specific acts, but	19	MS. KERKHOFF: Agreed. I just thought we were on
20	the circle of people from whom and how she knows. I assume	20	reputation.
21	they sit on the front porch and talk about it.	21	THE COURT: So actually
22	MS. JACQUES: Sure.	22	MS. JACQUES: That was a one question.
23	THE COURT: Thank you.	23	THE COURT: And the question was does she come to DC
24	(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in	24	often?
25	open court:)	25	MS. WELETZ: Do you know if she comes to DC often?
	Kaplan - DIRECT - By Ms. Jacques		Kaplan - DIRECT - By Ms. Jacques
	171		173
1	THE COURT: Please come on back. Thank you so much.	1	THE COURT: I'm going to overrule the objection.
2	BY MS. JACQUES:	2	MS. KERKHOFF: That's fine.
3	Q. Ms. Kaplan, you indicated that Ms. Simmons eats	3	THE COURT: You can elicit other factual stuff.
4	dinner at your home. Right?	4	She's just going to cross on other factual stuff.
5	A. Right. Most recently, Thanksgiving.	5	MS. JACQUES: That was it.
6	Q. During her visits to your home, are there others at	6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	your home while Ms. Simmons is there?	7	(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in
8	A. Oh, yeah. My mother has been there. My sons have	8	open court:)
9	been there. My granddaughter has been there. Gary's been	9	THE COURT: You can come back.
10	there.	10	I am not overruling the objection to that
11	Q. And during these contacts with Ms. Simmons at your	11	question I mean, I am overruling the objection to that
12	home, without giving us an example, but just in general, has	12	question.
13	there been conversation about how others feel about	13	You can ask it. She can answer it.
14	Ms. Simmons?	14	BY MS. JACQUES:
15	A. No.	15	Q. Do you know how often Ms. Simmons comes to the
16	Q. Do you know you do know that she's been charged	16	District of Columbia?
17	here in the District of Columbia with rioting. Correct?	17	A. No. I'm not aware.
18	A. I know those are the charges. Yes.	18	Q. Okay.
19	Q. You know she's been charged with inciting a riot.	19	MS. JACQUES: I have no further questions.
20	Correct?	20	THE COURT: Cross-examination from anybody at the
21	A. I know that's one of the charges. Yes.	21	defense table?
22	Q. And you know that she's been charged with conspiracy	22	MR. LAZEROW: No. Thank you.
23	to incite a riot. Correct?	23	MR. McCOOL: No. Thank you.
24	A. That's what my understanding is.	24	MR. COHEN: No, your Honor.
25	Q. And you know she's been charged with destruction of	25	THE COURT: Government?
rage	170 to 173 of 236		

	Kaplan - CROSS - By Ms. Kerkhoff		Nye - DIRECT - By Mr. Cohen
	174		176
1	CROSS-EXAMINATION	1	THE COURT: Thank you very much.
2	BY MS. KERKHOFF:	2	(Witness excused.)
3	Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Kaplan. How are you?	3	THE COURT: Next up?
4	A. Hi.	4	MR. COHEN: It's me, your Honor. I'll see if he's
5	Q. My name is Jennifer Kerkhoff. I represent the	5	out there. Hold on.
6	United States.	6	(Thereupon, the witness entered
7	A. (Nods in the affirmative.)	7	the courtroom and the following
8	Q. Is that a yes?	8	proceedings were had:)
9	A. Yes. I'm just greeting you.	9	THE COURT: Sir, please come up to the witness stand
10	Q. We've never met before, have we?	10	right here. Thank you. You can bring your stuff in and just
11	A. We've never met.	11	put it on the chair here. Thanks.
12	Q. We've never spoken before. Correct?	12	Please come up to the witness stand. Thank you.
13	A. Never.	13	MICHAEL NYE, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN
14		14	THE COURT: Good afternoon.
15		15	THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.
	A. Yes, I do.	16	
16	Q. You've stated that it's your opinion that		DIRECT EXAMINATION
17	Ms. Simmons is not a violent person. She's a peaceful	17	BY MR. COHEN:
18	person. Is that correct?	18	Q. Good afternoon.
19	A. It's not just my opinion. It's my knowledge of her.	19	Could you please state and spell your first and last
20	It's how I feel about her. Yeah.	20	name.
21	Q. Have you watched any of the video of what happened	21	A. My name is Michael. Last name is Nye, N-y-e.
22	on January 20th, 2017?	22	Q. Mr. Nye, what city and state do you live in?
23	A. You mean video that you've been showing here or	23	A. My wife and I live in San Antonio, Texas. I just
24	video that was on the news at the time news reports?	24	flew in this morning. We have lived in the same house since
25	Q. Have you seen any video in the courtroom?	25	1980. So we live in San Antonio, Texas.
	Kaplan - CROSS - By Ms. Kerkhoff		Nye - DIRECT - By Mr. Cohen
١.	175	١.	177
1	A. Never. No.	1	Q. What do you do for a well, what's your
_		_	
2	Q. How about on the news reports?	2	educational background?
3	<ul><li>Q. How about on the news reports?</li><li>A. Well, back in January I saw video, not of this</li></ul>	3	educational background?  A. I've had two careers. My first career was a
3 4	<ul><li>Q. How about on the news reports?</li><li>A. Well, back in January I saw video, not of this specifically.</li></ul>	3 4	educational background?  A. I've had two careers. My first career was a practicing attorney. I went to law school in Houston and
3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q. How about on the news reports?</li> <li>A. Well, back in January I saw video, not of this specifically.</li> <li>Q. And Ms. Simmons didn't tell you she was coming down</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	educational background?  A. I've had two careers. My first career was a practicing attorney. I went to law school in Houston and worked for the
3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. How about on the news reports?</li> <li>A. Well, back in January I saw video, not of this specifically.</li> <li>Q. And Ms. Simmons didn't tell you she was coming down to DC, correct, on January 20th, 2017?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	educational background?  A. I've had two careers. My first career was a practicing attorney. I went to law school in Houston and worked for the  THE COURT: I think he asked what's your educational
3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. How about on the news reports?</li> <li>A. Well, back in January I saw video, not of this specifically.</li> <li>Q. And Ms. Simmons didn't tell you she was coming down to DC, correct, on January 20th, 2017?</li> <li>A. No. I did not know she was coming on January 20th.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	educational background?  A. I've had two careers. My first career was a practicing attorney. I went to law school in Houston and worked for the  THE COURT: I think he asked what's your educational background.
3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. How about on the news reports?</li> <li>A. Well, back in January I saw video, not of this specifically.</li> <li>Q. And Ms. Simmons didn't tell you she was coming down to DC, correct, on January 20th, 2017?</li> <li>A. No. I did not know she was coming on January 20th.</li> <li>Q. Ms. Simmons didn't tell you how she was preparing to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	educational background?  A. I've had two careers. My first career was a practicing attorney. I went to law school in Houston and worked for the  THE COURT: I think he asked what's your educational background.  So can you just ask more specifically what you want
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. How about on the news reports?</li> <li>A. Well, back in January I saw video, not of this specifically.</li> <li>Q. And Ms. Simmons didn't tell you she was coming down to DC, correct, on January 20th, 2017?</li> <li>A. No. I did not know she was coming on January 20th.</li> <li>Q. Ms. Simmons didn't tell you how she was preparing to come to DC, did she?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	educational background?  A. I've had two careers. My first career was a practicing attorney. I went to law school in Houston and worked for the  THE COURT: I think he asked what's your educational background.  So can you just ask more specifically what you want him to say. Because it's
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. How about on the news reports?</li> <li>A. Well, back in January I saw video, not of this specifically.</li> <li>Q. And Ms. Simmons didn't tell you she was coming down to DC, correct, on January 20th, 2017?</li> <li>A. No. I did not know she was coming on January 20th.</li> <li>Q. Ms. Simmons didn't tell you how she was preparing to come to DC, did she?</li> <li>A. No. I had no idea that she was coming for on the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	educational background?  A. I've had two careers. My first career was a practicing attorney. I went to law school in Houston and worked for the  THE COURT: I think he asked what's your educational background.  So can you just ask more specifically what you want him to say. Because it's  MR. COHEN: Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. How about on the news reports?  A. Well, back in January I saw video, not of this specifically.  Q. And Ms. Simmons didn't tell you she was coming down to DC, correct, on January 20th, 2017?  A. No. I did not know she was coming on January 20th.  Q. Ms. Simmons didn't tell you how she was preparing to come to DC, did she?  A. No. I had no idea that she was coming for on the Inauguration Day. I did not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	educational background?  A. I've had two careers. My first career was a practicing attorney. I went to law school in Houston and worked for the  THE COURT: I think he asked what's your educational background.  So can you just ask more specifically what you want him to say. Because it's  MR. COHEN: Yes.  THE COURT: Résumés can be long.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. How about on the news reports?</li> <li>A. Well, back in January I saw video, not of this specifically.</li> <li>Q. And Ms. Simmons didn't tell you she was coming down to DC, correct, on January 20th, 2017?</li> <li>A. No. I did not know she was coming on January 20th.</li> <li>Q. Ms. Simmons didn't tell you how she was preparing to come to DC, did she?</li> <li>A. No. I had no idea that she was coming for on the Inauguration Day. I did not.</li> <li>Q. Ms. Simmons didn't tell you what she was planning on</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	educational background?  A. I've had two careers. My first career was a practicing attorney. I went to law school in Houston and worked for the  THE COURT: I think he asked what's your educational background.  So can you just ask more specifically what you want him to say. Because it's  MR. COHEN: Yes.  THE COURT: Résumés can be long.  MR. COHEN: Background.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. How about on the news reports?</li> <li>A. Well, back in January I saw video, not of this specifically.</li> <li>Q. And Ms. Simmons didn't tell you she was coming down to DC, correct, on January 20th, 2017?</li> <li>A. No. I did not know she was coming on January 20th.</li> <li>Q. Ms. Simmons didn't tell you how she was preparing to come to DC, did she?</li> <li>A. No. I had no idea that she was coming for on the Inauguration Day. I did not.</li> <li>Q. Ms. Simmons didn't tell you what she was planning on doing that day. Correct?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	educational background?  A. I've had two careers. My first career was a practicing attorney. I went to law school in Houston and worked for the  THE COURT: I think he asked what's your educational background.  So can you just ask more specifically what you want him to say. Because it's  MR. COHEN: Yes.  THE COURT: Résumés can be long.  MR. COHEN: Background.  THE COURT: So you're just asking him what degrees
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. How about on the news reports?</li> <li>A. Well, back in January I saw video, not of this specifically.</li> <li>Q. And Ms. Simmons didn't tell you she was coming down to DC, correct, on January 20th, 2017?</li> <li>A. No. I did not know she was coming on January 20th.</li> <li>Q. Ms. Simmons didn't tell you how she was preparing to come to DC, did she?</li> <li>A. No. I had no idea that she was coming for on the Inauguration Day. I did not.</li> <li>Q. Ms. Simmons didn't tell you what she was planning on doing that day. Correct?</li> <li>A. I had no knowledge at all that she was coming down</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	educational background?  A. I've had two careers. My first career was a practicing attorney. I went to law school in Houston and worked for the  THE COURT: I think he asked what's your educational background.  So can you just ask more specifically what you want him to say. Because it's  MR. COHEN: Yes.  THE COURT: Résumés can be long.  MR. COHEN: Background.  THE COURT: So you're just asking him what degrees he has and what kind of practice he's had?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. How about on the news reports?</li> <li>A. Well, back in January I saw video, not of this specifically.</li> <li>Q. And Ms. Simmons didn't tell you she was coming down to DC, correct, on January 20th, 2017?</li> <li>A. No. I did not know she was coming on January 20th.</li> <li>Q. Ms. Simmons didn't tell you how she was preparing to come to DC, did she?</li> <li>A. No. I had no idea that she was coming for on the Inauguration Day. I did not.</li> <li>Q. Ms. Simmons didn't tell you what she was planning on doing that day. Correct?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	educational background?  A. I've had two careers. My first career was a practicing attorney. I went to law school in Houston and worked for the  THE COURT: I think he asked what's your educational background.  So can you just ask more specifically what you want him to say. Because it's  MR. COHEN: Yes.  THE COURT: Résumés can be long.  MR. COHEN: Background.  THE COURT: So you're just asking him what degrees
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. How about on the news reports?</li> <li>A. Well, back in January I saw video, not of this specifically.</li> <li>Q. And Ms. Simmons didn't tell you she was coming down to DC, correct, on January 20th, 2017?</li> <li>A. No. I did not know she was coming on January 20th.</li> <li>Q. Ms. Simmons didn't tell you how she was preparing to come to DC, did she?</li> <li>A. No. I had no idea that she was coming for on the Inauguration Day. I did not.</li> <li>Q. Ms. Simmons didn't tell you what she was planning on doing that day. Correct?</li> <li>A. I had no knowledge at all that she was coming down</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	educational background?  A. I've had two careers. My first career was a practicing attorney. I went to law school in Houston and worked for the  THE COURT: I think he asked what's your educational background.  So can you just ask more specifically what you want him to say. Because it's  MR. COHEN: Yes.  THE COURT: Résumés can be long.  MR. COHEN: Background.  THE COURT: So you're just asking him what degrees he has and what kind of practice he's had?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. How about on the news reports?</li> <li>A. Well, back in January I saw video, not of this specifically.</li> <li>Q. And Ms. Simmons didn't tell you she was coming down to DC, correct, on January 20th, 2017?</li> <li>A. No. I did not know she was coming on January 20th.</li> <li>Q. Ms. Simmons didn't tell you how she was preparing to come to DC, did she?</li> <li>A. No. I had no idea that she was coming for on the Inauguration Day. I did not.</li> <li>Q. Ms. Simmons didn't tell you what she was planning on doing that day. Correct?</li> <li>A. I had no knowledge at all that she was coming down on the day of the inauguration.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>MS. KERKHOFF: No further questions.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I've had two careers. My first career was a practicing attorney. I went to law school in Houston and worked for the  THE COURT: I think he asked what's your educational background.  So can you just ask more specifically what you want him to say. Because it's  MR. COHEN: Yes.  THE COURT: Résumés can be long.  MR. COHEN: Background.  THE COURT: So you're just asking him what degrees he has and what kind of practice he's had?  MR. COHEN: Yes.  BY MR. COHEN:  Q. What so you said you have a law degree.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. How about on the news reports?</li> <li>A. Well, back in January I saw video, not of this specifically.</li> <li>Q. And Ms. Simmons didn't tell you she was coming down to DC, correct, on January 20th, 2017?</li> <li>A. No. I did not know she was coming on January 20th.</li> <li>Q. Ms. Simmons didn't tell you how she was preparing to come to DC, did she?</li> <li>A. No. I had no idea that she was coming for on the Inauguration Day. I did not.</li> <li>Q. Ms. Simmons didn't tell you what she was planning on doing that day. Correct?</li> <li>A. I had no knowledge at all that she was coming down on the day of the inauguration.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I've had two careers. My first career was a practicing attorney. I went to law school in Houston and worked for the  THE COURT: I think he asked what's your educational background.  So can you just ask more specifically what you want him to say. Because it's  MR. COHEN: Yes.  THE COURT: Résumés can be long.  MR. COHEN: Background.  THE COURT: So you're just asking him what degrees he has and what kind of practice he's had?  MR. COHEN: Yes.  BY MR. COHEN:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. How about on the news reports?</li> <li>A. Well, back in January I saw video, not of this specifically.</li> <li>Q. And Ms. Simmons didn't tell you she was coming down to DC, correct, on January 20th, 2017?</li> <li>A. No. I did not know she was coming on January 20th.</li> <li>Q. Ms. Simmons didn't tell you how she was preparing to come to DC, did she?</li> <li>A. No. I had no idea that she was coming for on the Inauguration Day. I did not.</li> <li>Q. Ms. Simmons didn't tell you what she was planning on doing that day. Correct?</li> <li>A. I had no knowledge at all that she was coming down on the day of the inauguration.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>MS. KERKHOFF: No further questions.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I've had two careers. My first career was a practicing attorney. I went to law school in Houston and worked for the  THE COURT: I think he asked what's your educational background.  So can you just ask more specifically what you want him to say. Because it's  MR. COHEN: Yes.  THE COURT: Résumés can be long.  MR. COHEN: Background.  THE COURT: So you're just asking him what degrees he has and what kind of practice he's had?  MR. COHEN: Yes.  BY MR. COHEN:  Q. What so you said you have a law degree.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. How about on the news reports?</li> <li>A. Well, back in January I saw video, not of this specifically.</li> <li>Q. And Ms. Simmons didn't tell you she was coming down to DC, correct, on January 20th, 2017?</li> <li>A. No. I did not know she was coming on January 20th.</li> <li>Q. Ms. Simmons didn't tell you how she was preparing to come to DC, did she?</li> <li>A. No. I had no idea that she was coming for on the Inauguration Day. I did not.</li> <li>Q. Ms. Simmons didn't tell you what she was planning on doing that day. Correct?</li> <li>A. I had no knowledge at all that she was coming down on the day of the inauguration.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>MS. KERKHOFF: No further questions.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yeah.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I've had two careers. My first career was a practicing attorney. I went to law school in Houston and worked for the  THE COURT: I think he asked what's your educational background.  So can you just ask more specifically what you want him to say. Because it's  MR. COHEN: Yes.  THE COURT: Résumés can be long.  MR. COHEN: Background.  THE COURT: So you're just asking him what degrees he has and what kind of practice he's had?  MR. COHEN: Yes.  BY MR. COHEN:  Q. What so you said you have a law degree.  What other degree do you have?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. How about on the news reports?</li> <li>A. Well, back in January I saw video, not of this specifically.</li> <li>Q. And Ms. Simmons didn't tell you she was coming down to DC, correct, on January 20th, 2017?</li> <li>A. No. I did not know she was coming on January 20th.</li> <li>Q. Ms. Simmons didn't tell you how she was preparing to come to DC, did she?</li> <li>A. No. I had no idea that she was coming for on the Inauguration Day. I did not.</li> <li>Q. Ms. Simmons didn't tell you what she was planning on doing that day. Correct?</li> <li>A. I had no knowledge at all that she was coming down on the day of the inauguration.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>MS. KERKHOFF: No further questions.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yeah.</li> <li>THE COURT: Redirect?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I've had two careers. My first career was a practicing attorney. I went to law school in Houston and worked for the  THE COURT: I think he asked what's your educational background.  So can you just ask more specifically what you want him to say. Because it's  MR. COHEN: Yes.  THE COURT: Résumés can be long.  MR. COHEN: Background.  THE COURT: So you're just asking him what degrees he has and what kind of practice he's had?  MR. COHEN: Yes.  BY MR. COHEN:  Q. What so you said you have a law degree.  What other degree do you have?  A. I went to Texas Tech University, undergraduate, and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. How about on the news reports?</li> <li>A. Well, back in January I saw video, not of this specifically.</li> <li>Q. And Ms. Simmons didn't tell you she was coming down to DC, correct, on January 20th, 2017?</li> <li>A. No. I did not know she was coming on January 20th.</li> <li>Q. Ms. Simmons didn't tell you how she was preparing to come to DC, did she?</li> <li>A. No. I had no idea that she was coming for on the Inauguration Day. I did not.</li> <li>Q. Ms. Simmons didn't tell you what she was planning on doing that day. Correct?</li> <li>A. I had no knowledge at all that she was coming down on the day of the inauguration.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>MS. KERKHOFF: No further questions.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yeah.</li> <li>THE COURT: Redirect?</li> <li>MS. JACQUES: No. Thank you.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I've had two careers. My first career was a practicing attorney. I went to law school in Houston and worked for the  THE COURT: I think he asked what's your educational background.  So can you just ask more specifically what you want him to say. Because it's  MR. COHEN: Yes.  THE COURT: Résumés can be long.  MR. COHEN: Background.  THE COURT: So you're just asking him what degrees he has and what kind of practice he's had?  MR. COHEN: Yes.  BY MR. COHEN:  Q. What so you said you have a law degree.  What other degree do you have?  A. I went to Texas Tech University, undergraduate, and had a BA in physical education and history
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. How about on the news reports?</li> <li>A. Well, back in January I saw video, not of this specifically.</li> <li>Q. And Ms. Simmons didn't tell you she was coming down to DC, correct, on January 20th, 2017?</li> <li>A. No. I did not know she was coming on January 20th.</li> <li>Q. Ms. Simmons didn't tell you how she was preparing to come to DC, did she?</li> <li>A. No. I had no idea that she was coming for on the Inauguration Day. I did not.</li> <li>Q. Ms. Simmons didn't tell you what she was planning on doing that day. Correct?</li> <li>A. I had no knowledge at all that she was coming down on the day of the inauguration.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>MS. KERKHOFF: No further questions.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yeah.</li> <li>THE COURT: Redirect?</li> <li>MS. JACQUES: No. Thank you.</li> <li>THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. You may be excused.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I've had two careers. My first career was a practicing attorney. I went to law school in Houston and worked for the  THE COURT: I think he asked what's your educational background.  So can you just ask more specifically what you want him to say. Because it's  MR. COHEN: Yes.  THE COURT: Résumés can be long.  MR. COHEN: Background.  THE COURT: So you're just asking him what degrees he has and what kind of practice he's had?  MR. COHEN: Yes.  BY MR. COHEN:  Q. What so you said you have a law degree.  What other degree do you have?  A. I went to Texas Tech University, undergraduate, and had a BA in physical education and history  Q. Okay.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. How about on the news reports? A. Well, back in January I saw video, not of this specifically. Q. And Ms. Simmons didn't tell you she was coming down to DC, correct, on January 20th, 2017? A. No. I did not know she was coming on January 20th. Q. Ms. Simmons didn't tell you how she was preparing to come to DC, did she? A. No. I had no idea that she was coming for on the Inauguration Day. I did not. Q. Ms. Simmons didn't tell you what she was planning on doing that day. Correct? A. I had no knowledge at all that she was coming down on the day of the inauguration. Q. Okay. Thank you. MS. KERKHOFF: No further questions. THE WITNESS: Yeah. THE COURT: Redirect? MS. JACQUES: No. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. You may be excused. THE WITNESS: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	educational background?  A. I've had two careers. My first career was a practicing attorney. I went to law school in Houston and worked for the  THE COURT: I think he asked what's your educational background.  So can you just ask more specifically what you want him to say. Because it's  MR. COHEN: Yes.  THE COURT: Résumés can be long.  MR. COHEN: Background.  THE COURT: So you're just asking him what degrees he has and what kind of practice he's had?  MR. COHEN: Yes.  BY MR. COHEN:  Q. What so you said you have a law degree.  What other degree do you have?  A. I went to Texas Tech University, undergraduate, and had a BA in physical education and history  Q. Okay.  A before that.

	Nye - DIRECT - By Mr. Cohen		Nye - DIRECT - By Mr. Cohen
	178		180
1	as a consultant for another three or four years during my	1	work with Mr. Wood?
2	transition from being an attorney to being a photographer.	2	A. I do. Yes.
3	Q. And being a photographer, is that what you do now?	3	Q. And do you talk about Mr. Wood with those people?
4	A. I'm an artist, photographer and a photography and	4	A. I have. Yes.
5	audio documentarian. That may sound confusing.	5	Q. Based on your knowledge of Mr. Wood, what is your
6	Q. That's okay.	6	do you have an opinion about him as a as a lawful
7	And do you work on projects?	7	sorry. Let's get this is I want to make sure it's
8	A. I do.	8	right. Sorry.
9	Q. What what is your current project?	9	What is your opinion about him with respect to
10	A. My current project is a project on blindness and	10	peacefulness and noncombativeness?
11	perception, and I've been working on it for five years, just	11	A. He is incredibly peaceful. I've never seen ever in
12	finishing now. So it'll open at the Witte Museum a year from	12	the three and a half years that he's worked for me any
13	now.	13	
14		14	aggression, any kind of combative behavior.
15	<ul><li>Q. Do you know a person by the name of Alexei Wood?</li><li>A. I do.</li></ul>	15	Q. And from the people that know Mr. Wood, do you know
		16	what his reputation is with respect to peacefulness and noncombativeness?
16 17	·	17	MS. KERKHOFF: Objection as to foundation.
18	-	18	THE COURT: So just lay a foundation for that
19	was just coming back from Africa. And so he I met him when he interviewed to work for me.	19	- ,
20		20	testimony, in other words, how he knows what his reputation
21	Q. Does Mr. Wood still work for you?  A. He does.	21	is.  MR. COHEN: Yes.
22		22	BY MR. COHEN:
23	Q. What does he do for you?	23	
24	A. Again, to answer that, I need to say a few other words about what I do.	24	Q. Have you spoken with others about Mr. Wood's peacefulness and noncombativeness?
24 25	THE COURT: I think you need to answer his	25	
23	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	23	A. I have over the last three and a half years.
	Nye - DIRECT - By Mr. Cohen		Nye - DIRECT - By Mr. Cohen
1	179	1	181
1	questions.	1	Q. And based on those conversations, what is Mr. Wood's
2	questions.  THE WITNESS: All right.	2	Q. And based on those conversations, what is Mr. Wood's reputation with respect to peacefulness and noncombativeness?
2	questions.  THE WITNESS: All right.  THE COURT: Thank you.	3	Q. And based on those conversations, what is Mr. Wood's reputation with respect to peacefulness and noncombativeness?  A. Superb. No one has ever said anything about him in
2 3 4	questions.  THE WITNESS: All right.  THE COURT: Thank you.  BY MR. COHEN:	2 3 4	Q. And based on those conversations, what is Mr. Wood's reputation with respect to peacefulness and noncombativeness?  A. Superb. No one has ever said anything about him in a negative way or an aggressive way.
2 3 4 5	questions.  THE WITNESS: All right. THE COURT: Thank you.  BY MR. COHEN: Q. Just describe what he does.	2 3 4 5	Q. And based on those conversations, what is Mr. Wood's reputation with respect to peacefulness and noncombativeness?  A. Superb. No one has ever said anything about him in a negative way or an aggressive way.  Q. And then what is do you have an opinion about
2 3 4 5 6	questions.  THE WITNESS: All right. THE COURT: Thank you.  BY MR. COHEN: Q. Just describe what he does. A. He does two things in two different capacities. One	2 3 4 5 6	Q. And based on those conversations, what is Mr. Wood's reputation with respect to peacefulness and noncombativeness?  A. Superb. No one has ever said anything about him in a negative way or an aggressive way.  Q. And then what is do you have an opinion about Mr. Wood with respect to peace and good order?
2 3 4 5 6 7	questions.  THE WITNESS: All right. THE COURT: Thank you.  BY MR. COHEN: Q. Just describe what he does. A. He does two things in two different capacities. One is on the photography side, and the other is audio.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. And based on those conversations, what is Mr. Wood's reputation with respect to peacefulness and noncombativeness?  A. Superb. No one has ever said anything about him in a negative way or an aggressive way.  Q. And then what is do you have an opinion about Mr. Wood with respect to peace and good order?  A. I do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	questions.  THE WITNESS: All right. THE COURT: Thank you.  BY MR. COHEN: Q. Just describe what he does. A. He does two things in two different capacities. One is on the photography side, and the other is audio. And so, on the photography side, he works I have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. And based on those conversations, what is Mr. Wood's reputation with respect to peacefulness and noncombativeness?  A. Superb. No one has ever said anything about him in a negative way or an aggressive way.  Q. And then what is do you have an opinion about Mr. Wood with respect to peace and good order?  A. I do.  Q. And what is that opinion?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	questions.  THE WITNESS: All right. THE COURT: Thank you.  BY MR. COHEN: Q. Just describe what he does. A. He does two things in two different capacities. One is on the photography side, and the other is audio. And so, on the photography side, he works I have a wet darkroom, silver prints. He works in the darkroom,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And based on those conversations, what is Mr. Wood's reputation with respect to peacefulness and noncombativeness?  A. Superb. No one has ever said anything about him in a negative way or an aggressive way.  Q. And then what is do you have an opinion about Mr. Wood with respect to peace and good order?  A. I do.  Q. And what is that opinion?  A. You know, I could say a lot about his character.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	questions.  THE WITNESS: All right. THE COURT: Thank you.  BY MR. COHEN: Q. Just describe what he does. A. He does two things in two different capacities. One is on the photography side, and the other is audio. And so, on the photography side, he works I have a wet darkroom, silver prints. He works in the darkroom, develops 8 by 10 negatives.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And based on those conversations, what is Mr. Wood's reputation with respect to peacefulness and noncombativeness?  A. Superb. No one has ever said anything about him in a negative way or an aggressive way.  Q. And then what is do you have an opinion about Mr. Wood with respect to peace and good order?  A. I do.  Q. And what is that opinion?  A. You know, I could say a lot about his character.  He
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	questions.  THE WITNESS: All right. THE COURT: Thank you.  BY MR. COHEN: Q. Just describe what he does. A. He does two things in two different capacities. One is on the photography side, and the other is audio. And so, on the photography side, he works I have a wet darkroom, silver prints. He works in the darkroom, develops 8 by 10 negatives.  He also is in charge of the photography. We have a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. And based on those conversations, what is Mr. Wood's reputation with respect to peacefulness and noncombativeness?  A. Superb. No one has ever said anything about him in a negative way or an aggressive way.  Q. And then what is do you have an opinion about  Mr. Wood with respect to peace and good order?  A. I do.  Q. And what is that opinion?  A. You know, I could say a lot about his character.  He  THE COURT: But you really only are being asked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	questions.  THE WITNESS: All right. THE COURT: Thank you.  BY MR. COHEN: Q. Just describe what he does. A. He does two things in two different capacities. One is on the photography side, and the other is audio. And so, on the photography side, he works I have a wet darkroom, silver prints. He works in the darkroom, develops 8 by 10 negatives.  He also is in charge of the photography. We have a portable studio that we travel around. He's in charge of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. And based on those conversations, what is Mr. Wood's reputation with respect to peacefulness and noncombativeness?  A. Superb. No one has ever said anything about him in a negative way or an aggressive way.  Q. And then what is do you have an opinion about Mr. Wood with respect to peace and good order?  A. I do.  Q. And what is that opinion?  A. You know, I could say a lot about his character.  He  THE COURT: But you really only are being asked about that one thing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	questions.  THE WITNESS: All right. THE COURT: Thank you.  BY MR. COHEN: Q. Just describe what he does. A. He does two things in two different capacities. One is on the photography side, and the other is audio. And so, on the photography side, he works I have a wet darkroom, silver prints. He works in the darkroom, develops 8 by 10 negatives.  He also is in charge of the photography. We have a portable studio that we travel around. He's in charge of the cameras, lights, strobes, so forth.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. And based on those conversations, what is Mr. Wood's reputation with respect to peacefulness and noncombativeness?  A. Superb. No one has ever said anything about him in a negative way or an aggressive way.  Q. And then what is do you have an opinion about Mr. Wood with respect to peace and good order?  A. I do.  Q. And what is that opinion?  A. You know, I could say a lot about his character.  He  THE COURT: But you really only are being asked about that one thing.  Right? Right, Mr. Cohen?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	questions.  THE WITNESS: All right. THE COURT: Thank you.  BY MR. COHEN: Q. Just describe what he does. A. He does two things in two different capacities. One is on the photography side, and the other is audio. And so, on the photography side, he works I have a wet darkroom, silver prints. He works in the darkroom, develops 8 by 10 negatives.  He also is in charge of the photography. We have a portable studio that we travel around. He's in charge of the cameras, lights, strobes, so forth. He also scans. He also researches.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. And based on those conversations, what is Mr. Wood's reputation with respect to peacefulness and noncombativeness?  A. Superb. No one has ever said anything about him in a negative way or an aggressive way.  Q. And then what is do you have an opinion about  Mr. Wood with respect to peace and good order?  A. I do.  Q. And what is that opinion?  A. You know, I could say a lot about his character.  He  THE COURT: But you really only are being asked about that one thing.  Right? Right, Mr. Cohen?  BY MR. COHEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	questions.  THE WITNESS: All right. THE COURT: Thank you.  BY MR. COHEN: Q. Just describe what he does. A. He does two things in two different capacities. One is on the photography side, and the other is audio. And so, on the photography side, he works I have a wet darkroom, silver prints. He works in the darkroom, develops 8 by 10 negatives.  He also is in charge of the photography. We have a portable studio that we travel around. He's in charge of the cameras, lights, strobes, so forth. He also scans. He also researches. On the audio side he's the audio engineer for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. And based on those conversations, what is Mr. Wood's reputation with respect to peacefulness and noncombativeness?  A. Superb. No one has ever said anything about him in a negative way or an aggressive way.  Q. And then what is do you have an opinion about Mr. Wood with respect to peace and good order?  A. I do.  Q. And what is that opinion?  A. You know, I could say a lot about his character.  He  THE COURT: But you really only are being asked about that one thing.  Right? Right, Mr. Cohen?  BY MR. COHEN:  Q. If you can answer the question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	questions.  THE WITNESS: All right. THE COURT: Thank you.  BY MR. COHEN: Q. Just describe what he does. A. He does two things in two different capacities. One is on the photography side, and the other is audio. And so, on the photography side, he works I have a wet darkroom, silver prints. He works in the darkroom, develops 8 by 10 negatives.  He also is in charge of the photography. We have a portable studio that we travel around. He's in charge of the cameras, lights, strobes, so forth. He also scans. He also researches. On the audio side he's the audio engineer for sound. He participates in interviews, some editing. Mostly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. And based on those conversations, what is Mr. Wood's reputation with respect to peacefulness and noncombativeness?  A. Superb. No one has ever said anything about him in a negative way or an aggressive way.  Q. And then what is do you have an opinion about Mr. Wood with respect to peace and good order?  A. I do.  Q. And what is that opinion?  A. You know, I could say a lot about his character.  He  THE COURT: But you really only are being asked about that one thing.  Right? Right, Mr. Cohen?  BY MR. COHEN:  Q. If you can answer the question.  THE COURT: Why don't ask you it again.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	questions.  THE WITNESS: All right. THE COURT: Thank you.  BY MR. COHEN: Q. Just describe what he does. A. He does two things in two different capacities. One is on the photography side, and the other is audio. And so, on the photography side, he works I have a wet darkroom, silver prints. He works in the darkroom, develops 8 by 10 negatives. He also is in charge of the photography. We have a portable studio that we travel around. He's in charge of the cameras, lights, strobes, so forth. He also scans. He also researches. On the audio side he's the audio engineer for sound. He participates in interviews, some editing. Mostly I do the editing, but he does a lot, also. He helps me with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. And based on those conversations, what is Mr. Wood's reputation with respect to peacefulness and noncombativeness?  A. Superb. No one has ever said anything about him in a negative way or an aggressive way.  Q. And then what is do you have an opinion about Mr. Wood with respect to peace and good order?  A. I do.  Q. And what is that opinion?  A. You know, I could say a lot about his character.  He  THE COURT: But you really only are being asked about that one thing.  Right? Right, Mr. Cohen?  BY MR. COHEN:  Q. If you can answer the question.  THE COURT: Why don't ask you it again.  BY MR. COHEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	questions.  THE WITNESS: All right. THE COURT: Thank you.  BY MR. COHEN: Q. Just describe what he does. A. He does two things in two different capacities. One is on the photography side, and the other is audio. And so, on the photography side, he works I have a wet darkroom, silver prints. He works in the darkroom, develops 8 by 10 negatives.  He also is in charge of the photography. We have a portable studio that we travel around. He's in charge of the cameras, lights, strobes, so forth. He also scans. He also researches. On the audio side he's the audio engineer for sound. He participates in interviews, some editing. Mostly I do the editing, but he does a lot, also. He helps me with my traveling exhibitions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. And based on those conversations, what is Mr. Wood's reputation with respect to peacefulness and noncombativeness?  A. Superb. No one has ever said anything about him in a negative way or an aggressive way.  Q. And then what is do you have an opinion about Mr. Wood with respect to peace and good order?  A. I do.  Q. And what is that opinion?  A. You know, I could say a lot about his character.  He  THE COURT: But you really only are being asked about that one thing.  Right? Right, Mr. Cohen?  BY MR. COHEN:  Q. If you can answer the question.  THE COURT: Why don't ask you it again.  BY MR. COHEN:  Q. What is your opinion about his peace and good order?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	questions.  THE WITNESS: All right. THE COURT: Thank you.  BY MR. COHEN: Q. Just describe what he does. A. He does two things in two different capacities. One is on the photography side, and the other is audio. And so, on the photography side, he works I have a wet darkroom, silver prints. He works in the darkroom, develops 8 by 10 negatives.  He also is in charge of the photography. We have a portable studio that we travel around. He's in charge of the cameras, lights, strobes, so forth. He also scans. He also researches. On the audio side he's the audio engineer for sound. He participates in interviews, some editing. Mostly I do the editing, but he does a lot, also. He helps me with my traveling exhibitions. Q. How often for purposes of when you work with him,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. And based on those conversations, what is Mr. Wood's reputation with respect to peacefulness and noncombativeness?  A. Superb. No one has ever said anything about him in a negative way or an aggressive way.  Q. And then what is do you have an opinion about Mr. Wood with respect to peace and good order?  A. I do.  Q. And what is that opinion?  A. You know, I could say a lot about his character.  He  THE COURT: But you really only are being asked about that one thing.  Right? Right, Mr. Cohen?  BY MR. COHEN:  Q. If you can answer the question.  THE COURT: Why don't ask you it again.  BY MR. COHEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	questions.  THE WITNESS: All right. THE COURT: Thank you.  BY MR. COHEN: Q. Just describe what he does. A. He does two things in two different capacities. One is on the photography side, and the other is audio. And so, on the photography side, he works I have a wet darkroom, silver prints. He works in the darkroom, develops 8 by 10 negatives. He also is in charge of the photography. We have a portable studio that we travel around. He's in charge of the cameras, lights, strobes, so forth. He also scans. He also researches. On the audio side he's the audio engineer for sound. He participates in interviews, some editing. Mostly I do the editing, but he does a lot, also. He helps me with my traveling exhibitions. Q. How often for purposes of when you work with him, how often do you see him?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. And based on those conversations, what is Mr. Wood's reputation with respect to peacefulness and noncombativeness?  A. Superb. No one has ever said anything about him in a negative way or an aggressive way.  Q. And then what is do you have an opinion about Mr. Wood with respect to peace and good order?  A. I do.  Q. And what is that opinion?  A. You know, I could say a lot about his character.  He  THE COURT: But you really only are being asked about that one thing.  Right? Right, Mr. Cohen?  BY MR. COHEN:  Q. If you can answer the question.  THE COURT: Why don't ask you it again.  BY MR. COHEN:  Q. What is your opinion about his peace and good order?  THE COURT: His character for peace and good order.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	questions.  THE WITNESS: All right. THE COURT: Thank you.  BY MR. COHEN: Q. Just describe what he does. A. He does two things in two different capacities. One is on the photography side, and the other is audio. And so, on the photography side, he works I have a wet darkroom, silver prints. He works in the darkroom, develops 8 by 10 negatives.  He also is in charge of the photography. We have a portable studio that we travel around. He's in charge of the cameras, lights, strobes, so forth. He also scans. He also researches. On the audio side he's the audio engineer for sound. He participates in interviews, some editing. Mostly I do the editing, but he does a lot, also. He helps me with my traveling exhibitions. Q. How often for purposes of when you work with him, how often do you see him? A. He works on the average of about two days a week,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. And based on those conversations, what is Mr. Wood's reputation with respect to peacefulness and noncombativeness?  A. Superb. No one has ever said anything about him in a negative way or an aggressive way.  Q. And then what is do you have an opinion about Mr. Wood with respect to peace and good order?  A. I do.  Q. And what is that opinion?  A. You know, I could say a lot about his character.  He  THE COURT: But you really only are being asked about that one thing.  Right? Right, Mr. Cohen?  BY MR. COHEN:  Q. If you can answer the question.  THE COURT: Why don't ask you it again.  BY MR. COHEN:  Q. What is your opinion about his peace and good order?  THE COURT: His character for peace and good order.  BY MR. COHEN:  Q. His character let me start over.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	questions.  THE WITNESS: All right. THE COURT: Thank you.  BY MR. COHEN: Q. Just describe what he does. A. He does two things in two different capacities. One is on the photography side, and the other is audio. And so, on the photography side, he works I have a wet darkroom, silver prints. He works in the darkroom, develops 8 by 10 negatives.  He also is in charge of the photography. We have a portable studio that we travel around. He's in charge of the cameras, lights, strobes, so forth. He also scans. He also researches. On the audio side he's the audio engineer for sound. He participates in interviews, some editing. Mostly I do the editing, but he does a lot, also. He helps me with my traveling exhibitions. Q. How often for purposes of when you work with him, how often do you see him? A. He works on the average of about two days a week, sometimes one day a week, sometimes three. It's different.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And based on those conversations, what is Mr. Wood's reputation with respect to peacefulness and noncombativeness?  A. Superb. No one has ever said anything about him in a negative way or an aggressive way.  Q. And then what is do you have an opinion about Mr. Wood with respect to peace and good order?  A. I do.  Q. And what is that opinion?  A. You know, I could say a lot about his character.  He  THE COURT: But you really only are being asked about that one thing.  Right? Right, Mr. Cohen?  BY MR. COHEN:  Q. If you can answer the question.  THE COURT: Why don't ask you it again.  BY MR. COHEN:  Q. What is your opinion about his peace and good order?  THE COURT: His character for peace and good order.  BY MR. COHEN:  Q. His character let me start over.  Do you have an opinion about Mr. Wood's character of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	questions.  THE WITNESS: All right. THE COURT: Thank you.  BY MR. COHEN: Q. Just describe what he does. A. He does two things in two different capacities. One is on the photography side, and the other is audio. And so, on the photography side, he works I have a wet darkroom, silver prints. He works in the darkroom, develops 8 by 10 negatives. He also is in charge of the photography. We have a portable studio that we travel around. He's in charge of the cameras, lights, strobes, so forth. He also scans. He also researches. On the audio side he's the audio engineer for sound. He participates in interviews, some editing. Mostly I do the editing, but he does a lot, also. He helps me with my traveling exhibitions. Q. How often for purposes of when you work with him, how often do you see him? A. He works on the average of about two days a week, sometimes one day a week, sometimes three. It's different.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. And based on those conversations, what is Mr. Wood's reputation with respect to peacefulness and noncombativeness?  A. Superb. No one has ever said anything about him in a negative way or an aggressive way.  Q. And then what is do you have an opinion about Mr. Wood with respect to peace and good order?  A. I do.  Q. And what is that opinion?  A. You know, I could say a lot about his character.  He  THE COURT: But you really only are being asked about that one thing.  Right? Right, Mr. Cohen?  BY MR. COHEN:  Q. If you can answer the question.  THE COURT: Why don't ask you it again.  BY MR. COHEN:  Q. What is your opinion about his peace and good order?  THE COURT: His character for peace and good order.  BY MR. COHEN:  Q. His character let me start over.  Do you have an opinion about Mr. Wood's character of peace and good order?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	questions.  THE WITNESS: All right. THE COURT: Thank you.  BY MR. COHEN: Q. Just describe what he does. A. He does two things in two different capacities. One is on the photography side, and the other is audio. And so, on the photography side, he works I have a wet darkroom, silver prints. He works in the darkroom, develops 8 by 10 negatives.  He also is in charge of the photography. We have a portable studio that we travel around. He's in charge of the cameras, lights, strobes, so forth. He also scans. He also researches. On the audio side he's the audio engineer for sound. He participates in interviews, some editing. Mostly I do the editing, but he does a lot, also. He helps me with my traveling exhibitions. Q. How often for purposes of when you work with him, how often do you see him? A. He works on the average of about two days a week, sometimes one day a week, sometimes three. It's different.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And based on those conversations, what is Mr. Wood's reputation with respect to peacefulness and noncombativeness?  A. Superb. No one has ever said anything about him in a negative way or an aggressive way.  Q. And then what is do you have an opinion about Mr. Wood with respect to peace and good order?  A. I do.  Q. And what is that opinion?  A. You know, I could say a lot about his character.  He  THE COURT: But you really only are being asked about that one thing.  Right? Right, Mr. Cohen?  BY MR. COHEN:  Q. If you can answer the question.  THE COURT: Why don't ask you it again.  BY MR. COHEN:  Q. What is your opinion about his peace and good order?  THE COURT: His character for peace and good order.  BY MR. COHEN:  Q. His character let me start over.  Do you have an opinion about Mr. Wood's character of peace and good order?

	100		104
4	182	4	THE COURT. I guess I peed to know if your dient
1 2	Q. Have you spoken with others that know Mr. Wood about Mr. Wood's character of peace and good order?	1 2	THE COURT: I guess I need to know if your client wants him to testify have I signed an out-of-bounds
3	A. I have.	3	subpoena for you
4	Q. And based on those conversations, what is the	4	MS. WELETZ: No.
5	reputation for Mr. Wood with respect to peace and good order?	5	THE COURT: for him?
6	A. It'd be the same as mine. I mean, I've never heard	6	MS. WELETZ: No.
7	a complaint. I've never heard of him being aggressive. I've	7	THE COURT: So has your client chosen not to
8	never heard of him being nonpeaceful. Exemplary.	8	subpoena him for reasons
9	MR. COHEN: That's all. I have no further	9	MS. WELETZ: We've chosen to not subpoena him
10	questions.	10	because we knew he has surgeries scheduled with people that
11	THE COURT: Any cross-examination from the defense?	11	need the surgeries, and I couldn't ask him to come on a day
12	MR. LAZEROW: No, thank you.	12	and cancel a surgery for someone that had scheduled it years
13	MS. JACQUES: No, your Honor.	13	in advance.
14	THE COURT: From the Government?	14	THE COURT: Well, you could.
15	MS. KERKHOFF: No questions.	15	MS. WELETZ: I could.
16	THE COURT: Sir, you may be excused.	16	THE COURT: A subpoena would obligate him to do it.
17	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	17	MS. WELETZ: My client would not do that. I mean,
18	(Witness excused.)	18	it's her current employer. She's not going to do that. But
19	THE COURT: Next? Well, let me can I ask counsel	19	I am going to
20	to approach.	20	THE COURT: Okay. We'll talk about this outside the
21	(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at	21	presence of the jury
22	side-bar outside the presence of the jury:)	22	MS. WELETZ: Right.
23	THE COURT: So I'm happy to go in whatever order you	23	THE COURT: at some point.
24	want.	24	But you don't have anybody for today?
25	Is there anyone else or are we done?	25	MS. WELETZ: No.
	183		185
			100
1	MS. WELETZ: Your Honor, Carrie Weletz on behalf of	1	THE COURT: And that's your only witness?
2	Ms. Armento.	2	THE COURT: And that's your only witness?  MS. WELETZ: Correct. And I believe that there are
2 3	Ms. Armento.  The only other person we were trying to figure out	2	THE COURT: And that's your only witness?  MS. WELETZ: Correct. And I believe that there are no other defense witnesses.
2 3 4	Ms. Armento.  The only other person we were trying to figure out was Dr. Sataloff, who is Ms. Armento's employer, as a	2 3 4	THE COURT: And that's your only witness?  MS. WELETZ: Correct. And I believe that there are no other defense witnesses.  THE COURT: Mr. Lazerow, for instance, does
2 3 4 5	Ms. Armento.  The only other person we were trying to figure out was Dr. Sataloff, who is Ms. Armento's employer, as a character witness.	2 3 4 5	THE COURT: And that's your only witness?  MS. WELETZ: Correct. And I believe that there are no other defense witnesses.  THE COURT: Mr. Lazerow, for instance, does  Ms. Macchio expect to call any witnesses?
2 3 4 5 6	Ms. Armento.  The only other person we were trying to figure out was Dr. Sataloff, who is Ms. Armento's employer, as a character witness.  However, due to his schedule we've done the	2 3 4 5 6	THE COURT: And that's your only witness?  MS. WELETZ: Correct. And I believe that there are no other defense witnesses.  THE COURT: Mr. Lazerow, for instance, does  Ms. Macchio expect to call any witnesses?  MR. LAZEROW: No, your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Ms. Armento.  The only other person we were trying to figure out was Dr. Sataloff, who is Ms. Armento's employer, as a character witness.  However, due to his schedule we've done the run-through technologically. So we have the linkup.	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE COURT: And that's your only witness?  MS. WELETZ: Correct. And I believe that there are no other defense witnesses.  THE COURT: Mr. Lazerow, for instance, does  Ms. Macchio expect to call any witnesses?  MR. LAZEROW: No, your Honor.  THE COURT: And, Mr. Cohen, does Mr. Wood expect to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Ms. Armento.  The only other person we were trying to figure out was Dr. Sataloff, who is Ms. Armento's employer, as a character witness.  However, due to his schedule we've done the run-through technologically. So we have the linkup.  However, he has surgeries scheduled all day tomorrow.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE COURT: And that's your only witness?  MS. WELETZ: Correct. And I believe that there are no other defense witnesses.  THE COURT: Mr. Lazerow, for instance, does  Ms. Macchio expect to call any witnesses?  MR. LAZEROW: No, your Honor.  THE COURT: And, Mr. Cohen, does Mr. Wood expect to call any additional witnesses?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Ms. Armento.  The only other person we were trying to figure out was Dr. Sataloff, who is Ms. Armento's employer, as a character witness.  However, due to his schedule we've done the run-through technologically. So we have the linkup.  However, he has surgeries scheduled all day tomorrow.  The only other time he could testify would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE COURT: And that's your only witness?  MS. WELETZ: Correct. And I believe that there are no other defense witnesses.  THE COURT: Mr. Lazerow, for instance, does  Ms. Macchio expect to call any witnesses?  MR. LAZEROW: No, your Honor.  THE COURT: And, Mr. Cohen, does Mr. Wood expect to call any additional witnesses?  MR. COHEN: No, your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Ms. Armento.  The only other person we were trying to figure out was Dr. Sataloff, who is Ms. Armento's employer, as a character witness.  However, due to his schedule we've done the run-through technologically. So we have the linkup.  However, he has surgeries scheduled all day tomorrow.  The only other time he could testify would be  Thursday morning between 10:00 to 11:00. I understand we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE COURT: And that's your only witness?  MS. WELETZ: Correct. And I believe that there are no other defense witnesses.  THE COURT: Mr. Lazerow, for instance, does  Ms. Macchio expect to call any witnesses?  MR. LAZEROW: No, your Honor.  THE COURT: And, Mr. Cohen, does Mr. Wood expect to call any additional witnesses?  MR. COHEN: No, your Honor.  And I would just ask permission if my character
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Ms. Armento.  The only other person we were trying to figure out was Dr. Sataloff, who is Ms. Armento's employer, as a character witness.  However, due to his schedule we've done the run-through technologically. So we have the linkup.  However, he has surgeries scheduled all day tomorrow.  The only other time he could testify would be  Thursday morning between 10:00 to 11:00. I understand we might be out of the defense case. I don't know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE COURT: And that's your only witness?  MS. WELETZ: Correct. And I believe that there are no other defense witnesses.  THE COURT: Mr. Lazerow, for instance, does  Ms. Macchio expect to call any witnesses?  MR. LAZEROW: No, your Honor.  THE COURT: And, Mr. Cohen, does Mr. Wood expect to call any additional witnesses?  MR. COHEN: No, your Honor.  And I would just ask permission if my character witness can be in the courtroom now.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Ms. Armento.  The only other person we were trying to figure out was Dr. Sataloff, who is Ms. Armento's employer, as a character witness.  However, due to his schedule we've done the run-through technologically. So we have the linkup.  However, he has surgeries scheduled all day tomorrow.  The only other time he could testify would be  Thursday morning between 10:00 to 11:00. I understand we might be out of the defense case. I don't know  THE COURT: We may be out of the defense case. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE COURT: And that's your only witness?  MS. WELETZ: Correct. And I believe that there are no other defense witnesses.  THE COURT: Mr. Lazerow, for instance, does  Ms. Macchio expect to call any witnesses?  MR. LAZEROW: No, your Honor.  THE COURT: And, Mr. Cohen, does Mr. Wood expect to call any additional witnesses?  MR. COHEN: No, your Honor.  And I would just ask permission if my character witness can be in the courtroom now.  THE COURT: Well, we'll talk about that in just a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Ms. Armento.  The only other person we were trying to figure out was Dr. Sataloff, who is Ms. Armento's employer, as a character witness.  However, due to his schedule we've done the run-through technologically. So we have the linkup.  However, he has surgeries scheduled all day tomorrow.  The only other time he could testify would be  Thursday morning between 10:00 to 11:00. I understand we might be out of the defense case. I don't know  THE COURT: We may be out of the defense case. I mean, now would be fine if you want to try and work it out	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE COURT: And that's your only witness?  MS. WELETZ: Correct. And I believe that there are no other defense witnesses.  THE COURT: Mr. Lazerow, for instance, does  Ms. Macchio expect to call any witnesses?  MR. LAZEROW: No, your Honor.  THE COURT: And, Mr. Cohen, does Mr. Wood expect to call any additional witnesses?  MR. COHEN: No, your Honor.  And I would just ask permission if my character witness can be in the courtroom now.  THE COURT: Well, we'll talk about that in just a minute.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Ms. Armento.  The only other person we were trying to figure out was Dr. Sataloff, who is Ms. Armento's employer, as a character witness.  However, due to his schedule we've done the run-through technologically. So we have the linkup.  However, he has surgeries scheduled all day tomorrow.  The only other time he could testify would be  Thursday morning between 10:00 to 11:00. I understand we might be out of the defense case. I don't know  THE COURT: We may be out of the defense case. I mean, now would be fine if you want to try and work it out now. I mean, we've still got time in the afternoon and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE COURT: And that's your only witness?  MS. WELETZ: Correct. And I believe that there are no other defense witnesses.  THE COURT: Mr. Lazerow, for instance, does  Ms. Macchio expect to call any witnesses?  MR. LAZEROW: No, your Honor.  THE COURT: And, Mr. Cohen, does Mr. Wood expect to call any additional witnesses?  MR. COHEN: No, your Honor.  And I would just ask permission if my character witness can be in the courtroom now.  THE COURT: Well, we'll talk about that in just a minute.  And, Ms. Kropf, do you expect to call any additional
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Ms. Armento.  The only other person we were trying to figure out was Dr. Sataloff, who is Ms. Armento's employer, as a character witness.  However, due to his schedule we've done the run-through technologically. So we have the linkup.  However, he has surgeries scheduled all day tomorrow.  The only other time he could testify would be  Thursday morning between 10:00 to 11:00. I understand we might be out of the defense case. I don't know  THE COURT: We may be out of the defense case. I mean, now would be fine if you want to try and work it out now. I mean, we've still got time in the afternoon and tomorrow.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE COURT: And that's your only witness?  MS. WELETZ: Correct. And I believe that there are no other defense witnesses.  THE COURT: Mr. Lazerow, for instance, does  Ms. Macchio expect to call any witnesses?  MR. LAZEROW: No, your Honor.  THE COURT: And, Mr. Cohen, does Mr. Wood expect to call any additional witnesses?  MR. COHEN: No, your Honor.  And I would just ask permission if my character witness can be in the courtroom now.  THE COURT: Well, we'll talk about that in just a minute.  And, Ms. Kropf, do you expect to call any additional witnesses?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Ms. Armento.  The only other person we were trying to figure out was Dr. Sataloff, who is Ms. Armento's employer, as a character witness.  However, due to his schedule we've done the run-through technologically. So we have the linkup.  However, he has surgeries scheduled all day tomorrow.  The only other time he could testify would be  Thursday morning between 10:00 to 11:00. I understand we might be out of the defense case. I don't know  THE COURT: We may be out of the defense case. I mean, now would be fine if you want to try and work it out now. I mean, we've still got time in the afternoon and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE COURT: And that's your only witness?  MS. WELETZ: Correct. And I believe that there are no other defense witnesses.  THE COURT: Mr. Lazerow, for instance, does  Ms. Macchio expect to call any witnesses?  MR. LAZEROW: No, your Honor.  THE COURT: And, Mr. Cohen, does Mr. Wood expect to call any additional witnesses?  MR. COHEN: No, your Honor.  And I would just ask permission if my character witness can be in the courtroom now.  THE COURT: Well, we'll talk about that in just a minute.  And, Ms. Kropf, do you expect to call any additional
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Ms. Armento.  The only other person we were trying to figure out was Dr. Sataloff, who is Ms. Armento's employer, as a character witness.  However, due to his schedule we've done the run-through technologically. So we have the linkup.  However, he has surgeries scheduled all day tomorrow.  The only other time he could testify would be  Thursday morning between 10:00 to 11:00. I understand we might be out of the defense case. I don't know  THE COURT: We may be out of the defense case. I mean, now would be fine if you want to try and work it out now. I mean, we've still got time in the afternoon and tomorrow.  MS. WELETZ: I'm going to try to work it out tonight	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE COURT: And that's your only witness?  MS. WELETZ: Correct. And I believe that there are no other defense witnesses.  THE COURT: Mr. Lazerow, for instance, does  Ms. Macchio expect to call any witnesses?  MR. LAZEROW: No, your Honor.  THE COURT: And, Mr. Cohen, does Mr. Wood expect to call any additional witnesses?  MR. COHEN: No, your Honor.  And I would just ask permission if my character witness can be in the courtroom now.  THE COURT: Well, we'll talk about that in just a minute.  And, Ms. Kropf, do you expect to call any additional witnesses?  MS. KROPF: No, your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Ms. Armento.  The only other person we were trying to figure out was Dr. Sataloff, who is Ms. Armento's employer, as a character witness.  However, due to his schedule we've done the run-through technologically. So we have the linkup.  However, he has surgeries scheduled all day tomorrow.  The only other time he could testify would be  Thursday morning between 10:00 to 11:00. I understand we might be out of the defense case. I don't know  THE COURT: We may be out of the defense case. I mean, now would be fine if you want to try and work it out now. I mean, we've still got time in the afternoon and tomorrow.  MS. WELETZ: I'm going to try to work it out tonight if we can eke out ten minutes from him. But, again, I mean,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE COURT: And that's your only witness?  MS. WELETZ: Correct. And I believe that there are no other defense witnesses.  THE COURT: Mr. Lazerow, for instance, does  Ms. Macchio expect to call any witnesses?  MR. LAZEROW: No, your Honor.  THE COURT: And, Mr. Cohen, does Mr. Wood expect to call any additional witnesses?  MR. COHEN: No, your Honor.  And I would just ask permission if my character witness can be in the courtroom now.  THE COURT: Well, we'll talk about that in just a minute.  And, Ms. Kropf, do you expect to call any additional witnesses?  MS. KROPF: No, your Honor.  THE COURT: And, Mr. McCool, you've already said you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Ms. Armento.  The only other person we were trying to figure out was Dr. Sataloff, who is Ms. Armento's employer, as a character witness.  However, due to his schedule we've done the run-through technologically. So we have the linkup.  However, he has surgeries scheduled all day tomorrow.  The only other time he could testify would be  Thursday morning between 10:00 to 11:00. I understand we might be out of the defense case. I don't know  THE COURT: We may be out of the defense case. I mean, now would be fine if you want to try and work it out now. I mean, we've still got time in the afternoon and tomorrow.  MS. WELETZ: I'm going to try to work it out tonight if we can eke out ten minutes from him. But, again, I mean, if he's in surgery, I can't ask him these people schedule	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE COURT: And that's your only witness?  MS. WELETZ: Correct. And I believe that there are no other defense witnesses.  THE COURT: Mr. Lazerow, for instance, does  Ms. Macchio expect to call any witnesses?  MR. LAZEROW: No, your Honor.  THE COURT: And, Mr. Cohen, does Mr. Wood expect to call any additional witnesses?  MR. COHEN: No, your Honor.  And I would just ask permission if my character witness can be in the courtroom now.  THE COURT: Well, we'll talk about that in just a minute.  And, Ms. Kropf, do you expect to call any additional witnesses?  MS. KROPF: No, your Honor.  THE COURT: And, Mr. McCool, you've already said you do not expect to call witnesses.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Ms. Armento.  The only other person we were trying to figure out was Dr. Sataloff, who is Ms. Armento's employer, as a character witness.  However, due to his schedule we've done the run-through technologically. So we have the linkup.  However, he has surgeries scheduled all day tomorrow.  The only other time he could testify would be  Thursday morning between 10:00 to 11:00. I understand we might be out of the defense case. I don't know  THE COURT: We may be out of the defense case. I mean, now would be fine if you want to try and work it out now. I mean, we've still got time in the afternoon and tomorrow.  MS. WELETZ: I'm going to try to work it out tonight if we can eke out ten minutes from him. But, again, I mean, if he's in surgery, I can't ask him these people schedule years ahead of time for their throat surgery.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE COURT: And that's your only witness?  MS. WELETZ: Correct. And I believe that there are no other defense witnesses.  THE COURT: Mr. Lazerow, for instance, does  Ms. Macchio expect to call any witnesses?  MR. LAZEROW: No, your Honor.  THE COURT: And, Mr. Cohen, does Mr. Wood expect to call any additional witnesses?  MR. COHEN: No, your Honor.  And I would just ask permission if my character witness can be in the courtroom now.  THE COURT: Well, we'll talk about that in just a minute.  And, Ms. Kropf, do you expect to call any additional witnesses?  MS. KROPF: No, your Honor.  THE COURT: And, Mr. McCool, you've already said you do not expect to call witnesses.  MR. McCOOL: That's right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Ms. Armento.  The only other person we were trying to figure out was Dr. Sataloff, who is Ms. Armento's employer, as a character witness.  However, due to his schedule we've done the run-through technologically. So we have the linkup.  However, he has surgeries scheduled all day tomorrow.  The only other time he could testify would be  Thursday morning between 10:00 to 11:00. I understand we might be out of the defense case. I don't know  THE COURT: We may be out of the defense case. I mean, now would be fine if you want to try and work it out now. I mean, we've still got time in the afternoon and tomorrow.  MS. WELETZ: I'm going to try to work it out tonight if we can eke out ten minutes from him. But, again, I mean, if he's in surgery, I can't ask him these people schedule years ahead of time for their throat surgery.  THE COURT: Had you subpoenaed him?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE COURT: And that's your only witness?  MS. WELETZ: Correct. And I believe that there are no other defense witnesses.  THE COURT: Mr. Lazerow, for instance, does Ms. Macchio expect to call any witnesses?  MR. LAZEROW: No, your Honor.  THE COURT: And, Mr. Cohen, does Mr. Wood expect to call any additional witnesses?  MR. COHEN: No, your Honor.  And I would just ask permission if my character witness can be in the courtroom now.  THE COURT: Well, we'll talk about that in just a minute.  And, Ms. Kropf, do you expect to call any additional witnesses?  MS. KROPF: No, your Honor.  THE COURT: And, Mr. McCool, you've already said you do not expect to call witnesses.  MR. McCOOL: That's right.  THE COURT: So have I gotten everybody?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Ms. Armento.  The only other person we were trying to figure out was Dr. Sataloff, who is Ms. Armento's employer, as a character witness.  However, due to his schedule we've done the run-through technologically. So we have the linkup.  However, he has surgeries scheduled all day tomorrow.  The only other time he could testify would be  Thursday morning between 10:00 to 11:00. I understand we might be out of the defense case. I don't know  THE COURT: We may be out of the defense case. I mean, now would be fine if you want to try and work it out now. I mean, we've still got time in the afternoon and tomorrow.  MS. WELETZ: I'm going to try to work it out tonight if we can eke out ten minutes from him. But, again, I mean, if he's in surgery, I can't ask him these people schedule years ahead of time for their throat surgery.  THE COURT: Had you subpoenaed him?  MS. WELETZ: Had I officially subpoenaed him? No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE COURT: And that's your only witness?  MS. WELETZ: Correct. And I believe that there are no other defense witnesses.  THE COURT: Mr. Lazerow, for instance, does  Ms. Macchio expect to call any witnesses?  MR. LAZEROW: No, your Honor.  THE COURT: And, Mr. Cohen, does Mr. Wood expect to call any additional witnesses?  MR. COHEN: No, your Honor.  And I would just ask permission if my character witness can be in the courtroom now.  THE COURT: Well, we'll talk about that in just a minute.  And, Ms. Kropf, do you expect to call any additional witnesses?  MS. KROPF: No, your Honor.  THE COURT: And, Mr. McCool, you've already said you do not expect to call witnesses.  MR. McCOOL: That's right.  THE COURT: So have I gotten everybody?  Ms. Jacques, can I ask you to come up, please.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Ms. Armento.  The only other person we were trying to figure out was Dr. Sataloff, who is Ms. Armento's employer, as a character witness.  However, due to his schedule we've done the run-through technologically. So we have the linkup.  However, he has surgeries scheduled all day tomorrow.  The only other time he could testify would be  Thursday morning between 10:00 to 11:00. I understand we might be out of the defense case. I don't know  THE COURT: We may be out of the defense case. I mean, now would be fine if you want to try and work it out now. I mean, we've still got time in the afternoon and tomorrow.  MS. WELETZ: I'm going to try to work it out tonight if we can eke out ten minutes from him. But, again, I mean, if he's in surgery, I can't ask him these people schedule years ahead of time for their throat surgery.  THE COURT: Had you subpoenaed him?  MS. WELETZ: Had I officially subpoenaed him? No. He is not under subpoena. But I didn't do that because I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE COURT: And that's your only witness?  MS. WELETZ: Correct. And I believe that there are no other defense witnesses.  THE COURT: Mr. Lazerow, for instance, does Ms. Macchio expect to call any witnesses?  MR. LAZEROW: No, your Honor.  THE COURT: And, Mr. Cohen, does Mr. Wood expect to call any additional witnesses?  MR. COHEN: No, your Honor.  And I would just ask permission if my character witness can be in the courtroom now.  THE COURT: Well, we'll talk about that in just a minute.  And, Ms. Kropf, do you expect to call any additional witnesses?  MS. KROPF: No, your Honor.  THE COURT: And, Mr. McCool, you've already said you do not expect to call witnesses.  MR. McCOOL: That's right.  THE COURT: So have I gotten everybody?  Ms. Jacques, can I ask you to come up, please.  MS. JACQUES: (Complies.)

186 188 1 1 THE COURT: And so at this point what I'm hearing is 3:12 p.m. and the following proceedings were had:) 2 2 that no Defendant will testify. Is that correct? Is that THE COURT: You can have a seat. 3 correct? 3 Ms. Weletz, did Ms. Armento hear our discussion at 4 4 MS. JACQUES: Yes. the bench? 5 5 THE COURT: Everybody is saying yes to that. So I MS. WELETZ: Yes. 6 6 would do inquiries of them after we excuse the jury. THE COURT: So if you have a genuine interest in 7 And I do want to follow up with you, Ms. Weletz, 7 calling the witness you've discussed on behalf of 8 8 about the witness out in California. Ms. Armento, I need to know that it, and let's make it 9 9 MS. WELETZ: He's Philadelphia. happen. 10 THE COURT: Philadelphia. I'm getting confused. 10 If what you're saying is you and she are making the 11 11 Philly. Okay. So he could get on a train. decision for whatever reason not to call that witness and 12 MS. WELETZ: He's not far away. That's not the 12 it's Ms. Armento's choice not to, I guess I'd like to be able 13 issue. The issue is --13 to inquire about that. 14 THE COURT: So does the Government expect to put on 14 MS. WELETZ: May I have a moment? 15 15 any rebuttal evidence? THE COURT: But what you've told me is you'd like at 16 16 MS. KERKHOFF: No. We may seek to admit an exhibit least overnight to see if you can make it happen. 17 that is an exhibit from Ms. Lawson's phone where she's 17 MS. WELETZ: I would. I would. 18 discussing how to reach her employer should she get arrested. 18 THE COURT: Is there any chance of just -- I don't 19 But that can be addressed later. I don't think we 19 know -- I think, if we got my friend Norma Thompson and 20 need to call a witness for that. 20 Ms. Laborge and all them in here, we could probably make that 21 21 THE COURT: And so should I have you all rest in happen pretty quickly right now. 22 22 front of the jury now and -- or everybody but Ms. Weletz Is there some way we could accomplish that this 23 while she thinks about what to do and, you know --23 afternoon if we take a break and you make a phone call? 24 24 MS. WELETZ: I anticipate -- we still have MJOA MS. WELETZ: I can call his administrative 25 25 assistant. arguments. So I do have --189 1 1 THE COURT: No. I'm absolutely not keeping you from THE COURT: Because we're going to be talking 2 doing it. It would have to be tomorrow morning, though. anyway. If he could just give us the 10, 15 minutes sometime 3 MS. WELETZ: I get it. 3 between now and 4:45, we might be able to make it happen. 4 MS. KERKHOFF: The Government's concern -- and I 4 MS. WELETZ: I can inquire. 5 5 would just note that we're bringing the jury in for that THE COURT: Okay. So maybe what I should do is take 6 small portion. But I get it. 6 a break now and think through what it is I should be asking 7 THE COURT: I do what I have to do. 7 this jury to do. 8 8 I auess --Because if you're going to call a witness tomorrow, 9 MS. KERKHOFF: This has been in the works for over a 9 I guess I would have that witness testify right before we go 10 10 week now. into closings. So that would be the answer, that I would 11 11 THE COURT: I'm going to excuse them and think this have the jury come back. 12 through. 12 But we'd have to know if that's really going to 13 13 MS. JACQUES: I was going to ask the same question. happen. And I guess who cares? 15 minutes is 15 minutes. 14 Could my witness come in now that she has testified? 14 MS. WELETZ: Yes, your Honor. 15 15 THE COURT: I'm going to excuse the jury now and THE COURT: Why don't we just break for ten minutes 16 we'll talk after that. 16 while I give this some thought and you go see if you can drum 17 MS. JACQUES: Okay. 17 the guy up. 18 18 (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in MS. WELETZ: Yes. 19 open court:) 19 THE COURT: Thank you. 20 20 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to (Thereupon a recess was taken, after which the 21 21 take a break now just while I sort things out with the following proceedings were had:) THE COURT: We're going to pick back up. I don't 22 lawyers. And so I'm going to ask you to come back in 22 23 23 20 minutes. I will see you then. think we need to re-call the case. 24 24 Counsel for the Government are here. Thank you. 25 25 (Whereupon, the jury exited the courtroom at We've got people scurrying out. Everybody for

Page 186 to 189 of 236

190 192 1 1 Acela after that? You could always fly, I guess. Ms. Macchio is here but Ms. Macchio, I guess, is going to be 2 2 brought back in. MS. KROPF: We're right here. There's a 2:00 and a 3 Ms. Simmons is here with counsel. 3 3:00. I think there's a 3:00 and a 4:00. Honestly, I plan 4 4 to walk over to Union Station from here, leaving at 3:00, Mr. Wood is here with counsel. 5 Mr. Harris is here with counsel. catch the 4:00. In puts me in at 6:46. I'll be at the party 6 6 Ms. Lawson is here with counsel. at 7:30. I'm good with that. 7 7 THE COURT: Can you just ask Sidney to come out. We're waiting for Ms. Armento and counsel to come on THE DEPUTY CLERK: Yes. 8 back in. 8 9 9 (Thereupon, Ms. Armento and THE COURT: If I rearrange all my morning matters, 10 Ms. Weletz entered the courtroom 10 including the other riot status hearing, to 2:00, I think 11 11 that's my answer. I'll just put everything to 2:00. We'll and the following proceedings 12 were had:) 12 start at 9:30 and we'll quit at 1:00 and you'll get out of --13 THE COURT: Here's Ms. Armento. 13 whenever you get there. 14 14 This is what I want to do: I want to ask MR. LAZEROW: I would very much appreciate that. 15 15 Mr. Lazerow to come up to the bench and -- it's about the And I'm okay if that's not the way it works. 16 16 scheduling concerns he raised earlier. (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in 17 (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at 17 open court:) 18 18 side-bar:) THE COURT: Now everybody's here. I was discussing with Mr. Lazerow his personal 19 THE COURT: When do you have to be out of here 19 20 20 scheduling issue Friday. Friday to make it to a family member's rehearsal? You can't 21 21 miss that. Here's how I want to work it out: Like I said, if 22 22 MR. LAZEROW: If I'm in there at 4:00, I'll be okay. we aren't going to get anything done Friday, I was going to 23 THE COURT: That's a two- or three-hour process. 23 make you come back here. But it kind of looks like we're 24 MR. LAZEROW: I'm currently on a 12:00 Acela. My 24 getting to closing arguments on Thursday and we could really 25 plan was to change it to the 4:00. You said you had a 25 use this time on Friday. So what I'm proposing is I have a 191 193 1 holiday party --1 bunch of stuff scheduled Friday morning, including the status 2 THE COURT: Let's say -hearing with the next trial group. 3 MR. LAZEROW: -- sometime around 3:00? I thought I 3 What I think I'm going to do is just move everything 4 might have heard you say that. that I have set on Friday in the morning to 2:00 p.m. and 5 THE COURT: I was going to say, what time is the 5 we'll just start at 9:30, get as far as we get by 1:00 and 6 party starting? 6 then we'll break. What that means is we'll probably be 7 MR. LAZEROW: 5:30. 7 picking up on Monday anyway. And the case -- if we had to 8 8 THE COURT: That's not so good. pick up on Monday, the case would get to the jury on Monday. 9 9 MR. LAZEROW: It's going to go for a while. I'm not so certain we're getting through everything 10 10 THE COURT: What family member is it? on Friday anyway. I'd like to be able to accommodate 11 11 MR. LAZEROW: My youngest brother. important personal matters if that can happen. 12 THE COURT: So I really can't --12 So everybody's given up a lot to be here over the 13 13 MR. LAZEROW: I don't want to hold these people up. last couple of weeks. Is that okay with everybody? 14 I can be three or four hours late. It's not a big deal, I 14 MR. McCOOL: What are we doing on Friday? What are 15 promise you. 15 we working on? I'm confused. 16 THE COURT: If I could -- should we try to get you 16 THE COURT: It was my -- I was thinking out loud the 17 17 out of here so you can catch the 3:00 at least? other day and Mr. Lazerow got concerned. I was saying though 18 18 MR. LAZEROW: It doesn't matter, really. An hour is generally we would not be in trial on Fridays, I'm keeping 19 not going to matter. 19 flexible this Friday because to the extent that we're in 20 20 THE COURT: It does, kind of. closings or in some part of the case where we could really 21 21 MR. LAZEROW: Huh? make progress, I would like to. THE COURT: It does matter. I don't want to make 22 22 MR. McCOOL: Okay. 23 23 you late. THE COURT: So my proposal is -- originally I was 24 You know what I could do? So you were trying to 24 thinking we could take a chunk of time after I call whatever 25 leave on a 12:00 train to get you in at 3:00. Is there an 25 cases I have on Friday morning. But I have learned that that

194 196 1 1 would mess Mr. Lazerow up in a big way. now, is my understanding. 2 2 MR. McCOOL: A real big way. THE COURT: And so I spoke to Ms. Rice, who at this 3 3 THE COURT: So what I am proposing is that if it point I'm going to have to give up my firstborn, which she 4 4 wouldn't want. makes sense, if something happens so that Friday does not --5 5 not being here at all on Friday doesn't make sense, So do you think that your witness is going to have 6 obviously, we can revisit it. But it's looking to me like 6 some flexibility between now and 4:45 just so she can make it 7 7 using Friday morning, 9:30 to 1:00, would be a very useful, work? 8 8 productive chunk of time. MS. WELETZ: Yes. 9 9 MR. LAZEROW: Can I ask one question? THE COURT: So she's going to call Ms. Hodge and 10 THE COURT: Yes. 10 tell us whether it's going to happen or not. 11 MR. LAZEROW: If we were to end the case, everyone's MS. WELETZ: That would be great. 11 12 closed, rebuttal, the whole thing is done at 1:00, would your 12 THE COURT: Now I've got the jury sitting back 13 plan be to get the case to the jury after 1:00? If that's 13 there. And I just need to ask them to go get coffee 14 the case, I definitely won't want to cut that out. I'm sure 14 interminably for the next --15 15 MS. WELETZ: And to enjoy the coffee. this jury wants to get the case. 16 16 THE COURT: I'm not sure what you're saying. Obviously, for the record, your Honor, I have a full 17 MR. LAZEROW: What I'm saying is --17 schedule on Friday. If this takes precedence, I will be 18 THE COURT: If we're really done by 1:00 as in I've 18 here; but I may be giving your Honor a list of judges I will 19 19 already instructed them, the whole thing is tied up in a bow, not be appearing before Friday morning. 20 20 yes. I would have them deliberate. MS. JACQUES: The same thing with me. 21 21 MR. LAZEROW: Then I'm going to stay. THE COURT: I know. It's a bad thing to do. But 22 22 THE COURT: It's not like -- honestly, you're not it's important. Personal stuff like Mr. Lazerow has -- he's 23 going to be missing much. 23 got to go. He's going to be in so much trouble if he doesn't 24 MS. KROPF: If they have a verdict before 4:45, I'd 24 go. I know what it's like to be in trial. 25 25 like to be here. It's that simple. I mean, I don't want to In terms of other judges, I'll do what you need me 195 197 1 say. You can't --1 to do. I will tell them it's all my fault. 2 2 THE COURT: That's just not going to happen. So I'm going to ask Ms. Hodge to tell the jurors to 3 3 MR. LAZEROW: If you order me. remain on standby --4 THE COURT: But that said, there's just no way we're 4 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Okay. 5 5 finishing by 1:00. Plus, we're going to have to talk about THE COURT: -- meaning if they want to get coffee, 6 exhibits --6 fine, of course, but they have to come back to the jury room. 7 MR. LAZEROW: Okay. 7 And is it okay if I say --8 8 THE COURT: -- and all that. And so I doubt THE DEPUTY CLERK: The coffee shop is closed. 9 seriously this case is getting to the jury by 1:00 Friday. 9 THE COURT: Whatever you suggest. 10 It would be great if it did. If it did and you wanted to 10 And that we respect their time, but there's one 11 stay here, that would be up to you. But what I'm saying is, 11 possible witness we'll hear from. We won't specify whose 12 I will reschedule my morning stuff on Friday to 2:00 p.m. so 12 witness. 13 13 that I can --The only way it's going to happen is this afternoon, 14 MR. LAZEROW: Thank you, your Honor. 14 as I understand it, Ms. Weletz. Is that the only option you have? 15 THE COURT: -- get through at least what we can get 15 16 through. 16 MS. WELETZ: No. That's not the only option. 17 17 So that's why I asked about having everything, I believe that he said that he'd do as much as he 18 18 including our friends from the next trial, the status could to work something out early tomorrow morning. But I 19 hearing -- everything is being moved to 2:00. 19 don't --20 20 And maybe show me the calendar so I can organize it THE COURT: How about tomorrow afternoon? Because 21 21 a little. the jury wouldn't be back tomorrow morning. 22 THE LAW CLERK: Okay. 22 MS. WELETZ: Tomorrow afternoon is going to be less 23 23 THE COURT: Where are we, Ms. Weletz, on your of a likelihood. So that's why I focused him on right now, 24 witness? 24 on this afternoon. 25 25 MS. WELETZ: He is in touch with technology right THE COURT: I need to know from you, like he's up in

25

whenever the Court would like.

24

25

in agreement.

that Ms. Weletz has explained this whole situation. We are

MS. HEINE: We're fine now and ready to go forward

202 204 1 1 THE COURT: So should we wait until tomorrow to taking all of the evidence in the light most favorable to the 2 discuss your motion? Because I can't intelligently discuss a 2 Government, that there was any willful engagement on the part 3 pleading that I've never read. So I can just hear your 3 of Ms. Armento. 4 4 argument and not --We obviously have no evidence given right now that 5 5 MS. HEINE: We're happy to argue it. shows that Ms. Armento was definitively in Logan Circle, 6 6 THE COURT: Why do you make them write all this despite the fact that the Government has gone to a lot of 7 stuff? Can't we just have a conversation? 7 expense to create these foam boards. 8 8 MR. LAZEROW: If we didn't have a record, we would There is no real identification in this case other 9 9 have a problem. than I believe, your Honor, in the Crowne Plaza video. 10 THE COURT: I can't rule on something that I haven't 10 The testimony --11 11 read. And so had I gotten it, say, last night --THE COURT: Hold on one second. 12 MS. HEINE: That's fine, your Honor. 12 Can I ask you to pull the white boards, the ones 13 THE COURT: -- or 48 hours ago --13 that purport to show Ms. Armento. 14 14 MR. LAZEROW: We thought as a formal matter the MS. KERKHOFF: Can we put it with the other boards, 15 15 Government hadn't rested yet, your Honor. subject to the admission of exhibits we weren't permitted to 16 THE COURT: I know. 16 do --17 MR. LAZEROW: So that's our issue. We're filing it 17 THE COURT: Yes. 18 right this second, I believe. 18 MS. KERKHOFF: -- the processing boards? Thank you. 19 19 THE COURT: I'm not saying -- I just can't respond Just Ms. Armento? 20 20 to it. THE COURT: Yes. 21 21 MR. LAZEROW: We understand. MS. KERKHOFF: I'll put it up. 22 22 THE COURT: Nor can the Government. THE COURT: And this is Exhibit 314. And so Exhibit 23 Moving to Ms. Armento, that's next. Right? 23 314 is the board that purports to show Ms. Armento. 24 24 MS. WELETZ: Yes, your Honor. And I know that the Government's position is that, 25 25 THE COURT: Ms. Weletz, is Ms. Armento moving for taken together, all of the evidence shows that she was 203 205 1 1 judgments of acquittal on all counts? present, I guess, shortly after Logan Circle. No one has put 2 MS. WELETZ: Yes, your Honor. And I have oral -her I think in Logan Circle, but where she is put is right on 3 3 THE COURT: How about just talk to me about -- say 13th Street before the gas station. 4 that again. 4 The next location is at 13th and M. 5 5 MS. WELETZ: I have oral representations. I don't The next location is at 12th and I Street, I guess. 6 6 have something in writing to give to your Honor. And then the next location is at the Crowne Plaza. THE COURT: I'm not asking for anything in writing. 7 7 And the next is at the location of the kettle. 8 8 I'm happy to hear you orally. MS. WELETZ: So believing, obviously, that each of 9 And so we're going to reserve the inciting 9 those individuals is Ms. Armento, which your Honor has to 10 10 discussion for after the other counts. assume for motions of judgments of acquittal, I don't 11 11 So just talk to me about Ms. Armento and the actually believe that evidence is in the trial right now. I 12 participating, engaging count and the conspiracy count --12 don't think that Detective Pemberton actually -- his 13 13 MS. WELETZ: Yes, your Honor. testimony actually establishes that there is someone wearing 14 THE COURT: -- and the destruction of property 14 a backpack on 13th Street Northwest before the BP gas 15 count. 15 station. If you watch the actual video associated with 16 MS. WELETZ: Of course, most of my argument was on 16 Exhibit 129, this person is on the far right side of the 17 17 inciting; but I will flip to engaging. street, farthest away from the BP gas station, walking down. 18 18 Regarding Count 2, engaging in riot, obviously, your The only thing that we see is this part of the 19 Honor, for them to prove this misdemeanor offense, I do 19 person in a partial side view. They then give you this No. 2 20 20 believe that obviously there was an assemblage of five or area around 13th and M Street. Again, we only see the back 21 21 more people, including the Defendant at some points. of the individual. 22 But, of course, I believe that they haven't proven 22 Detective Pemberton's testimony was that he saw 23 23 the third prong of engaging in a riot, which requires that other purple backpacks, but that looking at the whole 24 that person willfully engaged in the riot. 24 description of the person, including the shoes and the 25 I don't think that there's any evidence right now, 25 hoodie, that this is the combination that he saw.

But again, I don't think that's enough to make that Ms. Armento.

I think that obviously the Crowne Plaza video is the best representation of someone that is Ms. Armento.

During my questioning of Detective Pemberton, it is very clear from that video that Ms. Armento is not amongst the group in the street. She is on the sidewalk. She is moving quite quickly away from the group at that point in time.

She passes the Crowne Plaza before any type of projectile is launched, before the Starbucks window is broken. Therefore, she was already past that point before she saw any type of destruction.

The Government's entire case is that this person allegedly showed up and she opted not to disengage.

Of course, I don't believe that that's necessary under the jury instructions.

You obviously can't -- her being there, her mere presence, is not enough. There has to be some sort of willful engagement. Presence is not willful engagement.

You can't even argue that she saw any of the things that the Government says occurred based on these photos where they allegedly place her specifically. I would refer you to the Crowne Plaza video and my cross of Detective Pemberton.

THE COURT: I just have a question for you.

Can you address the footage that has her at 12th and L and effectively, assuming I reject your ID argument, actively in the group charging? In other words, moving forward with the group that's charged after the countdown, not being pushed, progressing forward with group, charging?

MS. WELETZ: A couple things.

That's obviously the -- the identification is in 5, which I believe is a very poor-quality photo.

Obviously, at some point, she's photographed at **10** 12th and L. Right?

But this photo of her does not look at all like any of the other photos. The shirt is completely different.

And that's the only photo that she is allegedly in this group. There's no indication that she's on the left-hand side of the street as opposed to the right-hand side of the street. We saw in multiple videos, there are individuals on the left-hand side of the street that have nothing to do with this charge.

The other thing that I would point your Honor to is -- I'm going to call him the man in the blue plaid shirt. There was obviously pushing going on.

If your Honor believes that that person near the line of police is Ms. Armento -- again, I don't believe the evidence shows that -- there are a lot of people that are caught up in this pushing. There were a lot of bodies.

There were a lot of police officers pushing people. We saw
 from Ms. Lawson's exhibit there were -- the police were
 actually behind the kettle with batons telling people to move
 forward towards the police line.

So I think that that's actually evidence that those people were being pushed, not only by other bodies, but also by the police. They were being forced towards the police line.

And the man in the blue and the white plaid shirt that we saw several times during this trial, he was let go because they decided that he wasn't part of the charge although he was in front of the charge when he fell. He was in one of the first two lines.

So there were people that were caught up in it.

And I don't think that there is enough evidence,
assuming everything in the light most favorable to the
Government, that there is any willful engagement.

She ended up at 12th and L somehow. She was herded there by police. She was forced into an area. If she did get near the police line, it is because she was shoved. And she was herded by the police and other bodies because there were a lot of people in that area.

And again, it can't -- she can't participate inadvertently or accidently. I think that at most, the testimony shows that that's how she came to be where she was

and get that photo, Exhibit 507, the arrest photo, taken.

THE COURT: Would you please address the destruction of the property and the conspiracy counts.

MS. WELETZ: Well, I don't think the Government has
proved that she intentionally joined in any type of
agreement.

Obviously, there was no information taken off of her phone because she had an iPhone that was locked. There's no evidence that she took part in any type of planning meeting. There's no evidence that she talked to anybody, any groups, any organizers, anybody that day.

The Government doesn't put her at Logan Circle, sothere's no evidence that she knew to be at Logan Circlespecifically at a certain time.

So with a lack of communication, again, she just -it's her mere presence. You have her mere presence. You
have her arrest photograph being taken at 12th and L. And
that mere presence is not enough. There's nothing to show
that she joined in any type of agreement, that she knew that
there would be any type of violence. There's no specific
evidence that she saw any of the violence and said: Okay.
I'm not going to leave.

By her mere presence, she -- first of all, I don't believe under the law she has to leave when she sees a window being broken. I don't believe that if you see someone being

1 robbed you have to run the other way. Right? I believe that 2 mere presence to something criminal does not make you a 3 criminal.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

But there absolutely is no evidence in the trial right now that she somehow intentionally joined an agreement, that she knew there was going to be a riot, a destruction of property or anything like that.

In fact, her clothing itself shows that there's -there was no preplanning for this appearance. She's wearing things -- she's not dressed entirely in black. She's wearing things that actually show she probably -- if that is her, she probably didn't plan well. She's wearing distinctive purple backpack. She's wearing hikers that are very light in color. It's not like she's wearing head-to-toe black with, you know, a gas mask and a black bandanna over her face. She is wearing identifiable objects, which I think

goes to the fact that there wasn't any type of preplanning or knowledge.

Maybe she came to march. Maybe she came to protest. But there's no conspiracy regarding Ms. Armento that has been

22 And I believe the Government has conceded that she 23 wasn't involved in any type of destruction of property. 24 There is no evidence that she ever had a weapon on her that 25 day, that she ever even saw any specific destruction of

property or that she in any way participated in it.

They have her near the Crowne Plaza and they have her near the BP in these videos. Again, the BP video has her on the far right-hand side of the street, if you believe that that's her, away from the BP station. So there are literally 10 to 12 people in between her. There's a mass of humanity -- she's on the right. And there's a mass of humanity that's closer to the BP and the Crowne Plaza she passes before anything occurs.

Yes. I think that is all, other than obviously my argument on inciting.

12 THE COURT: And the destruction of property counts 13 are Bank of America --

14 MS. KERKHOFF: The --

15 THE COURT: -- and, yes, the Starbucks?

16 MS. KERKHOFF: Yes. The Starbucks, the Bank of 17

America, the Atrium Cafe, the McDonald's and the second

18 Starbucks located at the Crowne Plaza.

19 THE COURT: Okay. Those are in order of --20

MS. KERKHOFF: Count 4 is the Starbucks.

21 THE COURT: So the Starbucks is first.

22 MS. KERKHOFF: The Starbucks on I Street. Yes.

23 THE COURT: And then the Bank of America and then

24 Atrium Cafe is next?

25 MS. KERKHOFF: Yes. 1 THE COURT: McDonald's.

2 Crowne Plaza happens chronologically after?

3 MS. KERKHOFF: Yes.

4 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

5 I think it would help me to hear Ms. Kerkhoff's

6 arguments. Let's just focus on Ms. Armento --

7 MS. KERKHOFF: Yes.

8

15

16

17

18

19

211

THE COURT: -- not to make her feel bad.

9 So assume that her face is uncovered at a certain 10 point. A juror can look at her and look at the board and 11 look at the videos and see that she was present. The

12 uncovered face is at the Crowne Plaza.

13 And let's just say for purposes of argument that 14 identity is not the issue I'm asking about.

Assume we put her on the street at -- on 13th Street before the BP. Let's just say -- what do I know about that? I know what she's wearing. She's wearing mostly black, face covered, most of the time or some of the time, purple backpack.

20 And to the extent she's out there on 13th Street 21 passing the BP, I think the footage that you showed has her 22 marching. This is before the crowd has gotten really loud. 23 And you can't see in the video that she's aware of or seeing 24 what's happening in the BP.

25 So at least at that point, she's pure of mind.

1 Right? Let's say she's out there in her black clothing, but,

213

you know, nothing's gotten violent yet.

3 The next one has her at 13th and M, just when the 4 yellow newspaper receptacles are being put in the street 5 right in front of her. There's cheering. So she's in a 6 position, I guess, to see yellow things getting pulled out

7 into the street.

8

11

14

MS. KERKHOFF: Correct.

9 THE COURT: Let's just say right there. This is

10 before a riot is declared, I believe, by the commander.

MS. KERKHOFF: Correct.

12 THE COURT: Is it your position that things are at a

13 riot yet in that moment?

MS. KERKHOFF: In that moment?

15 THE COURT: Yes.

16 MS. KERKHOFF: I do believe at that moment we are in

17 a land of riot. I don't think that's controlling for

18 purposes of this discussion.

19 What I would say is that Ms. Armento at 13th and M, 20 we also have -- that we've got a trash can on fire. And I 21 think the Court has video that begins to show how loud things

22 are.

23 The side of the street Ms. Armento is on as it came 24 down is the same side of the street as the Au Bon Pain. The 25 number of incidents, as demonstrated in Government's Exhibit

<b>1</b> 3	10, begin to escalate in terms of		216
2	THE COURT: Excuse me for one second.	1	THE COURT: Hi. I'm Judge Leibovitz. Give us one
3	Let's just stop this discussion.	2	second. We're bringing the jury in.
4	Ms. Armento's witness is connected up by the	3	(Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom at 4:17
_	niraculous Ms. Rice here. I think what I'd like to do is	4	p.m. and the following proceedings were had:)
_	est the line.	5	THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen.
7		6	I appreciate your patience. We're going to have a
	We'll just take a five-minute break. Please don't	7	witness testify remotely from another location. We can
9	o far away. We're going to tell the jury five minutes.	8	consider this a Superior Court miracle. It took us a few
	I would suggest, Ms. Weletz, that you can give him a	9	minutes to work out the details, so I appreciate your waiting
	all and make sure he knows he's about to testify and not to	10	and being patient.
	o anywhere.	11	Ms. Weletz, this is a witness you're calling on
12	MS. WELETZ: Yes.	12	behalf of Ms. Armento?
13	THE COURT: I'm taking a break. Thank you.	13	MS. WELETZ: Yes, your Honor.
14	(Thereupon a recess was taken, after which the	14	THE COURT: And who is your witness?
	ollowing proceedings were had:)	15	MS. WELETZ: This is Dr. Robert Sataloff.
16	THE COURT: Good afternoon.	16	THE COURT: Sir, you'll need to be sworn. And can
17	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Re-calling United States	17	you raise your right hand, please.
	ersus Jennifer Armento, 2017 CF2 1193, et al.	18	ROBERT SATALOFF, M.D., DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN
19	THE COURT: Good afternoon.	19	THE COURT: And you may proceed, Ms. Weletz.
20	All counsel are here.	20	I guess, sir, if you're not hearing, you've got to
21	All Defendants are here.	21	let us know. Okay? Thank you very much.
22	Is our witness available to us?	22	MS. WELETZ: Thank you.
23	Ms. Weletz, are you ready to go?	23	THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor.
24	MS. WELETZ: Yes, your Honor.	24	DIRECT EXAMINATION
25	I wanted to know whether I can inquire why we're	25	
	215		Sataloff - DIRECT - By Ms. Weletz
	oing the phone call this way as opposed to giving an		217
	nstruction to the jury.	1	BY MS. WELETZ:
3	THE COURT: Does anybody have an objection to that?	2	Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Sataloff. Can you please say
4 ⊦	le's going to talk about his	3	and spell your name for the court reporter.
5	MS. KERKHOFF: I'd prefer not a lengthy discussion	4	A. Robert, R-o-b-e-r-t, Sataloff, S-a-t-a-l-o-f-f.
	bout a schedule other than he was unable to be here this	6	Q. Thank you, Dr. Sataloff.
	ftarnaan far		Just so the jury isn't confused the reason we're
8	fternoon for	_	Just so the jury isn't confused, the reason we're
9 №	THE COURT: Here's the only thing I don't want,	7	doing this via a conference call, videoconference call, is
<b>10</b> S		7	doing this via a conference call, videoconference call, is because of your very busy surgery and work schedule.
11	THE COURT: Here's the only thing I don't want,	7 8 9	doing this via a conference call, videoconference call, is because of your very busy surgery and work schedule.  Correct?
	THE COURT: Here's the only thing I don't want, ls. Weletz. People want to talk at length about themselves.	7 8 9 10	doing this via a conference call, videoconference call, is because of your very busy surgery and work schedule.  Correct?  A. Correct.
<b>12</b> c	THE COURT: Here's the only thing I don't want, is. Weletz. People want to talk at length about themselves. o could you just lead him on that.	7 8 9 10 11	doing this via a conference call, videoconference call, is because of your very busy surgery and work schedule.  Correct?  A. Correct. Q. Thank you for being here.
<b>12</b> c	THE COURT: Here's the only thing I don't want, Is. Weletz. People want to talk at length about themselves. o could you just lead him on that. MS. WELETZ: I will try. I will do my best. I will	7 8 9 10 11 12	doing this via a conference call, videoconference call, is because of your very busy surgery and work schedule.  Correct?  A. Correct.  Q. Thank you for being here.  Dr. Sataloff, without stating your actual address,
13	THE COURT: Here's the only thing I don't want, Is. Weletz. People want to talk at length about themselves. o could you just lead him on that.  MS. WELETZ: I will try. I will do my best. I will ut him off.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	doing this via a conference call, videoconference call, is because of your very busy surgery and work schedule.  Correct?  A. Correct. Q. Thank you for being here. Dr. Sataloff, without stating your actual address, where do you live?
13 14 b	THE COURT: Here's the only thing I don't want, Is. Weletz. People want to talk at length about themselves. o could you just lead him on that. MS. WELETZ: I will try. I will do my best. I will ut him off. THE COURT: Ask him if the reason we're doing this	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	doing this via a conference call, videoconference call, is because of your very busy surgery and work schedule.  Correct?  A. Correct. Q. Thank you for being here. Dr. Sataloff, without stating your actual address, where do you live?  A. Outside Philadelphia. I work in Philadelphia.
13 14 b	THE COURT: Here's the only thing I don't want,  Is. Weletz. People want to talk at length about themselves.  Is could you just lead him on that.  MS. WELETZ: I will try. I will do my best. I will  withim off.  THE COURT: Ask him if the reason we're doing this  y phone is because he has a very busy surgery schedule and	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	doing this via a conference call, videoconference call, is because of your very busy surgery and work schedule.  Correct?  A. Correct.  Q. Thank you for being here.  Dr. Sataloff, without stating your actual address, where do you live?  A. Outside Philadelphia. I work in Philadelphia.  Q. And for work, what do you do, Dr. Sataloff?
13 14 b 15 it	THE COURT: Here's the only thing I don't want, Is. Weletz. People want to talk at length about themselves. The could you just lead him on that.  MS. WELETZ: I will try. I will do my best. I will ut him off.  THE COURT: Ask him if the reason we're doing this y phone is because he has a very busy surgery schedule and is better for his patients to appear	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	doing this via a conference call, videoconference call, is because of your very busy surgery and work schedule.  Correct?  A. Correct. Q. Thank you for being here. Dr. Sataloff, without stating your actual address, where do you live?  A. Outside Philadelphia. I work in Philadelphia. Q. And for work, what do you do, Dr. Sataloff? A. I am a professor and chairman of the otolaryngology
13 14 b 15 it	THE COURT: Here's the only thing I don't want, Is. Weletz. People want to talk at length about themselves. Is could you just lead him on that.  MS. WELETZ: I will try. I will do my best. I will ut him off.  THE COURT: Ask him if the reason we're doing this y phone is because he has a very busy surgery schedule and its better for his patients to appear  MS. WELETZ: Yes.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	doing this via a conference call, videoconference call, is because of your very busy surgery and work schedule.  Correct?  A. Correct.  Q. Thank you for being here.  Dr. Sataloff, without stating your actual address, where do you live?  A. Outside Philadelphia. I work in Philadelphia.  Q. And for work, what do you do, Dr. Sataloff?
13 14 b 15 it 16 17	THE COURT: Here's the only thing I don't want,  Is. Weletz. People want to talk at length about themselves.  o could you just lead him on that.  MS. WELETZ: I will try. I will do my best. I will  ut him off.  THE COURT: Ask him if the reason we're doing this  y phone is because he has a very busy surgery schedule and  's better for his patients to appear  MS. WELETZ: Yes.  THE COURT: telephonically.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	doing this via a conference call, videoconference call, is because of your very busy surgery and work schedule.  Correct?  A. Correct. Q. Thank you for being here. Dr. Sataloff, without stating your actual address, where do you live?  A. Outside Philadelphia. I work in Philadelphia. Q. And for work, what do you do, Dr. Sataloff? A. I am a professor and chairman of the otolaryngology department and senior associate dean at Drexel University
13 14 b 15 it 16 17 18	THE COURT: Here's the only thing I don't want,  Is. Weletz. People want to talk at length about themselves.  Is o could you just lead him on that.  MS. WELETZ: I will try. I will do my best. I will  with him off.  THE COURT: Ask him if the reason we're doing this  y phone is because he has a very busy surgery schedule and  so better for his patients to appear  MS. WELETZ: Yes.  THE COURT: telephonically.  MS. WELETZ: No problem.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	doing this via a conference call, videoconference call, is because of your very busy surgery and work schedule.  Correct?  A. Correct.  Q. Thank you for being here.  Dr. Sataloff, without stating your actual address, where do you live?  A. Outside Philadelphia. I work in Philadelphia.  Q. And for work, what do you do, Dr. Sataloff?  A. I am a professor and chairman of the otolaryngology department and senior associate dean at Drexel University  College of Medicine and also adjunct professor at Jefferson
13 14 b 15 it 16 17 18 19 20	THE COURT: Here's the only thing I don't want, Is. Weletz. People want to talk at length about themselves. Is could you just lead him on that.  MS. WELETZ: I will try. I will do my best. I will but him off.  THE COURT: Ask him if the reason we're doing this by phone is because he has a very busy surgery schedule and but shim off.  THE COURT: Yes.  THE COURT: telephonically.  MS. WELETZ: No problem.  THE COURT: And so can we bring in the jury.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	doing this via a conference call, videoconference call, is because of your very busy surgery and work schedule.  Correct?  A. Correct. Q. Thank you for being here. Dr. Sataloff, without stating your actual address, where do you live? A. Outside Philadelphia. I work in Philadelphia. Q. And for work, what do you do, Dr. Sataloff? A. I am a professor and chairman of the otolaryngology department and senior associate dean at Drexel University  College of Medicine and also adjunct professor at Jefferson and Temple Schools of Medicine.
13 14 b 15 it 16 17 18 19 20	THE COURT: Here's the only thing I don't want,  Is. Weletz. People want to talk at length about themselves.  Is o could you just lead him on that.  MS. WELETZ: I will try. I will do my best. I will  with him off.  THE COURT: Ask him if the reason we're doing this  y phone is because he has a very busy surgery schedule and  s better for his patients to appear  MS. WELETZ: Yes.  THE COURT: telephonically.  MS. WELETZ: No problem.  THE COURT: And so can we bring in the jury.  Yes. He can now listen. And let's just get him	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	doing this via a conference call, videoconference call, is because of your very busy surgery and work schedule.  Correct?  A. Correct. Q. Thank you for being here. Dr. Sataloff, without stating your actual address, where do you live? A. Outside Philadelphia. I work in Philadelphia. Q. And for work, what do you do, Dr. Sataloff? A. I am a professor and chairman of the otolaryngology department and senior associate dean at Drexel University  College of Medicine and also adjunct professor at Jefferson and Temple Schools of Medicine. I also chair two nonprofits and have numerous other
13 14 b 15 it 16 17 18 19 20 21 v	THE COURT: Here's the only thing I don't want, Is. Weletz. People want to talk at length about themselves. Is o could you just lead him on that.  MS. WELETZ: I will try. I will do my best. I will but him off.  THE COURT: Ask him if the reason we're doing this by phone is because he has a very busy surgery schedule and but shim off.  MS. WELETZ: Yes.  THE COURT: telephonically.  MS. WELETZ: No problem.  THE COURT: And so can we bring in the jury.  Yes. He can now listen. And let's just get him isible and audible.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	doing this via a conference call, videoconference call, is because of your very busy surgery and work schedule.  Correct?  A. Correct. Q. Thank you for being here. Dr. Sataloff, without stating your actual address, where do you live?  A. Outside Philadelphia. I work in Philadelphia. Q. And for work, what do you do, Dr. Sataloff? A. I am a professor and chairman of the otolaryngology department and senior associate dean at Drexel University  College of Medicine and also adjunct professor at Jefferson and Temple Schools of Medicine. I also chair two nonprofits and have numerous other activities. I conduct a university choir and orchestra and I
13 14 b 15 it 16 17 18 19 20 21 v 22 23	THE COURT: Here's the only thing I don't want,  Is. Weletz. People want to talk at length about themselves.  Is o could you just lead him on that.  MS. WELETZ: I will try. I will do my best. I will  In thim off.  THE COURT: Ask him if the reason we're doing this  If y phone is because he has a very busy surgery schedule and  Is better for his patients to appear  MS. WELETZ: Yes.  THE COURT: telephonically.  MS. WELETZ: No problem.  THE COURT: And so can we bring in the jury.  Yes. He can now listen. And let's just get him  isible and audible.  (Witness appearing on courtroom screen.)	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	doing this via a conference call, videoconference call, is because of your very busy surgery and work schedule.  Correct?  A. Correct. Q. Thank you for being here. Dr. Sataloff, without stating your actual address, where do you live? A. Outside Philadelphia. I work in Philadelphia. Q. And for work, what do you do, Dr. Sataloff? A. I am a professor and chairman of the otolaryngology department and senior associate dean at Drexel University College of Medicine and also adjunct professor at Jefferson and Temple Schools of Medicine. I also chair two nonprofits and have numerous other activities. I conduct a university choir and orchestra and I do hold elective office. I have been a Republican committee

214

Sataloff - DIRECT - By Ms. Weletz

	Sataloff DIRECT By Mc Wolatz		Sataloff DIDECT By Mc Wolotz
	Sataloff - DIRECT - By Ms. Weletz 218		Sataloff - DIRECT - By Ms. Weletz 220
1	know Ms. Jennifer Armento?	1	last question.
2	A. Yes.	2	But you do need to lay a foundation. So you have
3	Q. And how do you know Jennifer Armento?	3	done that halfway.
4	A. Jennifer has been a full-time employee of mine for	4	BY MS. WELETZ:
5	about nine years.	5	Q. When you say "the community in which she works," can
6	Q. And as a full-time employee, what does she do for	6	you describe the community for which she works in her
7	you, Dr. Sataloff?	7	capacity as an administrative assistant for you?
8	A. She is an out-of-office administrator,	8	A. She interacts with almost everybody with whom I do
9	administrative assistant. She manages our home. She	9	business, ranging from my office staff to contractors to dry
10	subcontracts employees. She runs errands. She selects	10	cleaners to tailors to caterers through construction workers.
11	people to do work for us and supervises the work.	11	Many of those have been the same people throughout her entire
12	For a while, she took care of my children, who are	12	tenure. They know her well. And she represents me and she
13	now 25. She also took care of our animals when we were out	13	represents my wife, who is also a surgery department chair
14	of town. She works for us five days a week and occasionally	14	and a surgery professor at the University of Pennsylvania.
15	on evenings and weekends. So we have extensive contact with	15	So she has keys to our home and represents us among
16	her.	16	our friends and among the various people who do work for us.
17	Q. And is she still employed by you?	17	Q. And speaking of those people that you've cited, your
18	A. Yes, she is.	18	friends and all of those people that you do work with and
19	Q. And, Dr. Sataloff, where does she physically work?	19	your connections that Ms. Armento deals with a number of
20	A. Primarily in our home, which is in Palakinwick in an	20	times throughout her tenure with you, what is her reputation
21	area called the Main Line outside Philadelphia.	21	in that group, that community, for peacefulness and
22	Q. Thank you.	22	nonviolence?
23	And, Dr. Sataloff, I don't want to keep you, so I'm	23	A. She is known to be peaceful, nonviolent, pleasant
24	going to keep my questions short.	24	and cooperative.
25	What I want to know from you is, what is your	25	MS. WELETZ: Thank you, Dr. Sataloff. I have no
	Sataloff - DIRECT - By Ms. Weletz		Sataloff - CROSS - By Mr. Qureshi
	219		221
1	opinion of her regarding her personal traits of peacefulness	1	further questions. But I will turn you over to the
2	and nonviolence?	2	Government if they have any questions for you.
3 4	A. She is exceptionally peaceful and nonviolent. As an	3	THE COURT: Does the defense have any questions?
4	example, early on, during her employ	4	MR. LAZEROW: No questions.  MR. COHEN: No, your Honor.
5 6	MR. QURESHI: Objection, your Honor.	5 6	• •
7	THE COURT: Sir, sir.  THE WITNESS: we ended up having to go out and	7	MS. JACQUES: No, your Honor.  THE COURT: Mr. Qureshi, you may cross-examine.
8	buy	8	MR. QURESHI: Thank you, your Honor.
9	BY MS. WELETZ:	9	CROSS-EXAMINATION
10	Q. Hold on, Dr. Sataloff.	10	BY MR. QURESHI:
11	THE COURT: There's been an objection to the rest of	11	Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Sataloff.
12	your answer. I'm sustaining that.	12	A. Good afternoon, Mr. Qureshi.
13	So Ms. Weletz has another question for you.	13	Q. Even though I'm from Philadelphia, we've never met
14	BY MS. WELETZ:	14	before. Correct?
15	Q. And now you said that you've known her for nine	15	A. As far as I know.
16	years and she works in your home.	16	Q. Dr. Sataloff, you said you've known Ms. Armento for
17	Are you aware of her reputation in the community for	17	nine years. Is that correct?
18	peacefulness and nonviolence?	18	A. Approximately.
19	MR. QURESHI: Objection, your Honor.	19	Q. And it's mostly household administrative activities
20	THE WITNESS: Within the community with which she	20	that she does for you and your wife. Is that right?
21	interacts on our behalf	21	A. Correct.
22	THE COURT: Sir, sir	22	Q. And you talked a moment ago about how she has a
	BY MS. WELETZ:	23	reputation within the community of being nonviolent. Is that
23			
24	Q. Hold on one second, Dr. Sataloff.	24	right?
24 25	Q. Hold on one second, Dr. Sataloff.  THE COURT: I'm overruling the objection to that  218 to 221 of 236	24 25	right?  A. That is correct.

25

of certain exhibits, we rest.

guess, a redone list of overt acts from you at some point.

I just want to remember to ask you to think about
 that.

MS. KERKHOFF: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: The other thing I wanted to do before I forget to do it is Detective Pemberton's testimony was the subject of a good deal of discussion regarding the extent to which he could talk about things in videotapes, the extent to which he could testify about identifications, which I ultimately did not allow him to do.

But I did want to make sure to articulate my conclusion after hearing his testimony about his testimony with respect to the videotapes, and that is the description of observed items and other observations on the videotapes was something that was necessary as an aid to the jury.

In other words, there are many, many, many, many videotapes in evidence. And because everybody in them is dressed very, very similarly, it is impossible to track the actions of any one person without studying them at great length.

And in all of the Government's exhibits which were the slow-motion and highlighted exhibits showing each Defendant, before the highlights came up, it was almost impossible to find the person. I mean, it was sort of a "Where is Waldo?" exercise in terms of just identifying.

And the physical characteristics that were

consistent from video to video to video, items like backpacks and shoelaces and shoes and even hair, even seen -- the

and shociaces and shoes and even hair, even seen - the

3 Defendants or the persons that the Government says are the4 Defendants at times when they were quite distinctive on

videotape when caught sort of relatively, you know,

6 identifiable, Detective Pemberton's testimony was essential

to any juror's ability to see those people, focus on them and

8 follow them.

And so while I did not allow him to do identifications and think he got through his testimony without that, I do conclude that it was essential that he track these items on tape as an aid to the jury, because they would never be able in deliberations to do so themselves.

So I just wanted to make sure that was done.

I do need to address Ms. Macchio's motion to sever, which was made after the playing, I believe, of the Wood -- of 111, the Wood livestream. And so I need to do that. I need to address the motions for judgment of acquittal.

need to address the motions for judgment of acquittal.

The reason I told the jury that I wasn't sure if they'd be back at all tomorrow is that I obviously need to decide for each Defendant when it became, if it ever became, foreseeable that certain things would happen, when it is that a conspiracy started such that as to each person when they joined it, if they did, and when they would have been sufficiently aware of what's going on around them to have

been able to aid and abet or be liable under a*Pinkerton*theory.

So I think it's going to take a little bit of talk.

4 I will read -- I will now have time to read

Ms. Macchio's written motion for judgment of acquittal.

6 Anybody else?

MR. LAZEROW: And Mr. Harris.

THE COURT: Sorry.

MR. McCOOL: He's named in that as well. I signed

it.

THE COURT: Good. I get two from one.

12 Is anybody else planning to file something in

writing? I'm not asking you to; I'm just asking if you are.

MS. KROPF: Sara Kropf.

We do have a short submission -- I think it's lessthan eight pages -- that we will be submitting hopefullyright after we leave court.

THE COURT: So no later than 5:30 so I know it'sbeen submitted and I can see it.

MS. KROPF: Yes, your Honor.

21 THE COURT: Great.

In terms of jury instructions, I just want to be 100 percent certain of what I've been given and what else you plan to give me.

25 I submitted draft instructions to you all that for

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \bf 1 & the \ record -- \ I'm \ just \ going \ to \ go \ through \ what \ I've \ proposed \end{tabular}$ 

2 in my most recent draft to you.

And I proposed to give: function of the Court;function of the jury; jury's recollection; considering the

evidence; statements of counsel; multiple Defendants,

multiple counts; redacted documents and tapes; inadmissible

7 and stricken evidence; the indictment not evidence.

8 I know that somebody's asked me for a number of9 witnesses and so I will give that.

Burden of proof; reasonable doubt; credibility ofwitnesses; police officers' testimony; right of Defendant notto testify.

13 I still need to do*Boyd* queries. That reminds me.

I would take out "Defendant as witness" and keep inright of Defendant not to testify, take out expert testimony.

16 I will add in character evidence.

And the substantive counts I have proposed are
inciting, engaging in a riot, conspiracy to engage in a riot,
destruction of property, co-conspirator liability.

For the destruction of property, you all have corrected me by giving me the 2017 update to the destruction of property instruction. And I will -- I have not gotten those yet from the library. I will change the language to conform to the current instruction.

Then there's co-conspirator liability, aiding and

230 1 abetting. 2 I just want to note that in the Harris-Macchio 3 proposed instructions, though you corrected me on the 4 malicious destruction of property, you then included the 5 mens rea from an old version in the aiding and abetting. And 6 I think it just needs to conform. So that would be my plan. 7 Then proof of state of mind; identification; 8 Defendant's theories; possible punishment; selection of 9 foreperson; communications between the Court and jury during 10 the jury's deliberations; the cautionary instruction on 11 publicity; exhibits; furnishing the jury with a copy of the 12 instructions; delivering the verdict; and excusing the 13 alternates. 14 So that's what I've proposed, just so I have said it 15 out loud. 16 What I've received are proposed instructions from 17 the Government. 18 I've received proposed instructions from all 19 Defendants that I got a while ago that I actually 20 incorporated into my most recent draft in part. And then 21 I've got a proposed jury instruction with, I think, 22 strikeouts from my most recent draft. I didn't realize I had 23 that. I was reviewing I guess Attachment A. 24 But what I'm going to rely on, so you know, is your 25 strikeout version. If that's not what you want me to rely 1 on -- I'm hoping it is, because that's what I'm going to rely on, because I can't compare texts at this point without going 3 crazy. 4 MS. HEINE: Yes. Please use the red line. That's 5 why we gave it to you. 6 THE COURT: In terms of defense theory instructions, 7 I've got one from Ms. Lawson, Ms. Macchio and Mr. Wood. 8 I don't think I have any defense theory instructions 9 from anybody else yet. Is that correct? 10 MS. JACQUES: That's right. 11 MR. McCOOL: I can hand a copy up to the Court if 12 you want. 13 THE COURT: I'll take a courtesy copy as long as you 14 file it. 15 And for Ms. Armento and Ms. Simmons, you're going to 16 17 MS. WELETZ: I will file it this evening, your 18 Honor. 19 MS. JACQUES: I will as well. 20 THE COURT: Just early enough so I can actually see 21 21 it. 22 MS. JACQUES: Yes. 22 23 23 THE COURT: So we'll talk about those tomorrow.

Has anybody else filed anything at this point

regarding other jury instructions?

24

25

1 No. So I have that worked out. 2 And I know that a First Amendment instruction has 3 been proposed. I'm actually tinkering with one of my own. 4 Maybe I'll email it to you tonight; maybe I won't. I just need to get to a place where I think I'm right about it. 6 And what I'd like to do -- what I'll do in the morning is first Boyd inquiries of each of your clients. So 8 please just prepare them for that. And second, I'll do the 9 motion to sever, just to get that out of the way. And, 10 third, the MJOAs. 11 In doing the MJOAs, I'm asking the Government's 12 permission to let me take to chambers the boards that are the 13 same boards as the ones that I was looking at for 14 Ms. Armento. 15 MS. KERKHOFF: Yes. 16 THE COURT: I've got the flash drive of the 17 PowerPoints, which if I can figure out how to load it onto my 18 computer, I'll look at it. If not, I'll ask you to do it in 19 the morning. If I need to see other things in the morning, I 20 will do that. 21 MS. KERKHOFF: Your Honor, we have the completed 22 board before we cut it. Would you like those rather than 23 taking the two separate components or do you want the 24 separate components? 25 THE COURT: I don't care. 233 1 Does anybody care? No? I'll take the completed boards so I'm not carrying 3 as much poster board on the way. 4 MS. KERKHOFF: Of course. 5 THE COURT: Back in the day, you could only offer as 6 many exhibits as you could carry. Trials were not quite so 7 cluttered with exhibits as they are now. 8 Yes. So I'll take those boards and think about them 9 and my notes. 10 So the morning -- I only have two matters. We will 11 be able to go at 9:30. And we'll talk about your 12 instructions after I get through the MJOAs with you all. 13 Are you going to be submitting anything to me on 14 inciting? 15 MS. KERKHOFF: I have argument. I thought we were 16 doing it today. So I've consulted with appellate and I do 17 have argument. 18 THE COURT: Are there any cases that I should read? 19 MS. KERKHOFF: Your Honor, we were just citing 20 Blockburger and Byrd. Byrd is the DC case that happened, I guess, about 25 years ago relying on the principles of

Blockburger and Byrd. I can provide the Court the cite.

24

25

counsel and the Court?

THE COURT: Why don't you give me the cite.

MS. KERKHOFF: I can -- can I email it to all